	Foveal	Foveal Zone Involved				Mean Difference			Mean Difference					
Study or Subgroup	Mean [mm/year]	SD [mm/year]	Total	Mean [mm/year]	SD [mm/year]	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI [mm/year]	IV, Random, 95% CI [mm/year]					
Mones 2018	0.224	0.131	75	0.136	0.072	53	69.9%	0.0880 [0.0526, 0.1234]					-	
Schmitz-Valckenberg 2016	0.204	0.24	157	0.131	0.157	63	30.1%	0.0730 [0.0190, 0.1270]			· ·			-
													-	
Total (95% CI)			232			116	100.0%	0.0835 [0.0539, 0.1131]						
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 0.21, df = 1 (P = 0.65); l ² = 0%														
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.53 (P < 0.00001)									-0.1	-0.05	0	0.05	0.1	
restion overall effect. Z = 5.5									Foveal Zone Involved Faster Foveal Zone Spared Faster					

Figure S6. Comparison in GA effective radius growth rate between foveal zone spared (FZS) and foveal zone involved (FZI) in prospective observational studies. After the removal of 1 retrospective observational study (Allingham et al. 2016), the estimated difference in the GA effective radius growth rate between FZS and FZI (0.084 mm/year; 95%CI = 0.054-0.113 mm/year) was very close to the estimated difference shown in Figure 2B (0.082 mm/year; 95%CI = 0.053-0.111 mm/year).