


Supplementary Figure S2

A. Area Growth Rate in Center Point Involved GA is Lower than Center Point Spared GA



B. Area Growth Rate in Foveal Zone Involved GA is Lower than Foveal Zone Spared GA




Figure S2. Random-effects meta-analysis comparing the area growth rates (in mm²/year) of GA lesions with different lesion locations. **A**, In the GA location classification 1, the area growth rate in center point spared group is 0.47 mm²/year (31.3%) faster than center point involved group (P < 0.001). **B**, In the GA location classification 2, the difference in the area growth rate is much larger (0.84 mm²/year; 60.9%) between foveal zone spared and foveal zone involved group (P < 0.001). The diamond represents the overall effect estimate (width of the diamond represents the 95% CIs). For each individual study, different data marker sizes indicate weight and the lines represent the 95% CIs. SD = standard deviation; CI = confidence interval.