Table 2 Binary logistic regression analyses of associations between person and contextual determinants and mood swings among Thai adolescent in central region of Thailand (n = 2593)

Variable	Model 1				Model 2			Model 3			Model 4			Model 5	
	SE	P-value	OR	SE	P-value	OR	SE	P-value	OR	SE	P-value	OR	SE	P-value	OR
Constant	.082	.000	.307	.121	.000	.104	.140	.000	.067	.167	.000	.047	.171	.000	.053
Personal level															
<i>Sex</i> Male <sup>Ref</sup>															
Female	.097	.971	.996	.104	.435	1.085	.106	.122	1.179	.110	.017	1.299	.111	.011	1.325
Creative gender	.192	.957	1.011	.204	.873	.968	.207	.923	1.020	.209	.740	1.072	.210	.627	1.107
Family history of mental problems and illness No <sup>ref</sup>															
Yes	.147	.000	2.042	.157	.000	2.062	.159	.000	1.985	.160	.000	1.969	.161	.000	1.959
Uncertain	.120	.000	1.716	.127	.000	1.588	.130	.002	1.495	.130	.002	1.500	.131	.003	1.481
Bullying Involvement	-2LL = 2955.34		_												
(base=below median)	$\chi^2 = 36.88$ , df= 4, p < .001			.101	.000	2.381	.103	.000	2.273	.103	.000	2.267	.103	.000	2.281
<i>Social media use</i> Normal <sup>Ref</sup>	Classification accuracy														
Trend to impact	AIC =	2959.34		.101	.000	2.394	.103	.000	2.240	.104	.000	2.272	.104	.000	2.251
Get impact/problems				.201	.000	5.080	.205	.000	4.158	.206	.000	4.174	.206	.000	4.081
Substance use in past 3 months (base=No)															
Tobacco				.310	.980	1.008	.310	.803	.926	.314	.624	.857	.315	.511	.813
Alcohol				.136	.772	.961	.138	.513	.914	.140	.455	.901	.140	.504	.911
Energy drinking				.135	.210	1.185	.138	.209	1.189	.138	.206	1.191	.138	.224	1.183
Illicit substance				.236	.017	1.751	.239	.052	1.593	.240	.045	1.618	.242	.054	1.592

Table 2 Binary logistic regression analyses of associations between person and contextual determinants and mood swings among Thai adolescent in central region of Thailand (cont.) (n = 2593)

Variable	Model 1			Model 2		Model 3			Model 4				Model 5		
	SE	P-value	OR	SE	P-value	OR	SE	P-value	OR	SE	P-value	OR	SE	P-value	OR
Contextual level				-2LL =	2689.78										
Family structure				$\chi^2 = 3$	302.44, df=	11,									
Parents are together Ref				p <.00	)1		.103	.122	1.172	.103	.126	1.171	.104	.146	1.162
Single parent				Classi	fication acc	uracy	.260	.895	1.035	.260	.981	1.006	.261	.945	1.018
Father/mother remarried				=75.3			.501	.466	.694	.507	.333	.612	.509	.305	.594
Foster				AIC =	2705.78										
Family circumstance:															
Expressed emotion in															
family							.100	.000	2.228	.101	.000	2.175	.101	.000	2.178
(Ref=below median)															
Education Program		-2LL = 2620.01						_							
High school Ref				$\chi^2 = 372.2$				72.21, df=	15,						
Vocational school					p <.001				.102	.053	1.219	.194	.231	.793	
Location of school							Classifi	cation acc	uracy						
Sub-urban <sup>Ref</sup>							=75.5								
Urban							AIC = 2640.01			.118	.058	1.250	.153	.856	1.028
Bangkok										.138	.001	1.566	.195	.396	1.180
Location of school*						-2LL = 2601.24			=						
Education Program									χ <sup>2</sup> =390.98, df= 18,						
Sub-urban*High school Ref										p <.00		,			
Urban* Vocational school										Classification accuracy		.247	.023	1.756	
Bangkok* Vocational sc.							=77				.282	.013	2.009		
										AIC = 2625.24			-2LL =	LL = 2593.7	
										,				=398.53, df= 20,	
										p <.00					
										Clas			Classi	sification accuracy	
										=76.7				-	
													AIC =	2619.7	