## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

A nationwide causal mediation analysis of survival following ST-elevation Myocardial

# Infarction

## Authors

Tatendashe B Dondo (PhD), Marlous Hall (PhD), Theresa Munyombwe (PhD), Chris

Wilkinson (MRCP), Mohammad E Yadegarfar (PhD), Adam D Timmis (FRCP), Phillip D

Batin (FRCP), Tomas Jernberg (FRCP), Keith AA Fox (FRCP), Chris P Gale (FRCP).

eTable 1. Mapping of care interventions for the management of STEMI to MINAP data

fields.

Care	Eligibility Criteria	<b>Opportunity Received</b>
intervention		
Aspirin		
At Discharge	If discharged on aspirin (4.08) is not =2 (contraindicated), 3 (patient	Discharged on aspirin (4.08)=1 (yes)
	declined treatment), 4 (not	
	applicable) or 8 (not indicated), as	
	recorded in MINAP.	
P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitor		
At Discharge	If discharged on thienopyridine	If discharged on
	(4.27) is not =2 (contraindicated), 3	thienopyridine=1 (yes) or if
	(patient declined treatment),4 (not	discharged on ticagrelor=1
	applicable) or 8 (not indicated)	(yes)
	Or if discharged on ticagrelor is not	
	=2 (contraindicated),3 (patient	
	declined treatment),4 (not	
	applicable),8 (not indicated)	
	, as recorded in MINAP.	
β-Blocker		

At Discharge	If discharged on $\beta$ blockers (4.05) is	If discharged on beta
	not =2 (contraindicated), 3 (patient	blocker(4.05)=1 (yes)
	declined treatment), 4 (not	
	applicable) or 8 (not indicated), as	
	recorded in MINAP.	
ACEi/ARBs		
At Discharge	If discharged on ACEi/ARB	If ACEi/ARB (3.32)=1 (yes)
	(3.32/4.06) is not =2	OR if discharged ACEi/ARB
	(contraindicated), 3 (patient declined	(4.06)=1 (yes)
	treatment), 4 (not applicable) or 8	
	(not indicated), as recorded in	
	MINAP.	
Statin		
At Discharge	If discharged on statin (4.07) is not	If statin (4.07)=1 (yes)
	=2 (contraindicated), 3 (patient	
	declined treatment), 4 (not	
	applicable) or 8 (not indicated), as	
	recorded in MINAP.	
Reperfusion		
strategy		
PPCI	If reason no reperfusion (3.08) was	If initial_reperfusion (3.39)=
	given is not= 1 (ineligible ECG), 2	2 (PPCI in house), 3 (referred
	(too late), 3 (risk of haemorrhage), 4	for consideration for PPCI
	(uncontrolled hypertension), 5	elsewhere), 4 (PPCI already

3

	(administrative failure), 6 (elective	was performed at the		
	decision), 7 (patient refused	interventional hospital)		
	treatment) or 8 (other).			
Thrombolysis	If reason no reperfusion (3.08) was	If lytic (3.36) =1		
	given is not = 1 (ineligible ECG), 2	(Streptokinase), 2 (Alteplase),		
	(too late), 3 (risk of haemorrhage), 4	3 (Reteplase) or 4		
	(uncontrolled hypertension), 5	(Tenecteplase) or		
	(administrative failure), 6 (elective	initial_reperfusion $(3.39) = 1$		
	decision), 7 (patient refused	(thrombolytic treatment).		
	treatment) or 8 (other).			
Referral for	All patients eligible unless not	If cardiac rehabilitation		
Cardiac	indicated	(4.09)=1 (yes)		
Rehabilitation				
	If cardiac rehabilitation (4.09) is not			
	=3 (patient declined treatment) or 8			
	(not indicated), as recorded in			
	MINAP.			

Abbreviations: ACEi - angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARBs - Angiotensin receptor blocker; ECG -

electrocardiogram; PPCI – primary percutaneous coronary intervention; MINAP- Myocardial Ischaemia National Audit Project.

# Flexible parametric survival modelling

eTable 2. Choice of scale and degrees of freedom for the flexible parametric survival model determined by minimisation of the Akaike's

Information Criterion and Bayes Information Criterion.

	AIC and BIC Ranges Over 10 Imputed Data Sets								
df	lf Normal		Theta		Odds		Hazard		
	AIC	BIC	AIC	BIC	AIC	BIC	AIC	BIC	
1	44583.12-	44766.77-	44679.12-	44869.10-	44763.57-	44947.22-	44802.32-	44985.97-	
	59707.10	59892.90	59838.09	60030.52	59949.11	60134.91	60001.58	60187.38	
2	44362.39-	44552.38-	44447.19-	44643.50-	44469.44-	44659.42-	44491.38-	44681.36-	
	59388.40	59580.83	59497.81	59696.88	59520.88	59713.32	59548.08	59740.51	
3	44356.42-	44552.74-	44441.39-	44644.04-	44463.05-	44659.36-	44484.70-	44681.01-	
	59383.37	59582.44	59491.35	59697.06	59513.45	59712.52	59540.26	59739.33	

4	44339.93-	44542.58-	44422.30-	44631.28-	44442.99-	44645.64-	44464.42-	44667.07-
	59348.65	59554.36	59451.52	59663.86	59471.96	59677.67	59498.20	59703.91
5	<u>44324.97-</u>	<u>44533.95-</u>	44405.02-	44620.33-	44425.09-	44634.07-	44446.35-	44655.33-
	<u>59322.65</u>	<u>59534.99</u>	59421.74	59640.72	59441.33	59653.68	59467.28	59679.62

Abbreviations: AIC- Akaike's Information Criterion; BIC- Bayes Information Criterion; df-degrees of freedom.

#### **Mediation analysis**

A mediation analysis was conducted to determine the proportion of temporal improvements in survival that were mediated by use of PPCI and prescription of  $P2Y_{12}$  inhibitors at hospital discharge (eFigure 1). Details on the method and R package used for the analyses have been described elsewhere.[1, 2] The mediation analysis was undertaken on complete cases (n=137,111) and across each of the ten imputed datasets separately as methods to pool the estimates to the best of our knowledge have not been established.

**eFigure 1.** A path diagram indicating the use of PPCI and prescription of  $P2Y_{12}$  inhibitors at hospital discharge as potential mediators for the temporal trend in survival.



Since two potential mediators were determined in the primary analysis (eFigure 1), two independent single mediator models for the hypothesised mediators (PPCI and prescription of P2Y12 inhibitors at hospital discharge) were fitted. For each model average total effects (ATE), average causal mediation effect (ACME), average direct effect (ADE), and the proportion mediated by the hypothesised mediators were determined. Average direct effects (ADE) are defined as the intervention effects on outcome after excluding the mediator effects (represented by path c in eFigure 1), while the ACME is defined as the intervention effect on the outcome via the mediator (represented by paths a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>, b<sub>1</sub> and b<sub>2</sub> in eFigure 1).[2, 3] The ATE is the sum of ADE and ACME, which is defined as the total intervention effects on the outcome.[2, 3] The proportion mediated is the fraction of ATE that is explained by ACME.[2, 3] The ACME and ADE are estimated under the potential outcomes framework whereby the impact of the mediator on the outcome is quantified comparing impact on outcomes if everyone in the population received treatment/mediating variable vs. if no one in the population received treatment/mediating variable to the different treatment groups other than their observed treatment group, thus the employment of counterfactual outcomes (potential outcomes).[3]

For each of the mediators, two regression models were fitted, i.e. the mediator model (to quantify ACME) and the outcome model (to quantify ATE). In the mediator model, year of admission was the independent variable and the hypothesised mediator as the dependent variable. However, in order to infer causal inference the mediation analysis's main assumption: the sequential ignorability assumption must not be violated. The sequential ignorability assumption is effectively randomly assigned given pre-intervention covariates and the randomised treatment.[4] Given that in observational studies, potential bias may arise at ether treatment assignment or mediator stage [4], the mediator models were adjusted for pre-intervention covariates. The pre-intervention covariates included: age, sex, deprivation (Index of Multiple Deprivation score), diabetes,

8

hypercholesterolaemia, hypertension, smoking status, family history of coronary heart disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cerebrovascular disease, peripheral vascular disease, previous history of AMI, previous history of angina, previous coronary revascularisation, previous coronary artery bypass graft. This was done to ensure that the mediating variables were as good as randomised conditional on the pre-intervention covariates adjusted for in the mediator models. Thus allowing for interpretation of paths  $b_1$ and  $b_2$  as causal because individuals within each treatment group attaining different levels of the mediator would be similar. For the outcome models, we used a Poisson regression modelling framework with log survival time as the offset (in the absence of software packages available to fit flexible parametric survival models for mediation analysis). The outcome models were adjusted for patient demographics (sex, deprivation (index of multiple deprivation score)), cardiovascular risk factors (diabetes, hypercholesterolaemia, hypertension, smoking status, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), family history of coronary heart disease, previous history of AMI, previous history of angina, previous coronary revascularisation, previous coronary artery bypass graft), cardiovascular history (cerebrovascular disease, peripheral vascular disease), discharge medications (statins, aspirin, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEi)/angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB)) and cardiac rehabilitation. Cardiac rehabilitation was added only to the outcome models because it is a post intervention variable and therefore could not be included in the mediator model as a pre-intervention covariate. To take into account the mediated effect of the other mediator, they were adjusted for in each of the outcome models in turn. However, this can prove a limitation if the indirect effects of the mediators have an opposite signs, it can result to the indirect effects either cancelling each other out if the effect sizes are the same (thus resulting to no effect being mediated) or an underestimation of the proportion mediated if the indirect effects are not the same size.[5] In this analysis, the indirect effects were assumed to have the

9

same sign as all the mediators had the same impact on survival of the STEMI patients. This assumption was confirmed to hold true as none of the total effects from the individual models for each of the mediators were found to be zero (eTable 6 and eTable 7). The mediate function was used to estimate ATE, ACME, ADE and the proportion mediated by determined mediators.[2]

The modelling approach was undertaken for the both the primary and secondary outcomes, i.e. one year and six months survival, respectively. Results of the findings are given in eTable 6 and eTable 7.

## **Multiple Imputation**

Multiple imputations by chained equations (MICE)[6] were used to create 10 imputed datasets for missing data for all components of the GRACE risk score and other patient demographic variables. A default imputation (missing data default imputed to "NO") strategy based on clinical expert opinion was implemented for cardiovascular history, cardiovascular risk factors, and categorical treatment variables.[6, 7] The imputation models were based on previous work. The imputation model used is defined in detail in eTable 3. Predictive mean matching was used for continuous variables with nonlinear associations.

Variable	Variable	Missing	Imputation method
	Туре	(%)	
Cardiac arrest	Binary	7.9	Logistic regression
Uncensored peak troponin measurement in	Continuous	22.0	Predictive mean matching
ng/ml			
Age	Continuous	0.1	Predictive mean matching
Systolic blood pressure	Continuous	18.5	Predictive mean matching
Heart rate	Continuous	18.1	Predictive mean matching
Loop diuretic used	Binary	20.4	Logistic regression
Creatinine level	Continuous	41.2	Predictive mean matching
Ethnicity	Categorical	11.8	Polytomous regression
Sex	Binary	0.3	Logistic regression
Index of multiple deprivation score	Continuous	7.6	Predictive mean matching
Derived identification	Continuous	0	Predictor/ Auxiliary
			/Partially Observed
Arrival year	Continuous	0	Predictor/ Auxiliary
			/Partially Observed
Nelson-Aalen survival estimate	Continuous	0	Predictor/ Auxiliary
			/Partially Observed

# eTable 3. Imputation Strategy

Variable	Variable Missing Imputati		Imputation method
	Туре	(%)	
Censoring indicator	Binary	0	Predictor/ Auxiliary
			/Partially Observed
Hypercholesterolaemia	Binary	12.9	Predictor/ Auxiliary and
			Default imputed
Previous hypertension	Binary	9.5	Predictor/ Auxiliary and
			Default imputed
Previous myocardial infarction	Binary	9.1	Predictor/ Auxiliary and
			Default imputed
Previous angina	Binary	10.4	Predictor/ Auxiliary and
			Default imputed
Previous PCI	Binary	11.0	Predictor/ Auxiliary and
			Default imputed
Previous CABG	Binary	10.8	Predictor/ Auxiliary and
			Default imputed
Peripheral vascular disease	Binary	12.6	Predictor/ Auxiliary and
			Default imputed
Cerebrovascular disease	Binary	11.8	Predictor/ Auxiliary and
			Default imputed
Chronic obstructive pulmonary	Binary	12.5	Predictor/ Auxiliary and
disease/Asthma			Default imputed

Variable	Variable	Missing	Imputation method
	Туре	(%)	
Smoker ever	Binary	9.3	Logistic regression
Diabetes	Binary	7.1	Predictor/ Auxiliary and
			Default imputed
Family history of chronic heart disease	Binary	31.1	Predictor/ Auxiliary and
			Default imputed
Care by Cardiologist	Binary	33.1	Predictor/ Auxiliary and
			Default imputed
Chronic renal failure	Binary	11.8	Predictor/ Auxiliary and
			Default imputed
Congestive cardiac failure	Binary	11.5	Predictor/ Auxiliary and
			Default imputed
Electrocardiogram appearance	Categorical	3.4	Polytomous regression
Preadmission medication			
Aspirin	Categorical	0	Predictor/ Auxiliary and
			Default imputed
β-blocker	Categorical	28.1	Predictor/ Auxiliary and
			Default imputed
Statin	Categorical	25.3	Predictor/ Auxiliary and
			Default imputed

Variable	ariable Variable M		Imputation method
	Туре	(%)	
ACEi or ARBs	Categorical	28.3	Predictor/ Auxiliary and
			Default imputed
P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitor	Categorical	60.0	Predictor/ Auxiliary and
			Default imputed
Warfarin	Categorical	20.2	Predictor/ Auxiliary and
			Default imputed
Discharge medication			
Aspirin	Categorical	8.4	Polytomous regression
P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors	Categorical	52.3	Polytomous regression
ACEi/ARBs	Categorical	9.2	Polytomous regression
Statin	Categorical	8.6	Polytomous regression
β blockers	Categorical	16.2	Polytomous regression
Aldosterone antagonist	Categorical	56.7	Polytomous regression
Enzyme elevation	Binary	9.7	Predictor/ Auxiliary
			variable
Admission diagnosis	Categorical	0	Predictor/ Auxiliary
			variable
Admitting consultant	Binary	5.2	Predictor/ Auxiliary
			variable

Variable	Variable		Imputation method
	Туре	(%)	
Serum cholesterol	Continuous	26.1	Predictor/ Auxiliary
			variable
Coronary angiography	Categorical	12.6	Polytomous regression
Coronary intervention	Categorical	19.4	Polytomous regression
Cardiac rehabilitation	Categorical	11.4	Polytomous regression

Abbreviations: ARB - Angiotensin receptor blocker; ACEi - angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; CABG - coronary

artery bypass grafting; COPD - chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; PCI - percutaneous coronary intervention.

eTable 4. Impact of patient and treatment factors on temporal trends in six months and one year survival between 2004 and 2013, for unadjusted and

adjusted flexible parametric survival models (excluding patients with prior statin therapy, N= 119,367).

		Six months		One year	
Model	Variables included	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	<b>P-value</b>	Hazard ratio (95%	P-value
number				CI)	
Model 1	Year	0.988 (0.984-0.991)	<0.001	0.986 (0.982-0.989)	< 0.001
	Year +				
Model 2	Age, sex, IMD	0.990 (0.987-0.994)	< 0.001	0.989 (0.985-0.992)	< 0.001
Model 3	PPCI	1.019 (1.015-1.023)	< 0.001	1.017 (1.013-1.021)	< 0.001
Model 4	Comorbidities and risk factors	0.999 (0.995-1.003)	0.531	0.998 (0.995-1.002)	0.354
Model 5	Five discharge drugs	0.990 (0.985-0.995)	< 0.001	0.989 (0.985-0.994)	< 0.001
Model 6	Aspirin	0.983 (0.979-0.987)	< 0.001	0.982 (0.979-0.985)	< 0.001
Model 7	Statins	0.981 (0.977-0.985)	< 0.001	0.980 (0.977-0.983)	< 0.001
Model 8	P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors	1.034 (1.030-1.039)	< 0.001	1.027 (1.023-1.032)	< 0.001
Model 9	ACEi/ARBs	0.985 (0.982-0.989)	< 0.001	0.983 (0.980-0.987)	< 0.001
Model 10	β-blockers	0.989 (0.986-0.993)	<0.001	0.987 (0.984-0.990)	<0.001
Model 11	Cardiac rehabilitation	0.986 (0.982-0.990)	< 0.001	0.984 (0.981-0.987)	< 0.001
	Year + age + sex + IMD +				
Model 12	PPCI	1.012 (1.007-1.016)	< 0.001	1.010 (1.006-1.014)	< 0.001
Model 13	Comorbidities and risk factors	0.996 (0.992-0.999)	0.037	0.995 (0.992-0.999)	0.008
Model 14	Five discharge drugs	0.987 (0.982-0.993)	< 0.001	0.988 (0.983-0.992)	< 0.001
Model 15	Aspirin	0.985 (0.980-0.989)	< 0.001	0.984 (0.980-0.987)	< 0.001
Model 16	Statins	0.983 (0.979-0.986)	< 0.001	0.981 (0.978-0.985)	< 0.001
Model 17	P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors	1.036 (1.031-1.041)	< 0.001	1.030 (1.025-1.034)	< 0.001
Model 18	ACEi/ARBs	0.987 (0.983-0.991)	< 0.001	0.986 (0.982-0.989)	< 0.001

		Six months		One year	
Model	Variables included	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P-value	Hazard ratio (95%	P-value
number				CI)	
Model 19	β-blockers	0.992 (0.988-0.996)	<0.001	0.990 (0.987-0.993)	< 0.001
Model 20	Cardiac rehabilitation	0.989 (0.985-0.993)	<0.001	0.987 (0.984-0.991)	<0.001
Model 21	Year + age + sex + IMD + PPCI + Comorbidities and risk	1.005 (1.000-1.011)	0.069	1.006 (1.001-1.012)	0.020
	factors + Aspirin + Statins + $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitors +				
	ACEi/ARBs + $\beta$ -blockers + Cardiac rehabilitation				

Abbreviations: ACEi – angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARBs – Angiotensin receptor blocker; IMD – index of multiple deprivation and PPCI – primary percutaneous coronary intervention.

eTable 5. Impact of patient and treatment factors on temporal trends in six months and one year survival between 2004 and 2013, for unadjusted and

		Six months		One year	
Model	Variables included	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	<b>P-value</b>	Hazard ratio (95%	P-value
number				CI)	
Model 1	Year	0.994 (0.987-1.001)	0.087	0.993 (0.986-0.999)	0.021
	Year +				
Model 2	Age, sex, IMD			0.986 (0.979-0.993)	<0.001
Model 3	PPCI			1.029 (1.022-1.036)	<0.001
Model 4	Comorbidities and risk factors			0.996 (0.989-1.002)	0.182
Model 5	Five discharge drugs			1.017 (1.008-1.026)	<0.001
Model 6	Aspirin			0.997 (0.991-1.004)	0.433
Model 7	Statins			0.996 (0.990-1.002)	0.213
Model 8	P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors			1.050 (1.041-1.058)	< 0.001
Model 9	ACEi/ARBs			0.998 (0.992-1.004)	0.528
Model 10	β-blockers			1.001 (0.995-1.008)	0.614
Model 11	Cardiac rehabilitation			0.995 (0.989-1.001)	0.122
	Year + age + sex + IMD +				
Model 12	PPCI			1.011 (1.004-1.019)	0.004
Model 13	Comorbidities and risk factors			0.988 (0.981-0.994)	<0.001
Model 14	Five discharge drugs			1.002 (0.992-1.011)	0.725
Model 15	Aspirin			0.990 (0.983-0.997)	0.003
Model 16	Statins			0.988 (0.981-0.995)	0.001
Model 17	P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors			1.039 (1.030-1.048)	<0.001
Model 18	ACEi/ARBs			0.990 (0.983-0.997)	0.003
Model 19	β-blockers			0.994 (0.987-1.001)	0.073
Model 20	Cardiac rehabilitation			0.988 (0.981-0.995)	<0.001

adjusted flexible parametric survival models (restricted to patients with prior statin therapy only, N=54,151).

		Six months		One year	
Model	Variables included	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P-value	Hazard ratio (95%	P-value
number				CI)	
Model 21	Year + age + sex + IMD + PPCI + Comorbidities and			1.009 (1.000-1.019)	0.057
	risk factors + Aspirin + Statins + P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors +				
	ACEi/ARBs + $\beta$ -blockers + Cardiac rehabilitation				

Abbreviations: ACEi – angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARBs – Angiotensin receptor blocker; IMD – index of multiple deprivation and PPCI – primary percutaneous coronary intervention

#### Heart

# Section 5

eTable 6. Mediation ana	lysis modelling	for one year s	survival, by	imputation dataset.
-------------------------	-----------------	----------------	--------------	---------------------

Imputation	Analysis	Intervention-mediator	Mediator-outcome	ADE	ACME	Proportion mediated	P value
dataset		effect (path a1 and a2)	effect (path b <sub>1</sub> and b <sub>2</sub> )			(%)	
0*	P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors	-0.07 (-0.08 to -0.05)	-0.16 (-0.27 to -0.04)	7.95×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-4.64×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.41×10 <sup>-6</sup> (-4.26×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-	-
				to 1.71×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	to 1.36×10 <sup>-5</sup> )		
	Introduction of	0.32 (0.32 to 0.34)	-0.37 (-0.43 to -0.30)	$1.98 \times 10^{-4} (8.98 \times 10^{-5})$	-5.07×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-6.62×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-32.9 (-100 to -17.1)	0.030
	PPCI			to $2.69 \times 10^{-4}$ )	to -3.80×10 <sup>-5</sup> )		
1	$P2Y_{12}$ inhibitors	0.79 (0.78 to 0.79)	-0.23 (-0.29 to -0.19)	-4.50×10 <sup>-6</sup> (-	-9.26×10 <sup>-6</sup> (-1.12×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-	-
				$6.77 \times 10^{-5}$ to	to -7.25×10 <sup>-6</sup> )		
				6.1210 <sup>-5</sup> )			
	Introduction of	0.63 (0.62 to 0.63)	-0.40 (-0.44 to -0.36)	$1.70 \times 10^{-4} (1.17 \times 10^{-4})$	-1.98×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-2.29×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-13.1 (-21.7 to -9.2)	<0.001
	PPCI			to $2.22 \times 10^{-4}$ )	to -1.67×10 <sup>-5</sup> )		

Imputation	Analysis	Intervention-mediator	Mediator-outcome	ADE	ACME	Proportion mediated	P value
dataset		effect (path a1 and a2)	effect (path b1 and b2)			(%)	
2	$P2Y_{12}$ inhibitors	0.79 (0.78 to 0.79)	-0.24 (-0.29 to -0.19)	-4.49×10 <sup>-6</sup> (-	-9.27×10 <sup>-6</sup> (-1.13×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-	-
				$6.78 \times 10^{-5}$ to	to -7.17×10 <sup>-6</sup> )		
				6.11×10 <sup>-5</sup> )			
	Introduction of	0.63 (0.62 to 0.63)	-0.40 (-0.44 to -0.36)	1.70×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.17×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-1.97×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-2.27×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-13.1 (-21.6 to -9.2)	<0.001
	PPCI			to 2.22×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	to -1.67×10 <sup>-5</sup> )		
3	P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors	0.79 (0.78 to 0.79)	-0.24 (-0.29 to -0.19)	-7.11×10 <sup>-6</sup> (-	-9.22×10 <sup>-6</sup> (-1.12×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-	-
				$7.09 \times 10^{-5}$ to	to -7.11×10 <sup>-6</sup> )		
				5.86×10 <sup>-5</sup> )			
	Introduction of	0.63 (0.62 to 0.63)	-0.40 (-0.44 to -0.36)	1.68×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.15×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-1.97×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-2.27×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-13.4 (-22.2 to -9.3)	<0.001
	PPCI			to $2.20 \times 10^{-4}$ )	to -1.68×10 <sup>-5</sup> )		
4	P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors	0.79 (0.78 to 0.79)	-0.24 (-0.29 to -0.19)	-1.03×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-	-9.20×10 <sup>-6</sup> (-1.12×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-	-
				$7.40 \times 10^{-5}$ to	to -7.18×10 <sup>-6</sup> )		
				5.57×10 <sup>-5</sup> )			
1	1			1	1		

Imputation	Analysis	Intervention-mediator	Mediator-outcome	ADE	ACME	Proportion mediated	P value
dataset		effect (path a1 and a2)	effect (path b1 and b2)			(%)	
	Introduction of	0.63 (0.62 to 0.63)	-0.40 (-0.44 to -0.36)	1.66×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.12×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-1.98×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-2.28×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-13.6 (-22.9 to -9.4)	<0.001
	PPCI			to 2.18×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	to -1.68×10 <sup>-5</sup> )		
5	P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors	0.79 (0.78 to 0.79)	-0.24 (-0.29 to -0.19)	-5.23×10 <sup>-6</sup> (-	-9.28×10 <sup>-6</sup> (-1.14×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-	-
				$6.87 \times 10^{-5}$ to	to $-7.25 \times 10^{-6}$ )		
				6.03×10 <sup>-5</sup> )			
	Introduction of	0.63 (0.62 to 0.63)	-0.40 (-0.44 to -0.35)	$1.69 \times 10^{-4} (1.16 \times 10^{-4})$	-1.97×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-2.27×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-13.2 (-21.7 to -9.2)	<0.001
	PPCI			to 2.21×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	to -1.68×10 <sup>-5</sup> )		
6	P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors	0.79 (0.78 to 0.79)	-0.24 (-0.29 to -0.19)	-6.98×10 <sup>-6</sup> (-	-9.22×10 <sup>-6</sup> (-1.14×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-	-
				$7.04 \times 10^{-5}$ to	to -7.17×10 <sup>-6</sup> )		
				5.88×10 <sup>-5</sup> )			
	Introduction of	0.63 (0.62 to 0.63)	-0.40 (-0.44 to -0.36)	$1.67 \times 10^{-4} (1.14 \times 10^{-4})$	-1.97×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-2.27×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-13.4 (-22.3 to -9.2)	<0.001
	PPCI			to $2.19 \times 10^{-4}$ )	to $-1.67 \times 10^{-5}$ )		

Imputation	Analysis	Intervention-mediator	Mediator-outcome	ADE	ACME	Proportion mediated	P value
dataset		effect (path a1 and a2)	effect (path b <sub>1</sub> and b <sub>2</sub> )			(%)	
7	$P2Y_{12}$ inhibitors	0.79 (0.78 to 0.79)	-0.24 (-0.29 to -0.19)	-6.09×10 <sup>-6</sup> (-	-9.25×10 <sup>-6</sup> (-1.13×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-	-
				$6.95 \times 10^{-5}$ to	to -7.23×10 <sup>-6</sup> )		
				5.97×10 <sup>-5</sup> )			
	Introduction of	0.63 (0.62 to 0.63)	-0.40 (-0.44 to -0.36)	1.69×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.16×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-1.97×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-2.28×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-13.2 (-22.0 to -9.2)	<0.001
	PPCI			to 2.21×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	to -1.67×10 <sup>-5</sup> )		
8	P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors	0.79 (0.78 to 0.79)	-0.24 (-0.29 to -0.19)	-6.09×10 <sup>-6</sup> (-	-9.25×10 <sup>-6</sup> (-1.13×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-	-
				$6.95 \times 10^{-5}$ to	to -7.23×10 <sup>-6</sup> )		
				5.97×10 <sup>-5</sup> )			
	Introduction of	0.63 (0.62 to 0.63)	-0.40 (-0.44 to -0.36)	1.69×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.16×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-1.97×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-2.28×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-13.2 (-22.0 to -9.2)	<0.001
	PPCI			to 2.21×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	to -1.67×10 <sup>-5</sup> )		
9	P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors	0.79 (0.78 to 0.79)	-0.24 (-0.29 to -0.19)	-2.85×10 <sup>-6</sup> (-	-9.31×10 <sup>-6</sup> (-1.13×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-	-
				$6.64 \times 10^{-5}$ to	to -7.27×10 <sup>-6</sup> )		
				6.28×10 <sup>-5</sup> )			
1	1				1		

Imputation	Analysis	Intervention-mediator	Mediator-outcome	ADE	ACME	Proportion mediated	P value
dataset		effect (path a1 and a2)	effect (path b1 and b2)			(%)	
	Introduction of	0.63 (0.62 to 0.63)	-0.40 (-0.44 to -0.36)	1.70×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.17×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-1.97×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-2.27×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-13.1 (-21.5 to -9.1)	<0.001
	PPCI			to 2.22×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	to -1.67×10 <sup>-5</sup> )		
10	P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors	0.79 (0.78 to 0.79)	-0.24 (-0.29 to -0.19)	-1.65×10 <sup>-6</sup> (-	-9.34×10 <sup>-6</sup> (-1.13×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-	-
				$6.49 \times 10^{-5}$ to	to -7.29×10 <sup>-6</sup> )		
				6.39×10 <sup>-5</sup> )			
	Introduction of	0.63 (0.62 to 0.63)	-0.40 (-0.44 to -0.36)	$1.70 \times 10^{-4} (1.17 \times 10^{-4})$	-1.95×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-2.26×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-13.0 (-21.3 to -9.2)	<0.001
	PPCI			to $2.22 \times 10^{-4}$ )	to -1.66×10 <sup>-5</sup> )		

Abbreviations: PPCI – primary percutaneous coronary intervention. \*Complete case analysis results.

Imputation	Analysis	Intervention-	Mediator-outcome	ADE	ACME	Proportion mediated	P value
dataset		mediator effect (path	effect (path b <sub>1</sub> and b <sub>2</sub> )			(%)	
		a1 and a2)					
0*	P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors	-0.07 (-0.08 to -0.05)	-0.02 (-0.15 to 0.11)	1.48×10 <sup>-4</sup> (-1.97×10 <sup>-5</sup>	8.31×10 <sup>-7</sup> (-6.81×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-	-
				to 2.66×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	to 8.83×10 <sup>-6</sup> )		
	Introduction of	0.32 (0.31 to 0.34)	-0.39 (-0.47 to -0.32)	1.69×10 <sup>-4</sup> (-4.29×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-8.47×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-1.16×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-	-
	PPCI			to 3.10×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	to -6.10×10 <sup>-5</sup> )		
1	P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors	0.79 (0.78 to 0.79)	-0.23 (-0.29 to -0.17)	2.05×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.17×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-1.01×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-1.29×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-5.2 (-8.7 to -3.5)	<0.001
				to 2.90×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	to -7.38×10 <sup>-6</sup> )		
	Introduction of	0.63 (0.62 to 0.63)	-0.43 (-0.48 to -0.39)	2.16×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.34×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-3.07×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-3.60×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-16.7 (-31.2 to -10.8)	<0.001
	PPCI			to 2.99×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	to -2.56×10 <sup>-5</sup> )		
2	P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors	0.79 (0.78 to 0.79)	-0.23 (-0.29 to -0.17)	2.06×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.17×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-1.02×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-1.29×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-5.2 (-8.6 to -3.4)	<0.001
				to 2.91×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	to $-7.42 \times 10^{-6}$ )		

eTable 7. Mediation analysis modelling for six months survival, by imputation dataset.

Imputation	Analysis	Intervention-	Mediator-outcome	ADE	ACME	Proportion mediated	P value
dataset		mediator effect (path	effect (path b1 and b2)			(%)	
		a1 and a2)					
	Introduction of	0.63 (0.62 to 0.63)	-0.43 (-0.48 to -0.39)	2.17×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.34×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-3.06×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-3.60×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-16.6 (-30.8 to -10.8)	<0.001
	PPCI			to $3.00 \times 10^{-4}$ )	to $-2.54 \times 10^{-5}$ )		
3	$P2Y_{12}$ inhibitors	0.79 (0.78 to 0.79)	-0.23 (-0.29 to -0.17)	2.02×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.14×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-1.01×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-1.29×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-5.3 (-8.9 to -3.4)	<0.001
				to 2.88×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	to -7.35×10 <sup>-6</sup> )		
	Introduction of	0.63 (0.62 to 0.63)	-0.43 (-0.48 to -0.38)	2.13×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.30×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-3.06×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-3.58×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-17.0 (-31.8 to 11.0)	<0.001
	PPCI			to $2.96 \times 10^{-4}$ )	to -2.55×10 <sup>-5</sup> )		
4	P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors	0.79 (0.78 to 0.79)	-0.23 (-0.29 to -0.17)	$1.98 \times 10^{-4} (1.09 \times 10^{-4})$	-1.01×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-1.29×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-5.3 (-9.2 to -3.6)	<0.001
				to $2.83 \times 10^{-4}$ )	to -7.31×10 <sup>-6</sup> )		
	Introduction of	0.62 (0.62 to 0.63)	-0.43 (-0.48 to -0.39)	2.08×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.25×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-3.08×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-3.60×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-17.4 (-34.3 to -11.2)	<0.001
	PPCI			to 2.92×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	to -2.56×10 <sup>-5</sup> )		
5	P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors	0.79 (0.78 to 0.79)	-0.23 (-0.29 to -0.17)	2.02×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.13×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-1.01×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-1.30×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-5.3 (-8.9 to -3.6)	<0.001
				to 2.87×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	to -7.40×10 <sup>-6</sup> )		

Heart

Imputation	Analysis	Intervention-	Mediator-outcome	ADE	ACME	Proportion mediated	P value
dataset		mediator effect (path	effect (path b1 and b2)			(%)	
		a1 and a2)					
	Introduction of	0.63 (0.62 to 0.63)	-0.43 (-0.48 to -0.38)	2.13×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.30×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-3.06×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-3.60×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-17.0 (-31.9 to -11.0)	<0.001
	PPCI			to 2.96×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	to -2.53×10 <sup>-5</sup> )		
6	$P2Y_{12}$ inhibitors	0.79 (0.78 to 0.79)	-0.23 (-0.28 to -0.17)	2.04×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.16×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-1.02×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-1.30×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-5.2 (-8.7 to -3.5)	<0.001
				to $2.90 \times 10^{-4}$ )	to -7.36×10 <sup>-6</sup> )		
	Introduction of	0.63 (0.62 to 0.63)	-0.43 (-0.48 to -0.38)	2.15×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.33×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-3.05×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-3.57×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-16.8 (-31.6 to -10.8)	<0.001
	PPCI			to $2.98 \times 10^{-4}$ )	to $-2.54 \times 10^{-5}$ )		
7	P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors	0.79 (0.78 to 0.79)	-0.23 (-0.29 to -0.17)	2.05×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.16×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-1.02×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-1.31×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-5.3 (-8.7 to -3.6)	<0.001
				to $2.90 \times 10^{-4}$ )	to -7.47×10 <sup>-6</sup> )		
	Introduction of	0.63 (0.62 to 0.63)	-0.43 (-0.48 to -0.38)	2.15×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.33×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-3.06×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-3.59×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-16.7 (-31.5 to -10.8)	<0.001
	PPCI			to $2.98 \times 10^{-4}$ )	to $-2.54 \times 10^{-5}$ )		
8	P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors	0.79 (0.78 to 0.79)	-0.23 (-0.29 to -0.17)	2.05×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.16×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-1.02×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-1.31×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-5.3 (-8.7 to -3.6)	< 0.001
				to 2.90×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	to -7.47×10 <sup>-6</sup> )		

Imputation	Analysis	Intervention-	Mediator-outcome	ADE	ACME	Proportion mediated	P value
dataset		mediator effect (path	effect (path b1 and b2)			(%)	
		a1 and a2)					
	Introduction of	0.63 (0.62 to 0.63)	-0.43 (-0.48 to -0.38)	2.15×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.33×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-3.06×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-3.59×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-16.7 (-31.5 to -10.8)	<0.001
	PPCI			to 2.98×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	to -2.54×10 <sup>-5</sup> )		
9	$P2Y_{12}$ inhibitors	0.79 (0.78 to 0.79)	-0.23 (-0.29 to -0.17)	2.01×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.12×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-1.01×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-1.29×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-5.3 (-8.9 to -3.6)	<0.001
				to 2.87×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	to -7.35×10 <sup>-6</sup> )		
	Introduction of	0.63 (0.62 to 0.63)	-0.43 (-0.48 to -0.38)	2.11×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.29×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-3.07×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-3.60×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-17.2 (-32.7 to -11.0)	<0.001
	PPCI			to 2.95×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	to $-2.54 \times 10^{-5}$ )		
10	P2Y <sub>12</sub> inhibitors	0.79 (0.78 to 0.79)	-0.23 (-0.29 to -0.18)	2.05×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-1.03×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-1.31×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-5.8 (-8.8 to -3.6)	<0.001
				$(1.16 \times 10^{-4} \text{ to})$	to -7.53×10 <sup>-6</sup> )		
				2.90×10 <sup>-4</sup> )			
	Introduction of	0.63 (0.62 to 0.63)	-0.43 (-0.48 to -0.38)	2.15×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.33×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-3.03×10 <sup>-5</sup> (-3.57×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-16.7 (-30.7 to -10.8)	<0.001
	PPCI			to 2.98×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	to -2.51×10 <sup>-5</sup> )		

Abbreviations: PPCI – primary percutaneous coronary intervention. \*Complete case analysis results.

**eTable 8**. Impact of patient and treatment factors on temporal trends in six months and one year survival between 2004 and 2013, for unadjusted and adjusted flexible parametric survival models (including in-hospital deaths) (complete case analysis, n=137,111).

		Six months		One year	
Model	Variables included	Hazard ratio (95%	P value	Hazard ratio (95%	P value
number		CI)		CI)	
Model 1	Year	0.96 (0.95-0.97)	<0.001	0.96 (0.95-0.97)	<0.001
	Year +				
Model 2	Age, sex, IMD	0.96 (0.95-0.96)	<0.001	0.96 (0.95-0.96)	<0.001
Model 3	Age, sex, IMD, Comorbidities and risk factors	0.96 (0.95-0.96)	<0.001	0.96 (0.95-0.96)	<0.001
Model 4	Age, sex, IMD, Comorbidities and risk factors, PPCI	1.02 (1.01-1.03)	<0.001	1.02 (1.01-1.03)	<0.001

Abbreviations: ACEi - angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor and ARBs - Angiotensin receptor blocker; IMD - index of multiple deprivation; PPCI - primary percutaneous coronary

intervention.

Survival time	Analysis	Intervention-mediator	Mediator-outcome	ADE	ACME	Proportion mediated	P value
		effect (path a1 and a2)	effect (path b <sub>1</sub> and b <sub>2</sub> )			(%)	
One year	Introduction of PPCI	0.60 (0.60-0.61)	-0.66 (-0.71 to -0.62)	0.006 (0.005 to 0.007)	-0.0007 (-0.0008 to - 0.0006)	-12.7 (-16.6 to -10.0)	<0.001
Six months	Introduction of PPCI	0.60 (0.60-0.61)	-0.71 (-0.76 to -0.67)	5.68×10 <sup>-4</sup> (3.61×10 <sup>-4</sup> to 7.27×10 <sup>-4</sup> )	-1.07×10 <sup>-4</sup> (-1.26×10 <sup>-4</sup> to -9.07×10 <sup>-5</sup> )	-22.6 (-44.6 to -16.1)	<0.001

eTable 9. Mediation analysis modelling (including in-hospital deaths), by survival time (complete case analysis, n=137,111).

Abbreviations: PPCI – primary percutaneous coronary intervention.

#### References

1 Tingley D, Yamamoto T, Hirose K, et al. Mediation: R package for causal mediation analysis. 2014.

2 Imai K, Keele L, Tingley D, et al. Causal mediation analysis using R. *Advances in social science research using R*: Springer 2010:129-54.

3 Imai K, Keele L, Yamamoto T. Identification, inference and sensitivity analysis for causal mediation effects. *Statistical science* 2010:51-71.

4 Linden A, Karlson KB. Using mediation analysis to identify causal mechanisms in disease management interventions. *Health Services and Outcomes Research Methodology* 2013;**13**:86-108.

5 Kenny DA. Mediation. Department of Psychological Sciences, University of Connecticut: <u>http://davidakenny.net/cm/mediate.htm</u> [Accessed 12 December 2017] 2015.

6 Cattle B, Baxter P, Greenwood D, et al. Multiple imputation for completion of a national clinical audit dataset. *Stat Med* 2011;**30**:2736-53.

7 Somma KA, Bhatt DL, Fonarow GC, et al. Guideline adherence after ST-segment elevation versus non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2012;**5**:654-61.