

The acute effect of metabolic cofactorsupplementation, a potential therapeuticstrategy against non-alcoholic fatty liverdisease

Cheng Zhang, Elias Bjornson, Muhammad Arif, Abdellah Tebani, Alen Lovric, Rui Benfeitas, Mehmet Ozcan, Kajetan Juszczak, Woonghee Kim, Jung Tae Kim, Gholamreza Bidkhori, Marcus Ståhlman, Per-Olof Bergh, Martin Adiels, Hasan Turkez, Marja-Riitta Taskinen, Jim Bosley, Hanns-Ulrich Marschall, Jens Nielsen, Mathias Uhlen, Jan Boren and Adil Mardinoglu.

Review timeline:	Manuscript submitted: Editorial Decision:	7th February 2020 2nd March 2020
	Revision received: Accepted:	4th March 2020 10th March 2020

Editor: Maria Polychronidou

Transaction Report:

(Note: With the exception of the correction of typographical or spelling errors that could be a source of ambiguity, letters and reports are not edited. The original formatting of letters and referee reports may not be reflected in this compilation.)

The reviewers' comments and authors' responses are not available with this article, as the initial review process took place with another journal.

1st Editorial Decision

2nd March 2020

Thank you again for submitting your work to Molecular Systems Biology. We have now heard back from the two referees who agreed to evaluate your study. Reviewer #1 and reviewer #2 are the previous reviewers #2 and #3. Both reviewers mention that their concerns have been satisfactorily addressed and are supportive of publication.

Before we formally accept the study for publication, we would ask you to address some editorial issues listed below.

REFEREE REPORTS

Reviewer #1:

The authors have well addressed my prior critiques. I have no further concerns with the paper.

Reviewer #2:

The authors have addressed my comments.

1st Revision - authors' response	4th March 2020
The Authors have made the requested editorial changes.	

Accepted

10th March 2020

Thank you for performing the requested changes. We are now satisfied with the modifications made and I am pleased to inform you that your paper has been accepted for publication.

EMBO PRESS

YOU MUST COMPLETE ALL CELLS WITH A PINK BACKGROUND lacksquire

PLEASE NOTE THAT THIS CHECKLIST WILL BE PUBLISHED ALONGSIDE YOUR PAPER

Corresponding Author Name: Adil Mardinoglu Journal Submitted to: Molecular Systems Biology Manuscript Number: MSB-20-9495R

Re porting Checklist For Life Sciences Articles (Rev. June 2017)

This checklist is used to ensure good reporting standards and to improve the reproducibility of published results. These guidelines are consistent with the Principles and Guidelines for Reporting Preclinical Research issued by the NIH in 2014. Please follow the journal's authorship guidelines in preparing your manuscript.

A- Figures

1. Data

The data shown in figures should satisfy the following conditions:

- the data were obtained and processed according to the field's best practice and are presented to reflect the results of the experiments in an accurate and unbiased manner.
 figure panels include only data points, measurements or observations that can be compared to each other in a scientifically
- meaningful way. → graphs include clearly labeled error bars for independent experiments and sample sizes. Unless justified, error bars should
- not be shown for technical replicates.
- → if n< 5, the individual data points from each experiment should be plotted and any statistical test employed should be
- Source Data should be included to report the data underlying graphs. Please follow the guidelines set out in the author ship → guidelines on Data Presentation

2. Captions

Each figure caption should contain the following information, for each panel where they are relevant:

- a specification of the experimental system investigated (eg cell line, species name).
 the assay(s) and method(s) used to carry out the reported observations and measurements
 an explicit mention of the biological and chemical entity(les) that are being measured.
 an explicit mention of the biological and chemical entity(iss) that are altered/varied/perturbed in a controlled manner.
- the exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a number, not a range;
 a description of the sample collection allowing the reader to understand whether the samples represent technical or biological replicates (including how many animals, litters, cultures, etc.).
 a statement of how many times the experiment shown was independently replicated in the laboratory.
 definitions of statistical methods and measures:
 common tests, such as t-test (please specify whether paired vs. unpaired), simple x2 tests, Wilcoxon and Mann-Whitney test on a how are binder to how methods.
- tests, can be unambiguously identified by name only, but more complex techniques should be described in the methods section;
- · are tests one-sided or two-sided?
- are there adjustments for multiple comparisons?
 exact statistical test results, e.g., P values = x but not P values < x; definition of 'center values' as median or average
- · definition of error bars as s.d. or s.e.m

Any descriptions too long for the figure legend should be included in the methods section and/or with the source data.

n the pink boxes below, please ensure that the answers to the following questions are reported in the manuscript itse ed. If the c ion for statistics, reagents, animal n arage you to include a specific subsection in the methods sec els and

B- Statistics and general methods

1.a. How was the sample size chosen to ensure adequate power to detect a pre-specified effect size?	We chose 3 replicates to obtain minimal required statistical power.
1.b. For animal studies, include a statement about sample size estimate even if no statistical methods were used.	Yes.
 Describe inclusion/exclusion criteria if samples or animals were excluded from the analysis. Were the criteria pre- established? 	Healthy female Wistar Hannover rats were included and details are included in Appendix.
 Were any steps taken to minimize the effects of subjective bias when allocating animals/samples to treatment (e.g. randomization procedure)? If yes, please describe. 	No.
For animal studies, include a statement about randomization even if no randomization was used.	We included the statement.
4.a. Were any steps taken to minimize the effects of subjective bias during group allocation or/and when assessing results (e.g. blinding of the investigator)? If yes please describe.	No.
4.b. For animal studies, include a statement about blinding even if no blinding was done	The blinding statement has been included.
5. For every figure, are statistical tests justified as appropriate?	Yes.
Do the data meet the assumptions of the tests (e.g., normal distribution)? Describe any methods used to assess it.	NA since we used non-parametric test.
Is there an estimate of variation within each group of data?	No since we normalized the data by first time point.

USEFUL LINKS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM

http://www.antibodypedia.com http://1degreebio.org

http://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/improving-bioscience-research-rep

http://grants.nih.gov/grants/olaw/olaw.htm

http://www.mrc.ac.uk/Ourresearch/Ethicsresearchguidance/Useofanimals/index.htm http://ClinicalTrials.gov

http://www.consort-statement.org

http://www.consort-statement.org/checklists/view/32-consort/66-title

http://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/reporting-recommendations-for-tume

http://datadryad.org

http://figshare.com

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gap

http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ega

http://biomodels.net/

http://biomodels.net/miriam/ http://jj.biochem.sun.ac.za http://oba.od.nih.gov/biosecu http://www.selectagents.gov/ ecurity/biosecurity_documents.html

Is the variance similar between the groups that are being statistically compared?	No since we normalized the data by first time point.

C- Reagents

6. To show that antibodies were profiled for use in the system under study (assay and species), provide a citation, catalog	NA
number and/or clone number, supplementary information or reference to an antibody validation profile. e.g.,	
Antibodypedia (see link list at top right), 1DegreeBio (see link list at top right).	
7. Identify the source of cell lines and report if they were recently authenticated (e.g., by STR profiling) and tested for	NA
mycoplasma contamination.	

* for all hyperlinks, please see the table at the top right of the document

D- Animal Models

 Report species, strain, gender, age of animals and genetic modification status where applicable. Please detail housing and husbandry conditions and the source of animals. 	These has been included in the Appendix.
 For experiments involving live vertebrates, include a statement of compliance with ethical regulations and identify the committee(s) approving the experiments. 	The ethical approval for the animal study was accquired by Swetox Sweden which we out sourced our animal study to.
10. We recommend consulting the ARRIVE guidelines (see link list at top right) (PLoS Biol. 8(6), e1000412, 2010) to ensure that other relevant aspects of animal studies are adequately reported. See author guidelines, under 'Reporting Guidelines'. See also: NIH (see link list at top right) and MRC (see link list at top right) recommendations. Please confirm compliance.	We confirm that relevant aspects of animal studies are adequately reported.

E- Human Subjects

11. Identify the committee(s) approving the study protocol.	Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Sweden
12. Include a statement confirming that informed consent was obtained from all subjects and that the experiments conformed to the principles set out in the WMA Declaration of Helsinki and the Department of Health and Human Services Belmont Report.	We confirm that informed consent was obtained from all subjects and that the experiments conformed to the principles set out in the WMA Declaration of Helsinki and the Department of Health and Human Services Belmont Report.
 For publication of patient photos, include a statement confirming that consent to publish was obtained. 	NA
14. Report any restrictions on the availability (and/or on the use) of human data or samples.	There is no restriction on the availability or use of the human data.
15. Report the clinical trial registration number (at ClinicalTrials.gov or equivalent), where applicable.	NCT03838822
16. For phase II and III randomized controlled trials, please refer to the CONSORT flow diagram (see link list at top right) and submit the CONSORT checklist (see link list at top right) with your submission. See author guidelines, under 'Reporting Guidelines'. Please confirm you have submitted this list.	NA
17. For tumor marker prognostic studies, we recommend that you follow the REMARK reporting guidelines (see link list at top right). See author guidelines, under 'Reporting Guidelines'. Please confirm you have followed these guidelines.	NA

F- Data Accessibility

18: Provide a "Data Availability" section at the end of the Materials & Methods, listing the accession codes for data	NA
generated in this study and deposited in a public database (e.g. RNA-Seq data: Gene Expression Omnibus GSE39462,	
Proteomics data: PRIDE PXD000208 etc.) Please refer to our author guidelines for 'Data Deposition'.	
Data deposition in a public repository is mandatory for:	
a. Protein, DNA and RNA sequences	
b. Macromolecular structures	
c. Crystallographic data for small molecules	
d. Functional genomics data	
e. Proteomics and molecular interactions	
19. Deposition is strongly recommended for any datasets that are central and integral to the study; please consider the	All datasets has been included as supplementary documents.
journal's data policy. If no structured public repository exists for a given data type, we encourage the provision of datasets	
in the manuscript as a Supplementary Document (see author guidelines under 'Expanded View' or in unstructured	
repositories such as Dryad (see link list at top right) or Figshare (see link list at top right).	
20. Access to human clinical and genomic datasets should be provided with as few restrictions as possible while respecting	We confirm that the human clinical data have been provided as few restrictions as possible.
ethical obligations to the patients and relevant medical and legal issues. If practically possible and compatible with the	
individual consent agreement used in the study, such data should be deposited in one of the major public access-	
controlled repositories such as dbGAP (see link list at top right) or EGA (see link list at top right).	
21. Computational models that are central and integral to a study should be shared without restrictions and provided in a	The computational model and scripts has been provided at https://sourceforge.net/projects/msb-
machine-readable form. The relevant accession numbers or links should be provided. When possible, standardized formal	20-9495r-script/
(SBML, CelIML) should be used instead of scripts (e.g. MATLAB). Authors are strongly encouraged to follow the MIRIAM	
guidelines (see link list at top right) and deposit their model in a public database such as Biomodels (see link list at top	
right) or JWS Online (see link list at top right). If computer source code is provided with the paper, it should be deposited	
in a public repository or included in supplementary information.	

G- Dual use research of concern

22. Could your study fall under dual use research restrictions? Please check biosecurity documents (see link list at top right) and list of select agents and toxins (APHIS/CDC) (see link list at top right). According to our biosecurity guidelines,	NA
provide a statement only if it could.	