
## Supplementary Figure S2

## A. Area Growth Rate in Center Point Involved GA is Lower than Center Point Spared GA

	Center Point Spared			Center F	Point Involved		Mean Difference			Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean [mm²/year]	SD [mm²/year]	Total	Mean [mm²/year]	SD [mm²/year]	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI [mm²/year]		IV, Random, 95% CI [mm²/year]
Domalpally 2013	1.634	1.969	212	1.237	1.71	265	11.0%	0.3970 [0.0614, 0.7326]		
Holz 2018-Chroma Trial	2.538	1.229	134	1.895	1.09	140	15.0%	0.6430 [0.3675, 0.9185]		_ <del>-</del>
Holz 2018-Spectri Trial	2.422	1.126	125	1.838	0.921	166	18.2%	0.5840 [0.3419, 0.8261]		
Keenan 2018	1.41	1.02	816	1.06	1.024	403	38.5%	0.3500 [0.2280, 0.4720]		-
Rosenfeld 2019	1.98	1.172	132	1.47	1.122	215	17.3%	0.5100 [0.2601, 0.7599]		<del></del>
Total (95% CI)			1419			1189	100.0%	0.4694 [0.3469, 0.5919]		•
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.01; Chi² = 5.90, df = 4 (P = 0.21); l² = 32%										-1 0 1 2
Test for overall effect: 7 – 7.51 (P < 0.00001)										-1 0 1 2  Center Point Involved Faster Center Point Spared Faster

## B. Area Growth Rate in Foveal Zone Involved GA is Lower than Foveal Zone Spared GA



**Figure S2.** Random-effects meta-analysis comparing the area growth rates (in mm²/year) of GA lesions with different lesion locations. **A**, In the GA location classification 1, the area growth rate in center point spared group is 0.47 mm²/year (31.3%) faster than center point involved group (P < 0.001). **B**, In the GA location classification 2, the difference in the area growth rate is much larger (0.84 mm²/year; 60.9%) between foveal zone spared and foveal zone involved group (P < 0.001). The diamond represents the overall effect estimate (width of the diamond represents the 95% CIs). For each individual study, different data marker sizes indicate weight and the lines represent the 95% CIs. SD = standard deviation; CI = confidence interval.