Supplementary Information

A-to-I RNA editing uncovers hidden signals of adaptive genome evolution in animals

Niko Popitsch^{1,2,§}, Christian D. Huber^{3,§,*}, Ilana Buchumenski⁴, Eli Eisenberg⁵, Michael Jantsch^{6,7}, Arndt von Haeseler^{8,9} and Miguel Gallach^{9,10}.

¹Oxford NIHR Biomedical Research Center, Wellcome Trust Center for Human Genetics. University of Oxford, Roosevelt Drive, Oxford OX3 7BN, UK.

²Institute of Molecular Biotechnology (IMBA), Vienna BioCenter (VBC), 1030 Vienna, Austria
³Australian Centre for Ancient DNA, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia.
⁴The Mina and Everard Goodman Faculty of Life Sciences, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat Gan 52900, Israel.

⁵Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy and Sagol School of Neuroscience, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel.

⁶Department for Cell- and Developmental Biology, Center for Anatomy and Cell Biology. Medical University of Vienna. Schwarzspanierstrasse 17. A-1090 Vienna. Austria.

⁷Department for Medical Biochemistry. Max F. Perutz Laboratories. Medical University of Vienna. Dr. Bohr Gasse 9. A-1030 Vienna. Austria.

⁸Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, Faculty of Computer Science, University of Vienna, A-1090 Vienna, Austria.

⁹Center for Integrative Bioinformatics Vienna. Max F. Perutz Laboratories, University of Vienna and Medical University of Vienna, A-1030 Vienna, Austria.

¹⁰iLabSystems. C/ Alicante, 26, bajo, Castellón, Spain.

§ These authors contributed equally to this work

*Correspondence to: christian.huber@adelaide.edu.au.



Supplementary Fig. 1. Allele frequency spectrum of the G alleles originated from A nucleotide sites in *D. melanogaster* **lineage.** We used *D. simulans* as an outgroup to infer the ancestral state of the A,G polymorphisms in *D. melanogaster*. Blue and red lines correspond to the frequency spectrum of the G alleles in edited sites in ME and FL populations, respectively. In black, we show the expected distribution of the G allele in edited sites if they were neutral in both populations. In peach, we show the allele frequency spectrum of the G allele in unedited sites (average and 95% confidence interval). Because the number of sequenced lines are low (39 and 86 lines for FL and ME populations, respectively), the differentiation between the genomic background and edited site is less obvious than in DGRP2 population.



Supplementary Fig. 2. Differences in allele frequencies between edited and unedited sites is not affected by differences in sequencing coverage in *Drosophila***. a**, The coverage distribution of edited sites in FL and ME populations is not different from unedited sites (*P* >> 0.05 for each paired comparison; two-sided Mann-Whitney-U test). **b**, The frequency of the minor allele and sequencing coverage at the polymorphic site do not correlate.

а

b



Supplementary Fig. 3. Allele frequency spectrum of the A alleles originated from G nucleotide sites in *D. melanogaster* lineage. Blue line corresponds to the frequency spectrum of the A alleles in edited sites in DGRP2 population. In black, we show the expected distribution of the A allele in edited sites if they were neutral. In peach, we show the allele frequency spectrum of the A allele in unedited sites (average and 95% confidence interval). **a**, Allele frequency spectrum of derived A alleles constrained to A sites in reference genome. It can be seen how derived A alleles segregate at lower frequencies at edited sites than at unedited sites. **b**, Same as **a**, but only for silent sites. **c**, Allele frequency spectrum of derived A alleles constrained to G sites in reference genome for genomic background and constrained to A sites in reference genome of selecting sites from the same reference nucleotide type.



Supplementary Fig. 4. Diversity at edited and unedited sites in *Drosophila***.** Windows centered on polarized A-to-G polymorphic sites have lower diversity (in SNPs per kb) for edited SNPs than for unedited SNPs ($P = 8.4 \times 10^{-16}$; one-sided Mann-Whitney-U test).



Supplementary Fig. 5. Distribution of local recombination rates at edited and unedited sites in *Drosophila*. Recombination rates were measured for windows of 1kb centered in edited (blue) and unedited (peach) sites. Both distributions are virtually identical.



Supplementary Fig. 6. Allele frequency spectrum of intergenic G alleles originated from A nucleotide sites in human lineage. Intergenic G alleles segregate at higher frequencies in edited sites (black line) than in unedited sites (peach).



à

0.0







10

Relative position

-10

10

Supplementary Fig. 7. Control analyses for differences in polymorphic rates and polymorphism types as a byproduct of gene expression level, recombination rate and local sequence composition in *Drosophila*. a, Bias in synonymous codon usage per gene is represented as a function of gene expression level in males (blue) and females (red). Gene expression level only explains 4% (males) to 10% (females) of the total variance in codon bias when measured as the frequency of optimal codons (FOP; the higher, the more biased) and 0.3% (males) to 7% (females) of the total variance in codon bias when measured as the effective number of codons (ENC; the lower, the more biased). The coefficient of determination for edited sites (black dots) is even lower than for unedited sites. Numbers in the boxplots refer to the mean. **b**, Nucleotide diversity (SNPs per kb per gene) and iHS (averaged per gene) does not correlate with gene expression level. Black dots: genes containing edited sites. Blue and red dots: unedited genes. **c**, Local recombination rates in 10 kb windows centered on edited (blue) and on unedited (peach) sites show identical distributions. **d**, Nucleotide profiles show that local sequence context around edited and unedited sites (±1000 bp and ±10 bp) are virtually identical.



Supplementary Fig. 8. Allele frequency spectrum of the G alleles originated from A nucleotide sites in *D. melanogaster* **lineage for DGRP2 population**. Blue line: validated data from St. Laurent et al. ⁸ Red line: data from RADAR ³⁷. Purple line: data form Yu et al. ¹¹ In peach, we show the allele frequency spectrum of the derived G allele in unedited sites (average and 95% confidence interval).



Supplementary Fig. 9. Number of SNPs in 10 kb windows as a function of (a) recombination rate and (b) GC content. The 10 kb windows are centered on A-to-G mutations that are either edited (red) or unedited (grey). Note that even though SNP number is a function of both recombination rate and GC content, there is an effect of editing reducing the SNP number that cannot be explained by these two factors. Linear regression lines are fitted to the data.

| - | Edited | | | Unedited | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| | DGRP2 | ME | FL | DGRP2 | ME | FL | |
| Polymorphic A,G sites | 319 (31%) | 218 (22%) | 227 (22%) | 113,973 (2%) | 25,547 (0.5%) | 27,250 (0.5%) | |
| Total A sites | | 1,015 | | 5,225,594 | | | |

Supplementary Table 1. Number of A,G polymorphisms (and percentages) in coding regions of different *D. melanogaster* populations.

Supplementary Table 2. Number of polarized polymorphisms in the genome of three *Drosophila* populations.

| - | DGRP 2 | | Flori | Florida | | Maine | |
|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|--|
| | Total | A-to-G | Total | A-to-G | Total | A-to-G | |
| Edited | 755 | 303 | 543 | 179 | 507 | 155 | |
| Unedited | 3,951,070 | 462,498 | 1,367,160 | 125,628 | 1,235,454 | 110,689 | |

Supplementary Table 3. Number of single nucleotide polymorphism sites and polymorphism types among edited sites in DGRP2.

| | Edited sites | | | | |
|------------------|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|
| | St. Laurent et al. validated | RADAR | Yu et al. | | |
| Polymorphic | 372 (17%) | 805 (16%) | 163 (13%) | | |
| Not polymorphic | 1,825 (83%) | 4,220 (84%) | 1,111 (87%) | | |
| Polymorphism A,G | 349 (94%) | 780 (97%) | 159 (97%) | | |
| A,C | 10 (3%) | 7 (1%) | 3 (2%) | | |
| A,T | 13 (3%) | 18 (2%) | 1 (1%) | | |

St. Laurent et al. validated: Ref. 8.RADAR: Ref. 37.Yu et al.: Ref. 11.In bold: increased proportion in edited sites compared to unedited sites.

| Population | Potential A, G replacements at non-coding and intergenic regions | | | | | |
|------------|--|-------------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------|--|
| | Edited ($I^{edited} = 2,544$) | | Genome (I = | Ratio | | |
| | Polymorphic | Rate (f_1^{edited}) | Polymorphic | Rate (f_1) | f_1^{edited}/f_1 | |
| DGRP2 | 411 | 0.162 | 702,149 | 0.024 | 6.750 | |
| ME | 281 | 0.110 | 1,180,129 | 0.040 | 2.750 | |
| FL | 310 | 0.122 | 1,065,262 | 0.036 | 3.389 | |

Supplementary Table 4. Potential A,G replacements at non-coding and intergenic regions in *Drosophila* populations.

Supplementary Table 5. Testing for an effect of editing on the polymorphism to divergence ratio in 10kb surrounding window, and on iHS, using the DGRP2 data.

| Polymorphism to divergence ratio in 10kb windows centered on A-to-G | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------|------------|---|-----|
| Filter on G allele frequency | Factor | Estimate | Std. Error | p-value | |
| No filter | Intercept | 0.538577 | 0.04198 | < 2e-16 | *** |
| | log(Recombination rate + 0.1) | 0.127586 | 0.003301 | < 2e-16 | *** |
| | GC content | 0.232169 | 0.098863 | 0.01889 | * |
| | Editing status (edited) | -0.040343 | 0.012631 | 0.00141 | ** |
| | Intercept | 0.505884 | 0.06575 | 2.15e-14 | *** |
| > ⊑% | log(Recombination rate + 0.1) | 0.122606 | 0.005303 | < 2e-16 | *** |
| ~ 576 | GC content | 0.339607 | 0.154933 | 0.028488 | * |
| | Editing status (edited) | -0.051553 | 0.014925 | 0.000563 | *** |
| | Intercept | 0.436508 | 0.07661 | 1.44e-08 | *** |
| > 10% | log(Recombination rate + 0.1) | 0.110643 | 0.006339 | < 2e-16 | *** |
| > 10% | GC content | 0.513387 | 0.180688 | 0.004550 | ** |
| | Editing status (edited) | -0.057072 | 0.01673 | 0.000663 | *** |
| | | | | | |
| iHS of A-to-G polyr | | | | | |
| Filter on G allele frequency | Factor | Estimate | Std. Error | p-value | |
| | Intercept | 0.60326 | 0.19705 | p-value < 2e-16 < 2e-16 0.01889 0.00141 2.15e-14 < 2e-16 0.028488 0.000563 1.44e-08 < 2e-16 0.004550 0.000663 p-value 0.00221 0.17253 0.00150 0.05286 0.0499 0.451 0.0543 0.0457 | ** |
| No filter | log(Recombination rate + 0.1) | 0.02242 | 0.01643 | 0.17253 | |
| | GC content | 1.46664 | 0.46186 | 0.00150 | ** |
| | Editing status (edited) | -0.13301 | 0.06869 | 0.05286 | • |
| > 10% | Intercept | 0.42848 | 0.21843 | 0.0499 | * |
| | log(Recombination rate + 0.1) | -0.01398 | 0.01855 | 0.451 | |
| | GC content | -0.98617 | 0.51237 | 0.0543 | |
| | Editing status (edited) | -0.14924 | 0.07467 | 0.0457 | * |