Tea consumption and risk of stroke in Chinese adults: a prospective cohort study of 0.5 million men and women-----Tian Tian et al.----Online Supplemental Material



Supplemental Figure 1. Participant flowchart

consumers.			
Characteristics of tea consumption ²	All subjects	Men	Women
Total tea consumers (n)	317,043	160,934	156,109
Daily tea consumers (n)	128,280	82,467	45,813
Daily tea drinkers among participants (%)	26.3	41.4	15.9
Commonly consumed tea type for daily tea consumers ³			
Green tea (%)	86.7	82.4	92.1
Non-green tea (%)	13.4	17.6	7.9
Duration of tea consumption for daily tea consumers (years)	24.77	25.11	24.15
Amount of tea consumption for daily tea consumers (grams/day)	4.26	4.78	3.33
Amount of tea consumption for daily tea consumers (gram-years)	104.00	117.00	81.00

<u>Supplemental Table 1</u>. Baseline tea consumption characteristics of tea consumers.¹

¹The results were presented as the means or percentages, which were adjusted for age, gender and residential regions, as appropriate. *P* values calculated by analysis using either multiple linear regression (for continuous outcomes) or logistic regression (for binary outcomes) and the differences were statistically significant between men and women for all characteristics of tea consumption (P < 0.001).

² Commonly consumed tea type and other characteristics (duration and amount of tea consumed) were calculated only among daily tea consumers.

³ Non-green tea included black tea, oolong tea, and other types of tea.

Supplemental Table 2. Association of tea consumption with stroke risk according to the duration and amount of tea consumption among male participants.

Variables of tax consumption 1	Total stroke ²		Ischemic stroke		Hemorrhagic stroke	
variables of tea consumption –	HR (95%CI) ³	P_{trend}^4	HR (95%CI) ³	P _{trend} ⁴	HR (95%CI) ³	P_{trend} ⁴
Never	1.00		1.00		1.00	
Duration of tea consumption (years)		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001
<19	0.93(0.87, 0.99)		0.95(0.88, 1.02)		0.82(0.71, 0.94)	
19-30	0.84(0.78, 0.90)		0.84(0.78, 0.91)		0.79(0.68, 0.92)	
>30	0.91(0.85, 0.96)		0.92(0.85, 0.99)		0.79(0.69, 0.91)	
Amount of tea consumption (grams/day)		<0.001		0.002		<0.001
<2	0.91(0.86, 0.97)		0.90(0.84, 0.97)		0.86(0.75, 0.98)	
2-4	0.89(0.84, 0.95)		0.92(0.86, 0.99)		0.76(0.66, 0.87)	
>4	0.89(0.84, 0.95)		0.90(0.84, 0.97)		0.78(0.68, 0.90)	
Amount of tea consumption (gram-years)		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001
<46	0.94(0.88, 0.997)		0.96(0.89, 1.03)		0.84(0.73, 0.97)	
46-105	0.88(0.83, 0.94)		0.89(0.83, 0.96)		0.80(0.69, 0.91)	
>105	0.88(0.83, 0.93)		0.89(0.83, 0.95)		0.77(0.68, 0.88)	

Values obtained with a Cox proportional hazards analysis. ¹ Variables of tea consumption (duration and amount of tea consumed) were only calculated among daily tea consumers. ² Including hemorrhagic stroke, ischemic stroke and stroke of unknown type.

³ Adjusted for age, marital status, education, annual household income, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, BMI, history of hypertension, history of diabetes, intake frequencies of red meat, fresh fruits and fresh vegetables, and family history of stroke.

 $^{4}P_{\text{trend}}$, P for linear trend test.

Supplemental Table 3. Association of tea consumption with stroke risk according to the duration and amount of tea consumption among female participants.

Variables of the consumption	Total stroke ²		Ischemic stroke		Hemorrhagic stroke	
variables of tea consumption –	HR (95%CI) ³	P_{trend}^{4}	HR (95%CI) ³	P_{trend} ⁴	HR (95%CI) ³	P_{trend}^{4}
Never	1.00		1.00		1.00	
Duration of tea consumption (years)		0.345		0.581		0.259
<19	0.98(0.91, 1.05)		0.98(0.90, 1.06)		0.97(0.82, 1.14)	
19-30	1.04(0.93, 1.15)		1.04(0.93, 1.19)		1.00(0.79, 1.27)	
>30	0.92(0.83, 1.03)		0.94(0.84, 1.06)		0.82(0.65, 1.05)	
Amount of tea consumption (grams/day)		0.214		0.302		0.393
<2	1.01(0.95, 1.09)		1.04(0.96, 1.12)		0.94(0.80, 1.10)	
2-4	0.93(0.86, 1.01)		0.92(0.84, 1.00)		0.96(0.81, 1.14)	
>4	0.96(0.86, 1.07)		0.96(0.85, 1.09)		0.91(0.71, 1.16)	
Amount of tea consumption (gram-years)		0.354		0.62		0.316
<46	0.97(0.91, 1.04)		0.97(0.89, 1.04)		0.97(0.83, 1.13)	
46-105	1.01(0.93, 1.10)		1.05(0.96, 1.16)		0.90(0.74, 1.09)	
>105	0.94(0.86, 1.04)		0.95(0.86, 1.06)		0.91(0.74, 1.12)	

Values obtained with a Cox proportional hazards analysis. ¹ Variables of tea consumption (duration and amount of tea consumed) were only calculated among daily tea consumers. ² Including hemorrhagic stroke, ischemic stroke and stroke of unknown type.

³ Adjusted for age, marital status, education, annual household income, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, BMI, history of hypertension, history of diabetes, intake frequencies of red meat, fresh fruits and fresh vegetables, and family history of stroke.

⁴ P_{trend} , *P* for linear trend test.

Supplemental Table 4	. Association of free	quency of tea const	imption with the r	isk of stroke accord	ling to types of tea.

Turne of too	Studio type	No. of	Frequency of Tea Consumption					
Type of tea	Stroke type	participants	Never	Occasional	Weekly	Daily	- P trend	
	No. of participants	462,752	170,334	153,122	29,341	109,955		
Green tea	No. of person years	4,070,801	1,492,052	1,353,461	260,417	964,871		
	No. of cases	36,827	16,283	10,628	1860	8056		
	HR (95% CI) ¹							
	Total stroke ²		1.00	0.96(0.93, 0.99)	0.90(0.86, 0.95)	0.89(0.86, 0.92)	<0.001	
	Ischemic stroke		1.00	0.96(0.93, 0.98)	0.91(0.86, 0.97)	0.90(0.86, 0.94)	<0.001	
	Hemorrhagic stroke		1.00	0.95(0.89, 1.02)	0.84(0.74, 0.94)	0.84(0.78, 0.91)	<0.001	
	No. of participants	348,081	170,334	153,122	6300	18,325		
Non-green tea	No. of person years	3,064,296	1,492,052	1,353,461	56,356	162,427		
	No. of cases	28,811	16,283	10,628	481	1419		
	HR (95% CI) ¹							
	Total stroke ²		1.00	0.95(0.93, 0.98)	1.12(1.01, 1.23)	1.04(0.97, 1.12)	0.457	
	Ischemic stroke		1.00	0.95(0.92, 0.98)	1.09(0.98, 1.21)	1.04(0.97, 1.13)	0.460	
	Hemorrhagic stroke		1.00	0.95(0.88, 1.01)	1.29(0.99, 1.66)	1.01(0.84, 1.20)	0.549	

Values obtained with a Cox proportional hazards analysis. ¹ Adjusted for age, gender, marital status, education, annual household income, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, BMI, history of hypertension, history of diabetes, intake frequencies of red meat, fresh fruits and fresh vegetables, and family history of stroke. ² Including hemorrhagic stroke, ischemic stroke and stroke of unknown type. ³ P_{trend}, P for linear trend test. HR, hazard ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

Supplemental Table 5. Association of green tea	consumption with strok	e risk according to the duration a	nd amount of tea consumption.
	Total stroke ²	Ischemic stroke	Hemorrhagic stroke

	I Otal Sti OKC		Ischemic stroke		Hemorrhagic stroke	
variables of tea consumption -	HR (95%CI) ³	P_{trend}^{4}	HR (95%CI) ³	P_{trend} ⁴	HR (95%CI) ³	P_{trend}^4
Never	1.00		1.00		1.00	
Duration of tea consumption (years)		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001
<19	0.92(0.87, 0.97)		0.93(0.88, 0.99)		0.86(0.77, 0.97)	
19-30	0.87(0.82, 0.92)		0.88(0.82, 0.94)		0.82(0.72, 0.94)	
>30	0.89(0.84, 0.94)		0.90(0.84, 0.96)		0.77(0.68, 0.88)	
Amount of tea consumption (grams/day)		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001
<2	0.93(0.89, 0.98)		0.95(0.89, 1.00)		0.86(0.77, 0.96)	
2-4	0.87(0.82, 0.91)		0.88(0.83, 0.94)		0.79(0.70, 0.88)	
>4	0.89(0.84, 0.94)		0.89(0.84, 0.95)		0.81(0.71, 0.92)	
Amount of tea consumption (gram-years)		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001
<46	0.92(0.88, 0.97)		0.93(0.88, 0.99)		0.87(0.78, 0.97)	
46-105	0.90(0.85, 0.95)		0.92(0.86, 0.98)		0.80(0.71, 0.90)	
>105	0.87(0.82, 0.91)		0.88(0.82, 0.93)		0.78(0.70, 0.88)	

Values obtained with a Cox proportional hazards analysis. ¹Variables of tea consumption (duration and amount of tea consumed) were only calculated among daily tea consumers. ²Including hemorrhagic stroke, ischemic stroke and stroke of unknown type.

³ Adjusted for age, gender, marital status, education, annual household income, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, BMI, history of hypertension, history of diabetes, intake frequencies of red meat, fresh fruits and fresh vegetables, and family history of stroke.

⁴ P_{trend} , *P* for linear trend test.

Supplemental Table 6. Association of non-green tea consumption with stroke risk according to the duration and amount of tea consumption.

Variables of the consumption 1	Total stroke ²		Ischemic stroke		Hemorrhagic stroke	
variables of tea consumption –	HR (95%CI) ³	P_{trend} ⁴	HR (95%CI) ³	P_{trend} ⁴	HR (95%CI) ³	P_{trend} ⁴
Never	1.00		1.00		1.00	
Duration of tea consumption (years)		0.131		0.113		0.848
<19	1.12(1.02, 1.23)		1.12(1.01, 1.25)		1.03(0.78, 1.37)	
19-30	0.99(0.86, 1.13)		0.98(0.84, 1.14)		1.08(0.77, 1.51)	
>30	1.07(0.95, 1.19)		1.09(0.96, 1.24)		1.00(0.77, 1.30)	
Amount of tea consumption (grams/day)		0.08		0.045		0.733
<2	1.05(0.94, 1.18)		1.02(0.90, 1.15)		1.18(0.90, 1.56)	
2-4	1.13(1.03, 1.26)		1.15(1.03, 1.28)		1.08(0.83, 1.42)	
>4	1.03(0.92, 1.16)		1.08(0.95, 1.23)		0.83(0.61, 1.11)	
Amount of tea consumption (gram-years)		0.126		0.098		0.942
<46	1.12(1.01, 1.24)		1.11(0.996, 1.24)		1.09(0.81, 1.46)	
46-105	1.04(0.92, 1.17)		1.05(0.92, 1.20)		1.04(0.77, 1.41)	
>105	1.06(0.95, 1.18)		1.08(0.95, 1.22)		0.98(0.75, 1.27)	

Values obtained with a Cox proportional hazards analysis. ¹ Variables of tea consumption (duration and amount of tea consumed) were only calculated among daily tea consumers. ² Including hemorrhagic stroke, ischemic stroke and stroke of unknown type.

[‡] Adjusted for age, gender, marital status, education, annual household income, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, BMI, history of hypertension, history of diabetes, intake frequencies of red meat, fresh fruits and fresh vegetables, and family history of stroke.

 ${}^{4}P_{\text{trend}}$, P for linear trend test.

	No. of	Frequency of tea consumption					
Population for analysis	participants	Never	Occasional	Weekly	Daily	P trend	
Non-current smokers							
No. of participants	75,513	19,509	25,367	7320	23,317		
No. of person years	646,115	162,650	218,427	63,818	201,220		
No. of cases	7668	2651	2286	559	2172		
Model 1 ¹		1.00	0.93(0.88, 0.99)	0.86(0.79, 0.95)	0.91(0.86, 0.97)	0.005	
Model 2 ²		1.00	0.95(0.89, 1.00)	0.87(0.79, 0.96)	0.89(0.84, 0.96)	0.001	
Non-current drinkers							
No. of participants	109,596	25,336	32,446	9301	42,513		
No. of person years	940,227	211,010	280,669	80,909	367,639		
No. of cases	10,458	3386	2770	713	3589		
Model 1 ¹		1.00	0.92(0.87, 0.97)	0.89(0.81, 0.97)	0.87(0.82, 0.92)	<0.001	
Model 2 2		1.00	0.94(0.89, 0.995)	0.90(0.83, 0.98)	0.86(0.81, 0.92)	<0.001	

Supplemental Table 7. Association of tea consumption with stroke risk among non-current drinkers/smokers for males.

Values obtained with a Cox proportional hazards analysis. ¹Model 1: Hazard ratio (95% confidence interval) was achieved after adjusted for age and gender. ²Model 2: Hazard ratio (95% confidence interval) was achieved after adjusted for age, gender, marital status, education, annual household income, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, BMI, history of hypertension, history of diabetes, intake frequencies of red meat, fresh fruits and fresh vegetables, and family history of stroke. ³ P_{trend} , P for linear trend test.

Stroke type	Frequency of tea consumption						
Strone type	Never	Occasional	Weekly	Daily	- trena		
No. of participants	145,946	123,824	28,475	111,671			
No. of person years	1,264,798	1,082,395	250,146	971,899			
Total stroke ¹							
No. of cases	15,926	10,218	2236	9234			
Model 1 ²	1.00	0.95(0.93, 0.98)	0.94(0.90, 0.98)	0.94(0.91, 0.97)	<0.001		
Model 2 ³	1.00	0.96(0.93, 0.99)	0.94(0.89, 0.98)	0.91(0.88, 0.95)	<0.001		
Ischemic stroke							
No. of cases	13,037	8115	1723	6662			
Model 1 ²	1.00	0.96(0.93, 0.98)	0.95(0.90, 1.01)	0.96(0.92, 0.995)	0.022		
Model 2 ³	1.00	0.96(0.93, 0.98)	0.94(0.89, 0.99)	0.92(0.88, 0.95)	<0.001		
Hemorrhagic stroke							
No. of cases	2337	1727	422	2175			
Model 1 ²	1.00	0.92(0.85, 0.98)	0.85(0.76, 0.95)	0.85(0.79, 0.92)	<0.001		
Model 2 ³	1.00	0.96(0.89, 1.02)	0.89(0.79, 0.99)	0.86(0.80, 0.93)	<0.001		

<u>Supplemental Table 8</u>. Association of tea consumption with stroke risk among participants over forty.

Values obtained with a Cox proportional hazards analysis.

¹ Including hemorrhagic stroke, ischemic stroke and stroke of unknown type.

² Model 1: Hazard ratio (95% confidence interval) was achieved after adjusted for age and gender.

³ Model 2: Hazard ratio (95% confidence interval) was achieved after adjusted for age, gender, marital status, education, annual household income, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, BMI, history of hypertension, history of diabetes, intake frequencies of red meat, fresh fruits and fresh vegetables, and family history of stroke.

⁴ P_{trend} , P for linear trend test.

Supplemental Acknowledgments:

The chief acknowledgment is to the participants, the project staff, and the China National Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and its regional offices for assisting with the fieldwork. We thank Judith Mackay in Hong Kong; Yu Wang, Gonghuan Yang, Zhengfu Qiang, Lin Feng, Maigeng Zhou, Wenhua Zhao, and Yan Zhang in China CDC; Lingzhi Kong, Xiucheng Yu, and Kun Li in the Chinese Ministry of Health; and Sarah Clark, Martin Radley, Mike Hill, Hongchao Pan, and Jill Boreham in the CTSU, Oxford, for assisting with the design, planning, organization, and conduct of the study.

Members of the China Kadoorie Biobank collaborative group:

International Steering Committee: Junshi Chen, Zhengming Chen (PI), Robert Clarke, Rory Collins, Yu Guo, Liming Li (PI), Jun Lv, Richard Peto, Robin Walters. International Coordinating Centre, Oxford: Daniel Avery, Ruth Boxall, Derrick Bennett, Yumei Chang, Yiping Chen, Zhengming Chen, Robert Clarke, Huaidong Du, Simon Gilbert, Alex Hacker, Mike Hill, Michael Holmes, Andri Iona, Christiana Kartsonaki, Rene Kerosi, Ling Kong, Om Kurmi, Garry Lancaster, Sarah Lewington, Kuang Lin, John McDonnell, Iona Millwood, Qunhua Nie, Jayakrishnan Radhakrishnan, Paul Ryder, Sam Sansome, Dan Schmidt, Paul Sherliker, Rajani Sohoni, Becky Stevens, Iain Turnbull, Robin Walters, Jenny Wang, Lin Wang, Neil Wright, Ling Yang, Xiaoming Yang. National Co-ordinating Centre, Beijing: Zheng Bian, Yu Guo, Xiao Han, Can Hou, Jun Lv, Pei Pei, Chao Liu, Canqing Yu. 10 Regional Co-ordinating Centres: Qingdao CDC: Zengchang Pang, Ruqin Gao, Shanpeng Li, Shaojie Wang, Yongmei Liu, Ranran Du, Yajing Zang, Liang Cheng, Xiaocao Tian, Hua Zhang, Yaoming Zhai, Feng Ning, Xiaohui Sun, Feifei Li. Licang CDC: Silu Lv, Junzheng Wang, Wei Hou. Heilongjiang Provincial CDC: Mingyuan Zeng, Ge Jiang, Xue Zhou. Nangang CDC: Liqiu Yang, Hui He, Bo Yu, Yanjie Li, Qinai Xu,Quan Kang, Ziyan Guo. Hainan Provincial CDC: Dan Wang, Ximin Hu, Jinyan Chen, Yan Fu, Zhenwang Fu,

Xiaohuan Wang. Meilan CDC: Min Weng, Zhendong Guo, Shukuan Wu, Yilei Li, Huimei Li, Zhifang Fu. Jiangsu Provincial CDC: Ming Wu, Yonglin Zhou, Jinyi Zhou, Ran Tao, Jie Yang, Jian Su. Suzhou CDC: Fang liu, Jun Zhang, Yihe Hu, Yan Lu, , Liangcai Ma, Aiyu Tang, Shuo Zhang, Jianrong Jin, Jingchao Liu. Guangxi Provincial CDC: Zhenzhu Tang, Naying Chen, Ying Huang. Liuzhou CDC: Mingqiang Li, Jinhuai Meng, Rong Pan, Qilian Jiang, Jian Lan, Yun Liu, Liuping Wei, Liyuan Zhou, Ningyu Chen Ping Wang, Fanwen Meng, Yulu Qin,, Sisi Wang. Sichuan Provincial CDC: Xianping Wu, Ningmei Zhang, Xiaofang Chen, Weiwei Zhou. Pengzhou CDC: Guojin Luo, Jianguo Li, Xiaofang Chen, Xunfu Zhong, Jiaqiu Liu, Qiang Sun. Gansu Provincial CDC: Pengfei Ge, Xiaolan Ren, Caixia Dong. Maiji CDC: Hui Zhang, Enke Mao, Xiaoping Wang, Tao Wang, Xi zhang. Henan Provincial CDC: Ding Zhang, Gang Zhou, Shixian Feng, Liang Chang, Lei Fan. Huixian CDC: Yulian Gao, Tianyou He, Huarong Sun, Pan He, Chen Hu, Xukui Zhang, Huifang Wu, Pan He. Zhejiang Provincial CDC: Min Yu, Ruying Hu, Hao Wang. Tongxiang CDC: Yijian Qian, Chunmei Wang, Kaixu Xie, Lingli Chen, Yidan Zhang, Dongxia Pan, Qijun Gu. Hunan Provincial CDC: Yuelong Huang, Biyun Chen, Li Yin, Huilin Liu, Zhongxi Fu, Qiaohua Xu. Liuyang CDC: Xin Xu, Hao Zhang, Huajun Long, Xianzhi Li, Libo Zhang, Zhe Qiu.