Supplementary Table S3.

Study Quality and Risk Assessment

Study authors and year of publication	Selection [†]				Outcome		
	Representativenes s of the exposed cohort	Ascertainment of exposure	Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study	Comparability	Assessment of outcome	Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur	Adequacy o follow up of cohorts
Studies with GA Prog	ression Data of Center-	sparing and Center-in	volved GA				
Domalpally et al., 2013 AREDS	*	*	*	Did not control for other ocular diseases	*	*	*
Holz et al., 2018 Chroma	Only included bilateral GA	*	*	**	*	*	*
NCT02247479 Holz et al., 2018 Spectri NCT02247531	Only included bilateral GA	*	*	**	*	*	*
Keenan et al., 2018 AREDS2	*	*	*	**	*	*	*
Rosenfeld et al, 2019 SEATTLE NCT01802866	*	*	*	**	*	*	*
Studies with GA Prog	ression Data of Fovea-s	sparing and Fovea-inv	olved GA				
Allingham et al., 2016	*	*	*	* Did not define "foveal and extrafoveal lesions"	*	*	*
Monés et al, 2018 GAIN NCT01694095	*	*	*	* Did not define "foveal and extrafoveal lesions"	*	*	*
Schmitz-Valckenberg et al., 2016 GAP NCT00599846	*	*	*	**	*	*	*
Studies with GA Prog	ression Data in ≥ 2 Top	ographic Regions					
Lindner et al., 2015 FAM NCT00393692	Only included eyes with GA that spares the fovea	*	*	**	Did not assess GA area in clearly predefined	*	*
Mauschitz et al, 2012 GAP	the lovea *	*	*	**	regions *	*	*
NCT00599846 Sayegh et al., 2017	*	*	*	**	*	*	*
Sunness et al., 1999 GA, geographic atrophy	*	*	*	* Definition of the Central zone was much larger than other studies	*	*	*

⁺ "Selection of the non exposed cohort" in the original NOS is not applicable to our study and thus not included in the quality assessment.