# natureresearch

Corresponding author(s):	Momchil Tomov
Last updated by author(s):	11/04/2019

## Reporting Summary

Life sciences

Behavioural & social sciences

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see <u>Authors & Referees</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics			
For all statistical analys	es, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.		
n/a Confirmed			
☐ ☐ The exact sam	nple size $(n)$ for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement		
A statement of	on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly		
The statistical Only common t	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided  Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.		
A description	A description of all covariates tested		
A description	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons		
A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)			
For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted Give <i>P</i> values as exact values whenever suitable.			
For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings			
For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes			
Estimates of e	effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated		
1	Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.		
Software and o	code		
Policy information abo	ut availability of computer code		
Data collection	PsychoPy3		
Data analysis	MATLAB, SPM12, CCNL fMRI pipeline (https://github.com/sjgershm/ccnl-fmri), custom scripts (https://github.com/tomov/Exploration-fMRI-Task)		
For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.			
Data			
- Accession codes, un - A list of figures that	ut <u>availability of data</u> include a <u>data availability statement</u> . This statement should provide the following information, where applicable: ique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets have associated raw data restrictions on data availability		
	nalysis code are available https://github.com/tomov/Exploration-fMRI-Task. The raw fMRI data is available upon request.		
, ,			
Field-specific reporting			
Please select the one b	elow that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.		

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

Behaviour:	al & social sciences study design		
All studies must disclos	se on these points even when the disclosure is negative.		
Study description	Human subjects performed a two-armed banded task while undergoing fMRI scanning.		
Research sample	We used a convenience sample consisting of Harvard undergraduates and members of the Cambridge community.		
Sampling strategy	we use a sample size consistent with current practices in the field		
Data collection	Scanning was carried out on a 3T Siemens Magnetom PrismaMRI scanner with the vendor 32-channel head coil (Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) at the Harvard University Center for Brain Science Neuroimaging. Behavioral data was collected on a MacBook air connected to the scanner button box.		
Timing	All data was collected in July and August 2018		
Data exclusions	We excluded seven scanner runs due to excessive motion and one run during which the subject fell sleep		
Non-participation	no participants dropped out		
Randomization	all participants were assigned to the same conditions, which were randomized within participant		
Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods  We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material,			
system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.			
Materials & exper	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Antibodies	n/a   Involved in the study    ChIP-seq		
Eukaryotic cell			
Palaeontology	MRI-based neuroimaging		
Animals and ot			
Human research	ch participants		
Clinical data			
Human researd	ch participants		

Policy information about studies involving human research participants

We recruited 31 subjects (17 female) from the Cambridge community. All subjects were healthy, ages 18-35, right-handed, Population characteristics with normal or corrected vision, and no neuropsychiatric pre-conditions

All subjects received written consent and the study was approved by the Harvard Institutional Review Board.

Recruitment Participants were recruited using posters around campus and email listserves

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

## Magnetic resonance imaging

### Experimental design

Ethics oversight

Design type	event related
Design specifications	Eight runs per participant, four blocks per run, 10 trials per block. Intervals between blocks were six seconds. Each run was about eight minutes
Behavioral performance measures	Button press, button press times. We ensured all subjects achieved above chance performance

Acquisition	
Imaging type(s)	Functional
Field strength	ЗТ
Sequence & imaging parameters	A T1-weighted high-resolution multi-echo magnetization-prepared rapid-acquisition gradient echo (ME-MPRAGE) anatomical scan (van der Kouwe et al., 2008) of the whole brain was acquired for each subject prior to any functional scanning (176 sagittal slices, voxel size = $1.0 \times 1.0 $
Area of acquisition	Whole brain
Diffusion MRI Used	Not used
Preprocessing	
Preprocessing software	Functional images were preprocessed and analyzed using SPM12 (Wellcome Department of Imaging Neuroscience, London, UK). Each functional scan was realigned to correct for small movements between scans, producing an aligned set of images and a mean image for each subject.
Normalization	The high-resolution T1-weighted ME-MPRAGE images were then co-registered to the mean realigned images and the gray matter was segmented out and normalized to the gray matter of a standard Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) reference brain. The functional images were then normalized to the MNI template (resampled voxel size 2 mm isotropic)
Normalization template	MNI
Noise and artifact removal	The functional images were patially smoothed with a 8 mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) Gaussian kernel, high-pass filtered at 1/128 Hz, and corrected for temporal autocorrelations using a first-order autoregressive model
Volume censoring	not applicable
Statistical modeling & inference	
Model type and settings	mass univariate GLM, random effects
Effect(s) tested	we used a factorial design, where each arm was either risky or safe. In our model free analysis, we tested the effect of block condition. In our model-based analysis, we tested the effect of different uncertainty estimates derived from the model.
Specify type of analysis: Whole	brain ROI-based X Both
Anatomic	cal location(s) Based on prior studies
Statistic type for inference (See Eklund et al. 2016)	We used cluster FWE correction with p = 0.001 and alpha = 0.05 and report the voxel-wise t-statistics. We also report uncorrected contrast
Correction	We used cluster FWE correction, as well as averaging within ROI for our analysis using prior ROIs
Models & analysis	
n/a Involved in the study Functional and/or effective con Graph analysis Multivariate modeling or predic	