1 Supplemental Material for: Applied and Environmental Microbiology

2 (AEM 02495)

3

4 Diazotrophs show sign of restoration in Amazon rainforest soils with ecosystem

- 5 rehabilitation
- 6
- 7 Babur S. Mirza^{1*}, Daniel J. McGlinn², Brendan J.M. Bohannan³, Klaus Nüsslein⁴,
- 8 James M. Tiedje⁵, Jorge L.M. Rodrigues^{6,7*}
- ⁹ ¹ Department of Biology, Missouri State University, Springfield, Missouri, 65897, USA
- ¹⁰ ² Department of Biology, College of Charleston, Charleston, South Carolina, 29424, USA
- ³ Institute of Ecology and Evolution, Department of Biology, University of Oregon,
- 12 Eugene, OR

13 ⁴ Department of Microbiology, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA

- ⁵ Department of Plant, Soil, and Microbial Sciences, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI
- ⁶ Department of Land, Air and Water Resources, University of California, Davis, CA, 95616, USA
- 17 95616, USA
- 18 ⁷Environmental Genomics and Systems Biology Division, Lawrence Berkeley National
- 19 Laboratory, Berkeley, California, 94720, USA
- 20

21 Keywords:

22

23 24 25 26 27 28 29	*Corresponding authors:	Babur S. Mirza Missouri State University Department of Biology 901 S. National Ave. Springfield, MO 65897 Phone: 417-836-5062 Fax: 417-836-4204 E-mail: baburmirza@missouristate.edu
29		E-mail: baburmirza@missouristate.edu
30		

31	Jorge L. M. Rodrigues
32	University of California - Davis
33	Department of Land, Air and Water Resources
34	3308 Plant and Environmental Sciences Building
35	Davis, CA 95616
36	Phone: 530-341-4355
37	Fax: 530-752-1552
38	E-mail: jmrodrigues@ucdavis.edu
39	

- 41 Supplemental material: S1
- 42 **Supplementary Figures:** Figures 1-8

43 **Supplementary Table:** Table S1&2

44 Identification of various diazotrophic groups that responded to change in land-

45 **use.** Overall, across all three land use systems, we observed *nifH* sequences from NifH

46 cluster I–V; however, sequences related to clusters I & II were the most dominant (Fig.

47 **S6**). The differences among various land use systems were obvious. NifH–I cluster,

48 dominated by the Alphaproteobacteria-related nifH gene sequences, showed that the

49 *Proteobacteria*-related diazotrophs are susceptible to changes in land use.

50 Alphaproteobacteria–related diazotrophs were abundant in the primary and secondary

51 forests (35–41%), whereas *Gammaproteobacteria* (88%) and *Deltaproteobacteria* (55%)

52 were dominated in the pasture soils. Similar to our results, Dörr *et al.* [1] observed the

53 dominance of methanotrophic Alphaproteobacteria in the primary forest soils and

54 Gammaproteobacteria species in the farmland created after deforestation of the

55 Amazon. Differences among other, less abundant subgroups across different land use

56 systems were also evident (**Fig. S6**), such as in the NifH–II cluster,

57 *Verrucomicrobium*-related *nifH* gene sequences were retrieved in a relatively high

abundance (72%) in the pasture lands as compared to primary and secondary forest

59 sites. Similarly, Ranjan *et al.* [2] observed an increase in abundance of overall

60 *Verrucomicrobium*, based on 16S rRNA gene sequences, in response to the conversion

of forest to pasture land. The presence *of nifH* gene and N-fixation by *Verrucomicrobium*

- spp. have been reported previously [3]. Several of the *nifH* genes OPU (operational
- 63 protein unit) in the NifH–II cluster lacked any cultured representative that suggest the

64 presence of diazotrophs containing novel lineage of *nifH* genes in these different

65 ecosystems (**Fig. S6**; **Table S1**).

66 The *nifH* gene has been extensively used as a biomarker gene to assess functional 67 diversity across different environments [4-9], and phylogenetic clustering based on the 68 nifH gene has been corroborated with the 16S rRNA gene-based clustering [10, 11]. 69 However, the taxonomic inference drawn based on the *nifH* gene could possibly be 70 influenced by the horizontal transfer of this gene [12]. It is encouraging that some of the 71 diazotrophs related to Proteobacteria (Alpha, Beta, Gamma), Firmicutes, and 72 Actinobacteria that were detected in this study, have been previously isolated and 73 characterized by 16S rRNA gene sequencing from the primary forest sites [13]. Future 74 ongoing culturing and identification of the diazotrophs across these three different land 75 use systems could further highlight this issue.

76 MATERIALS AND METHODS:

77 **Identification of diazotrophs.** Major diazotrophic groups susceptible to the change in 78 land use were identified by analysis of the representative protein sequences at 88% 79 similarity. Translated *nifH* protein sequences (394,920 sequences, 131,640 per land use 80 system, subsampled based on the land use system with the least number of DNA 81 sequences) were aligned with the *nifH* protein sequences of the reference database 82 from Wang et al. [7], and a maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree was generated 83 using FastTree. Operational protein units (OPUs) were collapsed at 88% amino acid 84 similarity level that was corresponding approximately to the class level differences [14]. 85 Distribution of sequences within each OPU were presented as bar graphs.

Quantitative PCR for the *nifH* gene. The abundances of the *nifH* gene sequences
across different land use systems were quantified through an absolute quantitative PCR
approach. A 25 µl qPCR reaction contained 12.5 µl of iTaq[™] Fast SYBR Green Super
mix (Bio–Rad Inc., Hercules, CA), 100 nM of the *nifH* primers (same as used for

90 high-throughput sequencing) and 10 ng of the template DNA. The *nifH* gene from

91 *Herbaspirillum seropedicae* (American Type Culture Collection 35892) was cloned into

92 TOP 10 Escherichia coli competent cells and used for the generation of standard curves.

93 PCR-grade water without template was used as a negative control that did not show any

- 94 amplification. The real-time PCR amplification efficiency of *nifH* gene was 98%, and the
- 95 R² of 0.99.

96 Although, we followed the absolute quantification procedure the main purpose was to

97 assess the relative abundance of diazotrophs across three different land-use systems. In

98 general, the extracted DNA samples were relatively pure and the quality of the extracted

99 DNA was very good (as indicated by 260/280 ratios >1.75). Overall, the DNA extraction

- 100 yield across three land-use systems was very similar (Pasture 25+7.5 ng/µl; Forest
- 101 31<u>+</u>3.9; Secondary forest 29<u>+</u>8.5). We did evaluate the presence of potential PCR

102 inhibitors in 15 randomly selected DNA samples (five per land-use system) by

103 comparing the *nifH* gene copies quantified from concentrated and 1:10 diluted DNA

104 samples. We did not observe any differences between concentrated vs diluted samples

105 suggesting the absence of PCR inhibition (data not shown).

106 **Supplementary Figures:** Figures S1-S8

107 **Supplementary Figures:**

108 **Fig. S1:** Taxonomic (black) and phylogenetic (grey) α -diversity of the *nifH* genes in

109 response to land use change in the Amazon. Taxonomic diversity was calculated using:

- 110 A) Margalef species richness index and B) Shannon diversity index. Phylogenetic
- diversity was calculated as Faith's phylogenetic diversity (Faith, 1992). Overall, 135 000

112 *nifH* gene sequences (45,000 per land use and 1,500 per sample) were used to

113 calculate α -diversity. Error bars represent 95% Cl.

114 Fig. S2 A-C: Diazotrophic community turnover in space, or β -diversity at different DNA identity levels: A) 95% DNA identity, B) 90% DNA identity, C) 97% protein similarity 115 116 (amino acid based) in response to land use change in the Amazon. Differences in the 117 slopes across different land use systems were compared by power model. Upper panel 118 represent the variations in the taxonomic β -diversity and lower panel represent the 119 phylogenetic β -diversity across different land use systems. β -diversity was also calculated 120 from subsampled data i.e., total 135,000 nifH gene sequences (45,000 per land use and 121 1,500 per sample).

Fig. S3: Nonmetric multidimensional scaling representation of the *nifH* gene sequence
composition at 97% DNA identity for three different land use systems in the Amazon:
Subsampled data set containing total 135,000 *nifH* gene sequences (45,000 per land
use and 1,500 per sample) were for this comparison.

126 **Fig. S4:** Nonmetric multidimensional scaling representation of the *nifH* gene sequence

127 composition at different DNA identity (95%, 90%) and protein (97%, 95%) similarity levels

128 for three different land use systems in the Amazon: Primary forest samples (black

129 triangle); Pasture samples (white circles); Secondary forest samples (gray squares).

130 Complete data set containing total 443,600 *nifH* gene sequences and distribution of

131 number of sequences retrieved from different treatment were: primary forest samples

132 (158 261); pasture samples (143,700); secondary forest samples (131,640).

Fig. S5: Log-scale abundance of *nifH* gene copies (g⁻¹ dry soil) for the three different land
use systems. Each bar represents about 90 samples (30 biological replicates per site and
3 technical replicates) and the error bar represents 95% CI.

Fig. S6: Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree based on translated amino acid
sequences of the *nifH* gene from three different land use systems in the Amazon

138 rainforest. The size of each circler is proportionate to the log number of sequences within 139 an OPU. Total number of sequences in an OPU are also shown next to the taxon 140 names. Overall, a total of 394,920 nifH gene sequences were used and the comparison 141 was normalized to 131,640 sequences from each treatment based on the least number 142 of sequences retrieved from secondary forests (131,640 nifH gene sequences were 143 randomly sampled from primary forest and pasture samples to match equal numbers). 144 Within an operational protein unit (OPU) translated amino acid nifH gene sequences 145 were collapsed at 88% similarity. Numbers at the nodes reflect bootstrap support values 146 above 70% with branches within clusters being collapsed. Operational protein units 147 (OPUs) and the closest matched sequences within each OPU that were obtained 148 through the *nifH* gene reference database were reported in (SI Table 1).

149 Fig. S7: A). Operational taxonomic unit (OTU) accumulation curve for three land use

150 systems in the Amazon. Total 443,600 *nifH* gene sequences retrieved from different

151 treatments (primary forest samples (158,261); pasture samples (143 700); secondary

152 forest samples (131,640) were used to generate accumulation curve. The observed

153 number of OTUs were calculated at 97% DNA similarity.

154 **B).** The accumulation curve for each sampling location. Total nine sites, three per land

use system (Forest FI, II, III; Pasture P72I, II, III, and Secondary forest SCI, 93, 98).

156 **Fig. S8:** A spatially explicit sampling scheme that indicates the different sampling

157 locations within three land use systems. A square box represents 12 sampling points at

158 each location. Overall 36 soil samples per land use system.

159 **Supplemental Table:**

160 **Table S1:** Operational protein units (OPUs) and the closest matched sequences within each

161 OPU that were obtained through the *nifH* gene reference database by Wang et al.,

162 (2013). **Table S2:** Soil physiochemical characteristics of thee land-use systems in the

163 Amazon.

164

165 **REFERENCES**

- Dörr N, Glaser B, Kolb S. 2010. Methanotrophic communities in Brazilian ferralsols from naturally forested, afforested, and agricultural sites. Appl Environ Microbiol 76:1307-1310.
- Ranjan K, Paula FS, Mueller RC, Jesus EC, Cenciani K, Bohannan BJ. 2015.
 Forest-to-pasture conversion increases the diversity of the phylum *Verrucomicrobia* in Amazon rainforest soils. Front Microbiol 6:779.
- Khadem AF, Pol A, Jetten MS, Op den Camp HJM.2010. Nitrogen fixation by the
 verrucomicrobial methanotroph '*Methylacidiphilum fumariolicum*' SolV. Microbiol.
 156: 1052-1059.
- Berthrong S, Yeager CM, Gallegos-Graves L, Steven B, Eichorst SA, Jackson RB, Kuske CR. 2014. Nitrogen fertilization has a stronger effect on soil N-fixing bacterial communities than elevated atmospheric CO₂. Appl Environ Microbiol 80(10):3103-3112.
- 5. Collavino MM, Tripp HJ, Frank IE, Vidoz ML, Calderoli PA, Donato M,
 Zehr JP, Aguilar OM. 2014. *nifH* pyrosequencing reveals the potential for locationspecific soil chemistry to influence N₂-fixing community dynamics. Environ Microbiol
 16(10):3211-3223.
- Tu Q, Deng Y, Yan Q, Shen L, Lin L, He Z. 2016. Biogeographic Patterns of Soil Diazotrophic Communities across Six Forests in the North America. Mol Ecol 25:2937-2948.
- 186
 7. Wang Q, Quensen JF, Fish JA, Lee TK, Sun Y, Tiedje JM. 2013. Ecological patterns of *nifH* genes in four terrestrial climatic zones explored with targeted metagenomics using FrameBot, a new informatics tool. mBio 4(5):e00592-13..
- Zehr JP, Jenkins BD, Short SM, Steward GF. 2003. Nitrogenase gene diversity and microbial community structure: a cross-system comparison. Environ Microbiol 5:539-554.
- 192
 9. Hsu SF, Buckley DH. 2009. Evidence for the functional significance of diazotroph community structure in soil. ISME J 3:124-136.
- 194 10. Pan Y, Birdsey RA, Fang J, Houghton R, Kauppi PE, Kurz WA. 2011. A large and 195 persistent carbon sink in the world's forests. Science 333:988-993.
- 196 11. Young JPW. 2005. The phylogeny and evolution of nitrogenases, p 221-241. *In* Palacios R, Newton WE (ed), Genomes and Genomics of Nitrogen-fixing
 Organisms. Springer, New York, NY.
- 199
 12. Bolhuis H, Severin I, Confurius-Guns V, Wollenzien UI, Stal LJ. 2010. Horizontal transfer of the nitrogen fixation gene cluster in the cyanobacterium *Microcoleus chthonoplastes*. ISME J 4:121-130.
- Mirza BS, Rodrigues JLM. 2012. Development of a direct isolation procedure for
 free-living diazotrophs under controlled hypoxic conditions. Appl Environ Microbiol
 78: 5542-5549.
- 14. Mirza BS, Potisap C, Nüsslein K, Bohannan BJM, Rodrigues JLM. 2014. Response
 of free-living nitrogen-fixing microorganisms to land use change in the Amazon
 rainforest. Appl Environ Microbiol 80:281–288.
- 209

Fig. S1

97% DNA identity Alpha diversity



Fig. S2A

95% DNA Bray-Curtis and unifrac metrics



Fig. S2B

90% DNA Bray-Curtis and unifrac metrics



Fig. S2C

97% protein Bray-Curtis and unifrac metrics



Fig. S3 Analysis of similarity using subsampled data (135,000 *nifH* gene sequences) at 97% DNA similarity



ANOSIM statistic R: 0.7451 Significance: 0.001Dissimilarity: bray

Analysis of similarity using complete data (443,000 nifH gene sequences) at different DNA and protein similarity levels Fig. S4



0



Fig S6: Variation in diazotrophic species







Fig S8: A Spatially Explicit Sampling Scheme



Table S1. Operational protein units (OPUs) and the closest matched sequences obtained through Wang et al., (2013) reference data. OPUs were clustered at 88% similarity.

Cluster	OPU (No sequences)	Accession No.										
	Alphaproteobacteria (267,204)	yrindinoras mounis (ACQUOTOUD14), Wagnetuspillium Hagnetusin (AP00723), Wethylocystis sp. (AEVWOT000015), NoVosphiligobilum itrogenisigens (326209574), Rhizobium 1 encoding nitrogenase (152287), Bradyrhizobium sp. ORS278 (146195046), Beijerinckia indica I8263352), Azospirillum amazonense Y2 (346917376), Rhizobium sp ANU289 (169750), Hyphomicrobium sp. MC1 (337761014), Inidentified bacterium nif cluster (13435000), Bradyrhizobium japonicum (EF394157), Bradyrhizobium sp. SEMIA (HQ259566), Azospirillum DQ787332), Methylocapsa aurea (FN433472), Bradyrhizobium yuanmingense (EF394167), uncultured bacterium (EU912866)										
	Alphaproteobacteria (551)	Azorhizobium doebereinerae (FJ223129),										
	Betaproteobacteria (17,800)	Sideroxydans lithotrophicus ES1 (CP001965), Candidatu Accumulibacter phosphatis (CP001715), Azoarcus sp. BH72 (AF200742), Dechloromonas aromatic RCB (CP000089)										
	Gammaproteobacteria (4.272)	Klebsiella pneumoniae 342 (CP000964), Halorhodospira halophila (AB189641)										
	Gammaproteobacteria (876)	niorhodococcus drewsii (AFWT01000026)										
	UC-proteobacteria (111)	Uncultured Proteobacteria										
NifH_typel	UC- <i>proteobacteria</i> (636)	Uncultured Proteobacteria										
	Alphaproteobacteria (1,099)	Rhodomicrobium vannielii (CP002292)										
	Paenibacillus (902)	Paenibacillus graminis (AB485747), Paenibacillus polymyxa (HM146187), Paenibacillus azotofixans (AJ299453), Paenibacillus fujiensis (AB489070), Paenibacillus sabinae (HM583798)										
	Cyanobacteria (15)	Nostoc sp. PCC 7120 (17130219), Nostoc azollae (YP_003720733), Anabaena sp. (NC_003272)										
	Frankia (718)	Frankia sp. Ccl3 (CP000249), Frankia sp. CeF (AC035776), Frankia sp. Cc1. 17 (ACJ24362)										
	Firmicutes (1,374)	Desulfitobacterium hafniense DCB2 (CP001336), Desulfosporosinus orientis DSM765 (CP003108)										
	Deltaproteobacteria (88,108)	Geobacter metallireducens GS15 (CP000148), Geobacter bemidjiensis (CP001124), Geobacter sp. M18 (ACPJ01000029), Pelobacter propionicus (CP000482), Anaeromyxobacter sp Fw109-5 (CP000769), Anaeromyxobacter sp. K (CP001131), Desulfuromonas acetoxidans DSM 684 (AAEW02000007), Geobacter lovleyi_SZ (CP001089), Geobacter daltonii (YP_002538026), Anaeromyxobacter sp.(YP_001380211), uncultured bacterium (AY601069), uncultured bacterium (AY601072), Geobacter uraniireducens (NC_009483)										
	Verrucomicrobium (3,800)	Opitutaceae bacterium TAV2 (224804006), Verrucomicrobiae bacterium_DG1235 (198257712), Coraliomargarita akajimensis DSM45221 (CP001998), Opitutaceae bacterium TAV5(ZP_09596372)										
	Deltaproteobacteria (Desulfobulbus) (158)	Desulfobulbus propionicus DSM 2032 (CP002364)										
	Uncultured (287)	None										
	Firmicutes (Desulfotomaculum) (67)	Desulfobacca acetoxidans_DSM_11109 (CP002629)										
	Spirochetes (431)	Spirochaeta thermophila DSM6192 (CP001698), Syntrophobacter fumaroxidans MPOB (CP000478)										
	Uncultured (41)	Uncultured methanogenic archaeon_RC-I (AM114193)										
	Uncultured (1160)	None										
NITH_typell	Spirochetes (167)	Spirochaeta smaragdinae DSM11293 (CP002116)										
	Uncultured (2,962)	None										
	Deltaproteobacteria (314)	Deltaproteobacterium NaphS2 (300447381)										
	Bacteroidetes (185)	Paludibacter propionicis WB4 (CP002345)										
	Spirochetes (treponema) (158)	Treponema primitia ZAS-2 (CP001843), Treponema azotonutricium ZAS-9 (CP001841)										
	Clostridia (15)	Clostridium thermocellum (CP000568)										
	Uncultured 41	None										
	Clostridia (231)	Clostridium lentocellum DSM 5427 (296249647), Clostridium cellobioparum (U59414), Clostridium papyrosolvens DSM 2782 (ACXX01000015)										
	Gammaproteobacteria (645)	Thiorhodococcus drewsii AZ1 (343918744), Dickeya dadantii Ech703 (CP001654)										
	Alphaproteobacteria (32)	Zymomonas mobilis subsp pomaceae (CP002865)										
NifH_typellI	Clostridia (445)	Clostridium pasteurianum (40593), Desulfosporosinus sp OT (344326083), Clostridium sp. (357172598), Chloroherpeton thalassium ATCC 35110 (CP001100)										
	Clostridia (70)	Syntrophothermus lipocalidus DSM 12680 (CP002048)										
	Methanomicrobia (23)	Methanosarcina acetivorans str C2A (19915043), Ethanolins harbinense YUAN-3 (289520023)										
NifH_typeIV & V	Firmicutes (clostridia (21)	Clostridium cellulolyticum H10 (NC_011898), Geobacter sp FRC-32 (CP001390), Syntrophobotulus glycolicus DSM8271 (CP002547), Clostridiales genomosp BVAB3 (CP001850), Clostridium kluyveri DSM 555 (CP000673), Rhodopseudomonas palustris DX1 (283578518)										

						_								-			-		-		_
sample	рH	OM	C	N	C/N	Р	S	K	Ca	Mg	AI	H+AI	SB	1	V	m	В	Cu	Fe	Mn	Zn
FIAUUT	4.8	45	1.560	0.159	9.956	17	10	1.0	48	14	1	22	63.0	85.0 95.6	74	2	0.22	0.9	6	61.6	13
	4.0	40	3 171	0.159	10.84	0	10	1.0	40 56	20	0.01	15	77.3	00.0	84	2	0.22	0.9	50	40.5	31
FIA10	3.5	20	5.171	0.295	12 002	9	9 10	1.5	30	10	0.01	24	61.6	92.5	64	0	0.2	1 5	JU 45	40.5	5.1
EID1	4.0	34	1 851	0.401	11.830	0	10	2.0	36	12	0.01	13	48.4	61.4	70	2	0.21	1.5	43	47.0	3.5
EIR10	1.8	24	2.25	0.150	12.53	10	10	1.4	10	15	0.01	31	40.4	06.6	68	2	0.2	0.7	30	36.0	3.1 2.2
	4.0	20	1 603	0.10	10.543	0	13	1.0	49	13	י ז	34	05.0	90.0 70	57	2	0.2	1.8	40	162.8	5.7
FIC01	4.0 5.4	25	2 364	0.152	10.545	5	13	1	16	5	2	18	40	10	55	4	0.15	1.0	104	57.3	20
FIC1	5.4	25	2.304	0.195	12.271	6	9	1	10	5	2	10	22	40	55	8	0.2	1.1	104	57.3	2.5
FIC100	4.1	23 9	4 042	0.155	11 457	22	11	3	130	35	2	22	168	190	88	1	0.2	1.1	39	84.5	2.5
FIIA001	3.0	16	1 105	0.076	14 497	5	9	0.8	10	3	1	31	13.8	44.8	31	7	0.20	0.9	49	42.7	0.8
FIIA01	3.9	16	1 105	0.076	14.407	5	9	0.0	10	3	1	31	13.8	44.0	31	7	0.13	0.0	40	42.7	0.0
FIIA1	3.0	16	1 105	0.076	14.407	5	G	0.0	10	3	1	31	13.8	11.0	31	7	0.13	0.0	43	12.7	0.0
FIIA100	3.6	10	1 145	0.070	11 028	5	11	0.0	7	2	3	31	9.6	40.6	24	24	0.13	1.9	71	94	1.1
FIIB1	4.5	15	0 781	0.068	11.536	5	9	0.0	16	4	6	18	20.4	38.4	53	23	0.24	0.8	32	34	0.5
FIIB10	4.9	29	3.62	0.195	18.588	8	9	6	20	12	1	16	38	54	70	3	0.22	0.8	39	75.2	1
FIIB100	5.2	36	1.275	0.095	13.422	9	12	1.8	43	10	0.01	18	54.8	72.8	75	0	0.32	1.3	45	73.4	1.3
FIIC01	4.1	15	0.946	0.044	21.464	5	8	1.4	10	3	1	25	14.4	39.4	37	6	0.2	0.8	43	43.4	0.4
FIIC1	4.1	15	0.946	0.044	21.464	5	8	1.4	10	3	1	25	14.4	39.4	37	6	0.2	0.8	43	43.4	0.4
FIIC10	4.6	18	1.245	0.116	10.735	6	8	0.9	17	5	2	18	22.9	40.9	56	8	0.21	0.9	39	83.8	0.5
FIIC100	4.2	19	1.905	0.095	20.064	6	9	0.6	20	3	1	25	23.6	48.6	49	4	0.23	1.2	57	29.5	0.6
FIIIA001	3.9	13	0.658	0.044	14.997	4	8	1	4	2	2	20	7	27	26	22	0.18	0.6	36	26	0.5
FIIIA01	3.9	13	0.658	0.044	14.997	4	8	1	4	2	2	20	7	27	26	22	0.18	0.6	36	26	0.5
FIIIA1	3.9	13	0.658	0.044	14.997	4	8	1	4	2	2	20	7	27	26	22	0.18	0.6	36	26	0.5
FIIIA100	4.4	14	0.306	0.015	20.569	7	9	2.8	7	3	1	11	12.8	23.8	54	7	0.18	0.3	26	12.2	0.7
FIIIB1	3.8	12	0.7	0.043	16.475	5	9	0.6	5	1	1	20	6.6	26.6	25	13	0.2	0.9	45	27.8	0.5
FIIIB10	4.2	16	0.513	0.022	23.825	4	8	0.4	8	1	6	13	9.4	22.4	42	39	0.16	0.7	62	23.7	1
FIIIB100	4.2	8	0.486	0.02	24.41	4	8	0.4	1	1	1	15	2.4	17.4	14	29	0.18	0.1	64	2	0.4
FIIIC01	3.7	10	0.642	0.041	15.827	4	9	0.6	2	1	2	11	3.6	14.6	25	36	0.22	0.8	43	24.1	0.3
FIIIC1	3.7	10	0.642	0.041	15.827	4	9	0.6	2	1	2	11	3.6	14.6	25	36	0.22	0.8	43	24.1	0.3
FIIIC10	3.7	19	0.565	0.024	23.852	6	8	1.2	17	4	7	22	22.2	44.2	50	24	0.18	0.6	7	15.2	1
SC93A01	4.5	28	1.09	0.073	14.917	4	9	1.5	14	8	2	31	23.5	54.5	43	8	0.16	1.6	87	50.9	1.7
SC93A10	4	19	2.183	0.133	16.434	4	8	1.4	6	3	4	31	10.4	41.4	25	28	0.2	1	83	11.2	0.8
SC93A20	3.9	35	1.86	0.14	13.285	8	8	1.8	10	7	6	47	18.8	65.8	29	24	0.18	0.8	133	16	1.3
SC93B1	4.2	28	2.835	0.177	15.999	6	8	1	19	7	1	31	27	58	47	4	0.15	0.8	88	41.5	2.4
SC93B40	3.6	51	1.758	0.134	13.102	9	10	1.1	6	4	13	80	11.1	91.1	12	54	0.16	0.8	191	28.2	4.8
SC93C01	4.1	32	2.438	0.158	15.436	6	9	1.3	16	7	3	42	24.3	66.3	37	11	0.12	0.8	96	64	2.8
SC93C1	4.1	32	2.438	0.158	15.436	6	9	1.3	16	7	3	42	24.3	66.3	37	11	0.12	0.8	96	64	2.8
SC93C10	4.3	17	1.179	0.093	12.723	4	9	4.1	13	7	1	22	24.1	46.1	52	4	0.15	1.1	62	25.2	3.4

Table S2: Soil physiochemical characteristics of thee land-use systems in the Amazon.

SC93C50	4.3	25	2.471	0.139	17.78	5	8	1.5	14	7	2	31	22.5	53.5	42	8	0.18	0.9	109	14.8	26.3
SC98A001	4	19	0.795	0.069	11.5	6	13	0.9	7	3	4	34	10.9	44.9	24	27	0.22	0.7	41	259.8	0.8
SC98A01	4	19	0.795	0.069	11.5	6	13	0.9	7	3	4	34	10.9	44.9	24	27	0.22	0.7	41	259.8	0.8
SC98A1	4	19	0.795	0.069	11.5	6	13	0.9	7	3	4	34	10.9	44.9	24	27	0.22	0.7	41	259.8	0.8
SC98A10	3.7	14	1.005	0.081	12.474	5	14	0.8	3	2	7	34	5.8	39.8	15	55	0.24	0.8	42	205	0.5
SC98A100	4.4	21	0.797	0.056	14.353	5	9	0.8	13	4	1	28	17.8	45.8	39	5	0.16	0.4	44	134.4	0.5
SC98B1	3.9	14	0.766	0.064	12.025	5	17	0.7	4	2	4	31	6.7	37.7	18	37	0.2	0.6	35	223.2	0.4
SC98B10	3.9	15	0.847	0.065	13.087	5	19	0.7	1	1	5	31	2.7	33.7	8	65	0.21	0.5	35	207.4	0.4
SC98B100	4.4	15	1.261	0.083	15.137	3	9	0.8	9	2	1	31	11.8	42.8	28	8	0.16	0.5	41	55	0.3
SC98C01	3.9	11	0.919	0.077	11.872	4	21	0.6	3	1	6	31	4.6	35.6	13	57	0.21	0.6	34	182.4	10.9
SC98C1	3.9	11	0.919	0.077	11.872	4	21	0.6	3	1	6	31	4.6	35.6	13	57	0.21	0.6	34	182.4	10.9
SC98C10	4.3	15	0.843	0.069	12.207	6	25	2.3	8	3	3	25	13.3	38.3	35	18	0.23	0.9	33	189.2	4.7
SC98C100	3.7	11	0.725	0.052	14.062	5	13	0.8	2	1	7	25	3.8	28.8	13	65	0.21	0.7	36	151.4	0.4
SCIA1	4.7	33	1.21	0.11	11.03	6	12	1.3	15	9	1	31	25.3	56.3	45	4	0.17	1.3	69	59.8	6.3
SCIA10	4.4	33	1.68	0.15	11.1	6	12	1.6	20	8	1	34	29.6	63.6	47	3	0.19	1.6	95	82.8	1
SCIA100	3.8	17	1.38	0.12	11.79	5	11	0.5	3	2	5	31	5.5	36.5	15	48	0.2	1.3	62	7.8	0.3
SCIB1	4.6	37	3.6	0.22	16.61	6	11	1.8	25	15	1	25	41.8	66.8	63	2	0.18	1.4	69	34.9	1
SCIB10	4.4	26	2.16	0.16	13.39	6	9	1.1	12	8	1	25	21.1	46.1	46	5	0.18	1.4	59	41.3	1.5
SCIB100	4.1	17	1.62	0.12	13.24	4	12	0.8	7	3	3	42	10.8	52.8	20	22	0.2	1.2	64	13.3	0.3
SCIC1	4.6	43	3.33	0.21	15.98	6	13	1.5	20	13	1	28	34.5	62.5	55	3	0.15	1.3	48	34.2	4.3
SCIC100	4.3	19	1.13	0.1	11.8	5	9	1.7	8	5	1	28	14.7	42.7	34	6	0.2	1	64	65	0.5
P72IA001	4	25	1.2	0.07	17.91	5	8	1.3	9	2	2	15	12.3	27.3	45	14	0.17	1	104	26.2	1
P72IA01	4	25	1.2	0.07	17.91	5	8	1.3	9	2	2	15	12.3	27.3	45	14	0.17	1	104	26.2	1
P72IA1	4	25	1.2	0.07	17.91	5	8	1.3	9	2	2	15	12.3	27.3	45	14	0.17	1	104	26.2	1
P72IA10	4.6	28	1.68	0.11	15.67	4	8	1.5	9	2	2	20	12.5	32.5	38	14	0.17	1.4	71	26.8	1.6
P72IA100	4.6	28	2.21	0.14	16.17	3	8	0.5	16	2	2	22	18.5	40.5	46	10	0.14	1.8	95	44	1.8
P72IB1	4.6	29	1.63	0.11	14.98	3	8	1.4	11	3	1	22	15.4	37.4	41	6	0.14	1.3	105	26.4	1.5
P72IB10	4.6	29	2.16	0.113	19.113	3	8	1.7	10	3	1	22	14.7	36.7	40	6	0.19	1.5	65	30.9	2
P72IB100	4.6	23	1.25	0.072	17.45	3	8	1	9	3	1	22	13	35	37	7	0.21	1	67	33	1.1
P72IC01	4.8	30	1.645	0.107	15.398	4	6	1.9	10	4	1	22	15.9	37.9	42	6	0.18	1	112	26	1.5
P72IC1	4.8	30	1.645	0.107	15.398	4	6	1.9	10	4	1	22	15.9	37.9	42	6	0.18	1	112	26	1.5
P72IC10	4.7	29	1.63	0.096	16.91	6	8	1.3	13	4	1	25	18.3	43.3	42	5	0.18	1.3	87	33.3	2.2
P72IC100	4.5	29	1.755	0.11	16.011	4	8	1	10	3	1	25	14	39	36	7	0.15	0.8	98	26.1	1.6
P72IIA001	4.5	25	2.071	0.135	15.382	3	8	0.7	7	2	2	28	9.7	37.7	26	17	0.14	0.7	47	23.9	0.9
P72IIA01	4.5	25	2.071	0.135	15.382	3	8	0.7	7	2	2	28	9.7	37.7	26	17	0.14	0.7	47	23.9	0.9
P72IIA1	4.5	25	2.071	0.135	15.382	3	8	0.7	7	2	2	28	9.7	37.7	26	17	0.14	0.7	47	23.9	0.9
P72IIB10	4.3	26	1.885	0.141	13.395	3	8	0.8	6	3	2	25	9.8	34.8	28	17	0.17	0.8	65	24.3	1.2
P72IIB100	5	33	2.038	0.128	15.928	4	12	7	13	8	0.01	18	28	46	61	0	0.18	1	96	48	2.1
P72IIC01	4.3	30	1.98	0.133	14.927	3	8	1.2	8	3	3	28	12.2	40.2	30	20	0.18	0.8	57	24.9	1.3
P72IIC10	4.8	44	3.532	0.203	17.387	6	8	1.2	23	5	1	31	29.2	60.2	49	3	0.22	1.5	88	51.2	4
P72IIC100	4.4	33	2.544	0.172	14.834	4	7	1.7	9	4	3	31	14.7	45.7	32	17	0.2	1.3	77	55.8	1.5

P72IIIA01	5.1	44	5.342	0.409	13.061	18	9	3.2	28	7	0.01	31	38.2	69.2	55	0	0.2	5.8	198	222	14
P72IIIA1	5.1	44	5.342	0.409	13.061	18	9	3.2	28	7	0.01	31	38.2	69.2	55	0	0.2	5.8	198	222	14
P72IIIA10	5.3	54	5.245	0.424	12.365	16	9	4.3	39	12	0.01	28	55.3	83.3	66	0	0.22	5.8	142	203	16.4
P72IIIA100	5.1	49	5.186	0.452	11.474	10	9	3.5	27	7	0.01	31	37.5	68.5	55	0	0.22	5.6	70	193.4	9.4
P72IIIB1	5.2	41	2.495	0.219	11.4	8	9	1.7	25	4	0.01	28	30.7	58.7	52	0	0.2	5.1	103	184.6	9.6
P72IIIB100	5.3	50	7.476	0.581	12.873	29	15	4.6	33	14	0.01	28	51.6	79.6	65	0	0.22	5.4	128	171.6	12.3
P72IIIC01	5.2	62	6.446	0.47	13.724	15	9	2.4	30	9	0.01	34	41.4	75.4	55	0	0.2	5.7	131	163.4	15.5
P72IIIC1	5.2	62	6.446	0.47	13.724	15	9	2.4	30	9	0.01	34	41.4	75.4	55	0	0.2	5.7	131	163.4	15.5
P72IIIC10	5.5	43	3.604	0.268	13.43	19	9	1.4	35	9	0.01	20	45.4	65.4	69	0	0.18	4.2	59	165.8	12.2
P72IIIC100	5.3	50	3.043	0.276	11.045	11	13	1.7	23	8	0.01	31	32.7	63.7	51	0	0.21	5	255	174.8	7.9

Measured soil variables: OM, organic matter, C, carbon; N, nitrogen; pH, potential activity of hydrogen; P, phosphorus; S, sulfur; K, potassium; Ca, calcium; Mg, magnesium; Al, aluminum; H⁺, hydrogen; CEC, potential cation exchange capacity; V, base saturation; Al⁺ sat, aluminum saturation. C and N are expressed in g.Kg⁻¹ soil; P and S are expressed as mg dm⁻³; K, Ca, Mg, Al and CEC are expressed in mmol c.dm⁻³.

List of the 19 soil samples produced <1500 nifH gene sequences were not included in this study. Overall 89 soil samples were analyzed across three different land-use systems.

FIA01, FIC10, FIIA10, FIIIA10, FIIIC100 P72IB1, P72IIA10, P72IIA100, P72IIB1, P72C1, P72IIIA001, P72IIIB10 SC98B1, SCI93A001, SCI93B10, SCIC10, SCI93A40, SCIA001, SCIA01