

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

A study protocol for SFX-01 After Subarachnoid haemorrhage (SAS): A multi-centre randomised doubleblinded, placebo controlled trial

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-028514
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	13-Dec-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Zolnourian, Ardalan; University of Southampton, Clinical Neurosceince; University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Galea, Ian; University of Southampton Faculty of Medicine, Experimental Neurology Bulters, Diederik ; University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust
Keywords:	Randomised controlled trial, Subarachnoid haemorrhage, Nrf2, Sulforaphane, Delayed cerebral ischaemia





I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

reliez oni

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

<u>A study protocol for SFX-01 After Subarachnoid haemorrhage</u> (SAS): A multi-centre randomised double-blinded, placebo <u>controlled trial</u>

Date and version: 16th May 2018, Version 7

Ardalan Zolnourian^{a,b}, Ian Galea^{a,*}, Diederik Bulters^{a,b,*}

^a Department of Neurosurgery, University Hospital Southampton, Tremona Road, Southampton, SO16 6YD

^b Clinical Neurosciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Tremona Road, Southampton, SO16 6YD

* Joint senior authors

Ardalan Zolnourian: Principal investigator, University Hospital Southampton

Ian Galea: Co-investigator, University of Southampton

Diederik Bulters: Chief investigator, University Hospital Southampton

Statistician: David Stone

Clinical trials coordinating centre: The Clinical Trials Company Team

Steering committee: Diederik Bulters, Thomas Morris

Corresponding author: dbulters@nhs.net

Abstract

Introduction

Subarachnoid haemorrhage from a ruptured cerebral aneurysm carries a high morbidity and mortality with subsequent negative impact on society and healthcare. Despite huge advances in techniques to secure the aneurysms, there has been little progress in the treatment of the deleterious effects of the haemorrhage.

Sulforaphane is an Nrf2 inducer with anti-oxidant and anti-inflammatory properties. It has been shown to improve clinical outcome in experimental models of SAH, but it is unstable. SFX-01 (Evgen Pharma) is a novel composition comprised of synthetic sulforaphane stabilised with α -cyclodextrin complex. On ingestion, the complex releases sulforaphane making SFX-01 an ideal vehicle for delivery of sulforaphane.

Methods and analysis

The objective of the study is to assess the safety, pharmacokinetics and efficacy of SFX-01. This is a prospective, multi-centre, randomised, double-blind placebocontrolled trial in patients aged 18-80 years with subarachnoid haemorrhage in the previous 48 hours. They will be randomised to receive SFX-01 or placebo twice daily for up to 28 days.

Pharmacokinetics will be assessed based on paired blood and CSF sulforaphane and its metabolites levels on day seven and in a subgroup of patients on hourly samples taken during six hours post-dosing on days one and seven. Pharmacodynamics will be assessed by haptoglobin and malondialdehyde levels, and maximum flow velocity of middle cerebral artery will be measured by transcranial Doppler ultrasound.

Clinical outcomes will be assessed at days 28, 90 and 180 using combination of mRS, GOSE, SAHOT, SF-36, BICRO-39 and CLCE-24. Further secondary outcomes include MRI at six months; quantitative susceptibility mapping will be used to measure iron deposition and volumetric T1 images for cortical volume.

Ethics and dissemination

Appropriate ethical approval was obtained from the relevant research ethics committee. All the results and outcomes of the trial will be outlined and submitted for publication in a peer reviewed journal.

Trial registration number: 2014-003284-38 ; Pre-results

Strengths and limitations of this study

- SFX-01 is a novel complex of sulforaphane and cyclodextrin that can deliver high levels of sulforaphane in the clinical environment, offering the opportunity for the first time to reproduce the positive results of sulforaphane treatment in experimental models of subarachnoid haemorrhage.
- The trial is at low risk of bias due to placebo control and appropriate blinding.
- In addition to clear primary outcomes there are a wide range of secondary outcomes to probe the mechanisms underlying any efficacy of the treatment including novel and new outcome measures and MRI sequences.
- Although it is a multicentre trial, due to the complexity of its design, it has been limited to three centres to ensure high quality outcome measurement.

Introduction

Spontaneous Subarachnoid Haemorrhage (SAH) is a devastating cerebrovascular injury with an incidence of 9.1 per 100,000 population [1]. It affects around 7000 patients in the UK annually. Around 85% are due to ruptured intracranial aneurysms [2]. The incidence is age related peaking at 52 years. SAH carries a high overall mortality rate of up to 67% [3], and only half of the survivors are able to live independently [4]. It therefore has a high burden on society due to the loss of productivity and resources [5].

Conventionally following SAH, treatment is primarily directed to securing the aneurysm to prevent further re-bleeding. This however does nothing to ameliorate the morbidity and mortality due to the haemorrhage. The only approved treatment is nimodipine [6]. However, its effects are small and poor outcome remains a significant problem [7]. Moreover, even in survivors considered to have made a good recovery, neurocognitive deficits are common leading to extensive problems with social reintegration and functioning in the workplace [5].

The mechanism underlying poor outcome is multifactorial. A significant component is due to secondary injury from oxidative stress, inflammation [8], spreading depolarisation [9], macroscopic cerebral vasospasm [10] and microcirculatory disturbance [11]. The common factor is that they are initiated by extracellular haemoglobin (Hb) released as red blood cells in the clot lyse. This results in direct neurotoxicity, increased oxidative stress and further injury [12].

Sulforaphane (SFN) is known to upregulate the nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) pathway. Nrf2 is a redox-sensitive transcription factor that binds to a specific DNA site, the anti-oxidant response element, upstream of genes encoding detoxifying and anti-oxidant enzymes [13] [14]. Some of these enzymes include glutathione S-transferases (GSTs), NAD(P)H-quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1) and haem oxygenase 1 (HO-1) [13]. During physiological conditions Nrf2 is bound to the Kelch-like ECH associated protein 1 (KEAP1) in the cytoplasm. In response to stress such as SAH, Nrf2 is released from KEAP1 and then translocates to the nucleus leading to enhanced gene transcription [15].

Nrf2 also upregulates haptoglobin (Hp), an acute phase glycoprotein found in plasma [16], as well as cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) [17]. Hp is part of an important Hb scavenging pathway after SAH. It tightly binds to free Hb, and this Hb-Hp complex is taken up by CD163-positive macrophages [18]. This pathway is saturated after SAH [17] and upregulation of Hp represents a possible therapeutic avenue [19]. Nrf2 also regulates degradation of red blood cells, and metabolism of haem and iron through transcriptional upregulation of CD36 [20] [20], haemopexin [21], HO-1 [22] and ferritin [23].

Nrf2 is expressed in the central nervous system (CNS) and is upregulated in response to inflammation and cerebral insults [24]. Nrf2 knockout is associated with a more pronounced inflammatory response *in vitro* [25] and *in vivo* [26], and the increased inflammatory response is associated with more brain oedema, cell death and poorer neurological recovery [27]. SFN increases HO-1, NQO1, and GST- α 1 levels and reduces IL-1 β , IL-6, and TNF- α [28]. It leads to a reduction in vasospasm and improves neurological recovery [26] [29].

SFN has a relatively short half-life rendering it impractical for clinical use. SFX-01 (Evgen Pharma) is a novel new agent comprising SFN complexed with cyclodextrin which is suitable for clinical use. On ingestion, SFN is released from the cyclodextrin and is an effective method to deliver SFN. In two Phase I trials (NCT01948362, NCT02055716) no serious adverse events were reported in healthy volunteers. Here we describe the protocol for a phase II trial of SFX-01 in patients who have suffered a SAH.

Objectives

The objective of the study is to assess the safety, pharmacokinetics and efficacy of SFX-01.

Methods

Trial design

This is a prospective, double-blind, parallel group, randomised controlled trial comparing SFX-01 (300 mg) taken orally as capsules or as a suspension via a nasogastric tube (NG) twice-daily for up to 28 days *versus* placebo in patients with SAH within 48 hours of enrolment.

The treatment window was selected based on clinical and biochemical criteria. After SAH red blood cells in the subarachnoid space lyse and gradually release Hb. This lysis takes time and initially any free haemoglobin is bound by Hp. Only with progressive Hb accumulation do levels of free Hb rise with the most marked increase occurring after three days [30]. Clinically delayed cerebral ischaemia (DCI) is only seen after three days. Therefore, initiation of treatment should ideally occur within the first 72 hours, to allow more time for Nrf2 pathway activation and expression of its transcriptome. However not all patients present and/or are diagnosed immediately. Since a local audit at the main study neurosurgical centre showed that most patients are admitted within 48 hours, initiation of treatment within 48 hours was established

as an inclusion criterion, striking the best compromise between the practicality of study recruitment and the need to start treatment early.

Detailed pharmacovigilance will inform the safety of SFX-01. Pharmacokinetics will be determined by measurement levels of SFN and its metabolites in CSF and blood at day seven in all patients, and a more detailed profile will be obtained in a subgroup of 12 patients who will have hourly blood and CSF samples for six hours after dosing on days one and seven. Pharmacodynamics will be assessed with blood Hp and malondialdehyde (MDA) levels, and middle cerebral artery (MCA) flow velocity as measured on transcranial Doppler (TCD) ultrasound as an estimate of large cerebral artery spasm. Longer term outcome will be assessed using validated outcome scales, at day 28 using Modified Rankin Scale (mRS) ([31] [32] [33], Glasgow Outcome Score (GOSE) [34][35] and the SAH Outcome Tool (SAHOT) [36] as well as at day 90 and 180 using the mRS, GOSE, SAHOT, Brain Injury Community Rehabilitation Outcome Scales (BICRO-39) [37] and the Check List for Cognitive and Emotional consequences following stroke (CLCE-24) [38].

Patient and public involvement

The study was developed with the assistance of the Wessex Subarachnoid Haemorrhage Support Group. The research team met with members on several occasions for research priority setting, trial design, and development of outcome scales. At an early priority setting session the long-term symptoms from the haemorrhage were identified as the main priority for research and medical management preferred over surgical interventions. As a result, a proposal for a trial was made which was subsequently discussed to obtain views on the individual study interventions and how to minimise inconvenience to patients. Options for consent were also discussed and patients helped develop this process and contributed to our patient information sheets. Over two separate meetings the main symptoms after SAH were identified and developed into SAHOT, the first SAH specific outcome scale, utilised in this study. Upon completion of the trial participants will be informed of the results which will also be distributed through the Wessex Subarachnoid Haemorrhage Support Group.

Study setting

This is a multicentre study conducted in regional neurosciences centres with specialist services to treat aneurysmal SAH. Patients will be identified at referral to the admitting neurosurgical or neurointensive care units. After informed consent, dosing and study interventions will occur in the neurosciences centre until patients are discharged to either home or their local hospitals. There are three recruiting centres; University Hospital Southampton, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh and the Royal London Hospital. Upon discharge, follow-up may take place in clinic, or at visits to district general hospitals and rehabilitation centres, or patients' residences.

Eligibility Criteria

Inclusion criteria

1. Patients with radiological evidence of spontaneous aneurysmal SAH

- 2. Fisher grade 3 or 4 on CT
 - 3. Definitive treatment of aneurysm has not been ruled out
 - 4. Previously living independently
 - 5. In the opinion of the investigator, the delay from ictus to randomisation and initiation of trial medication will not exceed 48 hours
 - 6. Aged 18 to 80 years
 - 7. In the opinion of the investigator it will be possible to obtain Informed Consent from the Patient, Personal Legal Representative or Professional Legal representative within 24 hours of first dose

Exclusion criteria

- 1. Traumatic SAH
- 2. Fisher grade 1 or 2
- 3. SAH diagnosed on Lumbar puncture (LP) with no evidence of blood on CT
- 4. Decision not to treat aneurysm has been made
- 5. Plan to withdraw treatment
- 6. Significant kidney disease as defined as plasma creatinine ≥2.5mg/dL (221 μmol/l)
- 7. Liver disease as defined as total bilirubin ≥2-fold the upper limit of normal, as measured by the local laboratory
- 8. Females who are pregnant or lactating
- 9. Participants enrolled in another interventional research trial in the last 30 days
- 10. Patients for whom it is known, at the time of screening, that clinical follow-up will not be feasible
- 11. Patients unwilling to use two forms of contraception (one of which being a barrier method) for 90 days (men) or 30 days (women) after the last trial medication dose
- 12. Known hypersensitivity to any component of a SFN containing product including broccoli

Recruitment is limited to Fisher grade 3 and 4 SAH. These patients represent the majority of aneurysmal SAH. They have a higher volume of haemorrhage with a poorer outcome and more delayed neurological deficits [39]. They are therefore mechanistically and clinically expected to derive greatest benefit from SFX-01.

Inclusion of patients with unsecured aneurysms would risk a high incidence of rebleeding in the study. Rebleeding is associated with exceedingly bad outcomes [40], masking any effect from SFX-01. However, since not all aneurysms are secured within 48 hours, there is no requirement for the aneurysm to have been secured prior to enrolment. Instead patients in whom treatment of the aneurysm has been ruled out due to poor clinical status will be excluded.

Although there are no known risks to kidney or liver, due to the relative inexperience with SFX-01 in humans, patients with liver or kidney problems are excluded.

Intervention

Trial medication

SFX-01 (active 300 mg capsule) or placebo (cyclodextrin only capsule) will be taken orally or as a suspension via a nasogastric tube (NG) twice daily for up to 28 days.

Animal studies in ischaemic stroke, intracerebral haemorrhage and SAH have all used 5 mg/kg dose of SFN in rodents [26] [41] [42] [43]. Conversion of animal doses to humans using body surface area, as has been widely recommended [44] [45], yields a human dose of 50 mg SFN. This is equivalent to 300 mg of SFX-01 containing 46.15 mg of SFN. In the clinical studies conducted to date, SFX-01 has been shown to be well tolerated at doses of 600mg once daily and 300mg twice daily with no serious adverse effects.

De-escalation from the trial regimen

 In the event of tolerability problems whilst the patient is in the neurosurgical centre, the Investigator will assess whether simple measures to ease the effects of the adverse event(s) may be implemented (i.e. antacid in the case of GI irritation or anti-emetic in the event of nausea).

The investigator will also assess whether or not the adverse event(s) could be related to the trial medication and severe enough to warrant a dose frequency reduction. In the first instance the investigator may consider missing one dose. If a dose frequency reduction is warranted, from that point onwards the second dose of the day will be omitted; a dose frequency increase back to twice daily will not be permitted.

If tolerability problems continue then the investigational medication will be stopped; patients will continue in the study and complete the study visits. The staged dose frequency de-escalation (dropping to once daily) will not be carried out after discharge from the neurosurgical centre; if tolerability problems occur after discharge, medication will be stopped; patients will continue in the study and complete the study visits in accordance with the schedule of assessments.

Treatment compliance

Compliance with treatment will be recorded during the inpatient hospital stay by health care professionals and/or a member of the research team. On discharge to the usual residence, responsibility for this is transferred to the patient or their Personal Legal Representative, aided by detailed instructions. In the event of discharge to a rehabilitation unit or patient local hospital, written instructions will be provided on discharge and verbal communication with the clinical team will ensure compliance.

All patients will be discharged with a patient diary which will be filled in and collected at day 28. Compliance will be further monitored by drug reconciliation. Patients will be asked to return the medication bottle and any residual contents at the day 28 visit. At this time any residual tablets will be counted and recorded.

Concomitant treatment

There are no known drug interactions, and participation in the trial will not alter routine treatment of SAH. Participation in other interventional research studies will not be allowed until after the last follow up visit.

Outcomes

Primary end-points

Safety

To evaluate the safety of up to 28 days of SFX-01 dosed at up to an equivalent of 92mg SFN per day.

Prior to the start of this study, the trial medication had only been used in healthy individuals and not in a patient population. Therefore safety is one of the main objectives of this study. This is evaluated through routine tests (full blood count, urea and electrolytes, coagulation screen, liver function tests, and urine microscopy) at baseline, post-dose, day seven and day 28 as well as close monitoring of patients for any side-effects or adverse events.

Pharmacokinetic

To detect the presence of SFN and its metabolites in CSF and blood.

Animal models have shown that SFN crosses the blood-brain barrier and can therefore be detected in the brain [46] [47] There is some variation in the levels achieved in these studies, and it has not been studied in humans. All patients will have a paired CSF/blood sample taken at seven days post-ictus. This will be via a LP unless the patient has an external ventricular drain (EVD) for their clinical care in which case it will be obtained from the EVD. In addition, up to 12 patients with an EVD will be asked to consent to hourly CSF samples for six hours after dosing on days one and seven.

Vasospasm

To determine if a minimum of seven days treatment with SFX-01 reduces MCA peak flow velocity following SAH.

TCD ultrasound will be used to measure the MCA, ICA and ECA maximum flow velocity and the Lindegaard ratio will be calculated. TCDs will be performed on alternate daily basis including at baseline. They will be performed for at least seven days or until no longer clinically indicated. Blood flow velocity is measured in cm/second and is inversely related to the luminal diameter of the vessel. The greater this value the more likely the degree of narrowing or spasm in the vessel. It has a good predictive value for DCI. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis, which pooled data from 2870 patients, showed a sensitivity of 90%, specificity of 71%, and negative and positive predictive values of 92% and 57% respectively [48].

Secondary end-points

Pharmacodynamic

CSF and blood – Haptoglobin and Malondialdehyde

Hp represents a major Hb detoxification pathway and is upregulated by Nrf2. MDA is a measure of oxidative stress. Hp and MDA will be measured in blood at baseline, day seven and day 28 and in CSF on day seven. A local audit at the main study neurosurgical centre showed that approximately 1/3 of patients have an EVD sited as part of their routine clinical care to treat hydrocephalus. In these patients additional samples will be obtained. This will allow investigation of the temporal profile of Hp level and oxidative stress. Additionally, there will be exploratory investigations using proteomics, transcriptomics and genomics using CSF and blood samples.

MRI – iron and brain volume

All patients will have magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) 180 days after SAH. Brain volume on T1 sequences will be measured, since this has been shown to correlate with outcome [49]. Cortical iron content will be assessed using quantitative susceptibility mapping after susceptibility weighted MR imaging, which predominantly measures siderotic iron deposits [50]. Iron is a major component of Hb, and it is unknown what effects SFX-01, SFN or increased Hp binding of Hb may have on the downstream iron pathway.

Clinical outcome

- mRS at seven days, discharge, 28, 90 and 180 days.
- Incidence of DCI defined as a new focal deficit or reduction in GCS (by two points or more) if not explained by other causes (i.e. re-bleed, hydrocephalus, seizure, meningitis, sepsis or hyponatraemia) [51]
- Incidence of new cerebral infarct on CT or MRI
- Institution of hypertensive therapy for presumed DCI
- SF-36 quality of life survey at 28, 90 & 180 days
- CLCE-24 and BICRO-39 at 90 & 180 days
- SAHOT and GOSE at 28, 90 & 180 days
- Length of acute hospital stay
- Discharge destination

A number of measures of efficacy have been selected reflecting the most common stroke outcome assessment (mRS), the most common brain injury assessment (GOSE), the most common quality of life survey (SF-36) and the only SAH specific outcome tool [36] to determine the most sensitive tool and make estimates of effect size. SAHOT includes 56 items dealing with cognitive, physical, and behavioural/psychological consequences of SAH, developed in a SAH focus group by patients and experts in the field. This outcome tool has been validated and proposed as a more sensitive and responsive tool in SAH [36].

A number of short term patient outcomes related to the incidence of DCI are included (incidence of infarction, and institution of hypertensive therapy). DCI is a serious complication following SAH and responsible for significant secondary injury [10]. Several simple outcomes including length of stay and discharge destination that have been associated with outcome [52] are also included in the event any patients are lost to longer term follow up.

Schedule of assessments

Time points ¹ Study procedures	D 0-2	D 1-3 (12-24 post- dose)	Ongoing assessment (Alternate days) ⁶ (+/-1)	D 7 (+/-1)	Discharge (-2)	D 28 (-6/+2)	D 90 (+/-14)	D 180 (+/- 28)
Consent	X							
Inclusion/exclusion	X							
WFNS grading	Х							
IMP treatment	Х							
Safety bloods ²	X	X	Х	Х	X	X		
Safety urine	Х			Х	X	Х		
Lipid profile	X			Х	X	X		
Coagulation screen								
TCD readings ³		X	Х	Х				
HP, MDA (Blood/CSF) ⁴	X			Х		Х		
SFN & metabolites (Blood/CSF) ⁵	6			Х				
mRS				Х	X	Х	X	Х
GOSE						Х	X	Х
SAHOT						Х	X	Х
SF-36						X	X	Х
BICRO-39							X	Х
CLCE-24							X	X
MRI								X

1- Ictus is defined as the onset of symptoms/haemorrhage and is referred to as day 0.

2- Safety bloods include: Biochemistry: Sodium, Potassium, Urea, Creatinine, Glucose, Calcium, Total Bilirubin, Alkaline Phosphatase, Alanine Transaminase, Albumin, C-Reactive Protein, and Haematology including Haemoglobin, White Blood Cell Count, Neutrophils (Absolute), Lymphocytes (Absolute), Platelets. These will be done at least on alternate days until no longer clinically indicated.

- 3- TCDs are performed at baseline before day 3 and will be repeated on alternate daily basis until at least day 7 or where clinically indicated.
- 4- HP and MDA will be assayed in both CSF and blood at baseline, where possible i.e if patient has an EVD fitted this will be measured in the CSF and blood at baseline as well as every other day until EVD is removed. All patients will have HP and MDA assayed on either a LP or EVD sample on day 7.
- 5- SFN and its metabolites will be measured on day 7 in all patient with paired blood and CSF (LP or EVD sample).
- 6- These assessments will be done on every other day basis with a +/-1 window. They will be carried on until discharge or up to when it is clinically required.

Sample Size

No formal sample size calculation has been carried out; the power associated with a sample size of 90 is based on the following assumptions:

- The error probability for the Type I error should not exceed 5% for a one-sided test;
- The primary endpoint will be compared between treatment groups by means of a t-test
- The mean maximum MCA flow velocity for patients treated with SFX-01 is estimated as 175 cm/s and

• The standard deviation of maximum MCA flow velocity is 50 cm/s

Under these assumptions 90 patients will give 80% power to detect a difference in maximum MCA velocity which is approximately half of the standard deviation of the mean value. The standard deviation was assumed to be approximately 30% of the mean value.

Recruitment

Patients with SAH who present to the clinical centres and meet the above criteria will be considered for recruitment. The inclusion and exclusion criteria reflect national practice. Patients will be identified by the treating clinical team at the time of referral, admission or daily medical handover.

Assignment of intervention

Randomisation and blinding

Patients will be randomised in a 1:1 ratio to the active or placebo arm. Randomisation is stratified using the most recent World Federation of Neurosurgical Societies (WFNS) grade [53] prior to randomization. Patients in different WFNS groups will have significantly different outcomes [54] and imbalance between treatment arms risks treatment allocation bias.

All treatment packs will be otherwise identical in appearance. Placebo capsules will be identical and contain cyclodextrin making the contents indistinguishable should they be opened either inadvertently or for the purposes of NG administration. Patients will be randomised to one of the treatment groups by allocation of the appropriate, sequentially numbered treatment pack. The treatment packs will be pre-numbered according to a block balanced randomisation code with a ratio of 1:1 by a blinded third party. They will be selected as per WFNS grading by a member of a research team from pharmacy.

Unblinding

The Pharmacy will receive a sealed envelope containing the identity of each trial medication bottle. An envelope may be opened only in the case of a serious adverse event and only when it is essential to the subsequent management of the patient. The independent trial centre will be responsible for breaking codes for regulatory submissions of Suspected Unexpected Serious Adverse Reactions (SUSARs), thereby maintaining the overall confidentiality of the code breaks. If the code is broken the data for that patient will be excluded from the Per Protocol Population analysis but included in the Intention to Treat Analysis. They will continue in the study and complete the study visits in accordance with the study visit schedule.

Data collection and management

Data collection will be performed by Good Clinical Practice-trained members of the research team. Study specific training and additional training in disease specific

 questionnaires will be provided. The data will be entered into a secure electronic case report form.

Statistical analysis

The following populations will be considered for the analysis:

- Intention-to-Treat population (ITT): all randomised patients who receive at least one dose of study medication and with any post-dose efficacy evaluations. Patients where the time from ictus to admission is unknown are to be considered as part of the ITT population
- Per-protocol population (PPP): The Per Protocol Population (for Primary analysis) will be considered to be those patients in the ITT population that have been dosed for a minimum to day seven post ictus without any major protocol violations (i.e. wrong inclusions, etc.).
- Safety population: all randomised patients who have taken at least one dose of study medication.

The final full statistical analysis plan will be published prior to unblinding.

Monitoring

A data safety monitoring board (DSMB) has been set-up to monitor safety aspect of the trial throughout. A steering committee (comprising the Chief Investigator and the sponsor's Chief Medical Officer) will receive and review the reports from DSMB, and take action as appropriate. The DSMB plan to hold an initial meeting after recruitment of 20 patients who will have completed seven days of trial medication and if there are no safety concerns, will allow patients to be dosed for up to 28 days and allow patients to be discharged to other hospitals or home with the trial drug. The DSMB will also meet if there are any SUSARs or if two patients have had an increase in the grading of the severity of adverse events.

Recruitment will stop once the target has been reached or if DSMB will deem the study or trial drug to be associated with a significant number of adverse events compared to the normal patient population. The recruitment target is set to a minimum of 90 patients between three centres in the United Kingdom. Up to 120 patients may be recruited in order to allow for withdrawals and deaths.

Adverse events reporting

Adverse events, adverse drug reactions and serious adverse events (SAE) will be accurately documented. SAEs will be reported within 24 hours of awareness. Pregnancies occurring during the study must be reported immediately and monitored closely.

Ethical considerations and Informed Consent

Consent procedures and emergency dosing

BMJ Open

Most patients with acute SAH present with either severe headache or altered level of consciousness. Many will lack capacity with no legal representative immediately available. SAH is an acute emergency and any benefit from SFX-01 is likely to be greatest the earlier it is administered. The study has therefore been granted ethical permission to obtain baseline blood testing and administer two doses of trial drug without consent if the patient is lacking in capacity and no legal representative is available. If no consent can be obtained at that point, the patient will be withdrawn from the study.

Consent will be obtained in one of three scenarios:

- 1- Patients with capacity
- 2- Patients without capacity, but with a relative or next of kin available immediately in person
- 3- Patients without capacity and no relative or next of kin immediately available in person. Professional legal representative will be approached.

Re-Consent

This must take place in two different scenarios:

- 1- Where patients regain capacity they must be re-consented.
- 2- Where patients have been consented through a professional legal representative, after which time either patient has regained capacity or the next of kin has become available.

Discussion

There is mounting evidence for the role of the Nrf2 pathway in outcome after SAH. SFN upregulates Nrf2 expression and improves outcome in animal models. SFX-01 represents an exciting and novel way to deliver SFN to patients SAH with the potential to improve their lives.

This trial will investigate the safety, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of SFX-01 after SAH. If successful it may deliver long-term benefit to patients who have suffered SAH and provide new hope to a group of patients characterised by complex neurocognitive problems and disability.

Footnotes

<u>Authors' contributions</u>: DB conceived the trial. AZ, IG and DB were all involved in the design of the study and its setup. AZ and DB wrote the study protocol. DB managed the recruitment of other centres. IG and DB reviewed the protocol manuscript and approved the final version.

Funding: This study is sponsored by EvgenPharma.

Competing interests: None declared.

Ethics approval: South central Research Ethics Committee, Hampshire A, UK. **Word count:** 4192

References

- de Rooij NK, Linn FHH, van der Plas JA, *et al.* Incidence of subarachnoid haemorrhage: a systematic review with emphasis on region, age, gender and time trends. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2007;**78**:1365–72. doi:10.1136/jnnp.2007.117655
- 2 Van Gijn J, Rinkel GJE. Subarachnoid haemorrhage: Diagnosis, causes and management. Brain. 2001. doi:10.1093/brain/124.2.249
- 3 Nieuwkamp DJ, Setz LE, Algra A, *et al.* Changes in case fatality of aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage over time, according to age, sex, and region: a meta-analysis. *Lancet Neurol* 2009;**8**:635–42. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(09)70126-7
- 4 Kirkpatrick P, Lindsay K, Shaw M, et al. National Study of Subarachnoid Haemorrhage. 2006.
- Rivero-Arias O, Gray A, Wolstenholme J. Burden of disease and costs of aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage (aSAH) in the United Kingdom. *Cost Eff Resour Alloc* 2010;8:1–12. doi:10.1186/1478-7547-8-6
- 6 Pickard JD, Murray GD, Illingworth R, *et al.* Effect of oral nimodipine on cerebral infarction and outcome after subarachnoid haemorrhage : British aneurysm nimodipine trial. *Bmj* 1989;**298**:636–42. doi:10.1136/bmj.298.6674.636
- 7 Dorhout Mees SM, Rinkel GJ, Feigin VL, *et al.* Calcium antagonists for aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* Published Online First: 2007. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD000277.pub3
- 8 Fassbender K, Hodapp B, Rossol S, *et al.* Inflammatory cytokines in subarachnoid haemorrhage : association with abnormal blood flow velocities in basal cerebral arteries Inflammatory cytokines in subarachnoid haemorrhage : association with abnormal blood flow velocities in basal cerebral arteries. *Psychiatry Interpers Biol Process* 2001;:534–7. doi:10.1136/jnnp.70.4.534
- 9 Dreier JP, Major S, Manning A, *et al.* Cortical spreading ischaemia is a novel process involved in ischaemic damage in patients with aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage. *Brain* 2009;**132**:1866–81. doi:10.1093/brain/awp102
- 10 Dorsch NWC, King MT. A review of cerebral vasospasm in aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage Part I: Incidence and effects. *J Clin Neurosci* 1994;1:19–26. doi:10.1016/0967-5868(94)90005-1
- Pennings FA, Bouma GJ, Ince C. Direct observation of the human cerebral microcirculation during aneurysm surgery reveals increased arteriolar contractility. *Stroke* 2004;**35**:1284–8. doi:10.1161/01.STR.0000126039.91400.cb

12	Gaetani P, Pasqualin A, Rodriguez y Baena R, <i>et al.</i> Oxidative stress in the human brain after subarachnoid hemorrhage. <i>J</i>
13	<i>Neurosurg</i> 1998; 89 :748–54. doi:10.3171/jns.1998.89.5.0748 Itoh K, Chiba T, Takahashi S, <i>et al.</i> An Nrf2/small Maf heterodimer mediates the induction of phase II detoxifying enzyme genes through antioxidant response elements. <i>Biochem Biophys Res</i>
14	<i>Commun</i> 1997; 236 :313–22. doi:10.1006/bbrc.1997.6943 Venugopal R, Jaiswal a K. Nrf2 and Nrf1 in association with Jun proteins regulate antioxidant response element-mediated expression and coordinated induction of genes encoding detoxifying enzymes
15	<i>Oncogene</i> 1998;17:3145–56. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1202237 Itoh K, Ishii T, Wakabayashi N, <i>et al.</i> Regulatory mechanisms of cellular response to oxidative stress. <i>Free Radic Res</i> 1999; 31 :319–
16	24. doi:10.1080/10/15/69900300881 Zhao X, Song S, Sun G, <i>et al.</i> Neuroprotective Role of Haptoglobin after Intracerebral Hemorrhage. <i>J Neurosci</i> 2009; 29 :15819–27.
17	Galea J, Cruickshank G, Teeling JL, <i>et al.</i> The intrathecal CD163- haptoglobin-hemoglobin scavenging system in subarachnoid hemorrhage. <i>J Neurochem</i> 2012; 121 :785–92. doi:10.1111/j.1471-
18	Kristiansen M, Graversen JH, Jacobsen C, <i>et al.</i> Identification of the haemoglobin scavenger receptor. <i>Nature</i> 2001; 409 :198–201.
19	Bulters D, Gaastra B, Zolnourian A, <i>et al.</i> Haemoglobin scavenging in intracranial bleeding: biology and clinical implications. <i>Nat Rev</i>
20	Zhao X, Sun G, Ting SM, <i>et al.</i> Cleaning up after ICH: The role of Nrf2 in modulating microglia function and hematoma clearance. J Neurochem 2015:133:144–52. doi:10.1111/inc.12974
21	Morris CM, Candy JM, Edwardson JA, <i>et al.</i> Evidence for the localization of haemopexin immunoreactivity in neurones in the human brain. <i>Neurosci Lett</i> 1993; 149 :141–4. doi:10.1016/0304-3940(93)90756-B
22	Chen M, Regan RF. Time course of increased heme oxygenase activity and expression after experimental intracerebral hemorrhage: Correlation with oxidative injury. <i>J Neurochem</i> 2007; 103 :2015–21. doi:10.1111/j.1471.4159.2007.04885 x
23	Harada N, Kanayama M, Maruyama A, <i>et al.</i> Nrf2 regulates ferroportin 1-mediated iron efflux and counteracts lipopolysaccharide-induced ferroportin 1 mRNA suppression in macrophages. <i>Arch Biochem Biophys</i> 2011; 508 :101–9. doi:10.1016/j.abb.2011.02.001

1		
2		
3	24	Sandberg M Patil I D'Angelo B <i>et al</i> NRF2-regulation in brain
4	21	health and diagonal Implication of combrol inflammation
5		nearth and disease. Implication of cerebral inflammation.
6		Neuropharmacology 2014;7 9 :298–306.
7		doi:10.1016/i.neuropharm.2013.11.004
8	25	Pan H. Wang H. Zhu I. at al Depletion of Nrt? enhances
9	23	
10		inflammation induced by oxyhemoglobin in cultured mice
11		astrocytes. Neurochem Res 2011;36:2434–41. doi:10.1007/s11064-
12		011-0571-6
13	26	Chan C. Eans O. Zhang I. at al. Dala of the Nat? ADE nothway in
15	20	Chen G, Fang Q, Zhang J, <i>et al.</i> Role of the NH2-ARE pathway in
16		early brain injury after experimental subarachnoid hemorrhage. J
17		<i>Neurosci Res</i> 2011; 89 :515–23. doi:10.1002/jnr.22577
18	27	Li T Wang H Ding Y <i>et al</i> Genetic elimination of Nrf?
19	21	Li i, wang ii, Ding i, et al. Genetic eminiation of 1412
20		aggravates secondary complications except for vasospasm after
21		experimental subarachnoid hemorrhage in mice. Brain Res
22		2014: 1558 :90–9. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2014.02.036
23	28	Zhao XD Zhou XT Lu XI Sulforanhane enhances the activity of
24	20	Lindo AD, Zhou TT, Lu AJ. Sunoraphane enhances the activity of
25		the Nrf2-ARE pathway and attenuates inflammation in OxyHb-
26		induced rat vascular smooth muscle cells. <i>Inflamm Res</i>
27		2013.62.857-63 doi:10.1007/s00011-013-0641-0
28	20	Zhao V. Wan I. Dong M. at al Sulfaranhana activates the corebral
29	29	Zhao X, wen L, Dong M, <i>et al.</i> Sunoraphane activates the cerebrat
50 21		vascular Nrf2–ARE pathway and suppresses inflammation to
37		attenuate cerebral vasospasm in rat with subarachnoid hemorrhage.
32		<i>Brain Res</i> 2016: 1653 :1 -7 doi:10.1016/j braintes 2016.09.035
34	20	D and A D where L Calas L (1) Harmonial this are equivalent to C
35	30	Durnford A, Dunbar J, Galea J, <i>et al.</i> Haemoglobin scavenging after
36		subarachnoid haemorrhage. Acta Neurochir Suppl 2015; 120 :51–4.
37		doi:10.1007/978-3-319-04981-6 9
38	31	Earrell B. Godwin I. Richards S. at al. The United Kingdom
39	51	transient in heavier attent (LIK TIA) contribution Final new Iter I
40		transient ischaemic attack (UK-IIA) aspirin trial: Final results. J
41		Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry Published Online First: 1951.
42		doi:10.1136/innp.54.12.1044
43	32	Rankin I Cerebral Vascular Accidents in Patients over the Age of
44	54	(0. III Discreasing and Treastreast, Gratt M. J. D. 11: 1 - 1 Out
45		60: III. Diagnosis and Treatment. Scott Mea J Published Online
40		First: 1957. doi:10.1177/003693305700200604
47	33	Ouinn TJ. Dawson J. Walters MR. <i>et al.</i> Reliability of the modified
40		rankin scale: A systematic review. Stroka Published Online First:
50		Tankin Scale. A systematic review. Stroke rubilshed Onnie Prist.
51		2009. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.109.55/256
52	34	Jennett B, Bond M. ASSESSMENT OF OUTCOME AFTER
53		SEVERE BRAIN DAMAGE A Practical Scale Lancet Published
54		Online First: 1975 doi:10.1016/\$01/0.6736(75)02820.5
55	25	$\int \frac{1}{2} \int $
56	35	Jennett B, Snoek J, Bond MR, et al. Disability after severe head
57		injury: Observations on the use of the Glasgow Outcome Scale. J
58		Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry Published Online First 1981
59		doi:10.1136/innn AAA 285
60		uoi.10.1130/Jiiiip.44.4.203

 36 Pace A, Mitchell S, Casselden E, et al. A subarachnoid haemorrhage-specific outcome tool. Brain 2018;141:1111–21. doi:10.1093/brain/awy003 37 Powell JH, Beckers K, Greenwood RJ. Measuring progress and outcome in community rehabilitation after brain injury with a new assessment instrument - The BICRO-39 scales. Arch Phys Med Rehabil Published Online First: 1998. doi:10.1016/S0003-9993(08)90265-9 38 van Heugten C, Rasquin S, Winkens I, et al. Checklist for cognitive and emotional consequences following stroke (CLCE-24): development, usability and quality of the self-report version. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2007;109:257–62. doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2006.10.002 39 Fisher CM, Kistler JP, Davis JM. Relation of cerebral vasospasm to subarachnoid hemorrhage visualized by computerized tomographic scanning. Neurosurgery 1980;61–9. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7354892 40 Naidech AM, Janjua N, Kreiter KT, et al. Predictors and impact of aneurysm rebleeding after subarachnoid hemorrhage. Arch Neurol 2005;62:410–6. doi:10.1010/archneur.62.3.410 41 Zhao J, Kobori N, Aronowski J, et al. Sulforaphane reduces infarct volume following focal cerebral ischemia in rodents. Neurosci Lett 2006;393:108–12. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2005.09.065 42 Zhao X, Sun G, Zhang J, et al. Transcription factor Nrf2 protects the brain from damage produced by intracerebral hemorrhage. Stroke 2007;38:3280–6. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.486506 43 Alfieri A, Srivastava S, Siow RCM, et al. Sulforaphane preconditioning of the Nrf2/HO-1 defense pathway protects the cerebral vasculature against blood-brain barrier disruption and neurological deficits in stroke. Free Radic Biol Med 2013;65:1012–2. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2013.08.190 44 Sharma V, McNeill JH. To scale or not to scale: The principles of dose extrapolation. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2009. doi:10.1111/j.1476-3381.2009.00267.x 45 Reagan-Shaw S, Nihal M, Ahmad N. Dose translation from animal to human studies revisite		
 doi:10.1093/brain/awy003 37 Powell JH, Beckers K, Greenwood RJ. Measuring progress and outcome in community rehabilitation after brain injury with a new assessment instrument - The BICRO-39 scales. <i>Arch Phys Med Rehabil</i> Published Online First: 1998. doi:10.1016/S0003-9993(98)90265-9 38 van Heugten C, Rasquin S, Winkens I, <i>et al.</i> Checklist for cognitive and emotional consequences following stroke (CLCE-24): development, usability and quality of the self-report version. <i>Clin Neurol Neurosurg</i> 2007;109:257–62. doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2006.10.002 39 Fisher CM, Kistler JP, Davis JM. Relation of cerebral vasospasm to subarachnoid hemorrhage visualized by computerized tomographic scanning. <i>Neurosurgery</i> 1980;61–9. Mttp://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7354892 40 Naidech AM, Janjua N, Kreiter KT, <i>et al.</i> Predictors and impact of aneurysm rebleeding after subarachnoid hemorrhage. <i>Arch Neurol</i> 2005;62:410–6. doi:10.1016/j.neute.2005.09.065 42 Zhao X, Sun G, Zhang J, <i>et al.</i> Transcription factor Nrf2 protects the brain from damage produced by intracerebral hemorrhage. <i>Stroke</i> 2007;38:3280–6. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.486506 43 Alfieri A, Srivastava S, Siow RCM, <i>et al.</i> Sulforaphane preconditioning of the Nrf2/HO-1 defense pathway protects the creat vasculature against blood-brain barrier disruption and neurological deficits in stroke. <i>Free Radic Biol Med</i> 2013;65:1012–22. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2013.08.190 44 Sharma V, MeNeill JH. To scale or not to scale: The principles of dose extrapolation. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2009. doi:10.1111/j.1476-5381.2009.00267.x 45 Reagan-Shaw S, Nihal M, Ahmad N. Dose translation from animal to human studies revisited. <i>FASEB J</i> Published Online First: 2007. doi:10.1016/graphane in Nrf2 knockout and wild-type mice. <i>Pharm Res</i> 2011;28:3171–9. doi:10.1007/s11095-011-0500-z 47 Jazwa A, Rojo AI, Innamorato NG, <i>et al.</i> Pharmacological Targeting of the Transcription Factor Nrf2 at the Basal Ganglia	36	Pace A, Mitchell S, Casselden E, <i>et al.</i> A subarachnoid haemorrhage-specific outcome tool. <i>Brain</i> 2018; 141 :1111–21.
 van Heugten C, Rasquin S, Winkens I, <i>et al.</i> Checklist for cognitive and emotional consequences following stroke (CLCE-24): development, usability and quality of the self-report version. <i>Clin Neurol Neurosurg</i> 2007;109:257–62. doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2006.10.002 Fisher CM, Kistler JP, Davis JM. Relation of cerebral vasospasm to subarachnoid hemorrhage visualized by computerized tomographic scanning. <i>Neurosurgery</i> 1980;6:1–9.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7354892 Naidech AM, Janjua N, Kreiter KT, <i>et al.</i> Predictors and impact of aneurysm rebleeding after subarachnoid hemorrhage. <i>Arch Neurol</i> 2005;62:410–6. doi:10.1001/archneur.62.3.410 Zhao J, Kobori N, Aronowski J, <i>et al.</i> Sulforaphane reduces infarct volume following focal cerebral ischemia in rodents. <i>Neurosci Lett</i> 2006;393:108–12. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2005.09.065 Zhao X, Sun G, Zhang J, <i>et al.</i> Transcription factor Nrf2 protects the brain from damage produced by intracerebral hemorrhage. <i>Stroke</i> 2007;38:3280–6. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.486506 Alfieri A, Srivastava S, Siow RCM, <i>et al.</i> Sulforaphane preconditioning of the Nrf2/HO-1 defense pathway protects the cerebral vasculature against blood-brain barrier disruption and neurological deficits in stroke. <i>Free Radic Biol Med</i> 2013;65:1012–22. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2013.08.190 Sharma V, McNeill JH. To scale or not to scale: The principles of dose extrapolation. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2009. doi:10.1111/j.1476-5381.2009.00267.x Reagan-Shaw S, Nihal M, Ahmad N. Dose translation from animal to human studies revisited. <i>FASEB J</i> Published Online First: 2007. doi:10.1096/fj.07-9574LSF Clarke JD, Hsu A, Williams DE, <i>et al.</i> Metabolism and tissue distribution of sulforaphane in Nrf2 knockout and wild-type mice. <i>Pharm Res</i> 2011;28:3171–9. doi:10.1007/s11095-011-0500-z Jazwa A, Rojo AI, Innamorato NG, <i>et al.</i> Pharmacological Targeting of the Transcription Factor Nrf2 at the Basal Ganglia Provides Disease Modifyin	37	doi:10.1093/brain/awy003 Powell JH, Beckers K, Greenwood RJ. Measuring progress and outcome in community rehabilitation after brain injury with a new assessment instrument - The BICRO-39 scales. <i>Arch Phys Med</i> <i>Rehabil</i> Published Online First: 1998. doi:10.1016/S0003- 9993(98)90265-9
 Fisher CM, Kistler JP, Davis JM. Relation of cerebral vasospasm to subarachnoid hemorrhage visualized by computerized tomographic scanning. <i>Neurosurgery</i> 1980;6:1–9.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7354892 Naidech AM, Janjua N, Kreiter KT, <i>et al.</i> Predictors and impact of aneurysm rebleeding after subarachnoid hemorrhage. <i>Arch Neurol</i> 2005;62:410–6. doi:10.1001/archneur.62.3.410 Zhao J, Kobori N, Aronowski J, <i>et al.</i> Sulforaphane reduces infarct volume following focal cerebral ischemia in rodents. <i>Neurosci Lett</i> 2006;393:108–12. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2005.09.065 Zhao X, Sun G, Zhang J, <i>et al.</i> Transcription factor Nrf2 protects the brain from damage produced by intracerebral hemorrhage. <i>Stroke</i> 2007;38:3280–6. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.486506 Alfieri A, Srivastava S, Siow RCM, <i>et al.</i> Sulforaphane preconditioning of the Nrf2/HO-1 defense pathway protects the cerebral vasculature against blood-brain barrier disruption and neurological deficits in stroke. <i>Free Radic Biol Med</i> 2013;65:1012–22. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2013.08.190 Sharma V, McNeill JH. To scale or not to scale: The principles of dose extrapolation. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2009. doi:10.1111/j.1476-5381.2009.00267.x Reagan-Shaw S, Nihal M, Ahmad N. Dose translation from animal to human studies revisited. <i>FASEB J</i> Published Online First: 2007. doi:10.1096/fj.07-9574LSF Clarke JD, Hsu A, Williams DE, <i>et al.</i> Metabolism and tissue distribution of sulforaphane in Nrf2 knockout and wild-type mice. <i>Pharm Res</i> 2011;28:3171–9. doi:10.1007/s11095-011-0500-z Jazwa A, Rojo AI, Innamorato NG, <i>et al.</i> Pharmacological Targeting of the Transcription Factor Nrf2 at the Basal Ganglia Provides Disease Modifying Therapy for Experimental 	38	van Heugten C, Rasquin S, Winkens I, <i>et al.</i> Checklist for cognitive and emotional consequences following stroke (CLCE-24): development, usability and quality of the self-report version. <i>Clin</i> <i>Neurol Neurosurg</i> 2007; 109 :257–62. doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2006.10.002
 40 Naidech AM, Janjua N, Kreiter KT, <i>et al.</i> Predictors and impact of aneurysm rebleeding after subarachnoid hemorrhage. <i>Arch Neurol</i> 2005;62:410–6. doi:10.1001/archneur.62.3.410 41 Zhao J, Kobori N, Aronowski J, <i>et al.</i> Sulforaphane reduces infarct volume following focal cerebral ischemia in rodents. <i>Neurosci Lett</i> 2006;393:108–12. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2005.09.065 42 Zhao X, Sun G, Zhang J, <i>et al.</i> Transcription factor Nrf2 protects the brain from damage produced by intracerebral hemorrhage. <i>Stroke</i> 2007;38:3280–6. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.486506 43 Alfieri A, Srivastava S, Siow RCM, <i>et al.</i> Sulforaphane preconditioning of the Nrf2/HO-1 defense pathway protects the cerebral vasculature against blood-brain barrier disruption and neurological deficits in stroke. <i>Free Radic Biol Med</i> 2013;65:1012–22. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2013.08.190 44 Sharma V, McNeill JH. To scale or not to scale: The principles of dose extrapolation. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2009. doi:10.1111/j.1476-5381.2009.00267.x 45 Reagan-Shaw S, Nihal M, Ahmad N. Dose translation from animal to human studies revisited. <i>FASEB J</i> Published Online First: 2007. doi:10.1096/fj.07-9574LSF 46 Clarke JD, Hsu A, Williams DE, <i>et al.</i> Metabolism and tissue distribution of sulforaphane in Nrf2 knockout and wild-type mice. <i>Pharm Res</i> 2011;28:3171–9. doi:10.1007/s11095-011-0500-z 47 Jazwa A, Rojo AI, Innamorato NG, <i>et al.</i> Pharmacological Targeting of the Transcription Factor Nrf2 at the Basal Ganglia Provides Disease Modifying Therapy for Experimental 	39	Fisher CM, Kistler JP, Davis JM. Relation of cerebral vasospasm to subarachnoid hemorrhage visualized by computerized tomographic scanning. <i>Neurosurgery</i> 1980; 6 :1–
 Zhao J, Kobori N, Aronowski J, et al. Sulforaphane reduces infarct volume following focal cerebral ischemia in rodents. <i>Neurosci Lett</i> 2006;393:108–12. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2005.09.065 Zhao X, Sun G, Zhang J, et al. Transcription factor Nrf2 protects the brain from damage produced by intracerebral hemorrhage. <i>Stroke</i> 2007;38:3280–6. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.486506 Alfieri A, Srivastava S, Siow RCM, et al. Sulforaphane preconditioning of the Nrf2/HO-1 defense pathway protects the cerebral vasculature against blood-brain barrier disruption and neurological deficits in stroke. <i>Free Radic Biol Med</i> 2013;65:1012– 22. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2013.08.190 Sharma V, McNeill JH. To scale or not to scale: The principles of dose extrapolation. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2009. doi:10.1111/j.1476- 5381.2009.00267.x Reagan-Shaw S, Nihal M, Ahmad N. Dose translation from animal to human studies revisited. <i>FASEB J</i> Published Online First: 2007. doi:10.1096/fj.07-9574LSF Clarke JD, Hsu A, Williams DE, et al. Metabolism and tissue distribution of sulforaphane in Nrf2 knockout and wild-type mice. <i>Pharm Res</i> 2011;28:3171–9. doi:10.1007/s11095-011-0500-z Jazwa A, Rojo AI, Innamorato NG, et al. Pharmacological Targeting of the Transcription Factor Nrf2 at the Basal Ganglia Provides Disease Modifying Therapy for Experimental 	40	Naidech AM, Janjua N, Kreiter KT, <i>et al.</i> Predictors and impact of aneurysm rebleeding after subarachnoid hemorrhage. <i>Arch Neurol</i> 2005: 62 :410–6 doi:10.1001/archneur.62.3.410
 42 Zhao X, Sun G, Zhang J, <i>et al.</i> Transcription factor Nrf2 protects the brain from damage produced by intracerebral hemorrhage. <i>Stroke</i> 2007;38:3280–6. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.486506 43 Alfieri A, Srivastava S, Siow RCM, <i>et al.</i> Sulforaphane preconditioning of the Nrf2/HO-1 defense pathway protects the cerebral vasculature against blood-brain barrier disruption and neurological deficits in stroke. <i>Free Radic Biol Med</i> 2013;65:1012– 22. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2013.08.190 44 Sharma V, McNeill JH. To scale or not to scale: The principles of dose extrapolation. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2009. doi:10.1111/j.1476- 5381.2009.00267.x 45 Reagan-Shaw S, Nihal M, Ahmad N. Dose translation from animal to human studies revisited. <i>FASEB J</i> Published Online First: 2007. doi:10.1096/fj.07-9574LSF 46 Clarke JD, Hsu A, Williams DE, <i>et al.</i> Metabolism and tissue distribution of sulforaphane in Nrf2 knockout and wild-type mice. <i>Pharm Res</i> 2011;28:3171–9. doi:10.1007/s11095-011-0500-z 47 Jazwa A, Rojo AI, Innamorato NG, <i>et al.</i> Pharmacological Targeting of the Transcription Factor Nrf2 at the Basal Ganglia Provides Disease Modifying Therapy for Experimental 	41	Zhao J, Kobori N, Aronowski J, <i>et al.</i> Sulforaphane reduces infarct volume following focal cerebral ischemia in rodents. <i>Neurosci Lett</i> 2006; 393 :108–12. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2005.09.065
 43 Alfieri A, Srivastava S, Siow RCM, <i>et al.</i> Sulforaphane preconditioning of the Nrf2/HO-1 defense pathway protects the cerebral vasculature against blood-brain barrier disruption and neurological deficits in stroke. <i>Free Radic Biol Med</i> 2013;65:1012– 22. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2013.08.190 44 Sharma V, McNeill JH. To scale or not to scale: The principles of dose extrapolation. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2009. doi:10.1111/j.1476- 5381.2009.00267.x 45 Reagan-Shaw S, Nihal M, Ahmad N. Dose translation from animal to human studies revisited. <i>FASEB J</i> Published Online First: 2007. doi:10.1096/fj.07-9574LSF 46 Clarke JD, Hsu A, Williams DE, <i>et al.</i> Metabolism and tissue distribution of sulforaphane in Nrf2 knockout and wild-type mice. <i>Pharm Res</i> 2011;28:3171–9. doi:10.1007/s11095-011-0500-z 47 Jazwa A, Rojo AI, Innamorato NG, <i>et al.</i> Pharmacological Targeting of the Transcription Factor Nrf2 at the Basal Ganglia Provides Disease Modifying Therapy for Experimental 	42	Zhao X, Sun G, Zhang J, <i>et al.</i> Transcription factor Nrf2 protects the brain from damage produced by intracerebral hemorrhage. <i>Stroke</i> 2007; 38 :3280–6. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.486506
 44 Sharma V, McNeill JH. To scale or not to scale: The principles of dose extrapolation. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2009. doi:10.1111/j.1476-5381.2009.00267.x 45 Reagan-Shaw S, Nihal M, Ahmad N. Dose translation from animal to human studies revisited. <i>FASEB J</i> Published Online First: 2007. doi:10.1096/fj.07-9574LSF 46 Clarke JD, Hsu A, Williams DE, <i>et al.</i> Metabolism and tissue distribution of sulforaphane in Nrf2 knockout and wild-type mice. <i>Pharm Res</i> 2011;28:3171–9. doi:10.1007/s11095-011-0500-z 47 Jazwa A, Rojo AI, Innamorato NG, <i>et al.</i> Pharmacological Targeting of the Transcription Factor Nrf2 at the Basal Ganglia Provides Disease Modifying Therapy for Experimental 	43	Alfieri A, Srivastava S, Siow RCM, <i>et al.</i> Sulforaphane preconditioning of the Nrf2/HO-1 defense pathway protects the cerebral vasculature against blood-brain barrier disruption and neurological deficits in stroke. <i>Free Radic Biol Med</i> 2013; 65 :1012– 22. doi:10.1016/i.freeradbiomed.2013.08.190
 45 Reagan-Shaw S, Nihal M, Ahmad N. Dose translation from animal to human studies revisited. <i>FASEB J</i> Published Online First: 2007. doi:10.1096/fj.07-9574LSF 46 Clarke JD, Hsu A, Williams DE, <i>et al.</i> Metabolism and tissue distribution of sulforaphane in Nrf2 knockout and wild-type mice. <i>Pharm Res</i> 2011;28:3171–9. doi:10.1007/s11095-011-0500-z 47 Jazwa A, Rojo AI, Innamorato NG, <i>et al.</i> Pharmacological Targeting of the Transcription Factor Nrf2 at the Basal Ganglia Provides Disease Modifying Therapy for Experimental 	44	Sharma V, McNeill JH. To scale or not to scale: The principles of dose extrapolation. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2009. doi:10.1111/j.1476-5381.2009.00267 x
 46 Clarke JD, Hsu A, Williams DE, <i>et al.</i> Metabolism and tissue distribution of sulforaphane in Nrf2 knockout and wild-type mice. <i>Pharm Res</i> 2011;28:3171–9. doi:10.1007/s11095-011-0500-z 47 Jazwa A, Rojo AI, Innamorato NG, <i>et al.</i> Pharmacological Targeting of the Transcription Factor Nrf2 at the Basal Ganglia Provides Disease Modifying Therapy for Experimental 	45	Reagan-Shaw S, Nihal M, Ahmad N. Dose translation from animal to human studies revisited. <i>FASEB J</i> Published Online First: 2007. doi:10.1096/fi.07-9574LSF
 47 Jazwa A, Rojo AI, Innamorato NG, <i>et al.</i> Pharmacological Targeting of the Transcription Factor Nrf2 at the Basal Ganglia Provides Disease Modifying Therapy for Experimental 	46	Clarke JD, Hsu A, Williams DE, <i>et al.</i> Metabolism and tissue distribution of sulforaphane in Nrf2 knockout and wild-type mice.
	47	Jazwa A, Rojo AI, Innamorato NG, <i>et al.</i> Pharmacological Targeting of the Transcription Factor Nrf2 at the Basal Ganglia Provides Disease Modifying Therapy for Experimental

	Parkinsonism. <i>Antioxid Redox Signal</i> 2011; 14 :2347–60. doi:10.1089/ars.2010.3731
48	Kumar G, Shahripour RB, Harrigan MR. Vasospasm on transcranial Doppler is predictive of delayed cerebral ischemia in aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: a systematic review and meta-analysis. 2016: 124 :1257–64. doi:10.3171/2015.4.JNS15428.
49	Tam AKH, Ilodigwe D, Li Z, <i>et al.</i> Global cerebral atrophy after subarachnoid hemorrhage: a possible marker of acute brain injury and assessment of its impact on outcome. <i>Acta Neurochir Suppl</i> Published Online First: 2013. doi:10.1007/978-3-7091-1192-5.5
50	Campbell N, Verschuur C, Mitchell S, <i>et al.</i> Hearing impairment after subarachnoid haemorrhage. <i>Ann Clin Transl Neurol</i> 2018; In press. doi:10.1002/acn3.714
51	Vergouwen MDI, Vermeulen M, van Gijn J, <i>et al.</i> Definition of delayed cerebral ischemia after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage as an outcome event in clinical trials and observational studies: proposal of a multidisciplinary research group. <i>Stroke</i> 2010; 41 :2391–5. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.589275
52	Alaraj A, Hussein AE, Esfahani DR, <i>et al.</i> Reducing length of stay in aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: A three year institutional experience. <i>J Clin Neurosci</i> 2017; 42 :66–70. doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2017.03.049
53	Teasdale GM, Drake CG, Hunt W, <i>et al.</i> A universal subarachnoid haemorrhage scale: report of a committee of the world federation of Neurosurgical societies. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry. 1988. doi:10.1136/innp.51.11.1457
54	Jaja BNR, Saposnik G, Lingsma HF, <i>et al.</i> Development and validation of outcome prediction models for aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage: The SAHIT multinational cohort study. <i>BMJ</i> Published Online First: 2018. doi:10.1136/bmj.j5745

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

A study protocol for SFX-01 After Subarachnoid haemorrhage (SAS): A multi-centre randomised doubleblinded, placebo controlled trial

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-028514.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	23-May-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Zolnourian, Ardalan; University of Southampton, Clinical Neurosceince; University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Galea, Ian; University of Southampton Faculty of Medicine, Experimental Neurology Bulters, Diederik ; University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust
Primary Subject Heading :	Surgery
Secondary Subject Heading:	Medical management, Evidence based practice, Neurology, Surgery, Pharmacology and therapeutics
Keywords:	Randomised controlled trial, Subarachnoid haemorrhage, Nrf2, Sulforaphane, Delayed cerebral ischaemia





I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

reliez oni

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

1		
2		
3	1	A study protocol for SFX-01 After Subarachnoid haemorrhage
4 5	2	(SAS): A multi-centre randomised double-blinded, placebo
6	3	controlled trial
7	2 4	
8	т 5	
9 10	5	Data and warrison 16th Mars 2018 Varian 7
10	07	Date and version: 16 th May 2018, version /
12	/	Ardelen Zelneurienth Ian Celest* Diederik Bulterath*
13	0	Aluaian Zomourian", ian Galea", Dieuenk Builers",
14	9 10	^a Department of Neurosurgery, University Hegnital Southempton, Tramona Poad
15	10	Southampton, SO16 6VD
16	11	Southampton, SOTO OTD
17	12	^b Clinical Neurosciences, Clinical and Experimental Sciences, Eaculty of Medicine
19	13	University of Southampton, Tremona Road, Southampton, SO16 6VD
20	14	University of Southampton, Tremona Road, Southampton, SOTO OTD
21	15	* Joint senior authors
22	10	Joint Senior autions
23	18	
24 25	10	
26	20	
27	20	Ardalan Zolnourian: Principal investigator, University Hospital Southampton
28	21	A datan Zomourian. Timeipur mvesugator, Omversity Hospitar Southampton
29	22	Ian Galea: Co-investigator, University of Southampton
30	23	Tan Galea: eo-mvestigator, oniversity of Southampton
31 22	24	Diederik Bulters: Chief investigator, University Hospital Southampton
33	25	Diederik Duiters. einer investigator, einversity riospital Southampton
34	20	Statistician: David Stone
35	28	
36	20	Clinical trials coordinating centre: The Clinical Trials Company Team
37	$\frac{2}{30}$	ennieur trais coordinating centre.
38 30	31	Steering committee: Diederik Bulters, Thomas Morris
40	32	
41	33	Corresponding author: dbulters@nhs.net
42	34	
43	35	
44	36	
45 46	37	
47	38	
48	39	
49	40	
50	41	
51	42	
52 53	43	
54	44	
55	45	
56	46	
57	47	
58	/2	
27 60	40	
00	49	

<u>Abstract</u>

Introduction

Methods and analysis

volume.

Subarachnoid haemorrhage from a ruptured cerebral aneurysm carries high morbidity and mortality. Despite huge advances in techniques to secure the aneurysm, there has been little progress in the treatment of the deleterious effects of the haemorrhage.

Sulforaphane is an Nrf2 inducer with anti-oxidant and anti-inflammatory properties. It has been shown to improve clinical outcome in experimental models of SAH, but is unstable. SFX-01 (Evgen Pharma) is a novel composition comprised of synthetic sulforaphane stabilised within an α -cyclodextrin complex. On ingestion, the complex releases sulforaphane making SFX-01 an ideal vehicle for delivery of sulforaphane.

The objective of the study is to assess the safety, pharmacokinetics and efficacy of SFX-01. This is a prospective, multi-centre, randomised, double-blind placebocontrolled trial in patients aged 18-80 years with aneurysmal subarachnoid

01 (300mg) or placebo twice-daily for up to 28 days.

will be measured by transcranial Doppler ultrasound.

be primary outcomes and all others secondary.

Trial registration number: NCT02614742 ; Pre-results

Ethics and dissemination

Safety will be assessed using blood tests and adverse event reporting.

haemorrhage in the previous 48 hours. 90 patients will be randomised to receive SFX-

Pharmacokinetics will be assessed based on paired blood and CSF sulforaphane levels

Clinical outcomes will be assessed at days 28, 90 and 180 with mRS, GOSE, SAHOT,

susceptibility mapping and volumetric T1 will measure iron deposition and cortical

Safety, CSF sulforaphane concentration and middle cerebral artery flow velocity will

on day seven. A subgroup will have hourly samples taken during six hours postdosing on days one and seven. Pharmacodynamics will be assessed by haptoglobin and malondialdehyde levels, and maximum flow velocity of middle cerebral artery

SF-36, BICRO-39 and CLCE-24. MRI at six months including quantitative

Ethical approval was obtained from South Central Hampshire A committee. Outcomes of the trial will be submitted for publication in a peer reviewed journal.

1 2	
3	50
4 5	51
6 7	52
8	53 54
9 10	55
11	56 57
12 13	58
14	59
15 16	60 61
17 19	62
18 19	63
20 21	64 65
21	66
23 24	67
25	68 69
26 27	70
28	71
29 30	72
31 22	74
32 33	75
34 35	70 77
36	78
37 38	79
39	80
40 41	82
42	83 84
43 44	85
45 46	86
40 47	87
48 49	88
50	89
51 52	90 91
53	02
54 55	92
56 57	93
58	94
59 60	95

96 Strengths and limitations of this study

- A strength of this study is that it tests a new class of drug not previously used
 after human SAH.
- 99 It is at low risk of bias due to placebo control and appropriate blinding.
- The study design includes multiple mechanistic outcomes to give deeper understanding of any clinical findings.
- The study includes multiple novel outcome measures and MRI sequences.
 While these may provide new insights, some are exploratory due to limited
 experience with them in SAH to date.
- It is a multicentre trial, and its results should be generalizable to patients
 with high volume SAH in neurosurgical units in the UK, although due to the
 complexity of its design, it has been limited to three centres to ensure high
 quality outcome measurement.

110 Introduction

Spontaneous Subarachnoid Haemorrhage (SAH) is a devastating cerebrovascular
injury with an incidence of 9.1 per 100,000 population [1]. It affects around 7000
patients in the UK annually. Around 85% are due to ruptured intracranial aneurysms
[2]. The incidence is age related peaking at 52 years. SAH carries a high overall
mortality rate of up to 67% [3], and only half of the survivors are able to live
independently [4]. It therefore has a high burden on society due to the loss of
productivity and resources [5].

119 Conventionally following SAH, treatment is primarily directed to securing the 120 aneurysm and prevent further re-bleeding. This however does nothing to ameliorate 121 the morbidity and mortality due to the haemorrhage. The only approved treatment is 122 nimodipine [6]. However, its effects are small and poor outcome remains a significant 123 problem [7]. Moreover, even in survivors considered to have made a good recovery, 124 neurocognitive deficits are common leading to extensive problems with social 125 reintegration and functioning in the workplace [5].

The mechanism of injury following SAH is multifactorial[8]. Early brain injury (EBI) refers to the processes occurring within the first 72 hours which include blood-brain barrier (BBB) dysfunction [9], cerebral oedema [10][11], neuronal cell death [12], altered ionic homeostasis, excitotoxicity, thrombin activation [13], vascular integrity degradation [14], oxidative stress [15], and inflammation [16]. However, despite the terminology, mechanisms such as oxidative stress and inflammation are not limited to this early period. They continue to worsen beyond the first three days, at the same time as CSF free haemoglobin (Hb) concentration rises markedly as it is released form the clot and mechanisms to dispose of Hb are saturated. It is also in this delayed phase when cerebral vasospasm occurs, affecting both micro- [17] and microvasculature [18].

Sulforaphane (SFN) is known to upregulate the nuclear factor erythroid 2-related
factor 2 (Nrf2) pathway. Nrf2 is a redox-sensitive transcription factor that binds to a
specific DNA site, the anti-oxidant response element, upstream of genes encoding
detoxifying and anti-oxidant enzymes [19] [20]. Some of these enzymes include

1		
2		
3	143	glutathione S-transferases (GSTs), NAD(P)H-quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1) and
4	144	haem oxygenase 1 (HO-1) [19]. During physiological conditions Nrf2 is bound to the
5	145	Kelch-like ECH associated protein 1 (KEAP1) in the cytoplasm. In response to stress
6 7	146	such as SAH Nrf2 is released from KEAP1 and then translocates to the nucleus
/	140	loading to anhanced gone transcription [21]
8 0	14/	leading to enhanced gene transcription [21].
9 10	148	
10	149	Nrf2 also upregulates haptoglobin (Hp), an acute phase glycoprotein found in plasma
17	150	[22], as well as cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) [23]. Hp is part of an important Hb
12	151	scavenging pathway after SAH. It binds tightly to free Hb, and this Hb-Hp complex is
14	152	taken up by CD163-positive macrophages [24]. This pathway is saturated after SAH
15	153	[23] and upregulation of Hp represents a possible therapeutic avenue [25]. Nrf2 also
16	154	regulates degradation of red blood cells, and metabolism of haem and iron through
17	155	transcriptional upregulation of CD36 [26] haemonexin [27] HO-1 [28] and ferritin
18	156	[20]
19	157	
20	157	Nut? is supressed in the central nervous system (CNS) and is upressulated in response
21	138	N12 is expressed in the central nervous system (CNS) and is upregulated in response
22	159	to inflammation and cerebral insults [30]. Nrf2 knockout is associated with a more
23	160	pronounced inflammatory response in vitro [31] and in vivo [32], and the increased
24	161	inflammatory response is associated with more brain oedema, cell death and poorer
25	162	neurological recovery [33]. SFN increases HO-1, NQO1, and GST- α 1 levels and
26	163	reduces IL-1 β , IL-6, and TNF- α [34]. It leads to a reduction in vasospasm and
27	164	improves neurological recovery [32] [35].
28	165	
29	166	SFN has a relatively short half-life rendering it impractical for clinical use SFX-01
30 21	167	(Evgen Pharma) is a novel new agent comprising SEN complexed with a cyclodevtrin
37	169	which is suitable for clinical use. On ingestion SEN is released from the a
32	100	avaladaytain and is an affective method to deliver SEN. In two Dhage Litrials
34	109	Cyclodextilli and is an effective method to deriver SFN. In two Phase I trials
35	1/0	(NC101948362, NC102055716) no serious adverse events were reported in healthy
36	171	volunteers. Here we describe the protocol for a phase II trial of SFX-01 in patients
37	172	who have suffered a SAH.
38	173	
39	174	Objectives
40	175	
41	176	The objective of the study is to assess the safety pharmacelyinatics and office as of
42	170	SEX 01
43	1//	5FA-01.
44	178	
45	179	Methods
40 47	180	
47 79	181	Trial design
40 70	101	
4 9 50	182	
51	183	This is a prospective, double-blind, parallel group, randomised controlled trial
52	184	comparing SFX-01 (300 mg) taken orally as capsules or as a suspension via a
53	185	nasogastric tube (NG) twice-daily for up to 28 days versus placebo in patients with
54	186	SAH within 48 hours of enrolment.
55	187	
56	188	The treatment window of 48 hours was selected as the best compromise between
57	189	competing factors SFX-01 has pleiotropic actions against multiple mechanisms each
58	100	with different temporal profiles. Since SAH is an acute unpredictable event the
59	101	artifications would be able to start treatment is seen after jetus, on admission into
60	171	carriest one would be able to start treatment is soon after ictus, on admission into

hospital. This would give optimal protection against early brain injury. Initiating treatment would still be justified up to 72 hours post-ictus, after which delayed cerebral ischaemia (DCI) occurs, such that a delay of more than 72 hours would be expected to undermine treatment efficacy. Within the initial 72 hours, earlier treatment would allow more time for Nrf2 pathway activation and expression of its transcriptome to protect against delayed events, as well as provide more opportunity for SFX-01 to act against early brain injury. On the other hand the earlier one stipulates treatment would start, the more patients one will exclude from the study. No animal studies have been conducted to investigate the timing of sulforaphane administration, and even if available, extrapolation of timing from animal models has its limitations due to the much quicker clot resorption in rodents. After considering all these factors, it was decided to start SFX-01 treatment at the earliest possible opportunity after SAH, yet still allow patients to be included if their presentation was delayed to some extent, to ensure generalizability to real clinical practice where delays in admission to tertiary centres are not uncommon. An audit of the lead study centre showed that most patients are admitted within 48 hours, leading to the adoption of SAH within 48 hours as the inclusion criterion, striking the best compromise between the practicality of recruitment and the need to start treatment early. Detailed pharmacovigilance will inform the safety of SFX-01. Pharmacokinetics will be determined by measuring levels of SFN and its metabolites in CSF and blood at day seven in all patients; a more detailed profile will be obtained in a subgroup of up to 12 patients who will have hourly blood and CSF samples for six hours after dosing on days one and seven. Pharmacodynamics will be assessed with blood Hp and malondialdehyde (MDA) levels, and middle cerebral artery (MCA) flow velocity as measured on transcranial Doppler (TCD) ultrasound as an estimate of large cerebral

artery spasm. Longer term outcome will be assessed using validated outcome scales, at day 28 using Modified Rankin Scale (mRS) ([36] [37] [38], Glasgow Outcome Score (GOSE) [39][40] and the SAH Outcome Tool (SAHOT) [41] as well as at day 90 and 180 using the mRS, GOSE, SAHOT, Brain Injury Community Rehabilitation Outcome Scales (BICRO-39) [42] and the Check List for Cognitive and Emotional consequences following stroke (CLCE-24) [43].

Patient and public involvement

The overall design of the study including planned investigations i.e. lumbar puncture (LP), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), blood tests, outcome questionnaires, the treatment methods and the consent procedures were discussed in a local SAH support group consisting of individuals with a previous history of SAH as well as their relatives. The meeting was led by the chief investigator, a consultant neurovascular surgeon, and the neurovascular specialist nurse who are normally the main point of contact for SAH patients. The results were used to inform planning of the trial. Particular attention was given to the study lumbar puncture which was felt to be justified on the grounds that the majority of patients will undergo CSF diversion for clinical reasons anyway, and evidence from a randomised controlled trial that CSF diversion in all Fisher 3&4 patients causes no harm and appears to provide short term symptomatic benefit [44]. The meeting was also beneficial in shaping and improving the patient information sheet and consent forms.

Study setting

2		
3	242	
4	2/2	This is a multicentre study conducted in regional neurosciences centres with specialist
5	243	any ison to treat an augurant SAIL Definite will be identified at referral to the
6	244	services to treat aneurysmar SAH. Patients will be identified at referrar to the
/	245	admitting neurosurgical or neurointensive care units. After informed consent, dosing
8	246	and study interventions will occur in the neurosciences centre until patients are
9	247	discharged to either home or their local hospitals. There are three recruiting centres;
10	248	University Hospital Southampton, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh and the Royal
11	249	London Hospital. Upon discharge, follow-up may take place in clinic, or at visits to
12	250	district general hospitals and rehabilitation centres, or patients' residences.
13	251	
15	252	Fligibility Critoria
16	252	Englointy Criteria
17	255	x x x x
18	254	Inclusion criteria
19	255	
20	256	1. Patients with radiological evidence of spontaneous aneurysmal SAH
21	257	2. Fisher grade 3 or 4 on CT
22	258	3. Definitive treatment of aneurysm has not been ruled out
23	259	4 Previously living independently
24 25	257	5. In the opinion of the investigator, the delay from joins to randomization and
25	200	
20	261	initiation of trial medication will not exceed 48 nours
28	262	6. Aged 18 to 80 years
29	263	7. In the opinion of the investigator it will be possible to obtain Informed Consent
30	264	from the Patient, Personal Legal Representative or Professional Legal
31	265	representative within 24 hours of first dose
32	266	
33	267	Exclusion criteria
34	268	
35	260	1 Traumatic SAH
36	20)	2 Fisher grade 1 or 2
3/ 20	270	2. SAU diagnosed on LD with no avidance of blood on CT
30	271	A. Desigion not to treat anouncem has been made
40	272	4. Decision not to treat aneurysm has been made
41	273	5. Plan to withdraw treatment
42	274	6. Significant kidney disease as defined as plasma creatinine $\geq 2.5 \text{ mg/dL}$ (221
43	275	µmol/l)
44	276	7. Liver disease as defined as total bilirubin ≥ 2 -fold the upper limit of normal, as
45	277	measured by the local laboratory
46	278	8. Females who are pregnant or lactating
47	279	9. Participants enrolled in another interventional research trial in the last 30 days
48	280	10. Patients for whom it is known, at the time of screening, that clinical follow-up will
49	281	not be feasible
50	282	11. Patients unwilling to use two forms of contraception (one of which being a barrier
51 52	283	method) for 90 days (men) or 30 days (women) after the last trial medication dose
52	284	12 Known hypersensitivity to any component of a SEN containing product including
54	285	hroccoli
55	205	01000011
56	200 207	Descriptment will be limited to Fisher grade 2 and 4 SAU. These notice to represent the
57	20/	recontinuent will be infinited to risher grade 5 and 4 SAH. These patients represent the
58	288	majority of aneurysmal SAH. They have a higher volume of haemorrhage with a
59	289	poorer outcome and more delayed neurological deficits [45]. They are therefore
60	290	mechanistically and clinically expected to derive greatest benefit from SFX-01.

2	
2	
1	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
a	
10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22	
25	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
26	
30	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
12	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
10	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
54	
22	
56	

291 292 Inclusion of patients with unsecured aneurysms would risk a high incidence of 293 rebleeding in the study. Rebleeding is associated with exceedingly bad outcomes [46], 294 masking any effect from SFX-01. However, since not all aneurysms are secured 295 within 48 hours, there will be no requirement for the aneurysm to have been secured 296 prior to enrolment. Instead patients in whom treatment of the aneurysm has been ruled 297 out due to poor clinical status will be excluded. 298

Although there are no known risks to kidney or liver, due to the relative inexperience with SFX-01 in humans, patients with liver or kidney problems will be excluded.

302 Intervention

299

300

301

303

304 Trial medication

305 306 SFX-01 (active 300 mg capsule) or placebo (α -cyclodextrin only capsule) will be 307 taken orally or as a suspension via a nasogastric tube (NG) twice daily for up to 28 days. Pharmagra manufacture the active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) in North 308 309 Carolina, US. The API is then encapsulated at Quotient in Reading, UK. The API 310 manufacture has been audited by the FDA and Evgen pharma. SFX-01 and placebo 311 capsules as well as their contents will be identical in appearance. They will be stored 312 at 2-8 degrees Celsius.

313

314 Animal studies in ischaemic stroke, intracerebral haemorrhage and SAH have all used 315 5 mg/kg dose of SFN in rodents [32] [47] [48] [49]. Conversion of animal doses to 316 humans using body surface area, as has been widely recommended [50] [51], yields a 317 human dose of 50 mg SFN. This is equivalent to 300 mg of SFX-01 containing 46.15 318 mg of SFN. In the clinical studies conducted to date, SFX-01 has been shown to be 319 well tolerated at doses of 600mg once daily and 300mg twice daily with no serious 320 adverse effects. Therefore, no further dose ranging studies were performed.

321

322 **De-escalation from the trial regimen** 323

324 In the event of tolerability problems whilst the patient is in the neurosurgical centre, 325 the Investigator will assess whether simple measures to ease the effects of the adverse 326 event(s) may be implemented (i.e. antacid in the case of GI irritation or anti-emetic in 327 the event of nausea).

328 The investigator will also assess whether or not the adverse event(s) could be related 329 to the trial medication and severe enough to warrant a dose frequency reduction. In 330 the first instance the investigator may consider missing one dose. If a dose frequency 331 reduction is warranted, from that point onwards the second dose of the day will be

332 omitted; a dose frequency increase back to twice daily will not be permitted.

333 334 If tolerability problems continue then the investigational medication will be stopped; 335 patients will continue in the study and complete the study visits. The staged dose 336 frequency de-escalation (dropping to once daily) will not be carried out after discharge from the neurosurgical centre; if tolerability problems occur after discharge, 337 57 338 medication will be stopped; patients will continue in the study and complete the study 58 339 visits in accordance with the schedule of assessments. 59 340

341 Treatment compliance

342
343 Compliance with treatment will be recorded during the inpatient hospital stay by
344 health care professionals and/or a member of the research team. On discharge to the
345 usual residence, responsibility for this will be transferred to the patient or their
346 Personal Legal Representative, aided by detailed instructions. In the event of
347 discharge to a rehabilitation unit or patient local hospital, written instructions will be
348 provided on discharge and verbal communication with the clinical team will ensure
349 compliance.

All patients will be discharged with a patient diary which will be filled in and
collected at day 28. Compliance will be further monitored by drug reconciliation.
Patients will be asked to return the medication bottle and any residual contents at the
day 28 visit. At this time any residual tablets will be counted and recorded.

356 Concomitant treatment357

Primary end-points

There are no known drug interactions, and participation in the trial will not alter
routine treatment of SAH. Participation in other interventional research studies will
not be allowed until after the last follow up visit.

Outcomes

30 364 31 365

366 Safety

To evaluate the safety of up to 28 days of SFX-01 dosed at up to an equivalent of
92mg SFN per day.

Prior to the start of this study, the trial medication had only been used in healthy individuals and not in a patient population. Therefore safety will be one of the main objectives of this study. This will be evaluated through routine tests (full blood count, urea and electrolytes, coagulation screen, liver function tests, and urine microscopy) at baseline, post-dose, day seven and day 28 as well as close monitoring of patients for any side-effects or adverse events. Adverse events will be coded following MEDDRA and followed up until resolution and graded for severity. Incidence of dose de-escalation or discontinuation will also be reported.

- **Pharmacokinetic**
 - 382 To detect the presence of SFN and its metabolites in CSF and blood.

Animal models have shown that SFN crosses the blood-brain barrier and can therefore be detected in the brain [52] [53] There is some variation in the levels achieved in these studies, and it has not been studied in humans. All patients will have a paired CSF/blood sample taken at seven days post-ictus. This will be via a LP unless the patient has an external ventricular drain (EVD) for their clinical care in which case it will be obtained from the EVD. In addition, up to 12 patients with an EVD will be asked to consent to hourly CSF and blood samples for six hours after dosing on days one and seven. Hourly CSF sampling will be performed by trained study personnel
using a bespoke sterile closed cascade of syringes so that the EVD line is accessed
directly only once to reduce the risk of infection. Sample collection and processing
are detailed in specific study operating procedures.

*Vasospasm*397

To determine if a minimum of seven days treatment with SFX-01 reduces MCA peak flow velocity following SAH.

TCD ultrasound will be used to measure the MCA, ICA and ECA maximum flow velocity and the Lindegaard ratio will be calculated. TCDs will be performed on alternate daily basis including at baseline. They will be performed for at least seven days or until no longer clinically indicated. Blood flow velocity is measured in cm/second and is inversely related to the luminal diameter of the vessel. The greater this value the more likely the degree of narrowing or spasm in the vessel. It has a good predictive value for DCI. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis, which pooled data from 2870 patients, showed a sensitivity of 90%, specificity of 71%, and negative and positive predictive values of 92% and 57% respectively [54].

- 411 Secondary end-points
- 413 Pharmacodynamic

CSF and blood – Haptoglobin and Malondialdehyde

Hp represents a major Hb detoxification pathway and is upregulated by Nrf2. MDA is a measure of oxidative stress. Hp and MDA will be measured in blood at baseline, day seven and day 28, and in CSF on day seven. A local audit at the main study neurosurgical centre showed that approximately 1/3 of patients have an EVD sited as part of their routine clinical care to treat hydrocephalus. In these patients additional samples will be obtained. This will allow investigation of the temporal profile of Hp level and oxidative stress. Additionally, there will be exploratory investigations using proteomics, transcriptomics and genomics using CSF and blood samples.

MRI – iron and brain volume

All patients will have MRI 180 days after SAH. Brain volume on T1 sequences will
be measured, since this has been shown to correlate with outcome [55]. Cortical iron
content will be assessed using quantitative susceptibility mapping after susceptibility
weighted MR imaging, which predominantly measures siderotic iron deposits [56].
Iron is a major component of Hb, and it is unknown what effects SFX-01, SFN or
increased Hp binding of Hb may have on the downstream iron pathway.

Clinical outcome

mRS at seven days, discharge, 28, 90 and 180 days.
Incidence of DCI defined as a new focal deficit or reduction in GCS (by two points or more) if not explained by other causes (i.e. re-bleed, hydrocephalus, seizure, meningitis, sepsis or hyponatraemia) [57]

GOSE

SAHOT

SF-36

442	 Incidence of new cerebral infarct on C1 of MRI Institution of hypertensive therapy for presumed DCI 										
443	 SF-36 quality of life survey at 28 90 & 180 days 										
444	• CLCE-24 and B	 CI CE-24 and BICRO-39 at 90 & 180 days 									
445	 SAHOT and GC 	 CLCE-24 and DICKO-39 at 90 & 100 days SAHOT and GOSE at 28 90 & 180 days 									
446	Length of acute	hospital s	stav								
447	 Discharge destir 	nation	jedy								
148	bisenarge destri	lution									
449	A number of measures	of efficac	v have h	een selected re	eflectin	g the most co	ommon				
450	stroke outcome assessm	ent (mRS	S) the m	ost common b	rain ini	urv assessme	ent				
451	(GOSE) the most com	non quali	ty of life	e survey (SF-3)	6) and 1	the only SAF	H specif	ĩc			
452	outcome tool [41] to de	termine t	he most	sensitive tool a	and mal	ke estimates	of effec	et			
453	size SAHOT includes f	56 items of	lealing v	with cognitive	physic	al and	01 01100				
154	behavioural/psychologi	cal conse	quences	of SAH devel	oped in	n a SAH foci	is groun	n hv			
455	patients and experts in t	he field '	This out	come tool has	been va	alidated and	propose	ed			
456	as a more sensitive and	responsiv	ve tool ir	SAH [41]. A	l these	tools will be	; ;				
457	administered by researc	h nurses	or doctor	rs trained in m	RS and	GOSE in pe	erson. o	r in			
458	the event this is not feas	sible, by r	ohone.			F	, , .				
459											
460	A number of short term	patient o	utcomes	related to the	incider	ice of DCI and	re inclu	ded			
461	a priori such as inciden	ce of infa	rction as	adjudicated b	v a blir	nded consult	ant				
162	neuroradiologist (with h	acolino (a priori such as incidence of infarction as adjudicated by a blinded consultant								
104	neuroradiologist (with baseline C1 and follow up MKI), and institution of										
163	hypertensive therapy. S	everal sir	nple out	ollow up MRI) comes includir	, and ir	stitution of the of stav and	d discha	irge			
463 464	hypertensive therapy. S destination that have be	everal sir en associ	nple outo ated with	ollow up MRI) comes includir 1 outcome [58]	, and ir 1g lengt 1 are als	stitution of th of stay and so included <i>a</i>	d discha <i>priori</i>	irge in			
463 464 465	hypertensive therapy. S destination that have be the event any patients a	everal sir en associ re lost to	nple out ated with	ollow up MRI) comes includir n outcome [58] erm follow up.	, and ir ng lengt] are als	istitution of th of stay and so included <i>c</i>	d discha a priori	inge			
463 464 465 466	hypertensive therapy. S destination that have be the event any patients a	everal sir en associ re lost to	nple out ated with longer te	ollow up MRI) comes includir n outcome [58] erm follow up.	, and ir ng lengt] are als	istitution of th of stay and so included <i>c</i>	d discha a priori	irge in			
463 464 465 466 466	hypertensive therapy. S destination that have be the event any patients as Schedule of assessm	everal sir en associ re lost to nents	nple out ated with longer te	ollow up MRI) comes includir n outcome [58] erm follow up.	, and ir ng leng] are als	istitution of th of stay and so included <i>c</i>	d discha a priori	inge			
463 464 465 466 467 468	hypertensive therapy. S destination that have be the event any patients a Schedule of assessm	everal sir en associ re lost to	and to not a not a not a not to not a not	ollow up MRI) comes includir n outcome [58] erm follow up.	, and ir ng lengt] are als	istitution of th of stay and so included <i>c</i>	d discha a priori	inge			
463 464 465 466 467 468	hearonadiologist (with the hypertensive therapy. So destination that have be the event any patients at Schedule of assession Time points ¹	everal sir en associ re lost to nents D 0-2	D 1-3	ollow up MRI) comes includir n outcome [58] erm follow up. Ongoing	, and ir ng lengt] are als D 7	th of stay and so included <i>c</i>	d discha a priori D 28	nrge in D 90	D 18		
463 464 465 466 467 468	hearonation of the appendix of the event any patients and the event any patients and Schedule of assessm	everal sir en associ re lost to <u>nents</u> D 0-2	D 1-3	Ollow up MRI) comes includir n outcome [58] erm follow up. Ongoing assessment	b, and ir ng lengt] are als D 7 (+/-1)	Discharge	d discha a priori D 28 (-6/+2)	D 90 (+/-14)	D 18 (+/- 28		
463 464 465 466 467 468	hearonationogist (with the hypertensive therapy. So destination that have be the event any patients at Schedule of assessm Time points ¹	everal sir en associ re lost to nents D 0-2	D 1-3 (12-24 post- dose)	Ongoing assessment (Alternate days) ⁶	D 7	Discharge	d discha a priori D 28 (-6/+2)	D 90 (+/-14)	D 18 (+/- 28		
463 464 465 466 467 468	Incurrent of a structure of a struc	everal sir en associ re lost to <u>nents</u> D 0-2	D 1-3 (12-24 post- dose)	Ollow up MRI) comes includir n outcome [58] erm follow up. Ongoing assessment (Alternate days) ⁶ (+/-1)	D 7	Discharge	d discha a priori D 28 (-6/+2)	D 90 (+/-14)	D 18 (+/- 28		
463 464 465 466 467 468	Incurrent of the of the event any patients at Schedule of assess Time points ¹ Study procedures Consent	D 0-2	D 1-3 (12-24 post- dose)	Ongoing assessment (Alternate days) ⁶	D 7 (+/-1)	Discharge	d discha a priori D 28 (-6/+2)	D 90 (+/-14)	D 18 (+/- 28		
463 464 465 466 467 468	Incurrent of a statistic destination of the therapy. So the event any patients at the event at the event any patients at the event at the event any patients at the event at the event at the event any patients at the event	D 0-2	D 1-3 (12-24 post- dose)	Ongoing assessment (Alternate days) ⁶	D 7 (+/-1)	Discharge	d discha a priori D 28 (-6/+2)	D 90 (+/-14)	D 18 (+/- 28		
463 464 465 466 467 468	Incurrent of the of the event any patients at Schedule of assess Time points ¹ Study procedures Consent Inclusion/exclusion WFNS grading	D 0-2	D 1-3 (12-24 post- dose)	Ongoing assessment (Alternate days) ⁶ (+/-1)	D 7 (+/-1)	Discharge	d discha a priori D 28 (-6/+2)	D 90 (+/-14)	D 18 (+/- 28		
463 464 465 466 467 468	Incurrent of the event any patients at the event at the event any patients at the event at	Assentie C everal sir en associ re lost to nents D 0-2 X X X X X X X X X X X X	D 1-3 (12-24 post- dose)	Ongoing assessment (Alternate days) ⁶	D 7 (+/-1)	Discharge	d discha a priori D 28 (-6/+2)	D 90 (+/-14)	D 18 (+/- 28		
163 164 165 166 167 168	Incurrent of a statistic destination of the therapy. So the event any patients at the event	Assentie C everal sir en associ re lost to nents D 0-2 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	D 1-3 (12-24 post- dose)	Ongoing assessment (Alternate days) ⁶ (+/-1)	D 7 (+/-1)	Discharge (-2)	d discha a priori D 28 (-6/+2)	D 90 (+/-14)	D 18 (+/- 28		
463 464 465 466 467 468	Incurrent of the event any patients at the event at	D 0-2 X	D 1-3 (12-24 post- dose)	Ongoing assessment (Alternate days) ⁶ (+/-1)	D 7 (+/-1)	Discharge (-2)	d discha a priori D 28 (-6/+2) X X	D 90 (+/-14)	D 18 (+/- 28		
463 464 465 466 467 468	Inclusion during is the therapy. So destination that have be the event any patients at a second s	Assentie C everal sir en associ re lost to nents D 0-2 X	D 1-3 (12-24 post- dose)	Ongoing assessment (Alternate days) ⁶ (+/-1)	D 7 (+/-1) X X X	Discharge (-2) X X X	d discha a priori D 28 (-6/+2) X X X X	D 90 (+/-14)	D 18 (+/- 28		
463 464 465 466 467 468	Inclusion during is the event any patients at the event at the ev	x x	D 1-3 (12-24 post- dose)	Ongoing assessment (Alternate days) ⁶ (+/-1)	D 7 (+/-1)	Discharge (-2)	d discha a priori D 28 (-6/+2) X X X X	D 90 (+/-14)	D 18 (+/- 28		
463 464 465 466 467 468	Incuroinduction hypertensive therapy. S destination that have be the event any patients at Schedule of assessm Time points ¹ Study procedures Consent Inclusion/exclusion WFNS grading IMP treatment Safety bloods ² Safety urine Lipid profile Coagulation screen TCD readings ³	Assense of everal sir en associ re lost to nents D 0-2 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	D 1-3 (12-24 post- dose)	Ongoing assessment (Alternate days) ⁶ (+/-1)	D 7 (+/-1) X X X X X	Discharge (-2) X X X X	d discha a priori D 28 (-6/+2) X X X X X	D 90 (+/-14)	D 18 (+/- 23		
463 464 465 466 467 468	Incuroinduction hypertensive therapy. S destination that have be the event any patients at Schedule of assessm Time points ¹ Study procedures Consent Inclusion/exclusion WFNS grading IMP treatment Safety bloods ² Safety urine Lipid profile Coagulation screen TCD readings ³ HP, MDA (Blood/CSF) ⁴	X X	D 1-3 (12-24 post- dose)	Ongoing assessment (Alternate days) ⁶ (+/-1) X	D 7 (+/-1) X X X X X X X	Discharge (-2) X X X X	d discha a priori D 28 (-6/+2) X X X X X	D 90 (+/-14)	D 18 (+/- 21		
162 163 164 165 166 167 168	Incuroinduction hypertensive therapy. S destination that have be the event any patients at Schedule of assessm Time points ¹ Study procedures Consent Inclusion/exclusion WFNS grading IMP treatment Safety bloods ² Safety urine Lipid profile Coagulation screen TCD readings ³ HP, MDA (Blood/CSF) ⁴ SFN & metabolites (Blood/CSF) ⁵	Assense of everal sine of everal sine of everal sine energy is a solution of the everal sine of t	D 1-3 (12-24 post- dose)	Ongoing assessment (Alternate days) ⁶ (+/-1) X	D 7 (+/-1) X X X X X X X X X	Discharge (-2)	d discha a priori D 28 (-6/+2) X X X X X	D 90 (+/-14)	D 18 (+/- 23		
163 164 165 166 167 168	Incuroinduction of the event any patients at the event at the eve	Assentie C everal sir en associ re lost to nents D 0-2 X	D 1-3 (12-24 post- dose)	Ongoing assessment (Alternate days) ⁶ (+/-1) X	D 7 (+/-1) X X X X X X X X X	Discharge (-2)	d discha a priori D 28 (-6/+2) X X X X X	D 90 (+/-14)	D 18 (+/- 28		

Х

Х

Х

Χ

Х

Х

Х

Х

Х

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
2 0	
0	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
20	
21	
21	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
52	
57	
54	
55	
50	
5/	
58	
59	

_	BICRO-39						X	Х	
-	CLCE-24						X	Х	
1(0	MRI							Х	
469 470 471 472	 Ictus is defined as the onset of symptoms/haemorrhage and is referred to as day 0. Safety bloods include: Biochemistry: Sodium, Potassium, Urea, Creatinine, Glucose, Calcium, Total Bilirubin, Alkaline Phosphatase, Alanine Transaminase, Albumin, C-Reactive Protein, and Haematology 								
473	including Haemoglobi	n, White Blood Cel	l Count, Neutrophi	ls (Absolute),	Lymphocytes ((Absolute),			
474	Platelets. These will b	e done at least on al	ternate days until n	o longer clini	cally indicated.	·			
475	3- ICDs will be perform least day 7 or where cl	ed at baseline befor	e day 3 and will be	repeated on a	liternate daily b	asis until at			
477 478 479	4- Hp and MDA will be ass will be measured in the C	 4- Hp and MDA will be assayed in both CSF and blood at baseline, where possible i.e if patient has an EVD fitted this will be measured in the CSF and blood at baseline as well as every other day until EVD is removed. All patients will 							
480 481 482	 5- SFN and its metabolites 6- These assessments will b discharge or up to when 	will be measured on da e done on every other it is clinically required	ay 7 in all patient with day basis with a +/- 1	h paired blood a l day window.	and CSF (LP or E They will be carri	VD sample). ed on until			
483 484 485	Sample Size								
486 487 488	No formal sample size c sample size of 90 is base	alculation has ed on the follow	been carried o wing assumption	ut; the pov ons:	ver associat	ed with a			
489 490	• The error probab sided test:	ility for the Ty	pe I error shou	uld not exc	ceed 5% for	a one-			
491 492	• The primary end of a t-test	point will be co	ompared betw	een treatm	ent groups l	by means	•		
493 494	• The mean maxin estimated as 17	num MCA flov 5 cm/s and	v velocity for j	patients tre	eated with S	FX-01 is			
495 496	• The standard dev	viation of maxi	mum MCA flo	ow velocit	y is 50 cm/s				
490 497 498 499 500 501	Under these assumption maximum MCA velocity mean value. The standar mean value.	s 90 patients w y which is appr d deviation wa	ill give 80% p roximately hal as assumed to l	ower to de f of the sta be approxi	etect a differ andard devia mately 30%	rence in ation of the of the	ne		
501 502	<u>Recruitment</u>								
503 504 505 506 507 508	Patients with SAH who be considered for recruit practice. Patients will be admission or daily medi	present to the comment. The inclusion of	clinical centres usion and exc the treating cli	s and meet lusion crite nical team	the above c eria reflect r at the time	riteria wa national of referra	ill al,		
509 510	Assignment of inter	vention							
511 512	Randomisation and blin	nding							
513 514 515 516 517	Patients will be randomi will be stratified using th (WFNS) grade [59] prio have significantly differ risks treatment allocatio	sed in a 1:1 rat ne most recent r to randomiza ent outcomes [n bias.	tio to the active World Federa tion. Patients i 60] and imbala	e or placet tion of Ne n differen ance betwe	oo arm. Ran urosurgical t WFNS gro een treatmer	domisation Societies Soups will nt arms	on		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
, o
0
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
27
2/
30 20
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

 All treatment packs will be otherwise identical in appearance. Placebo capsules will be identical and contain α -cvclodextrin making the contents indistinguishable should they be opened either inadvertently or for the purposes of NG administration. Patients will be randomised to one of the treatment groups by allocation of the appropriate, sequentially numbered treatment pack from either the clinical trials pharmacy, or a suitably calibrated and monitored fridge outside the pharmacy. The treatment packs will be pre-numbered according to a block balanced randomisation code with a ratio of 1:1 by a blinded third party. They will be selected as per WFNS grading by a member of a research team from pharmacy.

529 Unblinding

The Pharmacy will receive a sealed envelope containing the identity of each trial medication bottle. An envelope may be opened only in the case of a serious adverse event and only when it is essential to the subsequent management of the patient. The decision to unblind will be made in discussion between the treating clinician and PI and where possible CI. The independent trial centre will be responsible for breaking codes for regulatory submissions of Suspected Unexpected Serious Adverse Reactions (SUSARs), thereby maintaining the overall confidentiality of the code breaks. If the code is broken the data for that patient will be excluded from the Per Protocol Population analysis but included in the Intention to Treat Analysis. They will continue in the study and complete the study visits in accordance with the study visit schedule.

543 <u>Data collection and management</u> 544

545 Data collection will be performed by Good Clinical Practice-trained members of the
546 research team. Study specific training and additional training in disease specific
547 questionnaires will be provided. The data will be entered into a secure electronic case
548 report form.
549

550 Statistical analysis

552 The following populations will be considered for the analysis:

- Intention-to-Treat population (ITT): all randomised patients who receive at least one dose of study medication and with any post-dose efficacy evaluations. Patients where the time from ictus to admission is unknown are to be considered as part of the ITT population .
- Per-protocol population (PPP): The Per Protocol Population (for Primary analysis) will be considered to be those patients in the ITT population that have been dosed for a minimum to day seven post ictus without any major protocol violations (i.e. wrong inclusions, etc.).
 - Safety population: all randomised patients who have taken at least one dose of study medication.

567 Monitoring

A data safety monitoring board (DSMB) has been set-up to monitor the safety aspect
of the trial throughout. The DSMB will be independent of the study team and
company and has its own charter. A steering committee (consisting of the Chief
Investigator and the sponsor's Chief Medical Officer) will receive and review the
reports from DSMB, and take action as appropriate.

575 The first 20 patients will only be dosed as an inpatient and may therefore have courses 576 shorter than 28 days. The DSMB plan to hold an initial meeting after recruitment of 577 20 patients who will have completed seven days of trial medication. If there are no 578 safety concerns, further patients will be allowed to be dosed with the trial drug after 579 discharge to other hospitals or home. The DSMB will also meet if there are any 580 SUSARs or if two patients have had an increase in the grading of the severity of 581 adverse events.

Recruitment will stop once the target has been reached or if DSMB deems the study
or trial drug to be associated with a significant number of adverse events compared to
the normal patient population. The recruitment target is set to a minimum of 90
patients between three centres in the United Kingdom. Up to 120 patients may be
recruited in order to allow for withdrawals and deaths.

589 External monitoring will occur regularly throughout the study and after the study has
590 been completed. At these visits the monitor(s) will inspect various study records; case
591 report forms, investigator site file and source data, provided that subject
592 confidentiality is respected.

Adverse events reporting

All adverse events, adverse drug reactions and serious adverse events (SAE) will be
accurately documented. SAEs will be reported within 24 hours of awareness.
Pregnancies occurring during the study must be reported immediately and monitored
closely.

Data Availability

Upon completion of the study, data will be shared with other eligible investigators through academically established means. The datasets used and/or analysed during the study will be available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

- 52 609 53 610

Consent procedures and emergency dosing

Ethical considerations and Informed Consent

Most patients with acute SAH present with either severe headache or altered level of
consciousness. Many will lack capacity with no legal representative immediately
available. SAH is an acute emergency and any benefit from SFX-01 is likely to be
greatest the earlier it is administered. The study has therefore been granted ethical
permission to obtain baseline blood testing and administer two doses of trial drug
without consent if the patient is lacking in capacity and no legal representative is

2		
3	617	available. If no consent can be obtained at that point, the patient will be withdrawn
4	618	from the study
5	(10	nom me study.
6	019	
7	620	
8	621	Consent will be obtained in one of three scenarios:
9	622	
10	623	1 Detients with canacity
11	025	2 Def for a start capacity
12	624	2- Patients without capacity, but with a relative or next of kin available
13	625	immediately in person
14	626	3- Patients without capacity and no relative or next of kin immediately available
15	627	in person. In this case, a professional legal representative will be approached.
16	628	
17	620	De Cousant
12	029	<i>Re-Consent</i>
10	630	
20	631	This must take place in two different scenarios:
20	632	1- When patients regain capacity they must be re-consented.
21	633	2- When patients have been consented through a professional legal
22	634	rangesentative after which time either they require canacity or the next of kin
23	(25	how we are it.
24	635	becomes available.
25	636	
20	637	Discussion
27	638	
28	630	There is mounting evidence supporting the role of the Nrf? pathway in outcome after
29	640	CALL CEN unregulates NrD supporting the fole of the NH2 pathway in outcome after
30	040	SAH. SFN upregulates Nr12 expression and improves outcome in animal models.
31	641	SFX-01 represents an exciting and novel way to deliver SFN to SAH patients with the
3Z	642	potential to improve their lives.
33 24	643	
54 25	644	This trial will investigate the safety, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of
33 26	645	SFX-01 after SAH. If successful it may deliver long-term benefit to patients who have
30 27	646	suffered SAH and provide new hone to a group of patients characterised by complex
3/	647	sufficient SAIT and provide new hope to a group of parents characterised by complex
38	04 /	neurocognitive problems and disability.
39	648	
40 41	649	Footnotes
41	650	Authors' contributions: DP conceived the trial A7 IC and DP were all involved in
4Z 12	050	Autors contributions. DB concerved the trial. AZ, to and DB were an involved in
45	651	the design of the study and its setup. AZ and DB wrote the study protocol. DB
44 15	652	managed the recruitment of other centres. IG and DB reviewed the protocol
45	653	manuscript and approved the final version.
40	654	
47	655	Acknowledgements. The protocol was revised and finalised in conjunction with
40 40	656	David Howatt and Robert Holland previously at Evgen Pharma. The manuscript was
49 50	650	David Howatt and Robert Honand previously at Evgen Harma. The manuscript was
50 E 1	65/	reviewed by Sally Ross and Thomas Morris of Evgen Pharma.
57	658	
52 52	659	Funding: This study is funded and sponsored by EvgenPharma.
54	660	
55	661	Competing interests: None declared. The authors have no financial or non-financial
56	667	interests in Evgen Pharma
57	662	
58	003	
59	664	Ethical approval: South Central Research Ethics Committee, Hampshire A, UK.
60	665	<u>Word count</u> : 4720

3	666	Refe	erences
4 5	667		
6	668	1	de Rooii NK, Linn FHH, van der Plas JA, <i>et al.</i> Incidence of
7	669	-	subarachnoid haemorrhage: a systematic review with emphasis on
8	670		region age gender and time trends I Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry
9 10	671		2007: 79 .1265, 72, doi:10.1126/innn.2007.117655
11	6/1	2	2007, 76.1505 - 72. uol. 10.1150/jimp.2007.117055
12	672	2	Van Gijn J, Rinkel GJE. Subarachnoid haemorrhage: Diagnosis,
13	673		causes and management. Brain. 2001. doi:10.1093/brain/124.2.249
14 15	674	3	Nieuwkamp DJ, Setz LE, Algra A, <i>et al.</i> Changes in case fatality of
15	675		aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage over time, according to age,
17	676		sex, and region: a meta-analysis. <i>Lancet Neurol</i> 2009;8:635–42.
18	677		doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(09)70126-7
19 20	678	4	Kirkpatrick P, Lindsay K, Shaw M, et al. National Study of
20	679		Subarachnoid Haemorrhage, 2006.
22	680	5	Rivero-Arias O Grav A Wolstenholme J Burden of disease and
23	681	U	costs of aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage (aSAH) in the
24 25	682		United Kingdom Cost Eff Pasour Alloc 2010:8:1–12
25	692		doi:10.1186/1479.7547.8.6
27	085	($\begin{array}{c} \text{U01.10.1180/14/6-7547-6-0} \\ \text{Disloand ID} \text{Meanway CD} \text{U1in according D} \text{of all Effects of each} \end{array}$
28	684	0	Pickard JD, Murray GD, mingworth R, <i>et al.</i> Effect of oral
29	685		nimodipine on cerebral infarction and outcome after subarachnoid
30 31	686		haemorrhage : British aneurysm nimodipine trial. <i>Bmj</i>
32	687		1989; 298 :636–42. doi:10.1136/bmj.298.6674.636
33	688	7	Dorhout Mees SM, Rinkel GJ, Feigin VL, et al. Calcium
34	689		antagonists for aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage. Cochrane
35 36	690		Database Syst Rev Published Online First: 2007.
37	691		doi:10.1002/14651858.CD000277.pub3
38	692	8	Zolnourian A. Galea I. Bulters D. Neuroprotective Role of the Nrf2
39	693		Pathway in Subarachnoid Haemorrhage and Its Therapeutic
40 41	694		Potential Oxid Med Cell Longev 2019:2019:1-21
42	695		doi:10.1155/2019/6218239
43	606	0	Dóczi T. The pathogenetic and prognostic significance of blood
44 45	690	9	brain barrier damage at the soute stage of ensurgement subgraphical
45 46	697		be an arrive and Clinical and arreging and a state of a state of the Neurosching
47	698		naemorrhage. Clinical and experimental studies. Acta Neurochir
48	699		(Wien) 1985;77:110-
49 50	700		32.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4072781
50 51	701	10	László FA, Varga C, Dóczi T. Cerebral oedema after subarachnoid
52	702		haemorrhage. Pathogenetic significance of vasopressin. Acta
53	703		<i>Neurochir (Wien)</i> 1995; 133 :122–
54 55	704		33.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8748754
55 56	705	11	Zetterling M, Hallberg L, Ronne-Engström E. Early global brain
57	706		oedema in relation to clinical admission parameters and outcome in
58	707		patients with aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage Acta
59 60	708		Neurochir (Wien) 2010:152:1527-33 doi:10.1007/s00701-010-
00	,00		11000 00000 (11000) 2010,102.1021 55. doi:10.1001/500701 010

1			
2			
5 4	709		0684-8
5	710	12	Cahill WJ, Calvert JH, Zhang JH. Mechanisms of early brain injury
6	711		after subarachnoid hemorrhage. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab
7	712		2006; 26 :1341–53. doi:10.1038/sj.jcbfm.9600283
8 9	713	13	Lad SP. Hegen H. Gupta G. et al. Proteomic biomarker discovery in
10	714		cerebrospinal fluid for cerebral vasospasm following subarachnoid
11	715		hemorrhage I Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 2012:21:30–41
12	716		doi:10.1016/i istrokecerebrovasdis 2010.04.004
15	717	1/	Kusaka G. Ishikawa M. Nanda A. <i>at al.</i> Signaling nathways for
15	/1/	14	Kusaka O, Islikawa W, Nalida A, <i>et ut.</i> Signaling pathways 101
16	/18		early brain injury after subaracinoid hemofrage. J Cereb blood E_{L} M_{L} L D_{L} L^{2} L^{2} D_{L} L^{2} D_{L} L^{2} D_{L} D_{L} L^{2} D_{L} D_{L} L^{2} D_{L} D
17	/19		Flow Metab Published Online First: 2004.
18 19	720		doi:10.1097/01.WCB.0000125886.48838.7E
20	721	15	Gaetani P, Pasqualin A, Rodriguez y Baena R, <i>et al.</i> Oxidative
21	722		stress in the human brain after subarachnoid hemorrhage. J
22	723		Neurosurg Published Online First: 2009.
23 24	724		doi:10.3171/jns.1998.89.5.0748
25	725	16	Fassbender K, Hodapp B, Rossol S, et al. Inflammatory cytokines
26	726		in subarachnoid haemorrhage: Association with abnormal blood
27 29	727		flow velocities in basal cerebral arteries. J Neurol Neurosurg
28 29	728		<i>Psychiatry</i> Published Online First: 2001. doi:10.1136/innp.70.4.534
30	729	17	Pennings FA Bouma GL Ince C Direct observation of the human
31	730	1,	cerebral microcirculation during aneurysm surgery reveals
32 33	731		increased arteriolar contractility <i>Stroke</i> 2004: 35 :1284–8
34	732		doi:10.1161/01 STR 0000126039.91/00.ch
35	732	18	Dorsch NWC King MT A review of cerebral vasospasm in
36	755	10	anoury amal subarashanid has marrhage Dart I: Insidence and affects
37 38	/34		L Clim Neurosci 1004 doi:10.1016/0067.5868(04)00005.1
39	/35	10	J. CIIII. Neurosci. 1994. doi:10.1010/090/-5808(94)90005-1
40	736	19	Iton K, Chiba I, Takanashi S, <i>et al.</i> An Nrf2/small Mat heterodimer
41 42	737		mediates the induction of phase II detoxifying enzyme genes
42 43	738		through antioxidant response elements. Biochem Biophys Res
44	739		<i>Commun</i> 1997; 236 :313–22. doi:10.1006/bbrc.1997.6943
45	740	20	Venugopal R, Jaiswal a K. Nrf2 and Nrf1 in association with Jun
46 47	741		proteins regulate antioxidant response element-mediated expression
48	742		and coordinated induction of genes encoding detoxifying enzymes.
49	743		Oncogene 1998;17:3145-56. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1202237
50	744	21	Itoh K, Ishii T, Wakabayashi N, et al. Regulatory mechanisms of
51 52	745		cellular response to oxidative stress. <i>Free Radic Res</i> 1999; 31 :319–
53	746		24. doi:10.1080/10715769900300881
54	747	22	Zhao X Song S Sun G <i>et al</i> Neuroprotective Role of Haptoglobin
55 56	748	_	after Intracerebral Hemorrhage J Neurosci 2009-29-15819–27
50 57	740		doi:10.1523/INFUROSCI.3776-09.2009
58	750	22	Galea I Cruickshank G Teeling II at al The intrathecal CD162
59	750 751	23	hantaglohin hemoglohin gooyonging system in subgrachnoid
60	/31		naprogroum-nemogroum scavenging system in subaracimota

1			
2			
3 4	752		hemorrhage. J Neurochem 2012; 121 :785–92. doi:10.1111/j.1471-
5	753		4159.2012.07716.x
6 7	754	24	Kristiansen M, Graversen JH, Jacobsen C, et al. Identification of
7 8	755		the haemoglobin scavenger receptor. <i>Nature</i> 2001; 409 :198–201.
9	756		doi:10.1038/35051594
10	757	25	Bulters D, Gaastra B, Zolnourian A, et al. Haemoglobin scavenging
11 12	758		in intracranial bleeding: biology and clinical implications. Nat Rev
13	759		Neurol 2018;14:416-32. doi:10.1038/s41582-018-0020-0
14	760	26	Zhao X, Sun G, Ting SM, et al. Cleaning up after ICH: The role of
15 16	761		Nrf2 in modulating microglia function and hematoma clearance. J
17	762		<i>Neurochem</i> 2015; 133 :144–52. doi:10.1111/jnc.12974
18	763	27	Morris CM, Candy JM, Edwardson JA, et al. Evidence for the
19 20	764		localization of haemopexin immunoreactivity in neurones in the
20	765		human brain. Neurosci Lett 1993;149:141–4. doi:10.1016/0304-
22	766		3940(93)90756-B
23	767	28	Chen M. Regan RF. Time course of increased heme oxygenase
24 25	768		activity and expression after experimental intracerebral hemorrhage:
26	769		Correlation with oxidative injury. J Neurochem 2007:103:2015–21.
27	770		doi:10.1111/i.1471-4159.2007.04885.x
28 29	771	29	Harada N. Kanayama M. Maruyama A. <i>et al.</i> Nrf2 regulates
30	772	-	ferroportin 1-mediated iron efflux and counteracts
31	773		lipopolysaccharide-induced ferroportin 1 mRNA suppression in
32	774		macrophages. Arch Biochem Biophys 2011: 508 :101–9.
34	775		doi:10.1016/i.abb.2011.02.001
35 36	776	30	Sandberg M. Patil J. D'Angelo B. <i>et al.</i> NRF2-regulation in brain
30 37	777		health and disease: Implication of cerebral inflammation.
38	778		Neuropharmacology 2014:79:298–306.
39 40	779		doi:10.1016/i.neuropharm.2013.11.004
40 41	780	31	Pan H Wang H Zhu L <i>et al</i> Depletion of Nrf2 enhances
42	781	01	inflammation induced by oxyhemoglobin in cultured mice
43	782		astrocytes. <i>Neurochem Res</i> 2011: 36 :2434–41. doi:10.1007/s11064-
44 45	783		011-0571-6
46	784	32	Chen G. Fang O. Zhang J. <i>et al.</i> Role of the Nrf2-ARE pathway in
47	785	0 -	early brain injury after experimental subarachnoid hemorrhage J
40 49	786		Neurosci Res 2011: 89 :515–23 doi:10.1002/inr.22577
50	787	33	Li T Wang H Ding Y <i>et al</i> Genetic elimination of Nrf?
51 52	788	55	aggravates secondary complications except for vasospasm after
52 53	789		experimental subarachnoid hemorrhage in mice. <i>Brain Res</i>
54	790		2014· 1558 ·90–9 doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2014.02.036
55 56	791	34	Zhao XD Zhou YT Lu XI Sulforanhane enhances the activity of
50 57	792	51	the Nrf?-ARE nathway and attenuates inflammation in OxyHh-
58	792		induced rat vascular smooth muscle cells <i>Inflamm Ras</i>
59	70/		$2013.67.857-63$ doi:10.1007/s00011_013_06/11.0
00	124		2013, 02 .037 05. 0 01.10.1007/300011-013-00 1 1-0

1			
2			
3 4	795	35	Zhao X, Wen L, Dong M, <i>et al.</i> Sulforaphane activates the cerebral
5	796		vascular Nrf2–ARE pathway and suppresses inflammation to
6	797		attenuate cerebral vasospasm in rat with subarachnoid hemorrhage.
7	798		Brain Res 2016; 1653 :1–7. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2016.09.035
8 9	799	36	Farrell B. Godwin J. Richards S. <i>et al.</i> The United Kingdom
10	800		transient ischaemic attack (UK-TIA) aspirin trial: Final results J
11	801		Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry Published Online First 1951
12	802		doi:10.1136/innn 54.12.1044
13	803	37	Rankin I. Cerebral Vascular Δ coidents in Patients over the Δ ge of
15	804	57	60: III Diagnosis and Treatment Scott Mod I Published Online
16	804 805		First: 1057 doi:10.1177/002602205700200604
17 18	803	20	Oving TI Device I Welters MD at al Deliability of the modified
19	806	30	Quinin 1J, Dawson J, waiters MR, <i>et al.</i> Renability of the modified
20	807		rankin scale: A systematic review. <i>Stroke</i> Published Unline First:
21	808	•	2009. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.109.557256
22 23	809	39	Jennett B, Bond M. ASSESSMENT OF OUTCOME AFTER
24	810		SEVERE BRAIN DAMAGE. A Practical Scale. <i>Lancet</i> Published
25	811		Online First: 1975. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(75)92830-5
26 27	812	40	Jennett B, Snoek J, Bond MR, et al. Disability after severe head
27	813		injury: Observations on the use of the Glasgow Outcome Scale. J
29	814		Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry Published Online First: 1981.
30	815		doi:10.1136/jnnp.44.4.285
31 22	816	41	Pace A, Mitchell S, Casselden E, et al. A subarachnoid
33	817		haemorrhage-specific outcome tool. <i>Brain</i> 2018: 141 :1111–21.
34	818		doi:10.1093/brain/awv003
35	819	42	Powell IH Beckers K Greenwood RI Measuring progress and
30 37	820	12	outcome in community rehabilitation after brain injury with a new
38	821		assessment instrument - The BICRO-39 scales Arch Phys Mod
39	821 822		Rehabil Published Online First: 1008 doi:10.1016/S0003
40 41	022 022		0002(08)00265 0
41	823 824	12	yon Houston C. Basquin S. Winkons I. at al. Charlist for acquitive
43	824	43	van Heugten C, Rasquin S, winkens I, <i>et al.</i> Checknist for cognitive
44	825		and emotional consequences following stroke (CLCE-24):
45 46	826		development, usability and quality of the self-report version. Clin
40	827		<i>Neurol Neurosurg</i> 2007; 109 :257–62.
48	828		doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2006.10.002
49	829	44	Al-Tamimi YZ, Bhargava D, Feltbower RG, et al. Lumbar drainage
50 51	830		of cerebrospinal fluid after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: a
52	831		prospective, randomized, controlled trial (LUMAS). Stroke
53	832		2012; 43 :677–82. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.625731
54	833	45	Fisher CM, Kistler JP, Davis JM. Relation of cerebral vasospasm to
55 56	834		subarachnoid hemorrhage visualized by computerized tomographic
57	835		scanning. Neurosurgery 1980:6:1–
58	836		9.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7354892
59 60	837	46	Naidech AM Janiua N Kreiter KT <i>et al</i> Predictors and impact of
00	0.57	rU	random mini, sunjuu ra, istonor ist, et ut. i redictors and impact of

3	838		aneurysm rebleeding after subarachnoid hemorrhage. Arch Neurol
4	839		2005.62.410–6 doi:10.1001/archneur 62.3.410
6	840	47	Zhao I Kobori N Aronowski I <i>et al</i> Sulforanhane reduces infarct
7	841	17	volume following focal cerebral ischemia in rodents <i>Neurosci Lett</i>
8	847		2006: 303 :108 12 doi:10.1016/i neulet 2005.00.065
9 10	042 042	18	Zhao V. Sun G. Zhang L. <i>et al.</i> Transprintion factor Nrf? protects
10	843	40	Zhao A, Sun G, Zhang J, <i>et al.</i> Transcription factor N12 protects
12	844		the brain from damage produced by intracerebral hemorrhage.
13	845	10	Stroke 2007; 38 :3280–6. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.486506
14 15	846	49	Alfieri A, Srivastava S, Siow RCM, <i>et al.</i> Sulforaphane
16	847		preconditioning of the Nrf2/HO-1 defense pathway protects the
17	848		cerebral vasculature against blood-brain barrier disruption and
18	849		neurological deficits in stroke. Free Radic Biol Med 2013;65:1012-
19 20	850		22. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2013.08.190
21	851	50	Sharma V, McNeill JH. To scale or not to scale: The principles of
22	852		dose extrapolation. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2009. doi:10.1111/j.1476-
23	853		5381.2009.00267.x
24 25	854	51	Reagan-Shaw S. Nihal M. Ahmad N. Dose translation from animal
26	855	• -	to human studies revisited <i>FASEB J</i> Published Online First 2007
27	856		doi:10.1096/fi.07-9574LSF
28 20	857	52	Clarke ID Hsu & Williams DE <i>et al</i> Metabolism and tissue
30	858	52	distribution of sulforenhane in Nrf2 knockout and wild type mice
31	050 050		Dhama Pag 2011:29:2171 = 0 doi:10.1007/c11005.011.0500.7
32	839	52	$I = \frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{$
33 34	860	33	Jazwa A, Rojo AI, innamorato NG, <i>et al</i> . Phaimacological
35	861		l'argeting of the Transcription Factor Nr12 at the Basal Ganglia
36	862		Provides Disease Modifying Therapy for Experimental
37	863		Parkinsonism. Antioxid Redox Signal 2011;14:2347–60.
38 39	864		doi:10.1089/ars.2010.3731
40	865	54	Kumar G, Shahripour RB, Harrigan MR. Vasospasm on transcranial
41	866		Doppler is predictive of delayed cerebral ischemia in aneurysmal
42	867		subarachnoid hemorrhage: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
45 44	868		2016; 124 :1257–64. doi:10.3171/2015.4.JNS15428.
45	869	55	Tam AKH, Ilodigwe D, Li Z, <i>et al.</i> Global cerebral atrophy after
46	870		subarachnoid hemorrhage: a possible marker of acute brain injury
47 48	871		and assessment of its impact on outcome. Acta Neurochir Suppl
49	872		Published Online First: 2013 doi:10.1007/978-3-7091-1192-5.5
50	873	56	Nicci Campbell Carl Verschuur, Sonhie Mitchell, Orlaith
51	874	50	McCaffrey Lewis Deane Hannah Taylor Rory Smith Lesley
52 53	875		Foulkes James Glazier Angela Darekar Mark E Haacke Diederik
54	075 076		Bulters and IC Hearing impairment after subarachnoid
55	0/0 077		homorrhogo Ann Clin Transl Narral 2019. In pross
56 57	8//		doi:10.1002/acm2.714
57 58	8/8	- -	uo1.10.1002/acn5./14
59	879	57	vergouwen MDI, Vermeulen M, van Gijn J, et al. Definition of
60	880		delayed cerebral ischemia after aneurysmal subarachnoid

1			
2			
-			1 1 <i>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</i>
4	881		nemorrhage as an outcome event in clinical trials and observational
5	882		studies: proposal of a multidisciplinary research group. <i>Stroke</i>
6	883		2010.41.2391-5 doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA 110.589275
7	005	50	2010, 41.2371 - 5.001.10.1101/51 KOKLAHA.110.305275
8	884	58	Alaraj A, Hussein AE, Estahani DR, <i>et al.</i> Reducing length of stay
9	885		in aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: A three year institutional
10	886		experience I Clin Neurosci 2017: 42 :66–70
11	000		d_{2}
12	887		doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2017.03.049
13	888	59	Teasdale GM, Drake CG, Hunt W, et al. A universal subarachnoid
14	889		haemorrhage scale: report of a committee of the world federation of
15	800		Neurogurgical accietica, I. Neurol. Neurogurg. Dayabietry, 1000
16	890		Neurosurgical societies. J. Neuror. Neurosurg. Psychiatry. 1988.
17	891		doi:10.1136/jnnp.51.11.1457
18	892	60	Jaja BNR, Saposnik G, Lingsma HF. et al. Development and
19	802		validation of outcome prediction models for anourysmal
20	073		the share the second production models for allourysman
21	894		subarachnoid haemorrhage: The SAHIT multinational cohort study.
22	895		<i>BMJ</i> Published Online First: 2018. doi:10.1136/bmj.j5745
23	896		
24	070		
25			
26			
27			
28			
29			
3U 21			
51 27			
22 32			
34			
3 4 35			
36			
37			
38			
39			
40			
41			
42			
43			
44			
45			
46			
47			
48			
49			
50			
51			
52			
53			
54			
55			
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			

BMJ Open

BMJ Open

A study protocol for SFX-01 After Subarachnoid haemorrhage (SAS): A multi-centre randomised doubleblinded, placebo controlled trial

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2018-028514.R2
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	26-Dec-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Zolnourian, Ardalan; University of Southampton, Clinical Neurosceince; University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Franklin, Stephen Galea, Ian; University of Southampton Faculty of Medicine, Experimental Neurology Bulters, Diederik ; University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust
Primary Subject Heading :	Surgery
Secondary Subject Heading:	Medical management, Evidence based practice, Neurology, Surgery, Pharmacology and therapeutics
Keywords:	Randomised controlled trial, Subarachnoid haemorrhage, Nrf2, Sulforaphane, Delayed cerebral ischaemia





I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

review only

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

	<u>controlled trial</u>
D	Date and version: 16th May 2018, Version 7
F	Ardalan Zolnourian ^{a,c} , Stephen Franklin ^b , Ian Galea ^{c*} , Diederik Bulters ^{a,c*}
a	Department of Neurosurgery, University Hospital Southampton, Tremona
	Southampton, SO16 6YD
b	Evgen Pharma, Livernool Science Park IC2, 146 Brownlow Hill, Livernoo
1	[3 5RF
-	
C	² Clinical Neurosciences, Clinical and Experimental Sciences, Faculty of M
1	University of Southampton, Tremona Road, Southampton, SO16 6YD
:	* Joint senior authors
	some somor autions
1	Ardalan Zolnourian: Principal investigator, University Hospital Southamp
ſ	Stephen Franklin: Chief Executive Officer of Evgen Pharma
]	Ian Galea: Co-investigator, University of Southampton
ז	Diederik Bulters: Chief investigator, University Hospital Southampton
1	biederik butters. Einer investigator, Einversity Hospital Southampton
	Statistician: David Stone
	Clinical trials accordinating contras The Clinical Trials Comparent
	Cunical trials coordinating centre: The Clinical Trials Company Team
	Steering committee: Diederik Bulters, Thomas Morris
	Corresponding author: dbulters@nhs.net

<u>Abstract</u>

Introduction

Methods and analysis

volume.

Subarachnoid haemorrhage from a ruptured cerebral aneurysm carries high morbidity and mortality. Despite huge advances in techniques to secure the aneurysm, there has been little progress in the treatment of the deleterious effects of the haemorrhage.

Sulforaphane is an Nrf2 inducer with anti-oxidant and anti-inflammatory properties. It has been shown to improve clinical outcome in experimental models of SAH, but is unstable. SFX-01 (Evgen Pharma) is a novel composition comprised of synthetic sulforaphane stabilised within an α -cyclodextrin complex. On ingestion, the complex releases sulforaphane making SFX-01 an ideal vehicle for delivery of sulforaphane.

The objective of the study is to assess the safety, pharmacokinetics and efficacy of SFX-01. This is a prospective, multi-centre, randomised, double-blind placebocontrolled trial in patients aged 18-80 years with aneurysmal subarachnoid

01 (300mg) or placebo twice-daily for up to 28 days.

will be measured by transcranial Doppler ultrasound.

be primary outcomes and all others secondary.

Trial registration number: NCT02614742 ; Pre-results

Ethics and dissemination

Safety will be assessed using blood tests and adverse event reporting.

haemorrhage in the previous 48 hours. 90 patients will be randomised to receive SFX-

Pharmacokinetics will be assessed based on paired blood and CSF sulforaphane levels

Clinical outcomes will be assessed at days 28, 90 and 180 with mRS, GOSE, SAHOT,

susceptibility mapping and volumetric T1 will measure iron deposition and cortical

Safety, CSF sulforaphane concentration and middle cerebral artery flow velocity will

on day seven. A subgroup will have hourly samples taken during six hours postdosing on days one and seven. Pharmacodynamics will be assessed by haptoglobin and malondialdehyde levels, and maximum flow velocity of middle cerebral artery

SF-36, BICRO-39 and CLCE-24. MRI at six months including quantitative

Ethical approval was obtained from South Central Hampshire A committee. Outcomes of the trial will be submitted for publication in a peer reviewed journal.

1 2	
3	50
4 5	51
6	52
7	53
8 9	54
10	55 56
11 12	57
12	58
14	59
15 16	60
17	61 62
18	63
19 20	64
21	65
22	66
23 24	67
25	68 60
26 27	70
27 28	71
29	72
30 21	73
31	74
33	75
34 25	70
36	78
37	79
38 30	80
40	81
41	82 83
42 43	84
44	85
45	86
46 47	87
48	88
49 50	89
50 51	90
52	91
53 54	02
55	72
56	93
57 58	94
59	05
60	95

96 Strengths and limitations of this study

A strength of this study is that it tests a new class of drug not previously used
 after human SAH.

99 • It is at low risk of bias due to placebo control and appropriate blinding.

- The study design includes multiple mechanistic outcomes to give deeper
 understanding of any clinical findings.
- The study includes multiple novel outcome measures and MRI sequences.
 While these may provide new insights, some are exploratory due to limited experience with them in SAH to date.
- It is a multicentre trial, and its results should be generalizable to patients
 with high volume SAH in neurosurgical units in the UK, although due to the
 complexity of its design, it has been limited to three centres to ensure high
 quality outcome measurement.

110 Introduction

Spontaneous Subarachnoid Haemorrhage (SAH) is a devastating cerebrovascular
injury with an incidence of 9.1 per 100,000 population [1]. It affects around 7000
patients in the UK annually. Around 85% are due to ruptured intracranial aneurysms
[2]. The incidence is age related peaking at 52 years. SAH carries a high overall
mortality rate of up to 67% [3], and only half of the survivors are able to live
independently [4]. It therefore has a high burden on society due to the loss of
productivity and resources [5].

119 Conventionally following SAH, treatment is primarily directed to securing the 120 aneurysm and prevent further re-bleeding. This however does nothing to ameliorate 121 the morbidity and mortality due to the haemorrhage. The only approved treatment is 122 nimodipine [6]. However, its effects are small and poor outcome remains a significant 123 problem [7]. Moreover, even in survivors considered to have made a good recovery, 124 neurocognitive deficits are common leading to extensive problems with social 125 reintegration and functioning in the workplace [5].

The mechanism of injury following SAH is multifactorial[8]. Early brain injury (EBI) refers to the processes occurring within the first 72 hours which include blood-brain barrier (BBB) dysfunction [9], cerebral oedema [10][11], neuronal cell death [12], altered ionic homeostasis, excitotoxicity, thrombin activation [13], vascular integrity degradation [14], oxidative stress [15], and inflammation [16]. However, despite the terminology, mechanisms such as oxidative stress and inflammation are not limited to this early period. They continue to worsen beyond the first three days, at the same time as CSF free haemoglobin (Hb) concentration rises markedly as it is released form the clot and mechanisms to dispose of Hb are saturated. It is also in this delayed phase when cerebral vasospasm occurs, affecting both micro- [17] and microvasculature [18].

Sulforaphane (SFN) is known to upregulate the nuclear factor erythroid 2-related
factor 2 (Nrf2) pathway. Nrf2 is a redox-sensitive transcription factor that binds to a
specific DNA site, the anti-oxidant response element, upstream of genes encoding
detoxifying and anti-oxidant enzymes [19] [20]. Some of these enzymes include

1		
2		
3	143	glutathione S-transferases (GSTs), NAD(P)H-quinone oxidoreductase 1 (NQO1) and
4	144	haem oxygenase 1 (HO-1) [19]. During physiological conditions Nrf2 is bound to the
5	145	Kelch-like ECH associated protein 1 (KEAP1) in the cytoplasm. In response to stress
0 7	146	such as SAH Nrf2 is released from KEAP1 and then translocates to the nucleus
7 8	147	leading to enhanced gene transcription [21]
9	1/18	reading to emilanced gene transcription [21].
10	140	Nrf? also upregulates hantoglobin (Hn), an agute phase glycoprotein found in plasma
11	149	[22] as well as combrashing fluid (CSE) [22]. Un is part of an important IIb
12	150	[22], as well as celebrospinal fluid (CSF) [23]. Hp is part of an important Ho
13	151	scavenging painway after SAH. It binds tightly to free HD, and this HD-HD complex is
14	152	taken up by CD163-positive macrophages [24]. This pathway is saturated after SAH
15	153	[23] and upregulation of Hp represents a possible therapeutic avenue [25]. Nrf2 also
16	154	regulates degradation of red blood cells, and metabolism of haem and iron through
17	155	transcriptional upregulation of CD36 [26], haemopexin [27], HO-1 [28] and ferritin
18	156	[29].
20	157	
21	158	Nrf2 is expressed in the central nervous system (CNS) and is upregulated in response
22	159	to inflammation and cerebral insults [30]. Nrf2 knockout is associated with a more
23	160	pronounced inflammatory response in vitro [31] and in vivo [32], and the increased
24	161	inflammatory response is associated with more brain oedema, cell death and poorer
25	162	neurological recovery [33]. SFN increases HO-1, NQO1, and GST-α1 levels and
26	163	reduces IL-1 β , IL-6, and TNF- α [34]. It leads to a reduction in vasospasm and
27	164	improves neurological recovery [32] [35].
28	165	Fring and Sam and Starting.
29	166	SFN has a relatively short half-life rendering it impractical for clinical use. SFX-01
30 31	167	(Evgen Pharma) is a novel new agent comprising SEN complexed with a-cyclodextrin
32	168	which is suitable for clinical use On ingestion SEN is released from the α_{-}
33	160	cyclodeytrin and is an affective method to deliver SEN. While cyclodeytrin catalyses
34	170	the reaction with the intermediate that is used to create the sulferencement is server a
35	170	une reaction with the intermediate that is used to create the sufforaphane, it serves a
36	1/1	shalf life and half life. In two Dhage I trials (NCT01048262, NCT02055716) no
37	1/2	shell-life and hall-life. In two Phase I trials (NC 101948362, NC 102055716) no
38	1/3	serious adverse events were reported in healthy volunteers. Here we describe the
39	1/4	protocol for a phase II trial of SFX-01 in patients who have suffered a SAH.
40	175	
41 42	176	<u>Objectives</u>
43	177	
44	178	The objective of the study is to assess the safety, pharmacokinetics and efficacy of
45	179	SFX-01.
46	180	
47	100	Mathada
48	181	Methous
49	182	
50	183	Trial design
51 52	184	
52 53	185	This is a prospective, double-blind, parallel group, randomised controlled trial
54	186	comparing SFX-01 (300 mg) taken orally as capsules or as a suspension via a
55	187	nasogastric tube (NG) twice-daily for up to 28 days <i>versus</i> placebo in patients with
56	188	SAH within 48 hours of enrolment.
57	189	
58	190	The treatment window of 48 hours was selected as the best compromise between
59	191	competing factors SFX-01 has pleiotronic actions against multiple mechanisms each
60	171	competing fuerois. Si it of has preference actions against multiple meenanishis each

with different temporal profiles. Since SAH is an acute unpredictable event, the earliest one would be able to start treatment is soon after ictus, on admission into hospital. This would give optimal protection against early brain injury. Initiating treatment would still be justified up to 72 hours post-ictus, after which delayed cerebral ischaemia (DCI) occurs, such that a delay of more than 72 hours would be expected to undermine treatment efficacy. Within the initial 72 hours, earlier treatment would allow more time for Nrf2 pathway activation and expression of its transcriptome to protect against delayed events, as well as provide more opportunity for SFX-01 to act against early brain injury. On the other hand the earlier one stipulates treatment would start, the more patients one will exclude from the study. No animal studies have been conducted to investigate the timing of sulforaphane administration, and even if available, extrapolation of timing from animal models has its limitations due to the much quicker clot resorption in rodents. After considering all these factors, it was decided to start SFX-01 treatment at the earliest possible opportunity after SAH, yet still allow patients to be included if their presentation was delayed to some extent, to ensure generalizability to real clinical practice where delays in admission to tertiary centres are not uncommon. An audit of the lead study centre showed that most patients are admitted within 48 hours, leading to the adoption of SAH within 48 hours as the inclusion criterion, striking the best compromise between the practicality of recruitment and the need to start treatment early. Detailed pharmacovigilance will inform the safety of SFX-01. Pharmacokinetics will

be determined by measuring levels of SFN and its metabolites in CSF and blood at day seven in all patients; a more detailed profile will be obtained in a subgroup of up to 12 patients who will have hourly blood and CSF samples for six hours after dosing on days one and seven. Pharmacodynamics will be assessed with blood Hp and malondialdehyde (MDA) levels, and middle cerebral artery (MCA) flow velocity as measured on transcranial Doppler (TCD) ultrasound as an estimate of large cerebral artery spasm. Longer term outcome will be assessed using validated outcome scales, at day 28 using Modified Rankin Scale (mRS) ([36] [37] [38], Glasgow Outcome Score (GOSE) [39][40] and the SAH Outcome Tool (SAHOT) [41] as well as at day 90 and 180 using the mRS, GOSE, SAHOT, Brain Injury Community Rehabilitation Outcome Scales (BICRO-39) [42] and the Check List for Cognitive and Emotional consequences following stroke (CLCE-24) [43].

Patient and public involvement

The overall design of the study including planned investigations i.e. lumbar puncture (LP), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), blood tests, outcome questionnaires, the treatment methods and the consent procedures were discussed in a local SAH support group consisting of individuals with a previous history of SAH as well as their relatives. The meeting was led by the chief investigator, a consultant neurovascular surgeon, and the neurovascular specialist nurse who are normally the main point of contact for SAH patients. The results were used to inform planning of the trial. Particular attention was given to the study lumbar puncture which was felt to be justified on the grounds that the majority of patients will undergo CSF diversion for clinical reasons anyway, and evidence from a randomised controlled trial that CSF diversion in all Fisher 3&4 patients causes no harm and appears to provide short term symptomatic benefit [44]. The meeting was also beneficial in shaping and improving the patient information sheet and consent forms.

1		
2		
3	242	
4	243	Study setting
6	244	
7	245	This is a multicentre study conducted in regional neurosciences centres with specialist
8	246	services to treat aneurysmal SAH Patients will be identified at referral to the
9	247	admitting neurosurgical or neurointensive care units. After informed consent dosing
10	248	and study interventions will occur in the neurosciences centre until natients are
11	249	discharged to either home or their local hospitals. There are three recruiting centres:
12	249	University Hospital Southampton, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh and the Royal
13	250	London Hospital Upon discharge follow-up may take place in clinic, or at visits to
14	251	district general hospitals and rebabilitation centres, or nationts' residences
16	252	district general hospitals and renaonitation centres, of patients residences.
17	255	
18	254	Eligibility Criteria
19	255	
20	256	Inclusion criteria
21	257	
22	258	1. Patients with radiological evidence of spontaneous aneurysmal SAH
23	259	2. Fisher grade 3 or 4 on CT
25	260	3. Definitive treatment of aneurysm has not been ruled out
26	261	4. Previously living independently
27	262	5 In the opinion of the investigator, the delay from ictus to randomisation and
28	263	initiation of trial medication will not exceed 48 hours
29	205	6 A god 19 to 90 years
30	204	$\begin{array}{c} \text{o. Aged 18 to 80 years} \\ \text{7. Let} & \begin{array}{c} \text{c} \text{c} \text{c} \text{c} \text{c} \text{c} \text{c} \text$
31 20	265	7. In the opinion of the investigator it will be possible to obtain informed Consent
32	266	from the Patient, Personal Legal Representative or Professional Legal
34	267	representative within 24 hours of first dose
35	268	
36	269	Exclusion criteria
37	270	
38	271	1. Traumatic SAH
39	272	2. Fisher grade 1 or 2
40	273	3. SAH diagnosed on LP with no evidence of blood on CT
41 42	274	4. Decision not to treat aneurysm has been made
43	275	5. Plan to withdraw treatment
44	276	6. Significant kidney disease as defined as plasma creatinine $\geq 2.5 \text{ mg/dL}$ (221)
45	277	µmol/l)
46	278	7. Liver disease as defined as total bilirubin ≥ 2 -fold the upper limit of normal, as
47	279	measured by the local laboratory
48	280	8. Females who are pregnant or lactating
49 50	281	9. Participants enrolled in another interventional research trial in the last 30 days
50 51	282	10. Patients for whom it is known, at the time of screening, that clinical follow-up will
52	283	not be feasible
53	284	11. Patients unwilling to use two forms of contraception (one of which being a barrier
54	285	method) for 90 days (men) or 30 days (women) after the last trial medication dose
55	286	12. Known hypersensitivity to any component of a SFN containing product including
56	287	broccoli
57	288	
58 50	289	Recruitment will be limited to Fisher grade 3 and 4 SAH. These patients represent the
60	290	majority of aneurysmal SAH. They have a higher volume of haemorrhage with a

poorer outcome and more delayed neurological deficits [45]. They are therefore
mechanistically and clinically expected to derive greatest benefit from SFX-01. In
addition to using Fisher scale, all baseline CT scans will undergo volumetric analysis
of blood load, which are more objective and reliable than any versions of the Fisher
scale.

Inclusion of patients with unsecured aneurysms would risk a high incidence of
rebleeding in the study. Rebleeding is associated with exceedingly bad outcomes [46],
masking any effect from SFX-01. However, since not all aneurysms are secured
within 48 hours, there will be no requirement for the aneurysm to have been secured
prior to enrolment. Instead patients in whom treatment of the aneurysm has been ruled
out due to poor clinical status will be excluded.

Although there are no known risks to kidney or liver, due to the relative inexperience with SFX-01 in humans, patients with liver or kidney problems will be excluded.

307 Intervention

309 Trial medication

311 SFX-01 (active 300 mg capsule) or placebo (α -cyclodextrin only capsule) will be 312 taken orally or as a suspension via a nasogastric tube (NG) twice daily for up to 28 313 days. Pharmagra manufacture the active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) in North 314 Carolina, US. The API is then encapsulated at Quotient in Reading, UK. The API 315 manufacture has been audited by the FDA and Evgen pharma. SFX-01 and placebo 316 capsules as well as their contents will be identical in appearance. They will be stored 317 at 2-8 degrees Celsius.

Animal studies in ischaemic stroke, intracerebral haemorrhage and SAH have all used 5 mg/kg dose of SFN in rodents [32] [47] [48] [49]. Conversion of animal doses to humans using body surface area, as has been widely recommended [50] [51], yields a human dose of 50 mg SFN. This is equivalent to 300 mg of SFX-01 containing 46.15 mg of SFN. In the clinical studies conducted to date, SFX-01 has been shown to be well tolerated at doses of 600mg once daily and 300mg twice daily with no serious adverse effects. Therefore no further dose ranging studies were performed.

327 De-escalation from the trial regimen

329 In the event of tolerability problems whilst the patient is in the neurosurgical centre, 330 the Investigator will assess whether simple measures to ease the effects of the adverse 331 event(s) may be implemented (i.e. antacid in the case of GI irritation or anti-emetic in 332 the event of nausea).

The investigator will also assess whether or not the adverse event(s) could be related to the trial medication and severe enough to warrant a dose frequency reduction. In the first instance the investigator may consider missing one dose. If a dose frequency reduction is warranted, from that point onwards the second dose of the day will be omitted; a dose frequency increase back to twice daily will not be permitted.

339 If tolerability problems continue then the investigational medication will be stopped;
 340 patients will continue in the study and complete the study visits. The staged dose

347

355

362

366

368 369

370371

385

386

388

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
20	
20	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
27	
20	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
75 76	
40	
4/	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
52	
22	
54 55	
55	
56	
57	
58	

frequency de-escalation (dropping to once daily) will not be carried out after
discharge from the neurosurgical centre; if tolerability problems occur after discharge,
medication will be stopped; patients will continue in the study and complete the study
visits in accordance with the schedule of assessments.

346 *Treatment compliance*

348 Compliance with treatment will be recorded during the inpatient hospital stay by 349 health care professionals and/or a member of the research team. On discharge to the 350 usual residence, responsibility for this will be transferred to the patient or their 351 Personal Legal Representative, aided by detailed instructions. In the event of 352 discharge to a rehabilitation unit or patient local hospital, written instructions will be 353 provided on discharge and verbal communication with the clinical team will ensure 354 compliance.

All patients will be discharged with a patient diary which will be filled in and
collected at day 28. Compliance will be further monitored by drug reconciliation.
Patients will be asked to return the medication bottle and any residual contents at the
day 28 visit. At this time any residual tablets will be counted and recorded.

361 Concomitant treatment

Primary end-points

There are no known drug interactions, and participation in the trial will not alter
routine treatment of SAH. Participation in other interventional research studies will
not be allowed until after the last follow up visit.

JICN.

367 **Outcomes**

Safety

To evaluate the safety of up to 28 days of SFX-01 dosed at up to an equivalent of
92mg SFN per day.

375 376 Prior to the start of this study, the trial medication had only been used in healthy individuals and not in a patient population. Therefore safety will be one of the main 377 378 objectives of this study. This will be evaluated through routine tests (full blood count, 379 urea and electrolytes, coagulation screen, liver function tests, and urine microscopy) 380 at baseline, post-dose, day seven and day 28 as well as close monitoring of patients 381 for any side-effects or adverse events. Adverse events will be coded following 382 MEDDRA and followed up until resolution and graded for severity. Incidence of dose 383 de-escalation or discontinuation will also be reported. 384

Pharmacokinetic

387 To detect the presence of SFN and its metabolites in CSF and blood.

Animal models have shown that SFN crosses the blood-brain barrier and can therefore be detected in the brain [52] [53] There is some variation in the levels achieved in these studies, and it has not been studied in humans. All patients will have a paired CSF/blood sample taken at seven days post-ictus. This will be via a LP unless the patient has an external ventricular drain (EVD) for their clinical care in which case it will be obtained from the EVD. In addition, up to 12 patients with an EVD will be asked to consent to hourly CSF and blood samples for six hours after dosing on days one and seven. Hourly CSF sampling will be performed by trained study personnel using a bespoke sterile closed cascade of syringes so that the EVD line is accessed directly only once to reduce the risk of infection. Sample collection and processing are detailed in specific study operating procedures.

Vasospasm

403 To determine if a minimum of seven days treatment with SFX-01 reduces MCA peak
404 flow velocity following SAH.
405

TCD ultrasound will be used to measure the MCA, ICA and ECA maximum flow velocity and the Lindegaard ratio will be calculated. TCDs will be performed on alternate daily basis including at baseline. They will be performed for at least seven days or until no longer clinically indicated. Blood flow velocity is measured in cm/second and is inversely related to the luminal diameter of the vessel. The greater this value the more likely the degree of narrowing or spasm in the vessel. It has a good predictive value for DCI. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis, which pooled data from 2870 patients, showed a sensitivity of 90%, specificity of 71%, and negative and positive predictive values of 92% and 57% respectively [54].

- 416 Secondary end-points
- *Pharmacodynamic*
 - 419
 420 *CSF and blood Haptoglobin and Malondialdehyde*421

Hp represents a major Hb detoxification pathway and is upregulated by Nrf2. MDA is a measure of oxidative stress. Hp and MDA will be measured in blood at baseline, day seven and day 28, and in CSF on day seven. A local audit at the main study neurosurgical centre showed that approximately 1/3 of patients have an EVD sited as part of their routine clinical care to treat hydrocephalus. In these patients additional samples will be obtained. This will allow investigation of the temporal profile of Hp level and oxidative stress. Additionally, there will be exploratory investigations using proteomics, transcriptomics and genomics using CSF and blood samples.

MRI – iron and brain volume

All patients will have MRI 180 days after SAH. Brain volume on T1 sequences will
be measured, since this has been shown to correlate with outcome [55]. Cortical iron
content will be assessed using quantitative susceptibility mapping after susceptibility
weighted MR imaging, which predominantly measures siderotic iron deposits [56].
Iron is a major component of Hb, and it is unknown what effects SFX-01, SFN or
increased Hp binding of Hb may have on the downstream iron pathway.

⁵⁹ 440 *Clinical outcome*

1		
2		
3	441	
4	442	• mRS at seven days discharge 28, 90 and 180 days
5	443	 Incidence of DCI defined as a new focal deficit or reduction in GCS (by two
6	113	points or more) if not explained by other causes (i.e. re bleed, bydrocenhalus
/	444	points of more) if not explained by other eauses (i.e. re-bleed, hydrocephatus,
0 0	443	seizure, mennigus, sepsis of hyponatiaenna) [57]
10	446	• Incidence of new cerebral infarct on C1 or MRI
11	447	• Institution of hypertensive therapy for presumed DCI
12	448	• SF-36 quality of life survey at 28, 90 & 180 days
13	449	 CLCE-24 and BICRO-39 at 90 & 180 days
14	450	 SAHOT and GOSE at 28, 90 & 180 days
15	451	• Length of acute hospital stay
16	452	Discharge destination
17	453	
10	454	A number of measures of efficacy have been selected reflecting the most common
20	455	stroke outcome assessment (mRS), the most common brain injury assessment
21	456	(GOSE) the most common quality of life survey (SF-36) and the only SAH specific
22	457	outcome tool [41] to determine the most sensitive tool and make estimates of effect
23	458	size SAHOT includes 56 items dealing with cognitive physical and
24	459	behavioural/psychological consequences of SAH developed in a SAH focus group by
25	460	patients and experts in the field. This outcome tool has been validated and proposed
26	461	as a more sensitive and responsive tool in SAH [41]. All these tools will be
2/	462	administered by research nurses or doctors trained in mRS and GOSE in person, or in
20 20	402	the event this is not feasible, by phone
30	405	the event this is not reastore, by phone.
31	404	A number of short term nations outcomes related to the incidence of DCI are included
32	405	A number of short term patient outcomes related to the incidence of DCI are included
33	400	a priori such as incidence of infarction as adjudicated by a binded consultant
34	467	neuroradiologist (with baseline CT and follow up MRT), and institution of
35	468	nypertensive therapy which is defined as institution of inotropes to increase blood
36	469	pressure in intensive care. Several simple outcomes including length of stay and
3/	470	discharge destination that have been associated with outcome [58] are also included a
30 39	471	<i>priori</i> in the event any patients are lost to longer term follow up.
40	472	
41	473	<u>A summary of all the key study activities with their specific time</u>
42	474	points are outlined in table 1.
43	475	
44	т/Ј	

Table 1. Schedule of assessments

477									
	Time points ¹ Study procedures		D 1-3	Ongoing	D 7	Discharge	D 28	D 90	D 180
			(12-24	assessment	(+/-1)	(-2)	(-6/+2)	(+/-14)	(+/- 28)
			dose)	(Alternate days) ⁶ (+/-1)					
	Consent	X							
	Inclusion/exclusion	X							
	WFNS grading	X							
	IMP treatment	X							
	Safety bloods ²		X	X	Х	Х	Х		
	Safety urine	X			Х	Х	X		
	Lipid profile	X			Х	X	X		
	Coagulation screen								

HP, MI	TCD readings ³		X	X	X			
	DA (Blood/CSF) ⁴	X			X		X	
SFN & metabolites (Blood/CSF) ⁵					X			
Preg	nancy test	X						
	mRS				X	Х	X	X
GOSE SAHOT							X	X
							X	X
	SF-36						<u> </u>	
BI	$\frac{CRO-39}{LCE-24}$							
C	MRI							Λ
	WIKI							
 Safety bloods include: Biochemistry: Sodium, Potassium, Urea, Creatinine, Glucose, Calcium, Total Bilirubin, Alkaline Phosphatase, Alanine Transaminase, Albumin, C-Reactive Protein, and Haematology including Haemoglobin, White Blood Cell Count, Neutrophils (Absolute), Lymphocytes (Absolute), Platelets. These will be done at least on alternate days until no longer clinically indicated. TCDs will be performed at baseline before day 3 and will be repeated on alternate daily basis until at least day 7 or where clinically indicated. Hp and MDA will be assayed in both CSF and blood at baseline, where possible i.e if patient has an EVD fitted this will be measured in the CSF and blood at baseline as well as every other day until EVD is removed. All patients will have pP and MDA assayed on either a LP or EVD sample on day 7. SFN and its metabolites will be measured on day 7 in all patient with paired blood and CSF (LP or EVD sample). These assessments will be done on every other day basis with a +/- 1 day window. They will be carried on until 								
No forn sample	nal sample size size of 90 is bas	calculati sed on th	on has be e followir	en carried o ng assumpt	out; the po ions:	wer assoc	iated with	h a
•	The error proba	oility for the Type I error should not exceed 5% for a one-						
	sided test;				ould not ex	ceed 5%		
•	The primary end of a t-test	dpoint w	ill be com	pared betw	veen treatn	nent grou	os by mea	ans
•	The primary end of a t-test The mean maxin estimated as 1	dpoint w mum M0 75 cm/s a	ill be com CA flow v and	pared betw	veen treatn patients tr	eated 5%	os by mean SFX-01	ans is
• •	The primary end of a t-test The mean maxin estimated as 17 The standard de	dpoint w mum M(75 cm/s a eviation c	ill be com CA flow v and of maximu	pared betw elocity for um MCA fl	veen treatn patients tr low velocit	eated 5% for the second	os by mea n SFX-01 n/s	ans is
• Under t maximu mean v mean v	The primary en- of a t-test The mean maximum estimated as 1' The standard de hese assumption im MCA veloci alue. The standa alue.	dpoint w mum M0 75 cm/s a eviation c ns 90 pat ty which ard devia	ill be com CA flow v and of maximu ients will is approx tion was a	pared betw elocity for um MCA fl give 80% j imately ha issumed to	patients tr patients tr low velocit power to d lf of the st be approx	eated 5% f eated with ty is 50 cr etect a dif andard de imately 3	os by mean SFX-01 n/s Eference inviation of 0% of the	n f the
• Under t maximu mean v mean v Mean v	The primary end of a t-test The mean maxin estimated as 1' The standard de hese assumption im MCA veloci alue. The standa alue.	dpoint w mum MC 75 cm/s a eviation c ns 90 pat ty which ard devia	ill be com CA flow v and of maximu ients will is approx tion was a	pared betw elocity for im MCA fl give 80% j imately ha issumed to	patients tr patients tr low velocit power to d lf of the st be approx	eated 5% f eated with by is 50 cr etect a dif andard de imately 3	os by mea n SFX-01 n/s Eference in viation of 0% of the	n f the

1 2	
- 3 4	518
5	519
6	520
/ 8	521
9	523
10	524
11 12	525
13	526
14	527
15 16	528
17	530
18	531
19 20	532
21	533
22	534
23 24	535
25	530
26	538
27 28	539
29	540
30	541
31 32	542
33	545 544
34 25	545
35 36	546
37	547
38	548
39 40	549
41	550
42 43	552
44	553
45	554
46 47	555
48	556
49 50	558
50 51	559
52	560
53 54	561
54 55	562
56	563
57 58	564
58 59	363
60	

518 Assignment of intervention

0 Randomisation and blinding

Patients will be randomised in a 1:1 ratio to the active or placebo arm. Randomisation will be stratified using the most recent World Federation of Neurosurgical Societies (WFNS) grade [59] prior to randomization. Patients in different WFNS groups will have significantly different outcomes [60] and imbalance between treatment arms risks treatment allocation bias.

All treatment packs will be otherwise identical in appearance. Placebo capsules will be identical and contain α -cyclodextrin making the contents indistinguishable should they be opened either inadvertently or for the purposes of NG administration. Patients will be randomised to one of the treatment groups by allocation of the appropriate, sequentially numbered treatment pack from either the clinical trials pharmacy, or a suitably calibrated and monitored fridge outside the pharmacy. The treatment packs will be pre-numbered according to a block balanced randomisation code with a ratio of 1:1 by a blinded third party. They will be selected as per WFNS grading by a member of a research team from pharmacy.

88 Unblinding

The Pharmacy will receive a sealed envelope containing the identity of each trial medication bottle. An envelope may be opened only in the case of a serious adverse event and only when it is essential to the subsequent management of the patient. The decision to unblind will be made in discussion between the treating clinician and PI and where possible CI. The independent trial centre will be responsible for breaking codes for regulatory submissions of Suspected Unexpected Serious Adverse Reactions (SUSARs), thereby maintaining the overall confidentiality of the code breaks. If the code is broken the data for that patient will be excluded from the Per Protocol Population analysis but included in the Intention to Treat Analysis. They will continue in the study and complete the study visits in accordance with the study visit schedule.

Data collection and management

Data collection will be performed by Good Clinical Practice-trained members of the research team. Study specific training and additional training in disease specific questionnaires will be provided. The data will be entered into a secure electronic case report form.

Statistical analysis

The following populations will be considered for the analysis:

• Intention-to-Treat population (ITT): all randomised patients who receive at least one dose of study medication and with any post-dose efficacy evaluations. Patients where the time from ictus to admission is unknown are to be considered as part of the ITT population .

Per-protocol population (PPP): The Per Protocol Population (for Primary analysis) will be considered to be those patients in the ITT population that have been dosed for a minimum to day seven post ictus without any major protocol violations (i.e. wrong inclusions, etc.). Safety population: all randomised patients who have taken at least one dose of • study medication. Last observation carried forwards (LOCF) will be used to impute missing outcome at 6 months. The final full statistical analysis plan will be published prior to unblinding. Monitoring A data safety monitoring board (DSMB) has been set-up to monitor the safety aspect of the trial throughout. The DSMB will be independent of the study team and company and has its own charter. A steering committee (consisting of the Chief Investigator and the sponsor's Chief Medical Officer) will receive and review the reports from DSMB, and take action as appropriate. The first 20 patients will only be dosed as an inpatient and may therefore have courses shorter than 28 days. The DSMB plan to hold an initial meeting after recruitment of 20 patients who will have completed seven days of trial medication. If there are no safety concerns, further patients will be allowed to be dosed with the trial drug after discharge to other hospitals or home. The DSMB will also meet if there are any SUSARs or if two patients have had an increase in the grading of the severity of adverse events. Recruitment will stop once the target has been reached or if DSMB deems the study or trial drug to be associated with a significant number of adverse events compared to the normal patient population. The recruitment target is set to a minimum of 90 patients between three centres in the United Kingdom. Up to 120 patients may be recruited in order to allow for withdrawals and deaths. External monitoring will occur regularly throughout the study and after the study has been completed. At these visits the monitor(s) will inspect various study records; case report forms, investigator site file and source data, provided that subject confidentiality is respected. Adverse events reporting All adverse events, adverse drug reactions and serious adverse events (SAE) will be accurately documented. The AEs are graded in both severity and seriousness i.e mild, moderate and severe. Where severe on the severity scale subsequent SAEs will be completed instead, within 24 hours of the research team being informed. At each visit all AEs will be reassessed to ensure no change has occurred from the previous assessment. Monitoring and auditing is done against the original documentation on a six-weekly basis by the external monitor. All AEs are also entered onto an electronic case report form where further external monitoring will be done. Pregnancies occurring during the study must be reported immediately. In the event it does occur, patients will be referred for close obstetric monitoring. All obstetric visits

1		
2	<i></i>	
4	616	will be monitored closely by the research team and any concerns will be highlighted
5	617	and addressed accordingly.
6	618	
7	619	
8	620	Data Availability
9	621	
10	622	Upon completion of the study, data will be shared with other eligible investigators
11	623	through academically established means. The datasets used and/or analysed during the
12	624	study will be available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
13	625	$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i$
14 15	626	Ethical considerations and Informed Consent
15	627	Ethical consider ations and informed Consent
10	027 (29	
18	628	Consent procedures and emergency dosing
19	629	
20	630	Most patients with acute SAH present with either severe headache or altered level of
21	631	consciousness. Many will lack capacity with no legal representative immediately
22	632	available. SAH is an acute emergency and any benefit from SFX-01 is likely to be
23	633	greatest the earlier it is administered. The study has therefore been granted ethical
24	634	permission to obtain baseline blood testing and administer two doses of trial drug
25	635	without consent if the patient is lacking in capacity and no legal representative is
26	636	available. If no consent can be obtained at that point, the patient will be withdrawn
27	637	from the study
28	638	
29	630	
30	640	Consent will be obtained in one of three scenarios:
32	641	Consent will be obtained in one of three scenarios.
33	642	1 Detionts with consists
34	042	1- Patients with capacity
35	643	2- Patients without capacity, but with a relative or next of kin available
36	644	immediately in person
37	645	3- Patients without capacity and no relative or next of kin immediately available
38	646	in person. In this case, a professional legal representative will be approached.
39	647	
40	648	Re-Consent
41	649	
42 43	650	This must take place in two different scenarios:
44	651	1- When patients regain capacity, they must be re-consented.
45	652	2- When patients have been consented through a professional legal
46	653	representative, after which time either they regaine capacity or the next of kin
47	654	becomes available
48	655	
49	656	Disquesion
50	050	Discussion
51	657	
52	658	There is mounting evidence supporting the role of the Nrf2 pathway in outcome after
53	659	SAH. SFN upregulates Nrf2 expression and improves outcome in animal models.
54		
E E	660	SFX-01 represents an exciting and novel way to deliver SFN to SAH patients with the
55	660 661	SFX-01 represents an exciting and novel way to deliver SFN to SAH patients with the potential to improve their lives.
55 56 57	660 661 662	SFX-01 represents an exciting and novel way to deliver SFN to SAH patients with the potential to improve their lives.
55 56 57 58	660 661 662 663	SFX-01 represents an exciting and novel way to deliver SFN to SAH patients with the potential to improve their lives. This trial will investigate the safety, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of
55 56 57 58 59	660 661 662 663 664	SFX-01 represents an exciting and novel way to deliver SFN to SAH patients with the potential to improve their lives.This trial will investigate the safety, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of SFX-01 after SAH. If successful it may deliver long-term benefit to patients who have

suffered SAH and provide new hope to a group of patients characterised by complex neurocognitive problems and disability. Footnotes Authors' contributions: DB conceived the trial. AZ, IG and DB were all involved in the design of the study and its setup. AZ and DB wrote the study protocol. DB managed the recruitment of other centres. SF, IG and DB reviewed the protocol manuscript and approved the final version. Acknowledgements: The protocol was revised and finalised in conjunction with David Howatt and Robert Holland previously at Evgen Pharma. The manuscript was reviewed by Sally Ross and Thomas Morris of Evgen Pharma. **Funding:** This study is funded and sponsored by EvgenPharma. **Competing interests:** Dr Stephen Franklin is the Chief Executive Officer of Evgen Pharma plc. All the other authors have no financial or non-financial interest in Evgen Pharma plc. Ethical approval: South Central Research Ethics Committee, Hampshire A, UK. Word count: 5220 References de Rooij NK, Linn FHH, van der Plas JA, et al. Incidence of subarachnoid haemorrhage: a systematic review with emphasis on region, age, gender and time trends. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2007;78:1365-72. doi:10.1136/jnnp.2007.117655 Van Gijn J, Rinkel GJE. Subarachnoid haemorrhage: Diagnosis, causes and management. Brain. 2001. doi:10.1093/brain/124.2.249 Nieuwkamp DJ, Setz LE, Algra A, et al. Changes in case fatality of aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage over time, according to age, sex, and region: a meta-analysis. *Lancet Neurol* 2009;8:635–42. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(09)70126-7 Kirkpatrick P, Lindsay K, Shaw M, et al. National Study of Subarachnoid Haemorrhage. 2006. Rivero-Arias O, Gray A, Wolstenholme J. Burden of disease and costs of aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage (aSAH) in the United Kingdom. Cost Eff Resour Alloc 2010;8:1–12. doi:10.1186/1478-7547-8-6 Pickard JD, Murray GD, Illingworth R, et al. Effect of oral nimodipine on cerebral infarction and outcome after subarachnoid haemorrhage : British aneurysm nimodipine trial. *Bmj*

2			
3	711		1989- 298 -636–42. doi:10.1136/bmi.298.6674.636
4 5	712	7	Dorhout Mees SM Rinkel GI Feigin VI. et al. Calcium
6	712	,	antagonists for aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage Cochrane
7	714		Database Syst Rev Published Online First: 2007
8	714		d_{0} :10 1002/14651959 CD000277 muh2
9 10	/15	0	$u_{01.10.1002/14051858.CD000277.pubs$
10	716	8	Zolnourian A, Galea I, Bulters D. Neuroprotective Role of the Nr12
12	717		Pathway in Subarachnoid Haemorrhage and Its Therapeutic
13	718		Potential. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2019;2019:1–21.
14	719		doi:10.1155/2019/6218239
15 16	720	9	Dóczi T. The pathogenetic and prognostic significance of blood-
10	721		brain barrier damage at the acute stage of aneurysmal subarachnoid
18	722		haemorrhage Clinical and experimental studies Acta Neurochir
19	723		$(Wign)$ 1985:77:110_
20	723		$\frac{(77107)}{22 \text{ http://www.nobi.nlm.nih.gov/nuhmod/4072781}}$
21 22	724	10	52.110p.7/www.11col.1111.1111.gov/publicd/4072781
23	125	10	Laszio FA, Varga C, Doczi T. Cerebral oedema alter subaracinoid
24	726		haemorrhage. Pathogenetic significance of vasopressin. Acta
25	727		<i>Neurochir (Wien)</i> 1995; 133 :122–
26 27	728		33.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8748754
27	729	11	Zetterling M, Hallberg L, Ronne-Engström E. Early global brain
29	730		oedema in relation to clinical admission parameters and outcome in
30	731		patients with aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage. Acta
31	732		Neurochir (Wien) 2010:152:1527-33 doi:10.1007/s00701-010-
32 33	733		0684-8
34	734	12	Cabill WI Calvert IH Zhang IH Mechanisms of early brain injury
35	734	12	after subgrachnoid homorrhage. I Careb Blood Flow Metab
36	755		2006.2(1241 52 doi:10.1028/ai.johfm.0600282
37 38	/36	10	2000, 20.1341 - 35. doi: 10.1038/sj.jcolm.9000283
39	737	13	Lad SP, Hegen H, Gupta G, <i>et al.</i> Proteomic biomarker discovery in
40	738		cerebrospinal fluid for cerebral vasospasm following subarachnoid
41	739		hemorrhage. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 2012;21:30–41.
42	740		doi:10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2010.04.004
43	741	14	Kusaka G, Ishikawa M, Nanda A, et al. Signaling pathways for
45	742		early brain injury after subarachnoid hemorrhage. J Cereb Blood
46	743		Flow Metab Published Online First: 2004.
47	744		doi 10 1097/01 WCB 0000125886 48838 7E
40 49	745	15	Gaetani P. Pasqualin A. Rodriguez v. Baena R. <i>et al.</i> Oxidative
50	746	15	stress in the human brain after subarachnoid hemorrhage. I
51	740		Neurosung Dublished Online First, 2000
52	/4/		<i>Neurosurg</i> Published Online First. 2009.
53 54	748	1.0	doi:10.31/1/jns.1998.89.5.0/48
55	749	16	Fassbender K, Hodapp B, Rossol S, <i>et al.</i> Inflammatory cytokines
56	750		in subarachnoid haemorrhage: Association with abnormal blood
57	751		flow velocities in basal cerebral arteries. J Neurol Neurosurg
58 59	752		Psychiatry Published Online First: 2001. doi:10.1136/jnnp.70.4.534
60	753	17	Pennings FA, Bouma GJ, Ince C. Direct observation of the human

2			
3	754		cerebral microcirculation during aneurysm surgery reveals
4	755		increased arteriolar contractility <i>Stroke</i> 2004: 35 :1284–8
6	756		doi:10.1161/01 STR 0000126039.91400.cb
7	757	18	Dorsch NWC King MT A review of cerebral vasosnasm in
8	750	10	anoury amal subarasharid has marrhage Dart I: Insidence and affects
9	/58		aneurysmai subaracimoru naemormage Part I. incidence and effects.
10	759	10	J. Clin. Neurosci. 1994. doi:10.1016/0967-5868(94)90005-1
12	760	19	Itoh K, Chiba T, Takahashi S, <i>et al.</i> An Nrf2/small Mat heterodimer
13	761		mediates the induction of phase II detoxifying enzyme genes
14 15	762		through antioxidant response elements. <i>Biochem Biophys Res</i>
15	763		<i>Commun</i> 1997; 236 :313–22. doi:10.1006/bbrc.1997.6943
17	764	20	Venugopal R, Jaiswal a K. Nrf2 and Nrf1 in association with Jun
18	765		proteins regulate antioxidant response element-mediated expression
19 20	766		and coordinated induction of genes encoding detoxifying enzymes.
20	767		Oncogene 1998:17:3145-56. doi:10.1038/si.onc.1202237
22	768	21	Itoh K Ishii T Wakabayashi N <i>et al</i> Regulatory mechanisms of
23	769	2 1	cellular response to oxidative stress <i>Free Radic Res</i> 1999: 31 :319_
24	702		24 doi:10.1080/10715760000300881
25	770	\mathbf{r}	Zhao V. Song S. Sun G. et al. Neuroprotective Pole of Hentoglobin
27	//1	LL	2 Indo A, Solig S, Sull O, <i>et al.</i> Neuroprotective Role of Haptoglobil
28	112		alter intraceredral Hemorinage. J Neurosci 2009,29:15819–27.
29	773	•••	doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3776-09.2009
31	774	23	Galea J, Cruickshank G, Teeling JL, et al. The intrathecal CD163-
32	775		haptoglobin-hemoglobin scavenging system in subarachnoid
33	776		hemorrhage. J Neurochem 2012; 121 :785–92. doi:10.1111/j.1471-
34	777		4159.2012.07716.x
36	778	24	Kristiansen M, Graversen JH, Jacobsen C, et al. Identification of
37	779		the haemoglobin scavenger receptor. <i>Nature</i> 2001;409:198–201.
38	780		doi:10.1038/35051594
39 40	781	25	Bulters D. Gaastra B. Zolnourian A. <i>et al.</i> Haemoglobin scavenging
40	782	-	in intracranial bleeding biology and clinical implications <i>Nat Rev</i>
42	783		Neurol 2018:14:416–32. doi:10.1038/s41582-018-0020-0
43	784	26	Zhao X Sun G Ting SM <i>et al</i> Cleaning up after ICH: The role of
44 45	785	20	Nrf2 in modulating microglia function and hematoma clearance I
46	705		Naurochem 2015:133:144 52 doi:10.1111/inc.12074
47	/80	27	Memia CM Candy IM Edwardson IA at al Evidence for the
48	/8/	21	Months CM, Candy JM, Edwardson JA, <i>et al.</i> Evidence for the
49 50	/88		localization of naemopexin immunoreactivity in neurones in the
51	789		human brain. <i>Neurosci Lett</i> 1993; 149 :141–4. doi:10.1016/0304-
52	790		3940(93)90756-В
53	791	28	Chen M, Regan RF. Time course of increased heme oxygenase
54 55	792		activity and expression after experimental intracerebral hemorrhage:
56	793		Correlation with oxidative injury. <i>J Neurochem</i> 2007; 103 :2015–21.
57	794		doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.2007.04885.x
58	795	29	Harada N, Kanayama M, Maruyama A, et al. Nrf2 regulates
59 60	796		ferroportin 1-mediated iron efflux and counteracts

1			
2			
4	797		lipopolysaccharide-induced ferroportin 1 mRNA suppression in
5	798		macrophages. Arch Biochem Biophys 2011;508:101–9.
6	799		doi:10.1016/j.abb.2011.02.001
7 8	800	30	Sandberg M, Patil J, D'Angelo B, et al. NRF2-regulation in brain
9	801		health and disease: Implication of cerebral inflammation.
10	802		<i>Neuropharmacology</i> 2014; 79 :298–306.
11	803		doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2013.11.004
12	804	31	Pan H. Wang H. Zhu L. <i>et al.</i> Depletion of Nrf2 enhances
14	805	• -	inflammation induced by oxyhemoglobin in cultured mice
15	806		astrocytes <i>Neurochem Res</i> 2011: 36 :2434–41 doi:10.1007/s11064-
16 17	807		011-0571-6
17	808	32	Chen G Fang O Zhang I at al Role of the Nrf2-ARE nathway in
19	808	52	arly brain injury after experimental subgrachnoid homorrhage. I
20	809 010		Neurosci Dez 2011.90.515 22 doi:10.1002/imr.22577
21 22	810	22	Neurosci Res 2011; 89 :515–23. doi:10.1002/jnf.22577
22	811	33	Li I, Wang H, Ding Y, <i>et al.</i> Genetic elimination of Nr12
24	812		aggravates secondary complications except for vasospasm after
25	813		experimental subarachnoid hemorrhage in mice. Brain Res
26 27	814		2014; 1558 :90–9. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2014.02.036
28	815	34	Zhao XD, Zhou YT, Lu XJ. Sulforaphane enhances the activity of
29	816		the Nrf2-ARE pathway and attenuates inflammation in OxyHb-
30	817		induced rat vascular smooth muscle cells. Inflamm Res
31 32	818		2013; 62 :857–63. doi:10.1007/s00011-013-0641-0
33	819	35	Zhao X, Wen L, Dong M, <i>et al.</i> Sulforaphane activates the cerebral
34	820		vascular Nrf2–ARE pathway and suppresses inflammation to
35	821		attenuate cerebral vasospasm in rat with subarachnoid hemorrhage.
30 37	822		Brain Res 2016:1653:1–7. doi:10.1016/i brainres 2016.09.035
38	823	36	Farrell B Godwin I Richards S <i>et al</i> The United Kingdom
39	824	50	transient ischaemic attack (IIK-TIA) aspirin trial: Final results I
40 41	024 825		Naurol Naurosurg Psychiatry Published Online First: 1051
42	825 876		doi:10.1136/innp.54.12.1044
43	020 027	27	Denkin I. Corebral Vaccular Assidents in Detients over the Ass of
44	827	51	Co. III. Discussion and Transforment. Spectr Mod. I. Debliehold Online
45 46	828		50. III. Diagnosis and Treatment. Scott Mea J Published Online
47	829	•	First: 1957. doi:10.11/7/003693305700200604
48	830	38	Quinn IJ, Dawson J, Walters MR, et al. Reliability of the modified
49 50	831		rankin scale: A systematic review. <i>Stroke</i> Published Online First:
50 51	832		2009. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.109.557256
52	833	39	Jennett B, Bond M. ASSESSMENT OF OUTCOME AFTER
53	834		SEVERE BRAIN DAMAGE. A Practical Scale. <i>Lancet</i> Published
54 57	835		Online First: 1975. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(75)92830-5
55 56	836	40	Jennett B, Snoek J, Bond MR, et al. Disability after severe head
57	837		injury: Observations on the use of the Glasgow Outcome Scale. J
58	838		Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry Published Online First: 1981
59 60	839		doi:10.1136/innp.44.4.285
00	0.57		

3	840	41	Pace A. Mitchell S. Casselden E. <i>et al.</i> A subarachnoid
4 5	841		haemorrhage-specific outcome tool <i>Brain</i> 2018.141.1111–21
6	8/12		doi:10.1093/brain/awy003
7	042	12	Dowall III Dackars V. Graanwood DI Magguring prograss and
8	84 <i>3</i>	42	rowen jn, beckers K, oreenwood KJ. Measuring progress and
9	844		outcome in community renabilitation after brain injury with a new
10 11	845		assessment instrument - The BICRO-39 scales. Arch Phys Med
12	846		<i>Rehabil</i> Published Online First: 1998. doi:10.1016/S0003-
13	847		9993(98)90265-9
14	848	43	van Heugten C, Rasquin S, Winkens I, et al. Checklist for cognitive
15	849		and emotional consequences following stroke (CLCE-24):
10	850		development, usability and quality of the self-report version. <i>Clin</i>
18	851		Neurol Neurosurg $2007 \cdot 109 \cdot 257 - 62$
19	852		doi:10.1016/i clineuro 2006.10.002
20	852 852	11	Al Tamimi V7 Bhargaya D Felthower BG at al Lumbar drainage
21 22	0 <i>5 5</i>	44	Al-Tallinin TZ, Bhargava D, Pettobwer KO, <i>et al.</i> Lumbar dramage
23	854		of cerebrospinal fluid after aneurysmal subaracinoid nemormage, a
24	855		prospective, randomized, controlled trial (LUMAS). Stroke
25	856		2012; 43 :6/7–82. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.625731
26 27	857	45	Fisher CM, Kistler JP, Davis JM. Relation of cerebral vasospasm to
28	858		subarachnoid hemorrhage visualized by computerized tomographic
29	859		scanning. <i>Neurosurgery</i> 1980;6:1–
30	860		9.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7354892
31	861	46	Naidech AM, Janjua N, Kreiter KT, et al. Predictors and impact of
33	862		aneurysm rebleeding after subarachnoid hemorrhage. Arch Neurol
34	863		2005: 62 :410–6. doi:10.1001/archneur.62.3.410
35	864	47	Zhao I Kobori N Aronowski I <i>et al</i> Sulforanhane reduces infarct
30 37	865	• /	volume following focal cerebral ischemia in rodents <i>Neurosci Lett</i>
38	865 866		$2006 \cdot 303 \cdot 108$ 12 doi:10.1016/i peulet 2005.00.065
39	800	10	2000, 333.100-12. doi: 10.1010/J.ileulet.2003.03.003
40	867	40	Zhao X, Sun G, Zhang J, <i>et al.</i> Transcription factor N12 protects
41 42	868		the brain from damage produced by intracerebral nemorrhage.
43	869	4.0	Stroke 2007; 38 :3280–6. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.486506
44	870	49	Alfieri A, Srivastava S, Siow RCM, <i>et al.</i> Sulforaphane
45	871		preconditioning of the Nrf2/HO-1 defense pathway protects the
46 47	872		cerebral vasculature against blood-brain barrier disruption and
48	873		neurological deficits in stroke. Free Radic Biol Med 2013;65:1012-
49	874		22. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2013.08.190
50	875	50	Sharma V, McNeill JH. To scale or not to scale: The principles of
51	876		dose extrapolation. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2009. doi:10.1111/i.1476-
53	877		5381 2009 00267 x
54	878	51	Reagan-Shaw S Nihal M Ahmad N Dose translation from animal
55	870	<i>J</i> 1	to human studies revisited FASER I Published Online First. 2007
56 57	017		doi:10.1006/fi 07.057/I SE
58	001	50	$\frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{10000} \frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$
59	881	52	Clarke JD, HSu A, Williams DE, <i>et al.</i> Metabolism and tissue
60	882		distribution of sulforaphane in Nrf2 knockout and wild-type mice.

2			
3	883		<i>Pharm Res</i> 2011: 28 :3171–9. doi:10.1007/s11095-011-0500-z
4	884	53	Jazwa A Rojo AL Innamorato NG <i>et al</i> Pharmacological
6	885	00	Targeting of the Transcription Factor Nrf? at the Basal Ganglia
7	005		Dravidag Digaaga Madifying Therapy for Eurorimental
8	886		Provides Disease woonlying Therapy for Experimental
9	887		Parkinsonism. Antioxid Redox Signal 2011;14:2347–60.
10	888		doi:10.1089/ars.2010.3731
12	889	54	Kumar G, Shahripour RB, Harrigan MR. Vasospasm on transcranial
13	890		Doppler is predictive of delayed cerebral ischemia in aneurysmal
14	891		subarachnoid hemorrhage: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
15	892		2016; 124 :1257–64. doi:10.3171/2015.4.JNS15428.
16 17	893	55	Tam AKH Ilodigwe D Li Z <i>et al.</i> Global cerebral atrophy after
18	894	00	subarachnoid hemorrhage: a possible marker of acute brain injury
19	80 5		and assassment of its impact on outcome. Acta Neurochir Suppl
20	893		Dublished Outing First 2012 dai:10.1007/078.2.7001.1102.5.5
21	896		Published Online First: 2013. doi:10.100//9/8-3-/091-1192-5_5
22	897	56	Nicci Campbell, Carl Verschuur, Sophie Mitchell, Orlaith
24	898		McCaffrey, Lewis Deane, Hannah Taylor, Rory Smith, Lesley
25	899		Foulkes, James Glazier, Angela Darekar, Mark E Haacke, Diederik
26	900		Bulters and IG. Hearing impairment after subarachnoid
27	901		haemorrhage. Ann Clin Transl Neurol 2018; In press.
20	902		doi:10.1002/acn3.714
30	903	57	Vergouwen MDI Vermeulen M van Gijn L <i>et al</i> Definition of
31	903	01	delayed cerebral ischemia after aneurysmal subarachnoid
32	005		homorrhage as an outcome event in clinical trials and observational
33 34	903		teritorinage as an outcome event in chinical trais and observational
35	906		studies: proposal of a multidisciplinary research group. <i>Stroke</i>
36	907		2010; 41 :2391–5. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.589275
37	908	58	Alaraj A, Hussein AE, Esfahani DR, <i>et al.</i> Reducing length of stay
38	909		in aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: A three year institutional
40	910		experience. J Clin Neurosci 2017;42:66–70.
41	911		doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2017.03.049
42	912	59	Teasdale GM, Drake CG, Hunt W, et al. A universal subarachnoid
43	913		haemorrhage scale: report of a committee of the world federation of
44 45	914		Neurosurgical societies I Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1988
46	015		doi:10,1126/innp,51,11,1457
47	915	60	Lois DND Sensarily C. Lingama LIE, et al. Development and
48	916	60	Jaja BINK, Saposnik G, Lingsma HF, <i>et al.</i> Development and
49 50	917		validation of outcome prediction models for aneurysmal
50	918		subarachnoid haemorrhage: The SAHIT multinational cohort study.
52	919		<i>BMJ</i> Published Online First: 2018. doi:10.1136/bmj.j5745
53	920		
54			
55 56			
57			
58			
59			



SPIRIT 2013 Checklist: Recommended items to address in a clinical trial protocol and related documents*

Section/item	Page No.	Description		
Administrative in	format	ion		
Title	1	Descriptive title identifying the study design, population, interventions, and, if applicable, trial acronym		
Trial registration	2	Trial identifier and registry name. If not yet registered, name of intended registry		
	2	All items from the World Health Organization Trial Registration Data Set		
Protocol version	1	Date and version identifier		
Funding	15	Sources and types of financial, material, and other support		
Roles and	1	Names, affiliations, and roles of protocol contributors		
responsibilities	1,15	Name and contact information for the trial sponsor		
	15	Role of study sponsor and funders, if any, in study design; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the report; and the decision to submit the report for publication, including whether they will have ultimate authority over any of these activities		
	13, 14, 15	Composition, roles, and responsibilities of the coordinating centre, steering committee, endpoint adjudication committee, data management team, and other individuals or groups overseeing the trial, if applicable (see Item 21a for data monitoring committee)		
Introduction				
Background and rationale	3,4	Description of research question and justification for undertaking the trial, including summary of relevant studies (published and unpublished) examining benefits and harms for each intervention		
	4	Explanation for choice of comparators		
Objectives	4,5	Specific objectives or hypotheses		
Trial design	4,5	Description of trial design including type of trial (eg, parallel group, crossover, factorial, single group), allocation ratio, and framework (eg, superiority, equivalence, noninferiority, exploratory)		

2	Methods: Participants, interventions, and outcomes					
4 5 6 7	Study setting	6	Description of study settings (eg, community clinic, academic hospital) and list of countries where data will be collected. Reference to where list of study sites can be obtained			
8 9 10 11	Eligibility criteria	6,7	Inclusion and exclusion criteria for participants. If applicable, eligibility criteria for study centres and individuals who will perform the interventions (eg, surgeons, psychotherapists)			
12 13 14 15	Interventions	7,8	Interventions for each group with sufficient detail to allow replication, including how and when they will be administered			
16 17 18 19		8	Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated interventions for a given trial participant (eg, drug dose change in response to harms, participant request, or improving/worsening disease)			
20 21 22 23 24		8	Strategies to improve adherence to intervention protocols, and any procedures for monitoring adherence (eg, drug tablet return, laboratory tests)			
24 25 26 27		8	Relevant concomitant care and interventions that are permitted or prohibited during the trial			
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	Outcomes	8,9, 10	Primary, secondary, and other outcomes, including the specific measurement variable (eg, systolic blood pressure), analysis metric (eg, change from baseline, final value, time to event), method of aggregation (eg, median, proportion), and time point for each outcome. Explanation of the clinical relevance of chosen efficacy and harm outcomes is strongly recommended			
36 37 38 39	Participant timeline	10, 11	Time schedule of enrolment, interventions (including any run-ins and washouts), assessments, and visits for participants. A schematic diagram is highly recommended (see Figure)			
40 41 42 43 44	Sample size	11	Estimated number of participants needed to achieve study objectives and how it was determined, including clinical and statistical assumptions supporting any sample size calculations			
45 46 47	Recruitment	11	Strategies for achieving adequate participant enrolment to reach target sample size			
48 49	Methods: Assign	Methods: Assignment of interventions (for controlled trials)				
50 51	Allocation:					
52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	Sequence generation	12	Method of generating the allocation sequence (eg, computer- generated random numbers), and list of any factors for stratification. To reduce predictability of a random sequence, details of any planned restriction (eg, blocking) should be provided in a separate document that is unavailable to those who enrol participants or assign interventions			

Allocation concealment mechanism	12	Mechanism of implementing the allocation sequence (eg, central telephone; sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed envelopes), describing any steps to conceal the sequence until interventions are assigned
Implementation	12	Who will generate the allocation sequence, who will enrol participants, and who will assign participants to interventions
Blinding (masking)	12	Who will be blinded after assignment to interventions (eg, trial participants, care providers, outcome assessors, data analysts), and how
	12	If blinded, circumstances under which unblinding is permissible, and procedure for revealing a participant's allocated intervention during the trial
Methods: Data co	llectio	n, management, and analysis
Data collection methods	12	Plans for assessment and collection of outcome, baseline, and other trial data, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, duplicate measurements, training of assessors) and a description of study instruments (eg, questionnaires, laboratory tests) along with their reliability and validity, if known. Reference to where data collection forms can be found, if not in the protocol
	N/A	Plans to promote participant retention and complete follow-up, including list of any outcome data to be collected for participants who discontinue or deviate from intervention protocols
Data management	13	Plans for data entry, coding, security, and storage, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, double data entry; range checks for data values). Reference to where details of data management procedures can be found, if not in the protocol
Statistical methods	12, 13	Statistical methods for analysing primary and secondary outcomes. Reference to where other details of the statistical analysis plan can be found, if not in the protocol
	12	Methods for any additional analyses (eg, subgroup and adjusted analyses)
	13	Definition of analysis population relating to protocol non-adherence (eg, as randomised analysis), and any statistical methods to handle missing data (eg, multiple imputation)
Methods: Monitor	ring	
Data monitoring	13	Composition of data monitoring committee (DMC); summary of its role and reporting structure; statement of whether it is independent from the sponsor and competing interests; and reference to where further details about its charter can be found, if not in the protocol. Alternatively, an explanation of why a DMC is not needed

2 3 4 5		13	Description of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines, including who will have access to these interim results and make the final decision to terminate the trial
6 7 8 9	Harms	13, 14	Plans for collecting, assessing, reporting, and managing solicited and spontaneously reported adverse events and other unintended effects of trial interventions or trial conduct
10 11 12 13 14	Auditing	13, 14	Frequency and procedures for auditing trial conduct, if any, and whether the process will be independent from investigators and the sponsor
15 16	Ethics and dissen	ninatio	n
17 18 19	Research ethics approval	2	Plans for seeking research ethics committee/institutional review board (REC/IRB) approval
20 21 22 23 24 25	Protocol amendments	2	Plans for communicating important protocol modifications (eg, changes to eligibility criteria, outcomes, analyses) to relevant parties (eg, investigators, REC/IRBs, trial participants, trial registries, journals, regulators)
26 27 28	Consent or assent	14	Who will obtain informed consent or assent from potential trial participants or authorised surrogates, and how (see Item 32)
29 30 31		14	Additional consent provisions for collection and use of participant data and biological specimens in ancillary studies, if applicable
32 33 34 35 36	Confidentiality	12	How personal information about potential and enrolled participants will be collected, shared, and maintained in order to protect confidentiality before, during, and after the trial
37 38 39	Declaration of interests	15	Financial and other competing interests for principal investigators for the overall trial and each study site
40 41 42 43	Access to data	15	Statement of who will have access to the final trial dataset, and disclosure of contractual agreements that limit such access for investigators
44 45 46 47	Ancillary and post-trial care	14	Provisions, if any, for ancillary and post-trial care, and for compensation to those who suffer harm from trial participation
47 48 49 50 51 52	Dissemination policy	2	Plans for investigators and sponsor to communicate trial results to participants, healthcare professionals, the public, and other relevant groups (eg, via publication, reporting in results databases, or other data sharing arrangements), including any publication restrictions
53 54 55		15	Authorship eligibility guidelines and any intended use of professional writers
57 58 59 60		N/A	Plans, if any, for granting public access to the full protocol, participant- level dataset, and statistical code

Appendices N/A

Informed consent materials	Model consent form and other related documentation given to participants and authorised surrogates
Biological specimens	Plans for collection, laboratory evaluation, and storage of biological specimens for genetic or molecular analysis in the current trial and for
	future use in ancillary studies, if applicable

*It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the SPIRIT 2013 Explanation & Elaboration for important clarification on the items. Amendments to the protocol should be tracked and dated. The SPIRIT checklist is copyrighted by the SPIRIT Group under the Creative Commons "<u>Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported</u>" license.

or occurrence of the text of the only