Study	Participant characteristics	Study Design	Measures and time points	Key observations
Esmeijer et al.[7]	4837 participants in original cohort	Prospective cohort study	Primary outcome; association between dietary protein	For whole cohort, annual change in
	Excluded 671	Participants taken from the Alpha Omega	intake and risk of kidney function decline in post-MI	eGFRcysC and eGFRcr-cysC was
	Total participants: n 2248	Cohort (low-dose omega-3 fatty acids)	individuals	-1.30 (-1.43, -1.17) and -1.71 (-1.87,
	Time since MI: 4.0 (1.9-6.4) years			-1.56) mL/min/1.73 m2, respectively.
		Present study included patients with available	Bloods taken at baseline and 41 months follow up.	
	<0.80 g/kg ideal bodyweight:	blood samples at baseline and after 41	Cystatin C measured at baseline and 41 months. GFR	Total energy, all macro and
	Age: 69±6 years	months follow-up	based on cystatin C (eGFRcysC) and combined	micronutrients increased with each
	77% men	*	creatinine-cystatin C (eGFRcr-cysC) at baseline and	protein category.
	BMI: 27.6±3.6 kg/m2	Participants grouped based on protein intake	after 41 months, using the Chronic Kidney Disease	1
	Ethnicity: 99% white	(g/kg ideal body weight) at baseline:	Epidemiology Collaboration equations from 2012.	Annual change in eGFRcysC was
	High blood pressure: 57%	<0.80,	Epidemology conductation equations from 2012.	doubled in those individuals with
	SBP: 144±22 mmHg	0.80  to  < 1.00.	Diet data and anthropometry measured at baseline.	protein intake $>1.2$ when compared to
	DBP: 82±11 mmHg	1.00  to  < 1.20	Diet data and antihopometry measured at basenne.	those with $< 0.8$ g/kg ideal body weight
	Serum LDL-C: 2.7±0.9 mmol/L	$\geq 1.20 \text{ g/kg}$	Diet data collected using 203 item FFQ. Questionnaires	(1.60 [-1.92, -1.28]  vs.  -0.84 [-1.21,
	Plasma Glucose: 6.0±1.8 mmol/L	≥1.20 g/kg	checked by registered dietitian and nutrient content	(1.00 [ 1.92, 1.23] vs. 0.04 [ 1.21, -0.46] mL/min/1.73 m2, respectively.
	Current smoker: 20%	<0.80 g/kg ideal bodyweight: 1346 ± 316	calculated using 2006 Dutch Food Composition tables.	0.40 mil/mil/1.75 m2, respectively.
	Current smoker: 20%	kcal/d, $173 \pm 49$ g/d carbohydrates (51 ± 8%	41 month diet data not collected.	Significant inverse association between
	DD Issuering a data and 0000	total energy), $52 \pm 20$ g/d total fat ( $35 \pm 8\%$	41 month diet data not conected.	intake of animal protein and both
	BP lowering drugs: 90%			
	RAS drugs: 52%	total energy), $46 \pm 8$ g/d protein ( $14 \pm 3\%$	Protein intake expressed as g/kg ideal body weight to	eGFRcysC and eGFRcr-cysC.
	Diabetes prevalence: 18%	total energy), $25 \pm 8$ g/d animal protein (8 ± 3	avoid erroneously high requirements in overweight and	Significance not observed with plant
	Glucose lowering drugs: 14%	% total energy), $9 \pm 7$ g/d from meat ( $3 \pm 2$ %	obese subjects.	protein.
	Lipid-modifying drugs: 88%	total energy), $10 \pm 5$ g/d from dairy ( $3 \pm 2$ %		
	Anti-thrombotic drugs: 98%	total energy), $21 \pm 5$ g/d plant protein (6 $\pm 1$	Linear regression used to study association of kidney	With eGFR as outcome, the annual
	Current smoker	% total energy)	function decline and baseline intake of total protein,	decline in renal function was
	Serum cystatin C: 1.02±0.29 mg/L		types of protein (meat vs. dairy) sources of protein	significantly slower with dairy vs. meat
	Serum creatinine: 1.05±0.37 mg/dL	0.80 to <1.00 g/kg ideal bodyweight: 1659 $\pm$	(animal vs. plant). Models adjusted for age, sex and total	for every 5 g protein (-0.05 [-0.13,
	eGFRcysC: 77±20 mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>	364 kcal/d, 204 $\pm$ 57 g/d carbohydrates (49 $\pm$	energy intake, education, alcohol, smoking, physical	0.03] vs0.11 [-0.20, -0.02]).
	eGFRcr-cysC: 75±19 mL/min/1.73	7% total energy), $66 \pm 23$ g/d total fat ( $36 \pm$	activity, RAS blocking drugs, intake of fat (MUFA,	
	m <sup>2</sup>	7% total energy), $61 \pm 6$ g/d protein ( $15 \pm 3\%$	PUFA, SFA and TFA), dietary sodium, diabetes and	With change in eGFRcr-cysC as
		total energy), $36 \pm 7$ g/d animal protein (9 $\pm 3$	systolic blood pressure.	outcome, there was no significant
	0.80 to <1.00 g/kg ideal bodyweight:	% total energy), $15 \pm 7$ g from meat (4 ± 2 %	5	difference between dairy and meat.
	Age: 69±5 years	total energy), $14 \pm 7$ g/d from dairy $(3 \pm 2\%)$		
	83% men	total energy), $25 \pm 6$ g/d plant protein (6 $\pm 1$		3-fold stronger association between
	BMI: 27.4±3.5 kg/m2	% total energy)		protein intake and eGFR decline in
	Ethnicity: 99% white	, courrenergy)		patients with diabetes
	High blood pressure: 58%	1.00 to $<1.20$ g/kg ideal bodyweight: 1874 ±		parenta with diabetes
	SBP: 144±21 mmHg	$359 \text{ kcal/d}, 228 \pm 58 \text{ g/d} \text{ carbohydrates/d}$ (48		Summary
	DBP: 82±11 mmHg	$\pm 7\%$ total energy), $75 \pm 22$ g/d total fat (36 $\pm$		In patients with established CVD,
	Serum LDL-C: 2.7±0.8 mmol/L	$\pm$ 7% total energy), 73 $\pm$ 8 g/d protein (16 $\pm$ 3%)		higher protein intakes were
	Plasma Glucose: 6.0±1.9 mmol/L	total energy), $45 \pm 8$ g/d protein (10 $\pm 5\%$ ) total energy), $45 \pm 8$ g/d animal protein (10 $\pm$		associated with accelerated decline in
	Current smoker: 18%	3 % total energy), $18 \pm 7$ g/d from meat (4 $\pm 2$		renal function. Note that "meat"
		% total energy), $18 \pm 8$ g/d from dairy $(4 \pm 2)$		category contained "processed
	BP lowering drugs: 87%			meats" such as sausage, hamburger,

RAS drugs: 56%	% total energy), $28 \pm 6$ g/d plant protein (6 $\pm$	bacon therefore "meat" includes
Diabetes prevalence: 18%	1 % total energy)	processed and unprocessed foods
Glucose lowering drugs: 12%		
Lipid-modifying drugs: 85%	$\geq$ 1.20 g/kg ideal bodyweight: 2250 ± 469	
Anti-thrombotic drugs: 97%	kcal/d, 268 $\pm$ 68 g/d carbohydrates (48 $\pm$ 7%	
	total energy), $90 \pm 27$ g/d total fat ( $36 \pm 6\%$	
Serum cystatin C: 0.99±0.26 mg/L	total energy), $92 \pm 14$ g/d protein ( $17 \pm 3\%$	
Serum creatinine: 1.04±0.35 mg/dL	total energy), $60 \pm 12$ g/d animal protein (11	
eGFRcysC: 80±20 mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>	$\pm$ 3 % total energy), 22 $\pm$ 8 g/d from meat (4	
eGFRcr–cysC: 77±19 mL/min/1.73	$\pm 2\%$ total energy), 27 $\pm 12$ g/d from dairy	
m <sup>2</sup>	$(5 \pm 2\%$ total energy), $33 \pm 8$ g/d plant	
1.00 to <1.20 g/kg ideal bodyweight:	protein (6 $\pm$ 1 % total energy)	
Age: 69±5 years		
80% men		
BMI: 27.7±3.6 kg/m2		
Ethnicity: 99% white		
High blood pressure: 57%		
SBP: 145±22 mmHg		
DBP: 82±11 mmHg		
Serum LDL-C: 2.7±0.8 mmol/L		
Plasma Glucose: 6.0±1.8 mmol/l		
Current smoker: 13%		
BP lowering drugs: 84%		
RAS drugs: 52%		
Diabetes prevalence: 17%		
Glucose lowering drugs: 12%		
Lipid-modifying drugs: 88%		
Anti-thrombotic drugs: 98%		
Serum cystatin C: $0.95 \pm 0.22$ mg/L		
Serum creatinine: $1.01 \pm 0.30$ mg/dL		
eGFRcysC: $83\pm19$ mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>		
$eGFRcr-cysC: 79\pm19 mL/min/1.73$		
$m^2$		
≥1.20 g/kg ideal bodyweight:		
Age: 69±5 years		
78% men		
BMI: 27.8±3.7 kg/m2		
Ethnicity: 99% white		
High blood pressure: 55%		
SBP: 142±20 mmHg		
DBP: 81±10 mmHg		
Serum LDL-C: 2.7±0.7 mmol/L		
Plasma Glucose: 6.1±2.1 mmol/L Current smoker:14%		
Current Smoker: 14%		

BP lowering drugs: 88% BAS drugs: 57% Chocks lowering drugs: 89% Anti-thrombotic drugs: 99%         Bearn cystain C: 0334021 mg/L Serum creatinie: 0.884031 mg/L Gifters: 654: 841 mL/min/L73         Bearn cystain C: 0334021 mg/L Serum creatinie: 0.884031 mg/L Gifters: 654: 841 mL/min/L73         Prospective cohort study         Finanzy outcome: association between distary protein thac and risk of disease death         1255 deaths recorded during mean follow-up or 22.31 ± 7.89 years.           Virtuen et al.         188         255 death second during mean differ cyst. 82.18 mL/min/L73         Prospective cohort study         Finanzy outcome: association between distary protein thac and risk of disease death         1255 deaths recorded during mean follow-up or 22.31 ± 7.89 years.           1547 free of disease at bacile 100% men Etimicity on reported Baseline: 233 - 92.1 g/d Quartile 1 - 283 9 4/L Quartile 1 - 283 9 4/L Quart					
<ul> <li>Excluded 41 Total participants: Refs Toto Total 1094 history of T2DM, CVD, or cancer at baseline 100% men Ethnicity not reported SBP and DBP not reported SBP and DBP not reported Plasma Glucose not reported Plasma Glucose not reported Plasma Glucose not reported SBP and DBP not reported SBP. and DBP not reported Plasma Glucose not reported SBP. and DBP not reported SGPUR TCHIL-C: 4.7721 ABBMD/L Serum TCHIL-C: 4.7721 ABBMD/L Serum TCHIL-C: 4.7721 ABBMD/L Serum TCHIL-C: 4.7721 ABMD/L Serum TCHIL-C: 4.7721 ABMD N/L Serum TCHIL-C: 4.7721 ABMD N/L Serum TCHIL-C: 4.772 AMD Pante TCHIL-C: 5.750 (plattin the S2 ± 1.4% total anergy), Market AB at 24 gl dynosin (12.2 ± 1.1% total anergy), Market AB at 24 gl dynosin (12.2 ± 1.1% total anergy), Market AB at 24 gl dynosin (12.2 ± 1.2 % total anergy), Market AB at 24 gl dynosin (12.2 ± 2.5 %, Partend = 0.001 big/m risk of market Market Market Market Market Market Market Market Market Mark</li></ul>		RAS drugs: 57% Diabetes prevalence: 19% Glucose lowering drugs: 13% Lipid-modifying drugs: 86% Anti-thrombotic drugs: 99% Serum cystatin C: 0.93±0.21 mg/L Serum creatinine: 0.98±0.31 mg/dL eGFRcysC: 85±18 mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> eGFRcr-cysC: 82±18 mL/min/1.73			
BMI: $20.0\pm 3.5$ kg/m2 energy), unprocessed red meat $(00\pm 40$ g/d), I animal protein intake) had $25\%$	Virtanen et al.[8]	Excluded 41 Total participants: $n 2641$ 1094 history of T2DM, CVD, or cancer at baseline 1547 free of disease at baseline 100% men Ethnicity not reported SBP and DBP not reported Plasma Glucose not reported Quartile 1 Age: 53.7±4.6 years BMI: 26.5±3.4 kg/m2 Current smoker: 33.5% Serum TC:HDL-C: 4.77±1.48mmol/L Serum TAG: 1.25±0.74 mmol/L Serum TAG: 1.25±0.74 mmol/L Serum CRP: 2.60±5.35 mg/L Serum ferritin: 155±162 µg/L eGFR: 84.9 ± 13.4 mL/min High blood pressure: 61.4% HTN medication: 20.2 Diabetes: 3.8% Glucose lowering medication: 0.8% CVD: 40.2% CVD medication: 2.4% Lipid modifying medication: 0.2% Quartile 2 Age: 53.2±4.9 years	Participants taken from the Kuopio Ischaemic Heart Disease Risk Factor Study. Baseline examinations took place 1984-1989. Follow-up in 2014. Participants grouped based on protein intake (g/d) at baseline: Quartile 1 <83.9 g/d, Quartile 2 83.9-92.1 g/d Quartile 2 83.9-92.1 g/d Quartile 3 92.2-101.5 g/d Quartile 4 >101.5 g/d Quartile 4 >101.5 g/d Quartile 1: 2532 $\pm$ 671 kcal/d, carbohydrates 43.6 $\pm$ 7.2 % total energy, total fat 39.9 $\pm$ 6.5% total energy, 76.4 $\pm$ 7.3 g/d protein (12.9 $\pm$ 1.1% total energy), 49.0 $\pm$ 8.9 g/d animal protein (8.2 $\pm$ 1.4 % total energy), 25.2 $\pm$ 6.4 g/d plant protein (4.2 $\pm$ 1.0 % total energy), unprocessed red meat (58 $\pm$ 40 g/d), processed red meat <sup>a</sup> (69 $\pm$ 61 g/d), fish (27 $\pm$ 33 g/d), egg (31 $\pm$ 25 g/d), non fermented dairy (486 $\pm$ 308 g/day), fermented dairy (113 $\pm$ 143 g/d). Quartile 2: 2336 $\pm$ 577 kcal/d, carbohydrates 48.3 $\pm$ 6.1 % total energy, total fat 38.6 $\pm$ 5.6 % total energy, 88.0 $\pm$ 2.4 g/d protein (14.9 $\pm$ 0.7 % total energy), 59.5 $\pm$ 6.4 g/d animal protein (10.1 $\pm$ 1.2 % total energy), 26.3 $\pm$ 5.7 g/d plant protein (4.5 $\pm$ 1.1 % total	<ul> <li>intake and risk of disease death</li> <li>Anthropometry and bloods taken at study baseline. Diet data collected using a 4-day (including 1 weekend day) food record. Questionnaires checked by nutritionist and nutrient content analysed using NUTRICIA 2.5 software. Ratio between intakes of animal and plant protein in the diet was calculated, with a higher ratio showing greater</li> <li>Deaths determined from national Causes of Death Register with the use of the Finnish personal identification code. Deaths were coded according to the International Classification of Diseases (ICD), 10th revision, codes.</li> <li>Person-years of follow-up were calculated from the baseline to the date of death or the end of follow-up. Cox proportional hazards regression models were used to estimate HRs in exposure quartiles, with the lowest category (quartile 1) as the reference.</li> <li>Models were adjusted for age (years), examination year, and energy intake (kcal/d), education years, income (euros per year), marital status (married/unmarried); pack-years of smoking (cigarette packs smoked per day × years smoked, alcohol intake (g/week), leisure-time physical activity (kcal/d); BMI (in kg/m2), diagnosis of T2DM, CVD, cancer, or HTN at baseline or use of cardiac, hypercholesterolemia, hypertension, or diabetes medications (yes/no), fibre, SFA, MUFA, PUFA, and</li> </ul>	follow-up of 22.31 $\pm$ 7.89 years. Men in the highest compared with the lowest quartile of total protein intake had a borderline statistically significant 17% increased risk of mortality (95% CI: -1, 39%; P-trend = 0.07) Relationship between total protein and mortality was stronger in those with previous disease history vs. those men without (HR 1.04; 95% CI: 1.01, 1.07; per 5 g/d increase vs. HR 1.01; 95% CI: 0.98, 1.04; P=0.05, P=0.07 [depending on model], respectively) Men in highest vs. lowest quartile of animal protein intake had a trend toward 13% increased mortality risk (95% CI: -5, 35%; P-trend = 0.04). Participants in the highest meat intake quartile had a 23% (95% CI: 4, 47%; P- trend = 0.01) higher risk of mortality vs. those in the lowest quartile. Adjusting for additional nutrients increased the risk (HR 1.36; 95% CI: 1.09, 1.70; P- trend = 0.01). Those with the highest ratio of animal:plant protein in the diet (higher

4.89E1.54mm0Lcmir \$60i ± 305 g/dm/s, fermented dairy (165 ± 19 g/d).49%; Perced = 0.01)Serum TGR1: 2.385.87 mg/LSerum TGR1: 2.385.87 mg/LMen consuming more animal protein had a higher BML were now linkly or smok and have T2DM.GRR: 84.8 ± 12.5 mL/mCuantik 3: 2360 ± 577 kcal/d, carbohydrates 42.2 ± 5.8 % total energy, 0.85 ± 5.7 g/d platimal Diabetes: 4.7Consumption of 5h, egg, dairy, or plat protein were no associated with montality in flux cohor.High bloch pressure: 58.8% CVD nedication: 3.2% Lipid modifying medication: 0.6% CVD: 56.5%Consumption of 5.4 ± 5.4 g/d platimal protein (4.5 ± 10.5 kraft) processed red meat (76 ± 6.4 g/d), processed red meat (76 ± 6.4 g/d), for under (0.9 ± 5.4 g/d), for under (9.5 ± 211 g/d).Summary Greater intake of animal protein associated with increased reds of mortality. The relationship with total protein (4.4 ± 10.8 kraft), for and energy, 11.2 ± 6.3 kraft, arcs, 12.4 ± 5.3 kraft				
Serum TAG: 1.33e0.84 mmol/L. Serum Territis: 163:149 µf. eGR: 84.8 ± 15 mL/mCharles: 4.7 Gala lenergy, 0.66 ± 2.7 µf protein (16.5 ± 1.0 % total lenergy, 0.67 ± 4.7 µf blod pressure: 8.8.8 km Lip denotifying medication: 0.8% CVD: 36.5% CVD: 36.5% CVD: 36.5% CVD: 36.5% CVD: 13.65% CVD: 13.65% 	Serui		37 g/d), egg (30 $\pm$ 24 g/d), nonfermented	increased risk of mortality (95% CI: 2,
Serum CRP: 2.28:3.87 mg/L comment in Size 30:e 577 kal/d, carbohydrates 42.2 ± 5.8 % total energy, total 1at 38.9 ± 5.6 % total energy, 06:e 2.1 g/d planinal protein (16.5 ± 1.0 % total energy, 06:e 2.1 g/d planinal protein (16.5 ± 1.0 % total energy), 06:e 2.1 g/d planinal protein (16.5 ± 1.0 % total energy), 05:e 1.2 g/d planinal protein (16.5 ± 0.0 more ikely to glassical division of the CVD: 56:e 2.1 g/d plani protein (4.4 ± 10 % total energy), 05:e 1.1 % total energy), 05:e 1.1 % total energy), 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy), 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy), 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy), 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy), 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e	4.89	9±1.54mmol/L	dairy (504 $\pm$ 305 g/day), fermented dairy	49%; P-trend = 0.01)
Serum CRP: 2.28:3.87 mg/L comment in Size 30:e 577 kal/d, carbohydrates 42.2 ± 5.8 % total energy, total 1at 38.9 ± 5.6 % total energy, 06:e 2.1 g/d planinal protein (16.5 ± 1.0 % total energy, 06:e 2.1 g/d planinal protein (16.5 ± 1.0 % total energy), 06:e 2.1 g/d planinal protein (16.5 ± 1.0 % total energy), 05:e 1.2 g/d planinal protein (16.5 ± 0.0 more ikely to glassical division of the CVD: 56:e 2.1 g/d plani protein (4.4 ± 10 % total energy), 05:e 1.1 % total energy), 05:e 1.1 % total energy), 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy), 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy), 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy), 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy), 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e 1.1 % total energy, 05:e	Serui	um TAG: 1.33±0.84 mmol/L	$(165 \pm 191 \text{ g/d}).$	
Serum fortim: 163±140 µg/L crRF: 843±12.3 mL/minUsartis 3:250±577 kcal/d, carbolydrate 42.2±58 ko tool energy; tool 11 k37.2±56 total energy; 056±2.7 g/d protein (16.5± 1.0% total energy; 057±257± 5.4 g/d patient) to 8 total energy. 10.6 ko total energy. 056±2.7 g/d protein (16.5± 1.0% total energy; 057±257± 5.4 g/d patient)Ind a higher BML were more likely to smoke and have TD2M.Outrite 3: Age: 52.75±25 years BML 25.8±3.6 kg/m2 Cruent sundex: 0.9% Serum TCHDL-C: High blod pressure: 59.5% HTM medication: 0.6%Summary Creater finate of animal protein data in the start of a star			C C C	Men consuming more animal protein
eCFR: 84.8 ± 12.5 mL/min42.2 ± 5.8 % total energy, 68.7 ± 5.1 g/d atimal biolocy resoures 58.8% High blod pressure: 58.8% CVD 36.5% CVD 36.5% CVD 36.5% CVD addication: 24.8 Diabetes: 4.7 Glucose lovering medication: 0.8% Diabetes: 4.7 CVD medication: 2.3% Lipid modifying medication: 0.8% Diabetes: 4.7 Second 2014 12.8 (4.4 ± 10.8 total energy), unprocessed red meat (69 ± 56 g/d), fish (4.6 ± 46 g/d), egg (31 ± 23 g/d), nonfermented dary (195 ± 211 g/d).smoke and have T2DM.Quartile 3 Age: 52.752 years Diabetes: 7.3% High blod pressure: 52.9% HTN medication: 2.2%Gmain 4 / 2 ± 0.4 % total energy, 16.8 / 4 / 4 / 4 / 6 / 4 / 4 / 4 / 4 / 4 / 4			Quartile 2: 2260 + 577 keel/d_earthehydrates	
High blod pressure: 58 8% HTN medication: 24.8 HTN medication: 24.8 CUD: 36.5% CVD: 36.5%Total energy, 98.76.4 i.29 d animal protein (11.7 ± 1.3 % total energy), 52.7 ± 54.9 d plant protein (14.1 ± 0.5 total energy), 98.76.4 j.0 % total processed red met (76 ± 54.9 d), processed red met (76 ± 75.9 d), processed red				
High blood pressure: 58.8%10.% total energy, 68.7 ± 6.1 g/d animal protein (11.7 ± 1.3 % total energy), 68.7 ± 6.1 g/d animal protein (11.7 ± 1.3 % total energy), 58.7 ± 5.4 g/d plan protein (4.4 ± 1.0 % total energy), punpressest end meat (76 ± 45 g/d), processel red meat (76 ± 45 g/d), fish (46 ± 46 g/d), egg (31 ± 23 g/d), formented dairy (105 ± 211 g/d).Consumption of fish, eggs, dairy, or plan protein (4.4 ± 1.0 % total energy), bunchesset end meat (76 ± 45 g/d), forcessel red meat (76 ± 45 g/d), formented dairy (105 ± 211 g/d).Consumption of fish, eggs, dairy, or plan protein (4.4 ± 1.0 % total energy), bunchesset end meat (76 ± 45 g/d), forcessel red meat (76 ± 45 g/d), formeted dairy (112 ± 6.4 % total energy, 10.4 fi 37 4 ± 5.9 total energy, 10.4 fi 17 5 ± 60 fi fih (5.5 ± 2.6 fi total energy, 10.4 fi 1.9 % total energy, 10.1 fit 1.9 % total energy, 2.5 % total <b< td=""><td>eGFf</td><td></td><td></td><td>smoke and have 12DM.</td></b<>	eGFf			smoke and have 12DM.
HTM medication: 24.8 Diabetes: $4.7$ Glucose lowering medication: 0.6% CVD: 35.5%protein $(11.7 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ totalenergy}), unprocessed red meat (76 \pm 45 g/d),ht/ 64 \pm 45 g/d), for full part potein (4.4 \pm 2.5 g/d), for full for energy, unprocessed red meat (76 \pm 45 g/d),processed red meat (76 \pm 35 g/d),processe$				
Diabetes: 4.75.4 g/d plant protein (4.4 ± 1.0 % total encress), unprocessed red meat? (6.4 ± 2.0 %, total), processed red meat? (6.4 ± 2.0 %, total), nonfermented dairy (54.3 ± 37.4 g/day), fermented dairy (195 ± 211 g/d), onoffermented dairy (54.3 ± 37.4 g/day), fermented dairy (195 ± 211 g/d), nonfermented dairy (54.3 ± 37.4 g/day), fermented dairy (195 ± 211 g/d), nonfermented dairy (54.3 ± 37.4 ± 5.9 K total energy), total and and a total energy), total and a total energy, total and 37.4 ± 5.9 K total energy), total and and a total energy, total and 37.4 ± 5.9 K total energy), total and energy, total and 37.4 ± 5.9 K total energy), total and energy, total and 37.4 ± 5.9 K total energy), total and energy, total and 37.4 ± 5.9 K total energy), total and energy, total and 37.4 ± 5.9 K total energy), total and and a total energy, total and 37.4 ± 5.9 K total energy), total and energy, total and 37.4 ± 5.9 K total energy), total and and and total energy, total and a total energy, total a	High			Consumption of fish, eggs, dairy, or
Glucose lowering medication: 0.6% CVD: 36.5%energy, uppressed red met (76 ± 45 g/d), 54 56 g/d), 541 ± 32 g/d), nonfermented dary (543 ± 347 g/ds), fermented dary (195 ± 211 g/d).Summary Greater intake of animal protein associated with increased risk of mortality. The relationship with total protein and mortality was greates fit hose with predisposing disease. No comment of 195 ± 211 g/d).Summary Greater intake of animal protein associated with increased risk of mortality. The relationship with total protein and mortality was greates fit hose with predisposing disease. No comment on protein quality.Quartile 4 Age: 52.745.2 years Current smoker, 30.9%Quartile 4: 234 ± 630 kcluld, carbohydrates total energy. 118 ± 9.7 g/d protein (18.8 at 2.150 ktotal energy. 30.6 ± 11.8 g/d minute protein (14.1 ± 2.3 % total energy.), 26.1 ± 2.9 g/d) hand rotein (14.4 ± 1.0 % total energy), uppressed red met (70 ± 60 g/d), erems (14.1 ± 2.3 % total energy.), 26.1 ± 2.9 g/d), bit of 35 ± 2.9	HTN	N medication: 24.8	protein (11.7 $\pm$ 1.3 % total energy), 25.7 $\pm$	plant protein were not associated with
Glucose lowering medication: 0.6% CVD: 36.5%energy, uppressed red met (76 ± 45 g/d), 54 56 g/d), 541 ± 32 g/d), nonfermented dary (543 ± 347 g/ds), fermented dary (195 ± 211 g/d).Summary Greater intake of animal protein associated with increased risk of mortality. The relationship with total protein and mortality was greates fit hose with predisposing disease. No comment of 195 ± 211 g/d).Summary Greater intake of animal protein associated with increased risk of mortality. The relationship with total protein and mortality was greates fit hose with predisposing disease. No comment on protein quality.Quartile 4 Age: 52.745.2 years Current smoker, 30.9%Quartile 4: 234 ± 630 kcluld, carbohydrates total energy. 118 ± 9.7 g/d protein (18.8 at 2.150 ktotal energy. 30.6 ± 11.8 g/d minute protein (14.1 ± 2.3 % total energy.), 26.1 ± 2.9 g/d) hand rotein (14.4 ± 1.0 % total energy), uppressed red met (70 ± 60 g/d), erems (14.1 ± 2.3 % total energy.), 26.1 ± 2.9 g/d), bit of 35 ± 2.9	Diab	betes: 4.7	5.4 g/d plant protein $(4.4 \pm 1.0 \%$ total	mortality in this cohort.
CVD: 36.5%processed red meat (09 ± 56 g/d), fish (46 ±CVD medication: 3.2%46 g/d), eg(31 ± 32 g/d), nonfermented dairy (543 ± 347 g/dup), fermented dairySummaryQuartile 4Quartile 4: 2534 ± 630 kcal/d, carbohydratesmortality. The relationship with total protein and mortality was greatest in those with prediposing disease. No soft and energy, 101 ± 37.4 ± 5.9mortality. The relationship with total protein and mortality was greatest in those with prediposing disease. No comment on protein quality.Sterum TCHDL-C: stram TCH: 1.141.05 M mmO/L eGTR: 85.4 ± 1.2 mL/mi1.1 g/d animal protein (1.41 ± 2.3 % total energy), 1.3 g/d animal processed red meat (97 ± 60 g/d), processed red meat (97 ± 50 g/d), for field and Quartile 4 Age: 52.725.2 years BM: 27.63.7 kg/m2 Current smoker: 30.0% Serum TCHDL-C: 4.7661.40mm0/L Serum TCHDL-C: 4.7661.40mm0/L Serum TCHDL-C: 4.7661.40mm0/L Serum TCHDL-C: 4.7661.40mm0/L Serum TCHDL-C: 4.7661.40mm0/L Serum TCHDL-C: 4.7661.40mm0/L Serum TCHDL-C: 4.7661.40mm0/L Serum TCHDL-C: 4.7661.40mm0/L Serum TCHDL-C: 4.7661.40mm0/L <b< td=""><td>Glue</td><td></td><td></td><td>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</td></b<>	Glue			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
CVD medication: 3.2% Lipid modifying medication: 0.8% Outrile 3 Age: 52.7±5.2 years BMI: 26.8% of kg/m2 Current smoker: 309% Semm TCHDL-C: ± 2.1% total energy, 111.8 ± 9.7 g/d protein (18.8 Semm TCHDL-C: ± 2.1% total energy, 111.8 ± 9.7 g/d protein (18.8 Semm TCHDL-C: ± 2.1% total energy, 126.1 ± 12.3% Semm TCHDL-C: ± 2.1% total energy, 126.1 ± 12.3%Greater instate of animal protein amorality. The relationship with total protein and morality was greated at total energy, 111.8 ± 9.7 g/d protein (18.8 Semm TCHDL-C: ± 2.1% total energy, 126.1 ± 12.3% for tal energy, 126.1 ± 12.3% for tal energy, 126.1 ± 12.3%Greater instate of animal protein morality. The relationship with total protein and morality was greated at energy, unprocessed red meat (07 ± 60 g/d), processed r				Summary
Lipid modifying medication: 0.8%dairy (543 = 347 g/day), fermented dairy (195 ± 211 g/d).associated with increased risk of mortality. The relationship with total protein and mortality was greatest in those with predisposing disease. No comment on protein quality.Quartile 3Quartile 4: 253 ± 630 kcal/d, carbohydrates Hibes with predisposing disease. No ecomment on protein quality.associated with increased risk of mortality. The relationship with total protein and mortality was greatest in those with predisposing disease. No comment on protein quality.4.12 ± 6.4 % total energy. 118 ± 9.7 g/d protein (18.8 % total energy). 83.6 ± 11.8 g/d animal protein (14.1 ± 2.3 % total energy). 76.1 ± 2.5 (9 d) and protein (14.1 ± 2.3 % total energy). 76.1 ± 2.5 (9 d) and protein (14.1 ± 2.3 % total energy). 76.1 ± 2.5 (9 d) and energy). unprocessed red merk (97 ± 60 g/d), energy). unprocessed red merk (97 ± 60 g/d), (273 ± 273 g/d).High blood pressure: 59.5% CUVD medication: 2.6% Lipid modifying medication: 1.6% CVD: 38.3% enum TCHDL-C: 4.7.64.140mmO/L Serum TCHDL-C: 				
Quartile 3 Age: 52.75.2 yearsQuartile 4: 2534 ± 630 kcal/d, carbohydratesmortality. The relationship with total protein and mortality as greatest in those with predisposing disease. No comment on protein quality.BM: 26.84:5.6 kg/m2 Current smoker: 30.9% Serum TC:HDL-C: Serum TCR: 1.31.034 mm0/L Serum TCR: 2.45:3.7 kg/l For in 4.4 ± 12.1% total energy. 101 ± 9.7 g/d protein (18.8 ± 2.1% total energy). 26.1 ± 6.5 g/d plant protein (4.4 ± 1.0 % total ergy). http:// as total energy. 26.1 ± 6.5 g/d plant protein (4.4 ± 1.0 % total processed red meat '(76 ± 75 g/d), fish (35 ± 29 g/d), egg (31 ± 25 g/d), normented dairy (564 ± 366 g/day), fermented dairy (273 ± 273 g/d).High blood pressure: 59.5% HTN medication: 2.26% Lipid modifying medication: 0.6%(273 ± 273 g/d).Quartile 4 Age: 52.745.2 years BML: 27.643.7 kg/m2 Current smoker: 30.0% Serum TCR: 1.3740.08 Serum CRP: 2.4243.7 mg/L Serum TCR: 1.3740.08 Serum CRP: 2.4243.7 mg/L Serum C				
Quartie 1Quartie 4Protein and mortality was greatest in those with predisposing disease. No comment on protein and mortality was greatest in those with predisposing disease. No comment on protein and mortality was greatest in those with predisposing disease. No comment on protein and mortality was greatest in those with predisposing disease. No comment on protein and mortality was greatest in those with predisposing disease. No comment on protein and mortality was greatest in those with predisposing disease. No comment on protein and mortality was greatest in those with predisposing disease. No comment on protein and mortality was greatest in those with predisposing disease. No comment on protein and mortality was greatest in those with predisposing disease. No comment on protein and mortality.4.3881.36mm0fL Serum TCR1, 13.16.084 mmol/L Serum TCR1, 13.16.084 mmol/L GerR: 85.4 ± 12.2 mL/minSolution errory. 83.6 ± 11.8 g/d animal protein (14.4 ± 2.3 % total energy). Improcessed red meat (70 ± 50 g/d), fish (33 ± 20 g/d), egg (31 ± 25 g/d), nonfermented dairy (564 ± 36 g/d), fish (35 ± 20 g/d), egg (31 ± 25 g/d), nonfermented dairy (564 ± 36 g/d), fish (35 ± 20 g/d), egg (31 ± 25 g/d), nonfermented dairy (564 ± 36 g/d), fish (35 ± 20 g/d), egg (31 ± 25 g/d), nonfermented dairy (564 ± 36 g/d), fish (35 ± 20 g/d), egg (31 ± 25 g/d), nonfermented dairy (564 ± 36 g/d), fish (35 ± 20 g/d), egg (31 ± 25 g/d), fish (35 ± 20 g/d), egg (31 ± 25 g/d), fish (35 ± 20 g/d), egg (31 ± 25 g/d), fish (35 ± 20 g/d), egg (31 ± 25 g/d), fish (35 ± 20 g/d), egg (31 ± 25 g/d), fish (35 ± 20 g/d), egg (31 ± 25 g/d), fish (35 ± 20 g/d), fish (35 ± 20 g/d), egg (31 ± 25 g/d), fish (35 ± 20 g/d), fish (35 ± 20 g/d), fish (35 ± 20 g/d), fish (35 ± 20 g	Lipid	id modifying medication: 0.8%		
Age: 52.745.2 years BMI: 26.84.3 6 kg/n2 Current smoker: 30.9% Serum TC:HDL-C: Serum TAG: 1.3140.84 mm0/L Serum TAG: 1.3140.84 mm0/L CeFR: 85.4 12.2 mL/minQuartile 4: 2334 ± 630 (kcal/d, cardohydrates total energy), 81.6 ± 11.8 g/d animal protein (14.1 ± 2.4 6.4 % total energy), 83.6 ± 11.8 g/d animal processed red mact (70 ± 50 g/d), processed red mact (70 ± 75 g/d), fsh (35 ± 20 g/d), ger (70 ± 20 g/d), ger (71 ± 25 g/d), fsh (35 ± 20 g/d), ger (71 ± 20 g/d), ger (71 ± 20 g/d), ger (71 ± 20 g/d), ger (71 ± 73 ± 08 g/d).these with predisposing disease, No comment on protein quality.Urrent swoker: 30.0% Serum TC:HDL-C: 4.76±1.490 mol/L Serum TC:HDL-C: 4.76±1.490 mol/L			$(195 \pm 211 \text{ g/d}).$	mortality. The relationship with total
BML: 26.8±36 kg/n2 Current smoker: 30.9%41.2 ± 6.4 % total energy, total 18:37,4 ± 5.9 % total energy, 83.6 ± 11.8 g/d animal protein (14.1 ± 2.3 % total energy), 83.6 ± 11.8 g/d animal protein (14.1 ± 2.3 % total energy), 26.1 ± 6.5 g/d plant protein (14.4 ± 2.3 % total energy), 26.1 ± 6.5 g/d plant protein (14.4 ± 2.3 % total energy), 0.000 Serum FR: 24.64.3.72 mg/L energy), unprocessed red meat (70 ± 50 g/d), processed r	Quar	artile 3		protein and mortality was greatest in
BML: 26.8±36 kg/n2 Current smoker: 30.9%41.2 ± 6.4 % total energy, total 18:37,4 ± 5.9 % total energy, 83.6 ± 11.8 g/d animal protein (14.1 ± 2.3 % total energy), 83.6 ± 11.8 g/d animal protein (14.1 ± 2.3 % total energy), 26.1 ± 6.5 g/d plant protein (14.4 ± 2.3 % total energy), 26.1 ± 6.5 g/d plant protein (14.4 ± 2.3 % total energy), 0.000 Serum FR: 24.64.3.72 mg/L energy), unprocessed red meat (70 ± 50 g/d), processed r	Age:	e: 52.7±5.2 years	Quartile 4: $2534 \pm 630$ kcal/d. carbohydrates	
Current smoker: $30.9\%$ Serum TC:HDL-C: $\pm 2.1\%$ total energy), $111.8 \pm 9.7$ g/d protein $(14.1 \pm 2.3\%$ total energy), $36.6 \pm 11.8$ g/d animal protein $(14.1 \pm 2.3\%$ total energy), $26.1 \pm$ $6.5$ g/d plant protein $(44.1 \pm 2.3\%$ total energy), $26.1 \pm$ $6.5$ g/d plant protein $(44.1 \pm 2.3\%$ total energy), $26.1 \pm$ $6.5$ g/d plant protein $(44.1 \pm 2.3\%$ total energy), $26.1 \pm$ $6.5$ g/d plant protein $(44.2 \pm 1.0\%$ total energy), $20.1 \pm$ $6.5$ g/d plant protein $(44.2 \pm 1.0\%$ total energy), $20.1 \pm$ $6.5$ g/d plant protein $(44.2 \pm 1.0\%$ total energy), $20.1 \pm 25$ g/d), nonformented dairy ( $564 \pm 366$ g/day), fermented dairy $(273 \pm 273$ g/d).High blood pressure: $59.5\%$ HTN medication: $2.6\%$ Lipid modifying medication: $1.4\%$ CVD: $38.3\%$ $(273 \pm 273$ g/d).Quartile 4 Age: $52.745.2$ years BM: $27.643.7$ kg/m2 Current smoker: $30.0\%$ Serum TC:HDL-C: $4.76\pm 1.40$ mm0/L Serum TC:HDL-C: HTN medication: $2.7\%$ HTN medication: $2.5\%$ HTN medication: $2.7\%$ HTN medication: $2.7\%$ <b< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></b<>				
Serum TC:HDL-C:± 2.1% total energy), 83.6 ± 11.8 g/d animal protein (14.1 ± 2.3 % total energy), 26.1 ± 0.5 g/d plant protein (4.4 ± 1.0 % total energy), 11 ± 2.3 % total energy), 26.1 ± 0.5 g/d plant protein (4.4 ± 1.0 % total energy), inprocessed red meat (97 ± 60 g/d), processed red meat (75 ± 75 g/d), fish (35 ± 29 g/d), egg (31 ± 25 g/d), nonfermented dairy (564 ± 366 g/day), fermented dairy (273 ± 273 g/d).High blood pressure: 59.5% Glucose lowering medication: 1.4% CVD 38.3% CVD medication: 2.2% Diabetes: 7.3% BML 27.6±3.7 kg/n2 Current snoke: 30.0% Serum TC:HDL-C: 4.76±1.40mmol/L Serum Frith: 193±160 µg/L eGFR: 85.6 ± 13.1 mL/min= ± 1.4 % total energy), uprocessed red meat (76 ± 75 g/d), fish (35 ± 29 g/d), egg (31 ± 25 g/d), nonfermented dairy (54 ± 366 g/day), fermented dairy (273 ± 273 g/d).Quartile 4 Age: 52.745.2 years BML 27.6±3.7 kg/n2 Current snoke: 30.0% Serum TC:HDL-C: 4.76±1.40mmol/L Serum CFR: 2422.3.47 mg/L Serum ferrith: 193±160 µg/L eGFR: 85.6 ± 13.1 mL/min= ± 4.0 % total energy). HTN medication: 2.3%High blood pressure: 61.7% HTN medication: 23.5%= ± 5.7% HTN medication: 23.5%= ± 5.7% HTN medication: 23.5%				comment on protein quanty.
4 88±1.56mm0/L Serum TAG: 1.31±0.84 mm0/L Serum CRP: 2.44±3.72 mg/L eGFR: 85.4 ± 1.22 mL/minprotein $(14.1 \pm 2.3 \% total energy), 2.61 \pm 65 g/d plant protein (4.4 \pm 1.0\% total energy), unprocessed red meat (77 \pm 60 g/d),processed red meat (77 \pm 75 g/d), hinf (35 \pm 1000)processed red meat (77 \pm 75 g/d), hinf (35 \pm 1000)processed red meat (77 \pm 75 g/d), hinf (35 \pm 1000)processed red meat (77 \pm 75 g/d), hinf (35 \pm 1000)processed red meat (77 \pm 75 g/d), hinf (35 \pm 1000)processed red meat (77 \pm 75 g/d), hinf (35 \pm 1000)processed red meat (77 \pm 75 g/d), hinf (35 \pm 1000)processed red meat (77 \pm 75 g/d), hinf (35 \pm 1000)processed red meat (77 \pm 75 g/d), hinf (35 \pm 1000)processed red meat (77 \pm 75 g/d), hinf (35 \pm 1000)processed red meat (77 \pm 75 g/d), hinf (35 \pm 1000)processed red meat (77 \pm 75 g/d), hinf (35 \pm 1000)processed red meat (77 \pm 75 g/d), hinf (35 \pm 1000)processed red meat (77 \pm 75 g/d), hinf (35 \pm 1000)processed red meat (77 \pm 75 g/d), hinf (35 \pm 1000)processed red meat (75 \pm 175 g/d), hinf (35 \pm 1000)processed red meat (75 \pm 175 g/d), hinf (35 \pm 1000)processed red meat (75 \pm 175 g/d), hinf (35 \pm 1000)processed red meat (75 \pm 175 g/d), hinf (35 \pm 1000)processed red meat (75 \pm $				
Serum TAG: 131 $\pm 0.84$ mmol/L Serum CRP: 2.46 $\pm 3.72$ mg/L6.5 g/d plant protein $(4.4 \pm 1.0\%$ total energy), unprocessed red meat $(07 \pm 75$ g/d), fish $(35 \pm 29)$ $(273 \pm 273$ g/d).High blood pressure: 59.5% HTN medication: 22.2% Diabetes: 7.3% Glucose lowering medication: 1.4% CVD: 38.3% CVD medication: 2.6% Lipid modifying medication: 0.6%Quartile 4 Age: 52.745.2 years BM: 2.76 \pm 13.1 mL/min Serum TC:HDL-C: 4.76 \pm 1.40mmol/L Serum GRP: 2.42 \pm 3.47 mg/L Serum GRP: 2.3.3%HTN medication: 23.3%			6	
Serum CRP: 2.46:3.72 mg/L Serum ferriin: 103±135 µg/L eGFR: 85.4 ± 12.2 mL/minenergy, unprocessed red meat (76 ± 75 g/d), fish (35 ± 29 g/d), egg (31 ± 25 g/d), nonfermented dairy (564 ± 366 g/day), fermented dairy (273 ± 273 g/d).High blood pressure: 59.5% HTTN medication: 2.2% Diabetes: 7.3% Glucose lowering medication: 1.4% CVD: 38.3% CVD medication: 2.6% Lipid modifying medication: 0.6%(273 ± 273 g/d).Quartile 4 Age: 52.745.2 years BML: 7.643.7 kg/m2 Current smoker: 30.0% Serum TA: 1.374.085 mmol/L Serum GRP: 2.424.347 mg/L Serum FA: 1.374.085 mmol/L Serum FA: 1.374.085 mmol/L High blood pressure: 61.7% HTN medication: 23.3%Here y 100 minor 100			1	
Serum ferritin: $163\pm135 \text{ µg/L}$ eGFR:processed red meat $(76 \pm 75 \text{ g/d})$ , fish $(\overline{35 \pm 2} \text{ g/g})$ g g(31 $\pm 25 \text{ g/d})$ , nonfermented dairy (56 $\pm 3.06 \text{ g/day})$ , fermented dairy (273 $\pm 273 \text{ g/d})$ .High blood pressure: $59.5\%$ HTN medication: $22.2\%$ Diabetes: $7.3\%$ Glucose lowering medication: $1.4\%$ CVD:Quartile 4 Age: $25.745.2$ years BMI: $27.762.2$ years BMI: $27.762.2$ years BMI:BMI: $27.462.7$ kg/m2 Current smoker: $20.7\%$ Glucose index in $10.2\%$ CVD:Gerum TC:HDL-C: $4.76\pm1.40mmol/L$ Serum TC:HDL-C: $4.76\pm1.3 \text{ mJ/L}$ Serum TAG:Serum Grit: $19.3 \pm 0.05 \text{ mmol/L}$ Serum Grit:Serum Grit: $19.3 \pm 0.05 \text{ mmol/L}$ Serum Grit:Serum Grit: $19.3 \pm 0.05 \text{ mmol/L}$ Serum Grit:High blood pressure: $61.7\%$ HTN medication:High blood pressure: $61.7\%$ HTN medication:				
eGFR: $85.4 \pm 12.2 \text{ mL/min}$ $29 \text{ g/d}$ , egg ( $31 \pm 25 \text{ g/d}$ ), nonfermented dairy ( $564 \pm 366 \text{ g/day}$ ), fermented dairy ( $273 \pm 273 \text{ g/d}$ ).High blood pressure: $59.5\%$ HTN medication: $22.2\%$ Diabetes: $7.3\%$ Glucose lowering medication: $1.4\%$ CVD: $38.3\%$ CVD medication: $2.6\%$ Lipid modifying medication: $0.6\%$ $(273 \pm 273 \text{ g/d})$ .Quartile 4 Age: $52.7\pm5.2$ years BMI: $27.6\pm3.7 \text{ kg/m2}$ Current smoker: $30.0\%$ Serum TC:HDL-C: $4.76\pm1.40mm0/L$ Serum TAG: $1.37\pm0.85$ mmol/L Serum TAG: $13.1 \text{ mL/min}$ High blood pressure: $61.7\%$ HTN medication: $23.3\%$	Serui	um CRP: 2.46±3.72 mg/L	energy), unprocessed red meat (97 $\pm$ 60 g/d),	
eGFR: $85.4 \pm 12.2 \text{ mL/min}$ $29 \text{ g/d}$ , egg ( $31 \pm 25 \text{ g/d}$ ), nonfermented dairy ( $564 \pm 366 \text{ g/day}$ ), fermented dairy ( $273 \pm 273 \text{ g/d}$ ).High blood pressure: $59.5\%$ HTN medication: $22.2\%$ Diabetes: $7.3\%$ Glucose lowering medication: $1.4\%$ CVD: $38.3\%$ CVD medication: $2.6\%$ Lipid modifying medication: $0.6\%$ $(273 \pm 273 \text{ g/d})$ .Quartile 4 Age: $52.7\pm5.2$ years BMI: $27.6\pm3.7 \text{ kg/m2}$ Current smoker: $30.0\%$ Serum TC:HDL-C: $4.76\pm1.40mm0/L$ Serum TAG: $1.37\pm0.85$ mmol/L Serum TAG: $13.1 \text{ mL/min}$ High blood pressure: $61.7\%$ HTN medication: $23.3\%$	Serur	um ferritin: 163±135 µg/L	processed red meat <sup>a</sup> (76 $\pm$ 75 g/d), fish (35 $\pm$	
dairy (564 ± 366 g/day), fermented dairy (273 ± 273 g/d).HTN medication: 22.2% Diabetes: 7.3% Glucose lowering medication: 1.4% CVD: 38.3% CVD medication: 2.6% Lipid modifying medication: 0.6%Quartile 4 Age: 52.7±5.2 years BMI: 27.6±3.7 kg/m2 Current smoker: 30.0% Serum TC:HDL-C: 4.76±1.40mmol/L Serum TAC: 1.37±0.85 mmol/L Serum GRP: 2.42±3.47 mg/L Serum GRP: 2.42±3.47 mg/L Serum GRP: 2.42±3.47 mg/L Serum Faci. 1.37±0.85 mmol/L Serum GRP: 2.42±3.47 mg/L Serum GRP: 2.42±3.48 mg/				
High blood pressure: 59.5% HTN medication: 22.2% Diabetes: 7.3% Glucose lowering medication: 1.4% CVD: 38.3% CVD medication: 2.6% Lipid modifying medication: 0.6%(273 ± 273 g/d).Quartile 4 Age: 52.7b5.2 years BMI: 27.6±3.7 kg/m2 Current smoker: 30.0% Serum TC:HDL-C: 4.76±1.40mmol/L Serum TAG: 1.37±0.85 mmol/L Serum TAG: 1.37±0.85 mmol/L Serum ferritin: 193±160 µg/L eGFR: 85.6 ± 13.1 mL/min(273 ± 273 g/d).High blood pressure: 61.7% HTN medication: 23.3%(273 ± 273 g/d).				
HTN medication: 22.2% Diabetes: $7.3\%$ Glucose lowering medication: $1.4\%$ CVD: $38.3\%$ CVD medication: $2.6\%$ Lipid modifying medication: $0.6\%$ Quartile 4 Age: $52.7 \pm 5.2$ years BMI: $27.6 \pm 3.7$ kg/m2 Current smoker: $30.0\%$ Serum TC:HDL-C: $4.76\pm 1.40mmol/L$ Serum TAG: $1.37\pm 0.85$ mmol/L Serum TAG: $1.37\pm 0.85$ mmol/L Serum TAG: $1.37\pm 0.85$ mmol/L Serum Fritin: $19\pm 160 \ \mu g/L$ eGFR: $85.6 \pm 13.1 \ mL/min$ High blood pressure: $61.7\%$ HTN medication: $23.3\%$	High			
Diabetes: 7.3% Glucose lowering medication: 1.4% CVD: 38.3% CVD medication: 2.6% Lipid modifying medication: 0.6% Quartile 4 Age: 52.7±5.2 years BMI: 27.6±3.7 kg/m2 Current smoker: 30.0% Serum TC:HDL-C: 4.76±1.40mmol/L Serum TCRP: 2.42±3.47 mg/L Serum Fertin: 1934160 µg/L eGFR: 85.6 ± 13.1 mL/min High blood pressure: 61.7% HTN medication: 23.3%			$(275 \pm 275 \text{ g/d}).$	
Glucose lowering medication: 1.4% CVD: 38.3%CVD: 38.3%CVD medication: 2.6% Lipid modifying medication: 0.6%Quartile 4 Age: 52.7±5.2 years BMI: 27.6±3.7 kg/m2 Current smoker: 30.0% Serum TC:HDL-C: 4.76±1.40mmol/L Serum TAG: 1.37±0.85 mmol/L Serum TAG: 1.37±0.85 mmol/L Serum GPP: 2.42±3.47 mg/L eGFR: 85.6 ± 13.1 mL/minHigh blood pressure: 61.7% HTN medication: 23.3%				
CVD: 38.3% CVD medication: 2.6% Lipid modifying medication: 0.6%Quartile 4 Age: 52.7±5.2 years BMI: 27.6±3.7 kg/m2 Current smoker: 30.0% Serum TC:HDL-C: 4.76±1.40mmol/L Serum TAG: 1.37±0.85 mmol/L Serum TAG: 1.37±0.85 mmol/L 				
CVD medication: 2.6% Lipid modifying medication: 0.6% Quartile 4 Age: 52.7±5.2 years BMI: 27.6±3.7 kg/m2 Current smoker: 30.0% Serum TC:HDL-C: 4.76±1.40mmol/L Serum TAG: 1.37±0.85 mmol/L Serum TAG: 1.37±0.85 mmol/L Serum GRP: 2.42±3.47 mg/L Serum ferritin: 193±160 µg/L eGFR: 85.6 ± 13.1 mL/min High blood pressure: 61.7% HTN medication: 23.3%				
Lipid modifying medication: 0.6% Quartile 4 Age: 52.7±5.2 years BMI: 27.6±3.7 kg/m2 Current smoker: 30.0% Serum TC:HDL-C: 4.76±1.40mmol/L Serum TAG: 1.37±0.85 mmol/L Serum CRP: 2.42±3.47 mg/L Serum CRP: 2.42±3.47 mg/L Serum ferritin: 193±160 µg/L eGFR: 85.6±13.1 mL/min High blood pressure: 61.7% HTN medication: 23.3%	CVD	D: 38.3%		
Quartile 4 Age: 52.7±5.2 years BMI: 27.6±3.7 kg/m2 Current smoker: 30.0% Serum TC:HDL-C: 4.76±1.40mmol/L Serum TAG: 1.37±0.85 mmol/L Serum CRP: 2.42±3.47 mg/L Serum ferritin: 193±160 µg/L eGFR: 85.6 ± 13.1 mL/min High blood pressure: 61.7% HTN medication: 23.3%	CVD	D medication: 2.6%		
Quartile 4 Age: 52.7±5.2 years BMI: 27.6±3.7 kg/m2 Current smoker: 30.0% Serum TC:HDL-C: 4.76±1.40mmol/L Serum TAG: 1.37±0.85 mmol/L Serum CRP: 2.42±3.47 mg/L Serum ferritin: 193±160 µg/L eGFR: 85.6 ± 13.1 mL/min High blood pressure: 61.7% HTN medication: 23.3%				
Age: 52.7±5.2 years         BMI: 27.6±3.7 kg/m2         Current smoker: 30.0%         Serum TC:HDL-C:         4.76±1.40mm0/L         Serum TAG: 1.37±0.85 mmol/L         Serum CRP: 2.42±3.47 mg/L         Serum ferritin: 193±160 µg/L         eGFR: 85.6 ± 13.1 mL/min         High blood pressure: 61.7%         HTN medication: 23.3%	Lipic	ia moanying meateation of the		
Age: 52.7±5.2 years         BMI: 27.6±3.7 kg/m2         Current smoker: 30.0%         Serum TC:HDL-C:         4.76±1.40mm0/L         Serum TAG: 1.37±0.85 mmol/L         Serum CRP: 2.42±3.47 mg/L         Serum ferritin: 193±160 µg/L         eGFR: 85.6 ± 13.1 mL/min         High blood pressure: 61.7%         HTN medication: 23.3%	0.00	artilo 4		
BMI: 27.6±3.7 kg/m2 Current smoker: 30.0% Serum TC:HDL-C: 4.76±1.40mmol/L Serum AG: 1.37±0.85 mmol/L Serum CRP: 2.42±3.47 mg/L Serum ferritin: 193±160 µg/L eGFR: 85.6 ± 13.1 mL/min High blood pressure: 61.7% HTN medication: 23.3%				
Current smoker: 30.0% Serum TC:HDL-C: 4.76±1.40mmol/L Serum TAG: 1.37±0.85 mmol/L Serum CRP: 2.42±3.47 mg/L Serum ferritin: 193±160 µg/L eGFR: 85.6 ± 13.1 mL/min High blood pressure: 61.7% HTN medication: 23.3%				
Serum TC:HDL-C: 4.76±1.40mmol/L Serum TAG: 1.37±0.85 mmol/L Serum CRP: 2.42±3.47 mg/L Serum ferritin: 193±160 µg/L eGFR: 85.6 ± 13.1 mL/min High blood pressure: 61.7% HTN medication: 23.3%				
4.76±1.40mmol/L Serum TAG: 1.37±0.85 mmol/L Serum CRP: 2.42±3.47 mg/L Serum ferritin: 193±160 μg/L eGFR: 85.6 ± 13.1 mL/min High blood pressure: 61.7% HTN medication: 23.3%				
Serum TAG: 1.37±0.85 mmol/L         Serum CRP: 2.42±3.47 mg/L         Serum ferritin: 193±160 μg/L         eGFR: 85.6 ± 13.1 mL/min         High blood pressure: 61.7%         HTN medication: 23.3%	Serui	um TC:HDL-C:		
Serum TAG: 1.37±0.85 mmol/L         Serum CRP: 2.42±3.47 mg/L         Serum ferritin: 193±160 μg/L         eGFR: 85.6 ± 13.1 mL/min         High blood pressure: 61.7%         HTN medication: 23.3%	4.76	6±1.40mmol/L		
Serum CRP: 2.42±3.47 mg/L Serum ferritin: 193±160 µg/L eGFR: 85.6 ± 13.1 mL/min High blood pressure: 61.7% HTN medication: 23.3%				
Serum ferritin: 193±160 µg/L eGFR: 85.6 ± 13.1 mL/min High blood pressure: 61.7% HTN medication: 23.3%				
eGFR: 85.6 ± 13.1 mL/min High blood pressure: 61.7% HTN medication: 23.3%				
High blood pressure: 61.7% HTN medication: 23.3%				
HTN medication: 23.3%	eGFI	FR: $85.6 \pm 13.1 \text{ mL/min}$		
HTN medication: 23.3%		h bland analysis (1.70)		
Diabetes: 8.0%				
	Diab	betes: 8.0%		

	Glucose lowering medication: 2.0% CVD: 36.1% CVD medication: 3.2% Lipid modifying medication: 0.9%			
O'Connor et al.[9]	261 participants approached 69 assessed for eligible 19 excluded 50 participants randomized 9 dropped out Total participants: $n$ 41 31% men Age: 46±2 years Med-Red Body mass: 91.2±1.5 kg Body fat: 37.2±1.0 % SBP: 118±2 mmHg DBP: 80±1 mmHg Plasma glucose: 5.4±0.1 mmol/L TC: 4.9±0.1 mmol/L LDL-C: 3.1±0.1 mmol/L HDL-C: 1.2±0.1 mmol/L TAG: 1.4±0.1 mmol/L ApoB: 1±0.0 g/L Insulin: 85.4±7.6 pmol/L CRP: 21.9±2.9 HOMA-IR: 2.981±0.299 10-year risk (%): 4.6±0.5 Vascular Age: 45±2 years 14-point Med Diet Score: 4±0 Med-Control Body fat: 36.6±1.0 % SBP: 120±2 mmHg DBP: 78±1 mmHg Plasma glucose: 5.3±0.1 mmol/L LDL-C: 3.0±0.1 mmol/L LDL-C: 1.3±0.1 mmol/L HDL-C: 1.3±0.1 mmol/L ApoB: 0.9±0.0 g/L Insulin: 77.1±6.9 pmol/L CRP: 21.9±2.9	Randomized, crossover, controlled feeding trial 16 week duration Two 5-week controlled feeding intervention with 4 week of self-selected unrestricted "wash-out". Intervention consisted of a "Mediterranean Pattern" with daily macronutrients targets of 40% of total energy as carbohydrate, 22% protein, and 40% fat. Daily fat intakes were targeted at 7% of total energy as SFA and 20% MUFA. All foods were provided. Mediterranean Patterns contained ~500 g (Med-Red) and ~200 g (Med-Control) of lean, unprocessed beef or pork per week. Med-Red 2601 $\pm$ 428 kcal/d, carbohydrates 42 $\pm$ 1 % total energy, total fat 40 $\pm$ 1 % total energy, MUFA 22 $\pm$ 1 total energy, PUFA 8 $\pm$ 0 % total energy, SFA 7 $\pm$ 0 total energy, protein 18 $\pm$ 0 % total energy, 476 g red meat/wk, 112 g poultry/wk, 336 g seafood/wk, 2 eggs/wk, 560g nuts, seed, soy/wk, 3 servings dairy/d. 14-point Med Diet Score: 12 Med-Control 2573 $\pm$ 405 kcal/d, carbohydrates 42 $\pm$ 2 % total energy, SFA 8 $\pm$ 0 total energy, protein 19 $\pm$ 1 % total energy, 196 g red meat/wk, 420 g poultry/wk, 336 g seafood/wk, 3 eggs/wk, 616 g nuts, seed, soy/wk, 3 ergs/wk, 161 g nuts, seed, soy/wk, 2 ergs/wk, 14-point Med Diet Score: 13 Note that these are prescribed diets. It is not clear if participants consumed other food during the study intervention.	<ul> <li>Primary outcome: assess the effects of consuming a Mediterranean Pattern with different amounts of red meat on cardiometabolic disease risk factors</li> <li>Anthropometry (body mass and composition), bloods (full lipid profile) and Framingham Heart Study 10-year CV risk and vascular age taken at baseline and during the last week of the study.</li> <li>Baseline food intakes determined prior to randomisation and during washout to determine return to self-selected eating pattern</li> </ul>	Greater reduction of body mass in Med-Red vs. Med-Control group $(-1.6 \pm 0.5 \text{ vs.} -1.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ kg}, respectively).TC decreased significantly in both Med-Red and Med-Control (-0.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ vs.} -0.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ mmol/L}, respectively).Decrease in Med-Red was significantly greater than Med-Control.Significant decrease in LDL-C in Med-Red group vs. baseline value (3.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ vs.} 2.8 \pm 0.1 \text{ mmol/L}, respectively).Significant decrease in LDL-C in Med-Red group vs. baseline value (3.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ vs.} 2.8 \pm 0.1 \text{ mmol/L}, respectively).Significant reduction in ApoB in Med-Red vs. Med-Control (-0.1 \pm 0.0 vs. 0.0 \pm 0.0 \text{ g/L}, respectively)No significant change in TC:HDL-C, TAG, CRP, glucose, insulin, and HOMA-IR between groups.Significant reductions in SBP in Med-Red and Med-Control groups over time (-3 \pm 2 vs5 \pm 2 mmHg, respectively)Both Med-Red and Med-Control improved 10-year CV risk score (-0.7 \pm 0.4 and -0.5 \pm 0.4 years) and improved vascular age.SummaryThis short-term study shows adopting a Mediterranean diet pattern improves cardiometabolic risk irrespective of red meat intake providing the meat is lean and unprocessed.$

	HOMA ID 0 (70:0 207			1
	HOMA-IR: 2.679±0.297			
	10-year risk (%): 4.6±0.5			
	Vascular Age: 45±2 years			
	14-point Med Diet Score: 4±0			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	No statistically significant difference			
	in any baseline parameter between			
	groups			
	Ethnicity and medication use not			
	reported			
Guasch-Ferré et	Articles via PubMed: 366	Meta-analysis of RCTs comparing red meat	Primary outcomes changes or differences in blood	When combining all studies examining
al.[10]	Excluded 267 due to inappropriate	consumption vs. other comparison diets	concentrations of TC, LDL-C, HDL-C, ApoA1, ApoB,	red meat vs. all comparison diets, there
ai.[10]	articles (literature reviews, editorials,	consumption vs. outer comparison diets	or blood pressure.	was no significant effects of red meat
			of blood pressure.	
	not RCT design, outcomes of interest	Articles soured from PubMed (up to 2017)		on TC, LDL-C, HDL-C, TC:HDL-C,
	not reported, control and red meat			HDL-C:LDL-C, VLDL-C, ApoA1, or
	consumption not different)	Study quality score from National Heart,		ApoB.
	99 Articles assessed for eligibility	Lung and Blood Institute (Quality		-
	Excluded 66 due to acute feeding	Assessment of Controlled Intervention		Red meat yielded lesser decreases in
	trials, lipids not reported, red meat	Studies): Score ranging from 0 to 28 points		TAGs (WMD 0.065 mmol/L; 95% CI,
	intake not reported, no comparison	Studies): Seore ranging nom o to 20 points		0.000, 0.129).
	1 / 1	Descent success developed using DICOS		0.000, 0.129).
	group.	Research question developed using PICOS		
	Articles in final meta-analysis: 36			Lean red meat gave created decreases in
		Inclusion criteria were:		TC and LDL-C (WMD -0.05 mmol/L;
	20 studies used a cross-over design	Participants aged ≥18 years and not pregnant,		95% CI: -0.12, -0.02; P=0.04;
		intervention and comparison diets		WMD -0.08 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.15, -
	Sample size for studies ranged from	that prescribed differing amounts of red meat,		0.02, P=0.03, respectively) relative to
	8-191 participants	reporting, $\geq 1$ cardiovascular risk factor as a		all comparison diets.
	o 191 participanto	dependent variable (i.e. TC, LDL-C, HDL-C,		un comparison dieus.
	Mean ages ranged from 22-70 years	TAGs, apolipoproteins [A1 and B], or blood		No significant differential effects
	of age	pressure), and use of a RCT study design. As		of red meat were observed for total
		a minimum the study needed to be at least 2		cholesterol or LDL-C when dietary SFA
	Included both normolipidaemic	weeks in duration		intake in the red meat
	(n=26 studies) and hyperlipidaemic			group was higher or similar to that in
	(n=11 studies) participants	Meat defined as "all forms of beef, pork,		the comparison diet.
		lamb, veal, goat, and non-bird game (eg,		*
	Red meat consumption ranged from	venison, bison, elk)"		When compared with high-quality plant
	46.5-500 g/d in intervention diets and	venison, olson, eikj		protein, red meat vielded smaller
		D 1 (10 1 ( 11		
	0-266 g/d in comparison diets	Processed meat defined as "preserved by		decreases in TC (WMD 0.264 mmol/L;
		smoking, curing, salting, and/or the addition		95% CI: 0.144, 0.383; P<0.001) and
	Minimally-processed red meat was	of chemical preservative."		LDL-C (WMD 0.198 mmol/L; 95% CI:
	consumed in 24 studies; processed			0.065, 0.330; P=0.003).
	red meat was consumed in 5 studies,			
	and the extent of red meat processing			Red meat decreased TC (WMD -0.109
	was not reported in 8 studies			mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.211, -0.007;
	nas not reported in o studios			P<0.036) and LDL-C (WMD
				1 NO.050) and LDL-C (WIMD

				<ul> <li>-0.173 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.260, - 0.086; P&lt;0.001) when compared to fish- only diets</li> <li>Red meat showed no significant difference on any lipid variable when compared with chicken or poultry diets</li> <li>When poultry and fish were combined, red meat decreased TC to a greater extent (WMD -0.092 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.177, -0.008; P=0.032) and TAG to a lesser extent (WMD 0.224 mmol/L; 95% CI: 0.077, 0.371; P=0.003).</li> <li>When compared with carbohydrates, red meat yielded lesser decreases in HDL-C (WMD 0.139 mmol/L; 95% CI, 0.004, 0.275; P=0.043) when usual</li> </ul>
				diet was the comparison (WMD 0.081 mmol/L; 95% CI, 0.008, 0.153; P=0.030). In comparison with carbohydrates, red meat yielded greater decreases in TAG concentrations (WMD -0.181 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.349, -0.013; P=0.035) and also with combined animal protein sources (WMD -0.093 mol/L; 95% CI: -0.176, -0.011, P=0.027).
				Summary Relative to all diets combined, red meat had no significant impact on TC, LDL-C, HDL-C, ApoA1, B, BP but gave lesser decreases in TAG. When compared with specific control diets, swapping red met for high- quality plant protein led to beneficial changes in lipids.
Kwok et al.[11]	Potentially relevant records: 3011 Excluded 2670 341 reviews or studies reviewed in detail Excluded 308	Review of evidence from systematic reviews and meta analyses Identified food categories/groups based on UK 'EatWell guide', 'the five food groups'	Primary outcomes included death (all-cause) or cardiovascular disease (stroke, cerebrovascular disease, cerebrovascular accident, CHD, ischaemic heart disease, coronary artery disease, acute myocardial infarction,	For all-cause mortality the evidence was ranked as Level 2 for refined grains, green leafy vegetables/salad and tinned fruit.

	in the 2015-2020 Dietary guidelines for	acute coronary syndrome, HF, cardiac failure, cardiac	For CVD only fish had Level 2
Articles in final meta-analysis: 33 16 reviews on all-cause mortality 17 reviews on cardiovascular disease None of the included studies were based on RCT data Follow up periods not reported.	in the 2015-2020 Dietary guidelines for Americans, and 'Food guide pyramid' from the Centre for Nutrition Policy and Promotion in the United States Searched PubMed (August 2018) for most recent and highest quality systematic review and meta analysis evaluating the dietary components and associated adverse outcomes. Quality assessment of studies performed using WHO strength of evidence: Level 1a/b convincing evidence Level 2 probable evidence Level 3 possible evidence Level 4 limited/contrasting	acute coronary syndrome, HF, cardiac failure, cardiac insufficiency)	For CVD only fish had Level 2 evidence. <u>All-cause mortality</u> 2 or fewer studies for the assessment of whole grain bread, pasta, whole grain breakfast cereals, or oats/oatmeal. In a dose-response analysis all food items above were associated with a significantly reduced risk of all-cause mortality (whole grain bread: RR 0.85; 95% CI: 0.82, 0.89; pasta: RR 0.85; 95% CI: 0.74, 0.99; wholegrain breakfast cereal: RR 0.88; 95% CI: 0.83, 0.92; oats/oatmeal: RR 0.88; 95% CI: 0.83, 0.92).
	Inclusion criteria were Studies had to have the dietary component of interest and some form of quantitative association with either CVD or mortality Food item consumption and its association with outcome can be quantified as a dose– response relationship and highest compared to lowest consumers of food items.		Intake of refined grains and fibre were associated with a significant dose– response reduction in all-cause mortality (163,634 participants; RR 0.95; 95% CI: 0.91, 0.99; and 875,390 participants; RR 0.90; 95% CI: 0.86, 0.94, respectively) No association was found between rice (453,723 participants) and all-cause mortality
			Fish consumption was associated with a benefit for all-cause mortality (RR 0.98; 95% CI: 0.97, 1.00). Processed meat was associated with a 25% increased risk of all-cause mortality (1,1423,969 participants, RR 1.25; 95% CI: 1.07, 1.45). No associations were found between white and red meat, and eggs.
			Root vegetables (451,151 participants, RR 0.76; 95% CI: 0.66, 0.88), green leafy vegetables/salad (568,725 participants, RR 0.78; 95% CI: 0.71, 0.86), cooked vegetables (631,480 participants, RR 0.89; 95% CI: 0.80, 0.99) and cruciferous vegetables

(53), 147 participants, RR 0.50, 95% (CI: 0.53, 0.95) were associated with lower all-cause mortality (147, 12 participants, RR 114, 95% (CI: 1.07, 1.21).         (14), 150, 150, 150, 150, 150, 150, 150, 150	r		(521.147
all-case mortality. Timed fruit was sociated with increased all-cause mortality (147,712 participants, RR 11.4,95% CI: 07, 121). Comparing high and low consumers of alcohol suggested a rotaticion in all- case mortality (44,14) participants, RR 0,87,95% CI: 0,03, 002) Coffee displayed a dose-response relationship for noticed all-cause mortality (47,12) participants, RR 0,96,95% CI: 0,04, 0,07). Dairy products such as butter, yoghurt elesses, milk were not significantly associated with mortality. Increased nut intake was associated with lower all cause mortality (819,448 participants, RR 0,78,95% CI: 0,02, 0,05%). Increased nut intake was associated with lower all cause mortality (819,448 participants, RR 0,78,95% CI: 0,22, 0,048,05%). RR 0,77,95% CI: 0,08,0,05%, whole grain breakfast careals (106,08,08). RR 0,77,95% CI: 0,08,0,05%, whole grain breakfast careals (106,08,08). RR 0,77,95% CI: 0,08,0,05%, whole grain breakfast careals (107,35%) participants, RR 0,77,95% CI: 0,08,0,05%, whole grain breakfast careals (107,35%) participants, RR 0,77,95% CI: 0,08,0,05%, whole grain breakfast careals (107,35%) participants, RR 0,77,95% CI: 0,08,0,05%, whole grain breakfast careals (108,05%) whole grain breakfast careals (107,35%) participants, RR 0,77,95% CI: 0,08,0,05%, whole grain breakfast careals (107,35%) participants, RR 0,77,95% CI: 0,08,0,05%, whole grain breakfast careals (108,05%) whole grain breakfast careals (107,35%) participants, RR 0,77,95% CI: 0,08,0,05%, whole grain breakfast careals (108,05%) participants, RR 0,97,95% CI: 0,08,0,04%			
Image: Second			
Image: State Stat			
Image: Section of the section of th			
Image: Section of Section 1000000000000000000000000000000000000			
Image: Construct of the second sec			
relationship for reduced all-cause mortality (941,247 participants, RR 0.96; 95% CI: 0.94, 0.97).       Dairy products such as butter, yoghurt, cheese, milk were not significantly associated with mortality (819,448 participants, RR 0.78; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.84). Specifically tree nuts (202,751 participants, RR 0.78; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.90) and peanuts (265,252 participants, RR 0.77; 95% CI: 0.07, 0.86).         Cardiovascular Disease whole grain bread (177,389 participants, RR 0.87; 95% CI: 0.07, 0.95% UCI: 0.73, 0.90), brean (18,085 participants, RR 0.87; 95% CI: 0.07, 0.95%, under participants, RR 0.84; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.90), brean (18,085 participants, RR 0.87; 95% CI: 0.07, 0.95), whole grain bread(173, 029, participants, RR 0.87; 95% CI: 0.07, 0.95), whole grain bread(173, 029, participants, RR 0.87; 95% CI: 0.07, 0.90), and fibre (1,277,660) participants, RR 0.91; 95% CI: 0.88, 0.94)         Increase containts       Re 0.87; 95% CI: 0.07, 0.90, and fibre (1,271,660) participants, RR 0.91; 95% CI: 0.88, 0.94)			cause mortality (844,414 participants,
Image: State of the state			
Image: Second			mortality (941,247 participants, RR
associated with mortality.Increased nut intake was associated with lower all-cause mortality (819,448 participants, RR 0.82; 95% CI: 0.75, 0.84). Specifically tree nuts (202,751 participants, RR 0.82; 95% CI: 0.75, 0.90) and RenX (862,525 participants, RR 0.77; 95% CI: 0.69, 0.86).Cardiovascular DiseaseA dose-response relationship existed for whole grain bread (177,389 participants, RR 0.87; 95% CI: 0.06, 0.95), whole grain bread (177,389 participants, RR 0.87; 95% CI: 0.08, 0.95), whole grain bread (177,389 participants, RR 0.84; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.90), and fibre (1,279,690 participants, RR 0.91; 95% (CI: 0.79, 0.90), and fibre (1,279,690 participants, RR 1.15; 95% CI: 1.05, 1.26), and processed meat (1,139,147 participants, RR 1.24;			
with lower all-cause mortality (819,448 participants, RR 0.78, 95% CI: 0.72, 0.84). Specifically tree nuts (202,72) participants, RR 0.82; 95% CI: 0.75, 0.90) and peanuts (265,252 participants, RR 0.77; 95% CI: 0.69, 0.86).Cardiovascular Disease A dose-response relationship existed for whole grain bread (177,389 participants, RR 0.87; 95% CI: 0.80, 0.95), whole grain in the start as the start of the start as the			
participants, RR 0.78; 95% Ci: 0.72, 0.84), Specifically tree nuts (202,751 participants, RR 0.82; 95% Ci: 0.75, 0.90) and peanuts (265,252 participants, RR 0.77; 95% Ci: 0.69, 0.86).Cardiovascular DiseaseA dose-response relationship existed for whole grain breakfast cereals (206,200 participants, RR 0.84; 95% Ci: 0.78, 0.90), bran (118,085) participants, RR 0.85; 95% Ci: 0.79, 0.90), and fibre (1,279,609 participants, RR 0.81; 95% Ci: 0.78, 0.90), bran (118,085) participants, RR 0.85; 95% Ci: 0.79, 0.90), and fibre (1,279,609 participants, RR 1.15; 95% Ci: 0.120, nutroccessed meat (1,186,761 participants, RR 1.24;			
0.84). Specifically tree nuts (202.751 participants, RR 0.82; 95% CI: 0.75, 0.90) and peanuts (265.252 participants, RR 0.77; 95% CI: 0.69, 0.86).Cardiovascular DiseaseA dose-response relationship existed for whole grain bread (177,389 participants, RR 0.87; 95% CI: 0.80, 0.95), whole grain bread (177,389 participants, RR 0.87; 95% CI: 0.78, 0.95), whole grain bread (177,389 participants, RR 0.87; 95% CI: 0.78, 0.95), whole grain bread (177,2609 participants, RR 0.84; 95% CI: 0.78, 0.90), bran (118,085 participants, RR 0.85; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.90), and fibre (1.279,609 participants, RR 0.91; 95% CI: 0.51, 26), and processed meat (1,186,76) participants, RR 1.15; 95% CI: 1.05, 1.26, participants, RR 1.24;			
participants, RR 0.82; 95% CI: 0.75, 0.90) and peanuts (265,252 participants, RR 0.77; 95% CI: 0.69, 0.86).Cardiovascular DiseaseA dose-response relationship existed for whole grain breakfast cereals (206,200 participants, RR 0.87; 95% CI: 0.78, 0.90), and fibre (1,279,690 participants, RR 0.91; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.90), and fibre (1,279,690 participants, RR 1.15; 95% CI: 0.61, 126, 761 participants, RR 1.24;			
RR 0.77; 95% CI: 0.69, 0.86).Cardiovascular DiseaseA dose-response relationship existed for whole grain bread (177,389 participants, RR 0.87; 95% CI: 0.80, 0.95), whole grain breakfast cereals (206,200 participants, RR 0.87; 95% CI: 0.78, 0.90), bran (118,085 participants, RR 0.85; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.90), and fibre (1,279,690 participants, RR 0.91; 95% CI: 0.88, 0.94)Inverse associations were seen for red meat (1,186,761 participants, RR 1.24;			
A dose-response relationship existed for whole grain bread (177,389 participants, RR 0.87; 95% CI: 0.080, 95), whole grain breakfast cereals (206,200 participants, RR 0.84; 95% CI: 0.78, 0.90), bran (118,085 participants, RR 0.85; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.90), and fibre (1,279,690 participants, RR 0.91; 95% CI: 0.88, 0.94) Inverse associations were seen for red meat (1,319,147 participants, RR 1.15; 95% CI: 1.05, 1.26), and processed meat (1,186,761 participants, RR 1.24;			
whole grain bread (177,389 participants, RR 0.87; 95% CI: 0.80, 0.95), whole grain breakfast cereals (206,200 participants, RR 0.84; 95% CI: 0.78, 0.90), bran (118,085 participants, RR 0.85; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.90), and fibre (1,279,690 participants, RR 0.91; 95% CI: 0.88, 0.94) Inverse associations were seen for red meat (1,319,147 participants, RR 1.15; 95% CI: 1.05, 1.26), and processed meat (1,186,761 participants, RR 1.24;			Cardiovascular Disease
RR 0.87; 95% CI: 0.80, 0.95), whole grain breakfast cereals (206,200 participants, RR 0.84; 95% CI: 0.78, 0.90), brant (118,085 participants, RR 0.85; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.90), and fibre (1,279,690 participants, RR 0.91; 95% CI: 0.88, 0.94)Inverse associations were seen for red meat (1,319,147 participants, RR 1.15; 95% CI: 1.05, 1.26), and processed meat (1,186,761 participants, RR 1.24;			A dose-response relationship existed for
participants, RR 0.84; 95% CI: 0.78, 0.90), bran (118,085 participants, RR 0.85; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.90), and fibre (1,279,690 participants, RR 0.91; 95% CI: 0.88, 0.94) Inverse associations were seen for red meat (1,319,147 participants, RR 1.15; 95% CI: 1.05, 1.26), and processed meat (1,186,761 participants, RR 1.24;			whole grain bread (177,389 participants, RR 0.87; 95% CI: 0.80, 0.95), whole
0.85; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.90), and fibre         (1,279,690 participants, RR 0.91; 95%         CI: 0.88, 0.94)         Inverse associations were seen for red         meat (1,319,147 participants, RR 1.15;         95% CI: 1.05, 1.26), and processed         meat (1,186,761 participants, RR 1.24;			participants, RR 0.84; 95% CI: 0.78,
(1,279,690 participants, RR 0.91; 95% CI: 0.88, 0.94) Inverse associations were seen for red meat (1,319,147 participants, RR 1.15; 95% CI: 1.05, 1.26), and processed meat (1,186,761 participants, RR 1.24;			
CI: 0.88, 0.94) Inverse associations were seen for red meat (1,319,147 participants, RR 1.15; 95% CI: 1.05, 1.26), and processed meat (1,186,761 participants, RR 1.24;			
meat (1,319,147 participants, RR 1.15; 95% CI: 1.05, 1.26), and processed meat (1,186,761 participants, RR 1.24;			
95% CI: 1.05, 1.26), and processed meat (1,186,761 participants, RR 1.24;			
			95% CI: 1.05, 1.26), and processed

		Only raw vegetables displayed a dose- response association of benefit (451,151 participants, RR 0.86; 95% CI: 0.81, 0.90). Comparing the highest and lowest consumption of alcohol showed an inverse association with risk of CVD (1,184,974 participants, RR 0.75; 95% CI: 0.70, 0.80).
		Yogurt, cheese, milk and butter showed no evidence of a dose–response association for benefit or harm with CVD
		Nut intake was associated with reduced risk of CVD (376,228 participants, RR 0.79; 95% CI: 0.70, 0.88). Specifically tree nuts (130,987 participants, RR 0.75; 95% CI: 0.67, 0.84) and peanuts (265,252 participants, RR 0.64; 95% CI: 0.50, 0.81).
		Olive oil showed a dose-response with reduced CVD risk (476,714 participants, RR 0.82; 95% CI: 0.70, 0.96).
		Comparing highest and lowest consumers, increased soy consumption was associated with lower risk of CVD (718,279 participants, RR 0.83; 95% CI: 0.75, 0.93).
		A dose-response relationship existed for chocolate intake (per 20g/week) and reduced CVD risk (369,599 participants, RR 0.982; 95% CI: 0.972, 0.992)
		Summary In this comprehensive review of systematic reviews and meta analyses key foods from specific food groups show differential associations with all-cause mortality and CVD. Current evidence suggests that specifically green leafy

				vegetables/salad is strongly associated with reduced all-cause mortality, and foods such as yoghurt, butter, cheese, show no association. This review also highlights significant associations between processed meat and all-cause mortality, but not with red or white meat, or eggs. Foods that appear harmful include processed meat and tinned fruit for all-cause mortality and processed meat and red meat for CVD.
235 120 eac 21 0 40 1 Pro Age Me We BM ASI ASI ASI CH Fra Hyj Dia Ost Art MN Pro Age BM ASI ASI CH Fra Hyj Dia Ost Ast ASI ASI ASI ASI ASI ASI ASI ASI ASI ASI	5 participants assessed for eligible 5 excluded 0 participants randomized (40 to ch arm) dropped out 9 included in ITT analysis otein intake of 0.8 g/kg/d ge: 76.83 $\pm$ 3.86 years en: 40% eight: 58.73 $\pm$ 9.71 kg MI: 24.16 $\pm$ 33.82 kg/m2 SM *: 15.19 $\pm$ 3.10 kg SM height2: 6.19 $\pm$ 0.79 kg/m2 SM/swight: 26.00 $\pm$ 3.99 % SM/BMI: 0.64 $\pm$ 0.16 SM:fat ratio: 1.08 $\pm$ 0.46 HS Score: 1.70 $\pm$ 0.83 ailty status 13% ypertension: 55% preflipidaemia: 18 % abetes: 28 % steoporosis: 18 % thritis: 5 % NA Score: 20.04 $\pm$ 2.40 otein intake of 1.2 g/kg/d ge: 77.30 $\pm$ 3.67 years en: 35% eight: 59.73 $\pm$ 9.98 kg MI: 24.36 $\pm$ 3.04kg/m2 SM height2: 6.29 $\pm$ 0.93 kg/m2 SM height2: 6.29 $\pm$ 0.93 kg/m2 SM height2: 6.29 $\pm$ 0.93 kg/m2 SM height2: 6.29 $\pm$ 0.93 kg/m2	Randomised, double-blind, placebo- controlled trial12 week durationRandomised to 1 of 3 interventions:Protein intake of 0.8 g/kg/dProtein intake of 1.2 g/kg/dProtein intake of 1.5 g/kg/dProtein intake of 1.5 g/kg/dAll participants were asked to maintain usual diet and exercise.Participants were provided with 5 x 10 g packs containing placebo (9.6 g maltodextrin) or protein powders (9.3 g whey protein).Baseline Protein intake of 0.8 g/kg/d 1233.49 ± 296.31 kcal/d, carbohydrates 202.19 ± 49.36 g/d, total fat 26.61 ± 12.21 g/d, protein 0.84 ± 0.28 g/kg, MNA score 20.04 ± 2.40Protein intake of 1.2 g/kg/d 1216.28 ± 290.01 kcal/d, carbohydrates 203.52 ± 47.97 g/d, total fat 26.55 ± 11.41 g/d, protein 0.77 ± 0.24 g/kg, MNA score 20.69 ± 2.11Protein intake of 1.5 g/kg/d 1224.43 ± 263.03 kcal/d, carbohydrates 204.60 ± 39.02 g/d, total fat 23.38 ± 9.37 g/d,	<ul> <li>Primary outcome: muscle mass as measured by dual- energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA). Secondary outcome measure was frailty.</li> <li>1 screening visit and 3 visits at weeks 0 (baseline), 6, and 12.</li> <li>Cardiovascular Health study (CHS), frailty criteria, the Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA), demographic and medical information, BMI, and 3-d dietary intake were measured during screening.</li> <li>Medical and clinical information, KLoSHA frailty criteria, the timed up-and-go (TUG) test, and hematologic and urinary measurements were assessed at weeks 0, 6 and 12. Muscle mass measured at weeks 0 and 12.</li> <li>3-d dietary intake and adverse effects were assessed at weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12.</li> </ul>	Post intervention ASM indicators were significantly (P<0.05) higher in the 1.5 g protein/kg/d then in the 0.8 g/kg/d group Protein intakes were higher in the 1.2 g/kg/d and 1.5 g/kg/d. Carbohydrate intake was higher in 0.8 g/kg/d protein group. There were no differences in fat intake between groups. Gait speed was significantly higher in the 1.5 g/kg/d group vs. 0.8 g/kg/d group. There was no difference between 0.8 g/kg/d and 1.2 g/kg/d. Only blood urea nitrogen was significantly increased by protein intake of 1.2 and 1.5 g/kg/d compared with protein intake of 0.8 g/kg/d at weeks 6 and 12 Summary Protein intake high in leucine (whey) leads to improvements in muscle and physical performance in elderly subjects with some cardiovascular risk factors. Including a variety of plant and animal proteins (especially rich in leucine) may help preserve muscle mass in aging individuals.

25 years, with
ıg.
carbohydrate
prevalence of
ess, had higher
e
association
e intake and risk of
). Intake of 50-
est risk but
of 30% energy had
7; 95% CI: 1.16,
increased in those
ij · Fee di to) e ; 7

Q2	Q2: 1655 $\pm$ 11 kcal/d, carbohydrates 44 $\pm$		carbohydrate (HR 1.16; 95% CI: 1.02,
Participants: n 3086	2.5% total energy, animal fat $22.4 \pm 0.1$ %	Updated meta analysis:	1.33).
Age: 54.3±5.7 years	total energy, plant fat $13.6 \pm 0.1 \%$ total	Grouped data into 2 categories due to carbohydrate	
Men: 48%	energy, animal protein $14.8 \pm 0.1$ % total	intake: 1) North American and European; and 2) Asian	Updated meta-analysis including data
BMI: 27.9±0.1 kg/m2	energy, plant protein $4.3 \pm 0.02$ % total	and Multinational studies.	from ARIC:
Current smoker: 27%	energy), dietary fibre $16.5 \pm 0.1$ g/d,		
Former smoker: 34%	Glycaemic index $74.1 \pm 0.1$ , Glycaemic load	Mean Carbohydrate intake in group 1 approximately	Relationship between carbohydrate
Never smoker: 40%	$134.6 \pm 1.1$	50% total energy; mean carbohydrate intake in group 2	consumption and mortality was
High blood pressure: 33%		approximately 61%.	dependent on carbohydrate range used.
Diabetes: 13%	Q3: 1660 $\pm$ 11 kcal/d, carbohydrates 49 $\pm$		
Ethnicity: 75% white, 25% Black,	2.2% total energy, animal fat $19.9 \pm 0.1$ %	Group 1 compared low-carbohydrate consumption with	Low carbohydrate diet was associated
<1% Asian, <1% Native American	total energy, plant fat $13.6 \pm 0.1$ % total	moderate carbohydrate consumption. Group 2 compared	with a significantly increased risk of all
Highest exercise activity: 17%	energy, animal protein $13.5 \pm 0.1$ % total	moderate carbohydrate consumption with high	cause mortality vs. moderate
	energy, plant protein $4.5 \pm 0.02$ % total	carbohydrate consumption	carbohydrate diets (pooled HR 1.20;
Q3	energy), dietary fibre $17.7 \pm 0.1$ g/d,		95% CI: 1.09, 1.32; p<0.0001).
Participants: n 3085	Glycaemic index $74.9 \pm 0.1$ , Glycaemic load		
Age: 54.3±5.8 years	$151.1 \pm 1.1$		High carbohydrate diet was associated
Men: 45%			with a significantly increased risk of all
BMI: 27.6±0.1 kg/m2	Q4: 1646 $\pm$ 11 kcal/d, carbohydrates 53 $\pm$		cause mortality vs. moderate
Current smoker: 26%	2.8% total energy, animal fat $17.6 \pm 0.1$ %		carbohydrate diets (pooled HR 1.23;
Former smoker: 32%	total energy, plant fat $13.2 \pm 0.1$ % total		95% CI: 1.11, 1.36; p<0.0001).
Never smoker: 42%	energy, animal protein $12.3 \pm 0.1$ % total		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
High blood pressure: 34%	energy, plant protein $4.6 \pm 0.02$ % total		Plant-based LCD associated with highe
Diabetes: 11%	energy), dietary fibre $18.7 \pm 0.1$ g/d,		average intake of vegetables but lower
Ethnicity: 73% white, 27% Black,	Glycaemic index $76.0 \pm 0.1$ , Glycaemic load		fruit intake. Animal-based lower
<1% Asian, <1% Native American	$166.8 \pm 1.1$		carbohydrate diet was associated with
Highest exercise activity: 19%			lower average intake of both fruit and
	Q5: 1607 $\pm$ 11 kcal/d, carbohydrates 61 $\pm$		vegetables
	6.3% total energy, animal fat $13.6 \pm 0.1$ %		6
Q4	total energy, plant fat $13.6 \pm 0.1 \%$ total		Plant-based LCD had higher average
Participants: n 3086	energy, animal protein $11.5 \pm 0.1$ % total		PUFA, and lower SFA when compared
Age: 54.3±5.8 years	energy, plant protein $4.8 \pm 0.02$ % total		to the animal-based low carbohydrate
Men: 42%	energy), dietary fibre $19.8 \pm 0.1$ g/d,		diet.
BMI: 27.6±0.1 kg/m2	Glycaemic index 76.7 $\pm$ 0.1, Glycaemic load		
Current smoker: 23%	$191.7 \pm 1.1$		In ARIC and updated meta-analysis,
Former smoker: 31%			increased, substitution of carbohydrate
Never smoker: 46%	Explored association between different		for animal protein was associated with
High blood pressure: 34%	sources of fat and protein using animal- and		increased all-cause mortality (HR 1.18;
Diabetes: 11%	plant-based scores.		95% CI: 1.08, 1.29; P<0.0001).
Ethnicity: 71% white, 28% Black,			Substitution of carbohydrate for plant
<1% Asian, <1% Native American			protein and fat was associated with
Highest exercise activity: 19%			reduced all-cause mortality (HR 0.82;
- · ·			95% CI: 0.78, 0.87; P<0.0001).
Q5			
Participants: n 3085			Summary
Age: 54.3±5.8 years			There is a U-Shaped relationship
Men: 36%			between carbohydrate intake and
BMI: 27.4±0.1 kg/m2			mortality. Source of fat and protein

F N H D E A H H U ffr P 8 8 S 9 9 M P	Current smoker: 22% Former smoker: 29% Never smoker: 48% High blood pressure: 37% Diabetes: 10% Ethnicity: 69% white, 30% Black, 1% Asian, <1% Native American Highest exercise activity: 20% Jpdated meta-analysis with results rom ARIC and 2 other studies: Participants: <i>n</i> 432,179 E studies in meta analysis Sample size for studies ranged from 200-135,335 participants Majority of studies in MA excluded vatients with CVD or diabetes			modified this relationship, with LCDs containing more plant protein and fat being more beneficial than those containing more animal fat and protein. Of note this is note evidence for vegan diets, as some nutrients are present in animal products and not vegetables products ie. B12. This is specifically focussing on shifting balance.
Li et al.[16] T 2. 11 F A A b. A B V Q Q P P A A B C C F N H D D P P h h E L A	Total participants: n 4098         1258 from Nurses' Health study and         840 men from Health Professional         2010w-Up study         All free from CVD, cancer, stroke at asseline.         All free from stroke at time of MI.         Ethnicity not reported         BP and DBP not reported         Plasma Glucose not reported         Vomen         Q1         Participants: n 407         Age at diagnosis: 65.1±8.4 years         BMI: 25.8±5.4 kg/m2         Current smoker: 9%         Former smoker: 55%         Never smoker: 36%         High blood pressure: 66%         Diabetes: 13%         Physical activity: 14.3±18.2 MET         rs/wk         Elevated cholesterol: 75%         Lipid modifying medication: 44%         Aspirin use: 65%         03	Prospective cohort design Participants taken from Nurses' Health Study the Health Professional Follow-Up Study Participants grouped into quintiles of adherence to low carbohydrate diet score Women Q1: Post-MI total LCDS: $3.5\pm2.0$ Pre-MI total LCDS: $1.3\pm6.7$ Post-MI total LCDS: $11.3\pm6.7$ Post-MI plant-based LCDS: $6.3\pm2.4$ Pre-MI plant-based LCDS: $12.0\pm5.4$ Post-MI animal-based LCDS: $12.0\pm5.4$ Post-MI animal-based LCDS: $11.1\pm7.9$ $1581 \pm 534$ kcal/d, carbohydrates $64.4 \pm 5.6$ % total energy, SFA $6.9 \pm 2.0$ % total energy, TFA $1.2 \pm 0.6$ % total energy, omega $3 0.6 \pm 0.3$ % total energy, animal fat $9.5 \pm 3.4$ % total energy, vegetable fat $12.3 \pm 4.0$ % total energy, animal protein $9.3 \pm 2.7$ % total energy, vegetable protein $6.0 \pm 1.4$ % total energy, cereal fibre $6.7 \pm 3.3$ g/d, alcohol $3.7 \pm 7.5$ g/d, chicken/turkey $0.3 \pm 0.2$ servings/d, total fish $0.2 \pm 0.2$ servings/d, total vegetables $2.8 \pm 1.3$ servings/d, total vegetables $2.8 \pm 0.4$	<ul> <li>Primary outcomes were all-cause and cardiovascular mortality and their relationship to LCDs (animal or plant)</li> <li>Food intakes determined using validated FFQ every 4 years pre-MI and post-MI FFQ before death. Nutrient content was calculated from the Harvard University Food Composition Database and multiplied by the frequency of consumption. Participants divided into 11 strata for each macronutrient. Those in highest stratum were assigned scores of 10 for fat, 10 for protein, and 0 for carbohydrate. Score ranged from 0 (lowest fat and protein, and highest carbohydrate intake) to 30 (highest fat and protein, and lowest carbohydrate intake). Higher scores mean great adherence to a specific type of LCD</li> <li>MI was confirmed based on the World Health Organization's criteria.</li> <li>Covariates chosen a priori ad included medication use, medical history, and lifestyles factors that have been reported to be associated with MI risk</li> <li>Models adjusted for time since MI onset, age at diagnosis calendar year, total caloric intake physical activity, aspirin use, diabetes, high blood pressure, lipid-lowering medication use, alcohol consumption, currently married, body mass index, CABG, and pre-MI score.</li> </ul>	During follow-up, 682 total and 336         CVD deaths for women, and 451 total and 222 CVD deaths for men.         Median survival time was 8 years for women and 9 years for men         Diabetes prevalence was higher in those with high LCDS         In women, total LCDS was associated with increased all-cause mortality post-MI (HR 1.31; 95% CI: 0.99, 1.73; Prend=0.02). Total LCDS was not significantly associated with all-cause mortality in men (HR 0.90; 95% CI 0.64, 1.27; Prend=0.94). Combined, total LCDS was not significantly associated with all-cause mortality (HR 1.13; 95% CI: 0.91, 1.40; Purend=0.27)         Higher animal-based post-MI LCDS were associated with increased all-cause mortality in women (HR 1.33; 95% CI: 1.01, 1.77; Purend=0.001) but not men (HR 1.27; 95% CI: 0.89, 1.81; Purend=0.23). Combined higher animal based LCDS were associated with

 D			
Participants: n 491	servings/d, high-fat dairy $1.1 \pm 0.9$	For women, additional adjustments were made for	increased all-cause mortality (HR 1.33;
Age at diagnosis: $64.9\pm8.4$ years	servings/d, low-fat dairy $1.1 \pm 0.8$ servings/d	postmenopausal hormone use status, and smoking.	95% CI: 1.06, 1.65; P <sub>trend</sub> =0.02)
BMI: 26.6±5.2 kg/m2			
Current smoker: 9%	Q3:	For men, additional adjustments were made for heart	Higher plant-based post-MI LCDS were
Former smoker: 59%	Post-MI total LCDS: 13.4±1.1	failure, LVEF, acute therapy during hospitalization	not associated with all-cause mortality
Never smoker: 32%	Pre-MI total LCDS: 15.2±6.8	(received either angioplasty or thrombolytics, or none),	in either men (HR 0.85; 95% CI: 0.61,
High blood pressure: 69%	Post-MI plant-based LCDS: 13.9±0.8	and smoking.	1.18; P <sub>trend</sub> =0.28) or women (HR 1.04;
Diabetes: 21%	Pre-MI plant-based LCDS: 14.6±5.2		95% CI: 0.79, 1.37; P <sub>trend</sub> =0.93)
Physical activity: 14.7±16.9 MET	Post-MI animal-based LCDS: 13.0±1.4		
hrs/wk	Pre-MI animal-based LCDS: 15.7±7.2		Higher animal-based post-MI LCDS
Elevated cholesterol: 72%	$1628 \pm 515$ kcal/d, carbohydrates $53.9 \pm 4.1$		were associated with increased
Lipid modifying medication: 52%	% total energy, SFA $9.0 \pm 2.3\%$ total energy,		cardiovascular mortality (Pooled HR
Aspirin use: 62%	TFA $1.4 \pm 0.6$ % total energy, omega $30.7 \pm$		1.51; 95% CI: 1.09, 2.07; Ptrend=0.02)
	0.3 % total energy, animal fat $13.3 \pm 4.0$ %		
Q5	total energy, vegetable fat $14.5 \pm 4.8$ % total		Higher plant-based post-MI LCDS were
Participants: n 424	energy, animal protein $12.8 \pm 3.3$ % total		not associated with increased
Age at diagnosis: 64.4±8.6 years	energy, vegetable protein $5.8 \pm 1.3$ % total		cardiovascular mortality (Pooled HR
BMI: 28.2±5.9 kg/m2	energy, cereal fibre $6.3 \pm 2.9$ g/d, alcohol 4.6		0.92; 95% CI: 0.68, 1.25; Ptrend=0.59)
Current smoker: 16%	$\pm 9.3$ g/d, chicken/turkey 0.4 $\pm 0.2$		
Former smoker: 57%	servings/d, total fish $0.3 \pm 0.2$ servings/d,		In women, an increase in total LDCS
Never smoker: 27%	total fruit 2.4 $\pm$ 1.2 servings/d, total		from pre- to post-MI was associated
High blood pressure: 72%	vegetables $2.9 \pm 1.2$ servings/d, total red		with increased risk of all-cause
Diabetes: 36%	meat $1.0 \pm 0.5$ servings/d, high-fat dairy 1.2		mortality (HR 1.35; 95% CI: 0.99, 1.84;
Physical activity: 12.4±17.4 MET	$\pm 0.9$ servings/d, low-fat dairy 1.1 $\pm 0.8$		P <sub>trend</sub> =0.01). A greater increase in
hrs/wk	servings/d		animal-based LCDS was associated
Elevated cholesterol: 78%			with higher all-cause mortality (HR
Lipid modifying medication: 48%	Q5:		1.35; 95% CI: 0.99, 1.84; P <sub>trend</sub> =0.0005)
Aspirin use: 61%	Post-MI total LCDS: 24.0±2.6		and cardiovascular mortality (HR 1.97;
*	Pre-MI total LCDS: $19.3\pm6.9$		95% CI: 1.29, 3.03; Ptrend=0.0006). This
	Post-MI plant-based LCDS: 22.0±2.2		relationship was not observed with
	Pre-MI plant-based LCDS: 17.7±5.2		plant-based LCDS
Men	Post-MI animal-based LCDS: 17.7±5.2		1
01	Pre-MI animal-based LCDS: 25.5±2.5 Pre-MI animal-based LCDS: 19.8±7.3		Changes in LCDS in men were not
Participants: n 410	$1607 \pm 536$ kcal/d, carbohydrates $43.2 \pm 5.7$		associated with all-cause and CVD
Age at diagnosis: 66.0±9.0 years	% total energy, SFA 12.0 $\pm$ 2.5 % total		mortality.
BMI: 25.3±3.4 kg/m2	% total energy, SFA 12.0 $\pm$ 2.5 % total energy, TFA 1.2 $\pm$ 0.7 % total energy, omega		
Current smoker: 12%			A greater increase in plant-based LCDS
Former smoker: 49%	$30.9 \pm 0.4$ % total energy, animal fat $19.3 \pm 5.4$ % total energy uncertain fat $17.0 \pm 6.2$ %		was not associated with increased
Never smoker: 39%	5.4 % total energy, vegetable fat $17.0 \pm 6.2$ %		mortality in either men or women.
High blood pressure: 54%	total energy, animal protein $15.5 \pm 3.8 \%$		mortant, in other men or women.
Diabetes: 8%	total energy, vegetable protein $5.2 \pm 1.2 \%$		Summary
Physical activity: 35.6±34.0 MET	total energy, cereal fibre $5.2 \pm 2.7$ g/d,		LCDs – especially based around
hrs/wk	alcohol $3.6 \pm 7.2$ g/d, chicken/turkey $0.4 \pm$		animal products – are associated with
Elevated cholesterol: 67%	0.2 servings/d, total fish $0.3 \pm 0.2$ servings/d,		increased all-cause and CVD
Lipid modifying medication: 51%	total fruit 2.4 $\pm$ 1.2 servings/d, total		mortality, especially in women. Low-
Aspirin use: 84%	vegetables $2.9 \pm 1.2$ servings/d, total red		carbohydrate plant-based diets are
Aspirin use: 84%	meat $1.1 \pm 0.6$ servings/d, high-fat dairy 1.4		not associated with increased all-
03	$\pm$ 1.1 servings/d, low-fat dairy 0.9 $\pm$ 0.9		
Q3	servings/d		cause or CVD mortality. Low carb

Heart
-------

Participants: n 382 Age at diagnosis: 66.1±9.1 years BMI: 26.61±3.7 kg/m2 Current smoker: 11% Former smoker: 52% Never smoker: 37% High blood pressure: 56% Diabetes: 17% Physical activity: 32.9±48.7 MET Inrs/wk Elevated cholesterol: 64% Lipid modifying medication: 56% Aspirin use: 84% Q5 Participants: n 321 Age at diagnosis: 66.1±9.3 years BMI: 26.8±3.8 kg/m2 Current smoker: 16% Former smoker: 26% High blood pressure: 47% Diabetes: 24% Physical activity: 32.1±38.5 MET Inrs/wk Elevated cholesterol: 65% Lipid modifying medication: 47% Aspirin use: 79%	$\label{eq:main_series} \begin{split} \underline{\text{Men}} \\ \underline{\text{Q1}}: \\ & \text{Post-MI total LCDS; } 4.1\pm2.2 \\ & \text{Pre-MI total LCDS; } 12.2\pm7.3 \\ & \text{Post-MI plant-based LCDS; } 12.2\pm5.0 \\ & \text{Pre-MI plant-based LCDS; } 12.2\pm5.0 \\ & \text{Post-MI animal-based LCDS; } 11.2\pm7.9 \\ & 2006 \pm 632  \text{kcal/d, carbohydrates } 64.1 \pm 6.1 \\ & \text{\% total energy, SFA } 6.2 \pm 2.0  & \text{total energy,} \\ & \text{TFA } 1.2 \pm 0.7  & \text{total energy, omega } 3.0.6 \pm \\ & 0.3  & \text{total energy, animal fat } 8.0 \pm 3.2  & \text{total energy, vegetable fat } 13.1 \pm 4.3  & \text{total energy, vegetable fat } 13.1 \pm 4.3  & \text{total energy, vegetable fat } 13.1 \pm 4.3  & \text{total energy, ereal fibre } 9.5 \pm 4.1  g/d,  \text{alcohol } 8.1 \pm 12.3  g/d,  \text{chicken/turkey } 0.4 \pm 0.2  \text{servings/d, total fish } 0.3 \pm 0.2  \text{servings/d, total fruit } 3.2 \pm 1.5  & \text{servings/d, total red meat } 0.7 \pm 0.5  & \text{servings/d, total red meat } 0.7 \pm 0.5  & \text{servings/d, total red meat } 0.7 \pm 0.5  & \text{servings/d, total red meat } 0.7 \pm 0.5  & \text{servings/d, total LCDS: } 12.4\pm1.1  & \text{Pre-MI total LCDS: } 12.4\pm1.1  & \text{Pre-MI total LCDS: } 15.2\pm4.9  & \text{Post-MI animal-based LCDS: } 13.0\pm1.4  & \text{Pre-MI plant-based LCDS: } 13.0\pm1.4  & \text{Pre-MI animal-based LCDS: } 13.0\pm1.4  & \text{Pre-MI animal-based LCDS: } 15.3\pm7.0  & 1880 \pm 595  & \text{kcal/d, carbohydrates } 3.8 \pm 4.2  & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $		can be interpreted differently, and care should be given to exploring if they are based around animal or plant products
--	---	--	--

		Q5		
		Q5 Post-MI total LCDS: 24.3±2.7		
		Pre-MI total LCDS: 19.9±6.3		
		Post-MI plant-based LCDS: 21.8±2.6		
		Pre-MI plant-based LCDS: 17.9±5.3		
		Post-MI animal-based LCDS: 24.8±2.8		
		Pre-MI animal-based LCDS: 20.3±6.7		
		$1927 \pm 658$ kcal/d, carbohydrates $41.1 \pm 6.2$		
		% total energy, SFA 11.7 $\pm$ 2.7 % total		
		energy, TFA $1.8 \pm 0.7$ % total energy, omega		
		$3\ 0.8\pm0.6\ \%$ total energy, animal fat 19.5 $\pm$		
		6.0 % total energy, vegetable fat $17.2 \pm 6.1$ %		
		total energy,		
		animal protein $15.2 \pm 3.7$ % total energy,		
		vegetable protein $5.2 \pm 1.4$ % total energy,		
		cereal fibre $6.0 \pm 2.5$ g/d, alcohol $8.9 \pm 11.4$ g/d, chicken/turkey $0.4 \pm 0.3$ servings/d, total		
		fish $0.3 \pm 0.2$ servings/d, total fruit $2.0 \pm 1.2$		
		servings/d, total vegetables $3.0 \pm 1.4$		
		servings/d, total red meat $1.5 \pm 0.8$		
		servings/d, high-fat dairy $1.4 \pm 1.3$		
		servings/d, low-fat dairy 1.1 ± 1.0 servings/d		
Li et al.[17]	Total participants: n 4098	Prospective cohort design	Primary outcomes of all-cause and cardiovascular	Median follow-up post MI was 8.7
	2258 from Nurses' Health study	Participants taken from Nurses' Health Study	mortality	years for women and 9.0 years for me.
	(NHS) and 1840 men from Health	the Health Professional Follow-Up Study		682 total and 336 cardiovascular deaths
	Professional Follow-Up study	Comment on availables of films intoles (a/d)	MI was confirmed according to symptoms plus either	for women, and 451 total and 222
	(HPFS) All free from CVD, cancer, stroke at	Grouped on quintiles of fibre intake (g/d)	diagnostic electrocardiographic changes or increased levels of cardiac enzymes, including cardiac specific	cardiovascular deaths for men.
	baseline.	Women	troponin	In basic models (adjusted for age and
	All free from stroke at time of MI.	O1	lioponni	time since MI) higher post-MI fibre
	Ethnicity not reported	Post-MI fibre intake: 12.4±2.0 g/d	Diet intakes assessed using a validated FFQ every 4	intake was associated with lower all-
	SBP and DBP not reported	Pre-MI fibre intake: 14.0±4.6 g/d	years from 1976-2006 for NHS and from 1986-2006 for	cause mortality in both men and women
	Plasma Glucose not reported	1619 ± 538 kcal/d, SFA 11.1 ± 3.2 % total	HPFS.	(HR 0.63; 95% CI: 0.47, 0.86;
	-	energy, TFA 1.8 ± 0.8 % total energy, omega		Ptrend=0.0008, and HR 0.50; 95% CI:
	Women	$30.7 \pm 0.3$ % total energy,	Covariates chosen a priori and included medication use,	0.39, 0.64; Ptrend=<0.0001,
	Q1	alcohol $6.0 \pm 12.8$ g/d, cereal fibre $4.0 \pm 1.7$	medical history, and lifestyles factors. In HPFS, also	respectively).
	Participants: <i>n</i> 433	g/d, fruit fibre $1.3 \pm 0.6$ g/d, legume fibre $0.2$	considered clinical characteristics such as ST elevation	
	Age at diagnosis: 64.5±8.8 years BMI: 26.3±5.4 kg/m2	$\pm 0.1 \text{ g/d}$	MI (Y/N), site of MI, type or revascularisation, LVEF, initial creatinine levels, and HF during hospital stay	Adjustment for lifestyle characteristics attenuated these associations although
	Current smoker: 24%	Q3	(Y/N)	combined HR showed associations (HR
	Former smoker: 51%	Post-MI fibre intake: 19.2±0.8 g/d	(1/1)	0.75; 95% CI: 0.58, 0.97; P <sub>trend</sub> =0.03). A
	Never smoker: 25%	Pre-MI fibre intake: 19.2±0.8 g/d	Models adjusted for time since MI onset, age at	similar relationship was observed
	High blood pressure: 67%	$1637 \pm 526$ kcal/d, SFA 9.2 ± 2.3 % total	diagnosis, calendar year. total caloric intake, physical	between post-MI fibre intake and
	Diabetes: 19%	energy, TFA 1.5 $\pm$ 0.5 % total energy, omega	activity, aspirin use, diabetes, high blood pressure, use of	cardiovascular mortality, with addition
	Physical activity: 9.4±13.5 MET	$30.7 \pm 0.3$ % total energy, g/d, alcohol 4.3 ±	lipid lowering drugs, alcohol consumption, SFA intake,	of lifestyle factors attenuating any
	hrs/wk	9.1 g/d, cereal fibre $5.9 \pm 2.2$ g/d, fruit fibre	n3 fatty acid intake, TFA intake, married, BMI, CABG,	significant association.
	Elevated cholesterol: 71%	$4.0 \pm 0.64$ g/d, legume fibre $1.0 \pm 0.1$ g/d	folate intake, and pre-MI intake.	
	Lipid modifying medication: 42%			

Aspirin use: 61%	Q5	For women, additional adjustments were made for	Pooled HR of 0.85 (95% CI: 0.74, 0.97)
	Post-MI fibre intake: 28.7±4.4 g/d	postmenopausal hormone use status, and smoking	for all-cause mortality for a 10 g/d
Q3	Pre-MI fibre intake: 22.2±6.6 g/d		increase in intake.
Participants: n 437	1592 ± 518 kcal/d, SFA 7.0 ± 2.1 % total	For men, additional adjustments were made for heart	
Age at diagnosis: 64.9±8.5 years	energy, TFA $1.0 \pm 0.5$ % total energy, omega	failure, LVEF, acute therapy during hospitalization	Only cereal fibre was inversely
BMI: 27.6±6.2 kg/m2	$3.0.8 \pm 0.4$ % total energy, alcohol $2.9 \pm 5.4$	(received either angioplasty or thrombolytics, or none),	associated with lower all-cause and
Current smoker: 9%	g/d, cereal fibre 8.4 $\pm$ 4.0 g/d, fruit fibre 8.7 $\pm$	and smoking.	cardiovascular mortality (pooled HR
Former smoker: 61%	2.6 g/d, legume fibre $3.4 \pm 1.6$ g/d		0.73; 95% CI: 0.58, 0.91 and pooled HR
Never smoker: 30%			0.72; 95% CI: 0.52, 0.99, respectively).
High blood pressure: 74%	Men		No association was observed for fruit or
Diabetes: 27%	Q1		legume fibre.
Physical activity: 13.4±18.4 MET	Post-MI fibre intake: 16.0±2.4 g/d		
hrs/wk	Pre-MI fibre intake: 17.3±4.9 g/d		Pre-MI fibre was not associated with
Elevated cholesterol: 80%	1878 ± 620 kcal/d, SFA 10.8 ± 3.0 % total		post-MI all-cause mortality (pooled HR
Lipid modifying medication: 50%	energy, TFA $1.8 \pm 0.8$ % total energy, omega		1.17; 95% CI: 0.92, 1.48) and
Aspirin use: 62%	$30.7 \pm 0.5$ % total energy,		cardiovascular mortality (pooled HR
	alcohol 13.4 $\pm$ 17.1 g/d, cereal fibre 5.3 $\pm$ 2.1		1.10; 95% CI 0.77, 1.55).
Q5	g/d, fruit fibre $1.8 \pm 0.7$ g/d, legume fibre 0.4		
Participants: n 457	± 0.3 g/d		In fully adjusted models a greater
Age at diagnosis: 65.1±8.2 years			increase in fibre intake from pre to post-
BMI: 26.3±5.2 kg/m2	Q3		MI was associated with significantly
Current smoker: 4%	Post-MI fibre intake: 24.4±1.0 g/d		lower all-cause mortality in women (HR
Former smoker: 58%	Pre-MI fibre intake: 22.3±5.6 g/d		0.64; 95% CI 0.48, 0.86; Ptrend=0.005),
Never smoker: 38%	1946 ± 646 kcal/d, SFA 8.8 ± 2.4 % total		but not men. The pooled HR was 0.69
High blood pressure: 70%	energy, TFA $1.5 \pm 0.6$ % total energy, omega		(95% CI: 0.55, 0.87; P <sub>trend</sub> =0.002)
Diabetes: 24%	$30.7 \pm 0.3$ % total energy,		suggesting increasing fibre intake from
Physical activity: 20.1±20.8 MET	alcohol 9.1 $\pm$ 12.3 g/d, cereal fibre 7.8 $\pm$ 2.8		pre- to post-MI was beneficial.
hrs/wk	g/d, fruit fibre $5.1 \pm 0.5$ g/d, legume fibre 1.7		
Elevated cholesterol: 79%	± 0.2 g/d		In both men and women, an increase in
Lipid modifying medication: 55%			fibre intake from pre- to post MI was
Aspirin use: 64%	Q5		associated with lower cardiovascular
	Post-MI fibre intake: 37.0±5.8 g/d		mortality (HR 0.65; 95% CI 0.42, 0.99;
Men	Pre-MI fibre intake: 27.8±8.3 g/d		Ptrend=0.09 and 0.65; 95% CI: 0.39,1.08;
Q1	1925 ± 621 kcal/d, SFA 6.1 ± 2.0 % total		$P_{trend}=0.04)$
Participants: n 367	energy, TFA $0.9 \pm 0.5$ % total energy, omega		~
Age at diagnosis: 65.8±9.5 years	$30.9 \pm 0.5$ % total energy,		Summary
BMI: 26.4±3.7 kg/m2	alcohol 6.3 $\pm$ 10.3 g/d, cereal fibre 11.2 $\pm$ 4.8		Overall this study showed a modest
Current smoker: 9%	g/d, fruit fibre $11.4 \pm 3.5$ g/d, legume fibre		association between intake of fibre
Former smoker: 54%	$5.3 \pm 2.1 \text{ g/d}$		post MI lower all-cause and
Never smoker: 28%			cardiovascular mortality, and that in
High blood pressure: 59%			those individuals who increased their
Diabetes: 13%			fibre intake the most saw greater
Physical activity: 25.9±33.6 MET			benefit. This relationship appeared to
hrs/wk			be driven by cereal fibre.
Elevated cholesterol: 68%			
Lipid modifying medication: 48%			
Aspirin use: 78%			

	Q3 Participants: n 373 Age at diagnosis: 66.1±8.9 years BMI: 26.1±3.6 kg/m2 Current smoker: 4% Former smoker: 52% Never smoker: 36% High blood pressure: 58% Diabetes: 13% Physical activity: 37.0±54.0 MET hrs/wk Elevated cholesterol: 63% Lipid modifying medication: 57% Aspirin use: 82% Q5 Participants: n 358 Age at diagnosis: 66.1±8.9 years BMI: 25.6±3.7 kg/m2 Current smoker: 47% Never smoker: 47% Never smoker: 41% High blood pressure: 50% Diabetes: 15% Physical activity: 40.4±35.0 MET hrs/wk Elevated cholesterol: 64% Lipid modifying medication: 50% Aspirin use: 81%			
Zhang et al.[18]	Potentially relevant records: 343 236 articles excluded based on title Full texts assessed for eligibility: 109 Excluded 92 due to duplicates, 1 not published, 28 not relevant outcomes, 6 comments/editorials, 4 review/meta analysis Articles in final meta-analysis: 17 (19 prospective cohort studies) Total number of participants in analysis: 1,041,962 6 studies reported whole grain, 11 studies reported whole grain foods.	Meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies examining whole grain foods or diets on total mortality, cardiovascular mortality, and cancer mortality, and cardiovascular risk factors in healthy people or those with cardiovascular disease Articles sourced from Pubmed and Web of Science till January 2016 Quality of evidence was assessed using Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE). Publication bias assessed using Begg's Test Inclusion criteria were:	Primary outcomes were all-cause mortality, CVD mortality, and cancer mortality Additional factors extracted included participants' age and sex, definition of whole grain or whole grain products, methods for whole grain assessment, confounders adjusted for in the analysis, whole grain intake in each category, type of intake (whole grain products or whole grain), RR and 95% CIs in each category. Data on dietary changes or BMI not extracted.	For the outcome of total mortality there were 661,752and 84,646 deaths. 9 studies reported on total mortality. Pooled RR comparing highest and lowest categories of intake was 0.84 (95% CI: 0.81, 0.88). Subgroup analysis suggested the inverse association between whole grain and mortality was stronger in women (RR 0.85; 95% CI: 0.81, 0.89) than men (RR 0.90; 95% CI: 0.85, 0.95), and in studies with a follow-up of 15-20 years (RR 0.75; 95% CI: 0.67, 0.84). Each 28 g/d serving of wholegrain associated with 9% reduction in in risk

	<ul><li>11 studies from America, 7 from Europe, and 1 from the Mediterranean area.</li><li>All used FFQ for assessing dietary intake</li></ul>	Studies must be prospective cohort studies, report effect on risk of all-cause and/or cause-specific mortality, report RR, HR and 95% CI.		of all-cause mortality (pooled RR 0.91; 95% CI: 0.90, 0.93). For the outcome of CVD mortality, there were 595,585 participants and 23,482 deaths. 8 studies reported on cardiovascular mortality. Pooled RR comparing highest and lowest categories of intake was 0.83 (95% CI: 0.80, 0.87). Each 28 g/d serving of wholegrain associated with 14% reduction in in risk of cardiovascular mortality (pooled RR 0.86 95% CI: 0.83, 0.89). Summary Data form prospective cohort studies curgored increased wholegrain
				mortality. Pooled RR comparing highest and lowest categories of intake was 0.83 (95% CI: 0.80, 0.87). Each 28 g/d serving of wholegrain associated with 14% reduction in in risk of cardiovascular mortality (pooled RR
				Data form prospective cohort studies suggest increased wholegrain consumption is associated with lower all-cause and CVD mortality.
Kelly et al.[19]	Potentially relevant records: 15,283 After duplicates: 11,104	Meta-analysis of RCTs examining wholegrain* foods or diets on total mortality,	Primary outcomes were total cardiovascular mortality, Cardiovascular events (e.g. fatal and non-fatal	Substantial variation in definition of "wholegrain"
	Full-texts assessed for eligibility: 414	cardiovascular events, and cardiovascular	myocardial infarction, unstable angina, coronary artery	wholegram
	Excluded 401 due to inappropriate	risk factors in healthy people or those with	bypass graft surgery, percutaneous transluminal coronary	No studies reported effect of whole
	articles (not wholegrain, not RCT,	cardiovascular disease	angioplasty, stroke). Secondary outcomes were blood	grain on total cardiovascular mortality
	intervention < 12 weeks, not relevant		lipids, blood pressure, quality of life, and adverse events.	or cardiovascular events
	comparison, macronutrient intake not	Articles sourced from CENTRAL (2016),		
	reported, not adults, ongoing studies)	MEDLINE (1946-August 2016), Embase (1980-week 35 2016), CINHAL PLUS	Considered confounding variables such as bodyweight and dietary fibre	8 studies reported total cholesterol with data from 7 being analysed. Pooled
	Articles in final meta-analysis: 9	(1980-week 35 2010), Chinical Trials.gov, and World Health Organization International		analysis (722 participants) showed no effect on total-cholesterol (MD 0.07;
	All studies were parallel RCTs	Clinical Trials Registry Platform (WHO ICTRP)		95% CI: -0.07, 0.21). 1 study reported medians and showed no difference in
	Total number of participants in analysis: 1414	Quality of evidence was assessed using GRADE.		TC between intervention and control. 1 study could not be combined due to reporting of results as % change rather
	Interventions included oats (n=1),			than absolute values. In this study, TC
	range of foods based on wheat (n=5),	Study bias assessed using Cochrane 'Risk of		decreased by 5.4% in the intervention
	mixture of rye and wheat (n-1), whole	Bias' tool		vs2.9% in the control.
	grain brown rice (n=1), and whole grain wheat and oats (n=1).	Inclusion criteria were:		9 studies reported LDL-C, with data
	gram wheat and oats (II=1).	Studies must be RCTs, including cross-over		from 7 being summarised. Pooled
	In 7 studies the control diet was	and parallel-group designs.		analysis (770 participants) showed no
	described as refined. 1 study	Study duration needed to be at least 12		effect on LDL-C (MD 0.06; 95% CI: -
	described the control diet as usual	weeks. Participants ≥18 years, had raised		0.05, 0.16). 1 study reported medians
	and 1 described control as white rice.	lipids, BP, were overweight or obese, or had		and showed no difference in LDL-C
		MetS or DM.		between intervention and control. 1

3 studies included overweight or obese participants, 2 included participants with MetS, 1 included participants with risk factors for MetS, 1 included participants with a BMI 18.5-35 kg/m2 or signs of MetS or hypercholesterolaemia, 1 included participants with MetS or DM Foods were provided in 8/9 studies. 1 study gave participants information regarding whole grain foods	Excluded studies that did not meet inclusion criteria, or listed diabetes or changes in risk factors (IGT, IR, glucose or insulin outcomes) weight, BMI, and anthropometric outcomes) if they did not also measure lipids or blood pressure. *wholegrain defined by authors as foods based on milled wholegrains i.e. wholemeal of oatmeal		<ul> <li>study could not be combined due to reporting of results as % change rather than absolute values. In this study, LDL-C decreased by 8.7% in the intervention vs. 4.3% in the control.</li> <li>8 studies reported HDL-C, with data from 7 being summarised. Pooled analysis (772 participants) showed no effect on HDL-C (MD -0.02; 95% CI: -0.05, 0.01</li> <li>8 studies reported TAG, with data from 7 being summarised. Pooled analysis (771 participants) showed no effect (MD 0.03; 95% CI: -0.08, 0.13).</li> <li>8 studies reported SBP, with data from 7 being summarised. Pooled analysis (768 participants) showed no effect (MD 0.04; 95% CI: -1.67, 1.75).</li> <li>8 studies reported DBP, with data from 7 being summarised. Pooled analysis (768 participants) showed no effect (MD 0.04; 95% CI: -1.67, 1.75).</li> <li>8 studies reported DBP, with data from 7 being summarised. Pooled analysis (768 participants) showed no effect (MD 0.16; 95% CI: -0.89, 1.21).</li> <li>2 studies reported adverse events. 1 study showed similar events between intervention and control and included RTI, sinusitis, and pharyngitis). Events considered to relate to the intervention included nausea (2/77), flatulence (2/77).</li> <li>No studies reported QoL</li> <li>Summary</li> <li>Combined RCT data does not support a clear role for wholegrains in reducing CV risk factors, whereas observation data does. Interpretation of this is that single changes to consume more wholegrains needs to be as part of a whole dietary change.</li> </ul>
Potentially relevant records: 1459 Excluded 1327 records	Meta-analysis of RCTs examining effect of reducing SFA intake and replacing it with	Primary outcomes were all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality, and combined CVD events	There was no clear effect of reducing SFA compared to usual or control diets

(50,952 participants, RR 1.00; 95% CI: 0.89, 1.12).	Full-texts assessed for eligibility: 132Excluded 127 as did not meetinclusion criteria5 potential RCTs with authorscontacted5 excluded (following further datafrom 4 authors and no reply from 1).No new studies included48 RCTs in original 2012 metaanalysisExcluded 3315 RCTs eligibleArticles in final meta analysis: 15 (17intervention arms)Total number of participants inanalysis: 58,5096 studies included only people at highrisk of CVD, 4 included participantsat moderate risk, and 5 at low risk.7 studies included only men, 3included only women, and 5 bothmen and womenTrial duration ranged from 2 to >8years.Interventions varied. 16 interventionarms provided supplements, and 1provided all food.	carbohydrate, PUFA or MUFA and/or protein on mortality and cardiovascular morbidity Articles sourced from CENTRAL (March 2014), MEDLINE (February 2014) and Embase (to 2014). Checked trials in systematic reviews. Quality of evidence was assessed using GRADE. Study bias assessed using Cochrane 'Risk of Bias' tool Inclusion criteria were: RCTs of at least 24 months duration. Adults aged over 18 years of age, healthy or with comorbidities (previous cancer, CVD, diabetes), using or not using lipid-lowering medication The intervention had to be dietary advice, supplementation of fats, oils or modified or low-fat foods, or a provided diet, and the control group usual diet, placebo or a control diet. Excluded studies that did not meet inclusion criteria, those with participants who were acutely ill, or where allocation was not truly randomised	(cardiovascular deaths, cardiovascular morbidity (non- fatal myocardial infarction, angina, stroke, heart failure, peripheral vascular events, atrial fibrillation) and unplanned cardiovascular interventions (coronary artery bypass surgery or angioplasty). Secondary outcomes included CHD mortality, CHD events, MI, stroke, T2 diabetes incidence, lipids, body weight, BMI, blood pressure, and QoL	on total mortality (55,858 participants, RR 0.97; 95% CI: 0.90, 1.05). Subgrouping did not suggest any additional effects, nor were effects seen when replacement of SFA was considered. Reducing SFA had no clear effect on reducing CV mortality when compared with usual diets (53,421 participants, RR 0.95; 95% CI: 0.80, 1.12). Subgrouping did not suggest important effects of reduced SFA on CV mortality, expect when baseline SFA was >18% total energy (RR 0.70; 95% CI: 0.51, 0.96) or when the reduction in SFA was >8% total energy (RR 0.70; 95% CI: 0.51, 0.96). Decreasing SFA reduced CV events when compared with usual diets (53,300 participants, RR 0.83; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.96). Heterogeneity was observed in students examining this outcome. Subgroups suggested replacing SFA with PUFA had the greatest effect (RR 0.73; 95% CI: 0.58, 0.92), with no clear benefit for replacing SFA with MUFA, carbohydrate, or protein. Those studies which reduced TC by at least 0.2 mmol/L reduced CV events by 26% (RR 0.74; 95% CI: 0.59, 0.92) Reducing SFA had a marginal effect on MI (53,167 participants, RR 0.90; 95% CI: 0.80, 1.01). Subgrouping suggested reduction in MI in studies of men only (but not women) and in studies that reduced serum total cholesterol by at least 0.2 mmol/L, but not in other subgroups Reducing SFA had no clear effect on stroke when compared with usual diets (50,952 participants, RR 1.00; 95% CI: 0.89, 1.12).
--	--	--	--	---

		Reducing SFA did not suggest any benefit on CHD mortality when compared to usual diets (53,159 participants, RR 0.98; 95% CI: 0.84, 1.15)
		Reducing SFA may decreased the risk of CHD events (53,199 participants, RR 0.87; 95% CI: 0.74, 1.03). Heterogeneity was high between studies, and partly explained by the degree of SFA at baseline and the level of cholesterol lower achieved
		There was no clear benefit of reducing SFA on the diagnosis of diabetes (48,835 participants, RR 0.96; 95% CI: 0.90, 1.02).
		Compared with usual diet, reducing SFA decreased TC (7115 participants, MD -0.24 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.36, - 0.13, P <sub>effect</sub> 0.0001) and LDL-C (3291 participants, MD -0.19 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.33, -0.05, P <sub>effect</sub> 0.006).
		Decreasing SFA had no clear effect on HDL-C (5174 participants, MD -0.01 mmol/L, 95% CI: -0.02 to 0.01, P <sub>effect</sub> 0.21) or TAG (3845 participants, MD - 0.08 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.21, 0.04, P <sub>effect</sub> 0.20).
		There was no clear effect of SFA on TC/HDL-C ratio (2985 participants, MD -0.10; 95% CI: -0.33, 0.13, P <sub>effect</sub> 0.40), LDL-C/HDL-C ratio (50 participants, MD -0.36; 95% CI: -0.92, 0.20), Lp(a) (28,820 participants, MD 0.00; 95% CI: -0.00, 0.00, P <sub>effect</sub> 1.00), or HOMA (2832 participants, MD - 0.00; 95% CI: -0.04, 0.04, P <sub>effect</sub> 1.00).
		Reducing SFA intake decreased glucose when compared to usual diets (249 participants, MD -1.69 mmol/L; 95% CI: -2.55, -0.82, P <sub>effect</sub> 0.0001).

				Reducing SFA intake resulted in small reductions in body weight (4541 participants, MD -1.97 kg; 95% CI: - 3.67, -0.27, and BMI (5553 participants, MD -0.50; 95% CI: -0.82, -0.19) Summary This study suggests reducing SFA has no effect on total mortality. Reducing SFA and replacing with PUFA had the greatest effect on CV events. Replacing with protein, MUFA or carbohydrate did not have any effect. Some of these effects are mediated by the level of SFA consumed initially, and the level of cholesterol reduction achieved. The ideal type of unsaturated fat to replace SFA with is unclear.
567 sati Exx mo die Fin for Q <u>u</u> Q <u>1</u> Ag Ma Ra 2.2 BM Cu Phy 27. His Str Ca Str Ca Str Ca Fai	tal participants: <i>n</i> 617,119 77,169 complete questionnaires tisfactorily. ccluded duplicates, individuals oving out of state, and those who ed before study entry nal sample: <i>n</i> 521,120 participants <b>r</b> analysis <u>uintile of Saturated Fat Intake</u> <u>1</u> ge: 63.2 years ale: 55.2% ace: 89.6% White, 4.3% Black, 2% Hispanic, 2.2% Asian MI: 25.1 kg/m2 Irrent smoker: 6.7% hysical activity (>5 times/wk): !4% listory of Hypercholesterolaemia: !6% listory of hypertension: 24.3% eart Disease: 18.8% roke: 2.1% incer: 8.9% liabetes: 6.1% ir or poor health: 10.6% aily aspirin use: 18.7%	<ul> <li>Prospective cohort design.</li> <li>Participants taken from National Institutes of Health-American Association of Retired Persons Diet and Health Study</li> <li>Participants enrolled between 1995-1996 with 16 years follow up</li> <li>Grouped on quintiles of dietary fat intake Quintile of Saturated Fat Intake</li> <li>Q1:</li> <li>1545.1 kcal/d, total fat 20.5 % total energy, SFA 5.8 % total energy, MUFA 7.5 % total energy, PUFA 5.2 % total energy, TFA 1.3 % total energy, total protein 14.7 % total energy, omega-3 0.6 % total energy, LA 0.5 % total energy, marine omega-3 0.04 % total energy, omega-6 4.6 % total energy, CA</li> <li>Q3:</li> <li>1683.4 kcal/d, total fat 30.6 % total energy, SFA 9.2 % total energy, MUFA 11.7 % total energy, PUFA 5.1 % total energy, SFA 2.1 % total energy, notal protein 15.5 % total energy, OUFA 4.7.1 % total energy, LA</li> </ul>	Primary outcomes were total mortality and cardiovascular mortality Diet measured at baseline using validated 124 item FFQ + Diet History Questionnaire. Total energy intake was also calculated based on the Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individual. Sub study 2 non-consecutive 24 hr recall baseline (validation) Models adjusted age and sex, race, marital status, BMI, education, household income, smoking status, physical activity, alcohol consumption, history of hypertension, history of hypercholesterolaemia, perceived health condition, history of heart disease, stroke, diabetes, cancer at baseline, multivitamin use, aspirin use, hormones for women, total energy and energy from protein and other fatty acids. Evaluated effect of replacing SFA with other types of fat Mortality determined from annual linkage to Social Security administration Death Master File >99% follow-up rate for mortality Cause of death was determined by annual linkage to National Death Index Plus classified into 22 categories- 9/10 <sup>th</sup> ICD-9 and 10	<ul> <li>During a follow-up of 16 years (7,307,097 person-years), 129,328 deaths (85,037 in the men and 44, 291 in the women) were documented</li> <li>Dietary intakes of SFAs and TFAs positively associated with total mortality in multivariable fully adjusted models.</li> <li>When substituting for carbohydrates, those in the highest quintile of SFA intake had the highest rate of total mortality when compared against the lowest quintile (HR 1.29; 95% CI: 1.25, 1.33; Ptrend&lt;0.0001)</li> <li>PUFA intake was inversely associated with total mortality (HR 0.93; 95% CI: 0.91, 0.95; Ptrend&lt;0.0001)</li> <li>Each 1 SD increment of energy as PUFA related to a 2% lower total mortality.</li> <li>Animal MUFA was correlated with higher total mortality (HR 1.09; 95% CI: 1.06, 1.13; Ptrend&lt;0.0001) whereas</li> </ul>

		Constituity and hair and alian anisting CVD (1)	alant MITEA massimum ala ana 111
$\frac{Q3}{A}$	% total energy, AA 0.05 % total energy,	Sensitivity analysis excluding existing CVD at baseline	plant MUFA was inversely associated
Age: 62.8 years Male: 59.3%	omega-6/omega 3 ratio 9.0, alcohol 1.9 g/d	done to exclude reverse causality observed similar results.	with total mortality (HR 0.94; 95% CI: 0.91, 0.97; Ptrend<0.0004)
Race: 91.6% White, 3.9% Black,	Q5:	icouito.	0.91, 0.97, F trend \0.0004)
1.9% Hispanic, 1.1% Asian	1874.5 kcal/d, total fat 38.6 % total energy,		Comparing highest vs. lowest quintiles
BMI: 26.6 kg/m2	SFA 13.2 % total energy, MUFA 14.4 %		of intake, increased SFA was associated
Current smoker: 10.4%	total energy, PUFA 7.5% total energy, TFA		with increased CVD (HR 1.27; 95% CI:
Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	2.4 % total energy, total protein 15.5 % total		1.21, 1.34; P <sub>trend</sub> <0.0001)
17.6%	energy, omega-3 0.8 % total energy, ALA 0.7		$1.21, 1.34, F_{\text{trend}} (0.0001)$
History of Hypercholesterolaemia:	% total energy, marine omega-3 0.04 % total		Each 1 SD increment in SFA was
26.1%	energy, omega-6 6.7 % total energy, LA 6.6		related to 7% higher CVD mortality.
History of hypertension: 23.8%	% total energy, AA 0.05 % total energy,		related to 7 % inglier e vD mortanty.
Heart Disease: 13.3%	omega-6/omega 3 ratio 8.4, alcohol 1.3 g/d		Total MUFA was not significantly
Stroke: 2.0%	oniegu oroniegu o rudo o. 1, uconor 1.5 gru		associated CVD, although animal
Cancer: 9.0%	Quintile of PUFA Intake		MUFA was inversely associated with
Diabetes: 9.4%	01:		CVD mortality (HR 1.09; 95% CI: 1.03,
Fair or poor health: 12.7%	1638.8 kcal/d, total fat 21.2 % total energy,		1.16; P <sub>trend</sub> =0.0015). Plant MUFA was
Daily aspirin use: 14.4%	SFA 6.9 % total energy, MUFA 7.9 % total		associated with lower CVD mortality
Duny aspini aser i nive	energy, PUFA 4.5 % total energy, TFA 1.4 %		(HR 0.94; 95% CI: 0.89, 0.99;
<u>Q5</u>	total energy, total protein 14.9 % total		$P_{\text{trend}}=0.015$ ).
Age: 62.6	energy, omega-3 0.5 % total energy, ALA		- Hend ( ) ( ) ( )
Male: 61.5%	0.4 % total energy, marine omega-3 0.03 %		Comparing highest vs. lowest quintiles
Race: 93.9% White, 2.7% Black,	total energy, omega-6 3.9 % total energy, LA		of intake, increased TFA was associated
1.3% Hispanic, 0.5% Asian	3.9 % total energy, AA 0.04 % total energy,		with increased CVD (HR 1.06; 95% CI:
BMI: 27.1 kg/m2	omega-6/omega 3 ratio 7.7, alcohol 2.9 g/d		1.03, 1.16; P <sub>trend</sub> <0.0001)
Current smoker: 19.7%			
Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	Q3:		Comparing highest vs. lowest quintiles
14.1%	1704.8 kcal/d, total fat 30.2 % total energy,		of intake, increased PUFA intake was
History of Hypercholesterolaemia:	SFA 9.3 % total energy, MUFA 11.5 % total		associated with decreased CVD
29.7%	energy, PUFA 6.8 % total energy, TFA 2.1 %		mortality (HR 0.94; 95% CI: 0.90, 0.98;
History of hypertension: 21.6%	total energy, total protein 15.7 % total		P <sub>trend</sub> =0.0074)
Heart Disease: 10.8%	energy, omega-3 0.7 % total energy, ALA 0.6		
Stroke: 2.2%	% total energy, marine omega-3 0.04 % total		Total omega-3 intake was not
Cancer: 9.2%	energy, omega-6 6.0 % total energy, LA 6.0		associated with CVD mortality. Higher
Diabetes: 11.5%	% total energy, AA 0.05 % total energy,		intakes of omega-3 were associated
Fair or poor health: 15.9%	omega-6/omega 3 ratio 8.80, alcohol 1.9 g/d		with lower CVD mortality (HR 0.90;
Daily aspirin use: 12.0%			95% CI: 0.87, 0.94; P <sub>trend</sub> =<0.0001).
	Q5:		Total omega-6 was inversely associated
Quintile of PUFA Intake	1697.1 kcal/d, total fat 38.0 % total energy,		with CVD mortality. Higher intakes of
<u>Q1</u>	SFA 10.5 % total energy, MUFA 14.3 %		LA were associated with lower CVD
Age: 62.8 years	total energy, PUFA 9.8 % total energy, TFA		mortality (HR 0.92; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.98;
Male: 61.5%	2.5 % total energy, total protein 14.9 % total		Ptrend=0.0038). Higher intake of AA was
Race: 90.8% White, 3.2% Black,	energy, omega-3 1.0 % total energy, ALA 0.9		associated with increased CVD
2.6% Hispanic, 1.6% Asian	% total energy, marine omega-3 0.04 % total		mortality (HR 1.11 95% CI: 1.06-1.16).
BMI: 25.8 kg/m2	energy, omega-6 8.8 % total energy, LA 8.8		
Current smoker: 10.6%	% total energy, AA 0.05 % total energy,		In isocaloric substitution analysis,
Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	omega-6/omega 3 ratio 9.3, alcohol 1.2 g/d		replacing 2% energy from SFA with
23.1%			

History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 25.8%History of hypertension: 23.3% Heart Disease: 15.4% Stroke: 2.2% Cancer: 8.9% Diabetes: 6.2% Fair or poor health: 11.8% Daily aspirin use: 16.1%Q3 Age: 62.8 years Male: 59.8% Race: 92.6% White, 3.3% Black, 2.6% Hispanic, 1.0% Asian BMI: 26.5 kg/m2 Current smoker: 11.1% Physical activity (>5 times/wk): 18.5% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 26.1% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 26.1% History of hypertension: 23.4% Heart Disease: 13.8% Stroke: 2.0% Cancer: 8.9% Diabetes: 9.1% Fair or poor health: 12.4% Daily aspirin use: 14.8%Q5 Age: 62.9 years Male: 60.7% Race: 91.0% White, 4.6% Black, 1.4% Hispanic, 1.3% Asian BMI: 26.6 kg/m2 Current smoker: 14.0% Physical activity (>5 times/wk): 16.6% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 26.5% History of hypertension: 23.5% Heart Disease: 12.9% Stroke: 2.0% Stroke: 2.0%	Quintile of MUFA Intake Q1:1546.7 kcal/d, total fat 20.3 % total energy, SFA 5.9 % total energy, MUFA 7.3 % total energy, PUFA 4.8 % total energy, TFA 1.2 % total energy, total protein 14.9 % total energy, omega-3 0.5 % total energy, ALA 0.5 % total energy, ALA 0.5 % total energy, ALA 0.5 % total energy, AA 0.03 % total energy, LA total energy, AA 0.03 % total energy, omega-6/omega 3 ratio 7.8, alcohol 2.5 g/dQ3: 1685.1 kcal/d, total fat 30.3 % total energy, omega-6/omega 3 ratio 7.8, alcohol 2.5 g/dQ3: 1685.1 kcal/d, total fat 30.3 % total energy, SFA 9.3 % total energy, MUFA 11.4 % total energy, OUFA 6.8 % total energy, TFA 2.1 % total energy, total protein 15.4 % total energy, omega-6 6.1 % total energy, LA 6.0 % total energy, AA 0.05 % total energy, omega-6/omega 3 ratio 8.7, alcohol 2.0 g/dQ5: 1860.3 kcal/d, total fat 39.7 % total energy, SFA 12.1 % total energy, MUFA 15.3 % total energy, total energy, MUFA 15.3 % total energy, omega-3 1.0 % total energy, AA 0.04 % total energy, omega-3 1.0 % total energy, TFA 2.8 % total energy, total energy, MUFA 15.3 % total energy, omega-3 1.0 % total energy, AA 0.05 % total energy, SFA 12.1 % total energy, AA 0.04 % total energy, omega-6 8.0 % total energy, AA 0.04 % total energy, omega-6 8.0 % total energy, AA 0.04 % total energy, omega-6 8.0 % total energy, LA 7.9 % % total energy, AA 0.06 % total energy, omega-6/omega 3 ratio 9.4, alcohol 1.1 g/d	<ul> <li>TFA was associated with a 3% increase in total and CVD mortality.</li> <li>Replacing 5% energy from MUFA was associated with a 16% and 13% reduction in total and CVD mortality, respectively.</li> <li>Replacing 5% energy from SFA with PUFA was associated with a 18% and 15% reduction in total and CVD mortality, respectively. Isocaloric replacement of SFA with ALA showed not benefit on total and CVD mortality. Replacing 0.1% energy from SFA with EPA and DHA was associated with a 4% reduction in total and CVD mortality.</li> <li>Replacing 2% of energy from SFA with omeage-6 PUFA was associated with 10wer risk of total and CVD mortality (0.92; 95% CI: 0.92, 0.96; p&lt;0.0001) and 0.94; 95% CI: 0.92, 0.96; p&lt;0.0001, and 0.94; 95% CI: 0.92, 0.96; p&lt;0.0001,</li></ul>
26.5% History of hypertension: 23.5%		

Quintie of MUPA intake QL Age: 63.0 years Mail: 53.248Image: Solar Mail: 53.248Rac: 00.958White: 3.358Rac: 00.958White: 3.358Physical activity (55 times/wk): 27.056Image: Solar Physical activity (55 times/wk): 27.056Physical activity (55 times/wk): 27.056Image: Solar Physical activity (55 times/wk): 27.056History of Physecholesterolaemia: 24.356Image: Physical activity (55 times/wk): 27.056Physical activity (55 times/wk): Connect 1.167Image: Physical activity (55 times/wk): 27.056Career 3.168Image: Physical activity (55 times/wk): 17.356Career 3.168Image: Physical activity (55 times/wk): 17.356Career 3.168Image: Physical activity (55 times/wk): 17.356Physical activity (55 times/wk): 17.356Image: Physical activity (55 times/wk): 17.356Career 3.168Image: Physical activity (55 times/wk): 17.356Physical activity (55 times/wk): 17.356Image: Physical activity (55 times/wk): 17.356Physical activity (55 times/wk): 1.356Image: Physical activity (55 times/wk): 1.356Physical activity (55 times/wk): Physical activity (55 times/wk): Phys			
Q1       Age: 63.0 years         Mate: 53.2%       Race: 90.3% White, 3.5% Black,         2.3% Hippanie, 1.9% Asian       BM: 23.3 g/m 24         Current under: 7.4%       Current under: 7.4%         P1/06       Current under: 7.4%         P1/06       State 1.7%         P1/06       State 1.7%         P1/06       Current under: 7.3%         P1/06       State 1.7%         P1/06       Current under: 7.3%         Storke: 2.1%       Current under: 7.3%         Cancer: 9.1%       Diabates: 6.0%         Pair op on heilth: 10.4%       Daily aprim use: 17.7%         Q3       Age: C2 years         Male: S3 years       Bake         Nale: S5 Jg/m2       Current under: 1.1%         Current under: 1.1%       Black         Diabates: 6.2%       Fair op on heilth: 12.4%         Q3       Age: C2 years         Male: S5 Jg/m2       Current under: 13.1%         Diabates: 6.2%       Fair op on heilth: 12.4%         D4       Daily apprint use: 14.4%         D5       Cance: 3.0%         Physical activity (>5 funes/vk):       Fair op one heilth: 12.1%         Daily apprint use: 14.4%       Daily apprint use: 14.4%         D4       Cance:	Ouintile of MUFA intake		
Age: 6.30 yaim         Male: 53.28         Race: 01.38         Distantia			
Maic: 53.2%         Rac:: 90.3% White: 3.5% Black,         2.3% Hispanic. 1.9% Asian         BM:: 25.3 (gin2)         Current sinoker: 7.4%         Physical activity 0.5 finas/vok):         2 Hota         Physical activity 0.5 finas/vok):         2 Hota         2 Hota         History of hypercholesterolaemin:         2.4.3%         Gancer: 9.1%         Diabetes: 6.0%         Fair or poor health: 10.4%         Daily sprint use: 17.7%         Ol         Age: 6.2 9 years         Nate: 58.9%         Race: 9.19% White: 3.7% Black,         1.5% Hippanic, 1.1% Asian         BMI: 25.5 kg/m2         Current sinoker: 1.1%         Carser: 8.0%         Bitz 25.5 kg/m2         Current sinoker: 1.1%         BMI: 26.5 kg/m2         Current sinoker: 1.1%         Diabetes: 6.2%         Floater poor health: 12.1%			
Ruce 90.3% White, 3.5% Black,         2.3% Hispanic, 19% Asian         BML 25.3 kg/m2         Current snoker, 74.%         Physical activity C5 intes/Wk;         27.0%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         24.3%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         24.3%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         24.3%         Kindke: 21,4%         Camere 9.1%         Diabetes: 6.0%         Fair or poor bealth: 10.4%         Daily aspirin use: 17.7%         Q2         Age: 62.9 years         Male: 58.6%         Race: 9.19% White, 3.7% Black,         Like Hypercholsernolaemia:         26.8%         Current snoker: 10.9%         Propercholsernolaemia:         26.8%	Age: 63.0 years		
Ruce 90.3% White, 3.5% Black,         2.3% Hispanic, 19% Asian         BML 25.3 kg/m2         Current snoker, 74.%         Physical activity C5 intes/Wk;         27.0%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         24.3%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         24.3%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         24.3%         Kindke: 21,4%         Camere 9.1%         Diabetes: 6.0%         Fair or poor bealth: 10.4%         Daily aspirin use: 17.7%         Q2         Age: 62.9 years         Male: 58.6%         Race: 9.19% White, 3.7% Black,         Like Hypercholsernolaemia:         26.8%         Current snoker: 10.9%         Propercholsernolaemia:         26.8%	Male: 53.2%		
2.3% IBspanie, 1.9% Asian BME 2.5.3 kg/m2 Current smoker; 7.4% Physical activity 0.5 times/wk): 27.0% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 24.3% Ibstory of Hypercholesterolaemia: 24.3% Stroke: 21.5% Cancer; 9.1% Cancer; 9.1% Diabetes: 6.0% Pair or portinalih: 10.4% Diabetes: 6.0% Race: 0.9% White; 10.4% Diabetes: 6.0% Race: 0.9% White; 3.7% Black, 1.8% Hispanie, 1.1% Asian BME 2.6.5 kg/m2 Current smoker: 10.0% Physical activity 0.5 times/wk): 17.8% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 26.8% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 26.8% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 26.8% Cancer: 8.0% Cancer: 8.0% Physical activity 0.5 times/wb):			
BMI: 25.3 kg/m2         Current smoker: 7.4%         Physical activity (>5 times/vk):         27.0%         History of Hypertholesterolernia:         24.3%         History of hypertension: 23.9%         Hear Disease: 17.5%         Concer: 9.1%         Diabetes: 6.0%         Fair or poor health: 10.4%         Daily aspirin use: 17.7%         Q3         Age: 62.9 years         Male: 53.9%         History of hypertension: 23.9%         History of hypertension: 23.9%         History of hypertension: 23.9%         Baily aspirin use: 17.7%         Q3         Age: 62.9 years         Male: 53.9%         Race: 91.9% White, 3.7% Black,         1.8% History of hypertension: 23.5%         History of hypertension: 23.5%         Heart Disease: 13.1%         Stroke: 2.0%         Cancer: 8.6%         Diabetes: 6.2%         Pair or poor health: 12.1%         Daily aspirin use: 14.4%         Q5         Age: 23.5% Heart Disease: 13.1%         Pair or poor health: 12.1%         Daily aspirin use: 14.4%         Q5         Age: 23.5% Heart Disease: 13.4%	Race: 90.3% White, 3.8% Black,		
BMI: 25.3 kg/m2         Current smoker: 7.4%         Physical activity (>5 times/vk):         27.0%         History of Hypertholesterolernia:         24.3%         History of hypertension: 23.9%         Hear Disease: 17.5%         Concer: 9.1%         Diabetes: 6.0%         Fair or poor health: 10.4%         Daily aspirin use: 17.7%         Q3         Age: 62.9 years         Male: 53.9%         History of hypertension: 23.9%         History of hypertension: 23.9%         History of hypertension: 23.9%         Baily aspirin use: 17.7%         Q3         Age: 62.9 years         Male: 53.9%         Race: 91.9% White, 3.7% Black,         1.8% History of hypertension: 23.5%         History of hypertension: 23.5%         Heart Disease: 13.1%         Stroke: 2.0%         Cancer: 8.6%         Diabetes: 6.2%         Pair or poor health: 12.1%         Daily aspirin use: 14.4%         Q5         Age: 23.5% Heart Disease: 13.1%         Pair or poor health: 12.1%         Daily aspirin use: 14.4%         Q5         Age: 23.5% Heart Disease: 13.4%	2.3% Hispanic, 1.9% Asian		
Current smoker: 7.4% Physical activit (>55 times/wk): 27.0% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 24.3% Heart Disease: 17.3% Stroke: 2.1% Cancer: 9.1% Diabetes: 6.0% Fair or poor health: 10.4% Diaby septim use: 17.7% 03 Age: 62.9 years Male: 89.0% Mole: 89.0% Mole: 89.0% Race: 9.19% White. 37% Black, 1.8% Hispanic, 1.1% Asian BWI: 26.5 & g/m2 Current smoker: 10.9% Physical activit (>55 times/wk): 17.3% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 26.5% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 26.5% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 26.5% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 26.5% History of thypercholesterolaemia: 26.5% History of thypercholesterolaemia: 27.5% History of thypercholesterolaemia: 27.5% History of thypercholesterolaemia: 27.5% History			
Physical activity (>5 times/wk):         27.0%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         24.3%         History of hypertension: 23.9%         Heart Disease: 17.3%         Stroke: 21.9         Camcer: 91.%         Diabetes: 6.0%         Fair or poor health: 10.4%         Daily aspirin use: 17.7%         Q3         Age: 62.9 years         Maie: 58.9%         Maie: 58.9%         BMI: 26.5 kg/n2         Current smaker: 10.9%         Physical activity (>5 times/wk):         17.3%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         2.6%         Diabetes 6.6%         Diabetes 6.7%         Diabetes 6.4%         Diabetes 6.4%         Diabetes 6.4%         Diabetes 6.4%         Diabetes 6.2%         Pate: 62 years         Maie: 63 8%         Pate: 62 years         Maie: 63 8%         Pate: 64 years         Diably aspirin use: 14.4%	Divit. 25.5 Kg/ili2		
27.0%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         24.3%         History of hypertension: 23.9%         Heart Diseas: 17.3%         Stroke: 2.1%         Cancer: 91.%         Diabets: 6.0%         Fair or poor health: 10.4%         Daily aspirin use: 17.7%         Q3         Age: 6.2 years         Mair: 58.9%         Race: 91.9% White, 3.7% Black,         1.8% Hispanie: 1.1% Asian         BMI: 26.5 kg/m2         Current smoker: 10.9%         Physical activity (>5 times/wk):         17.8%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         26.8%         History of hypertonelosin: 23.5%         Heart Disease: 13.1%         Stroke: 2.0%         Cancer: 5.6%         Diabtes: 6.2%         Fair or poor health: 12.1%         Daily aspirin use: 14.4%         Q5         Age: 62.8% White, 3.6% Black,         1.3% Hispanie, 0.3% Asian         BMI: 27.3 Kg/m2         Current smoker: 17.3%         Physical activity (>5 times/wk):			
27.0%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         24.3%         History of hypertension: 23.9%         Heart Diseas: 17.3%         Stroke: 2.1%         Cancer: 91.%         Diabets: 6.0%         Fair or poor health: 10.4%         Daily aspirin use: 17.7%         Q3         Age: 6.2 years         Mair: 58.9%         Race: 91.9% White, 3.7% Black,         1.8% Hispanie: 1.1% Asian         BMI: 26.5 kg/m2         Current smoker: 10.9%         Physical activity (>5 times/wk):         17.8%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         26.8%         History of hypertonelosin: 23.5%         Heart Disease: 13.1%         Stroke: 2.0%         Cancer: 5.6%         Diabtes: 6.2%         Fair or poor health: 12.1%         Daily aspirin use: 14.4%         Q5         Age: 62.8% White, 3.6% Black,         1.3% Hispanie, 0.3% Asian         BMI: 27.3 Kg/m2         Current smoker: 17.3%         Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	Physical activity (>5 times/wk):		
History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         24.3%         Hatt Dissec: 17.3%         Heart Dissec: 17.3%         Diabetes: 6.0%         Diabetes: 6.0%         Pair or poor health: 10.4%         Daily aspirin use: 17.7%         Diabetes: 6.0%         Race: 91.9%         Wite: 53.7%         Bitt or poor health: 10.4%         Daily aspirin use: 17.7%         Diabetes: 6.0%         Race: 91.9% White: 3.7% Black,         1.5% Hisponic. 1.1% Asian         DMI: 26.5 kg/m2         Current smoke: 10.9%         Physical activity C5 times/wk):         17.8%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         26.8%         History of Typercholesterolaemia:         26.8%         Diabetes: 6.2%         Diabetes: 6.2%         Diabetes: 6.2%         Diabetes: 6.2%         Dialy aspirin use: 14.4%         QS         Age: 6.2 for parts         Male: 6.3 %         Dialy aspirin use: 14.4%         QS         Age: 6.2 for parts         Male: 6.3 %         Dialy aspirin use: 14.4%         Dialy aspirin use: 14.4%         Dialy aspirin us	27.00		
24.3%         History of hypertension: 23.9%         Heart Disease: 17.3%         Stroke: 2.1%         Cancer: 9.1%         Diabetes: 6.0%         Fair or poor bealth: 10.4%         Daily aspirin use: 17.7%         Q2         Age: 62.9 years         Male: 58.5%         Race: 91.9% White; 3.7% Black,         L3% Higgmein, 1.1% Asian         BML 26.5 kg/m2         Current smoker: 10.9%         Physical activity (25 fitms/vk):         17.8%         History of Hypercholestrolaemia:         20.8         Q3         Age: 62.9 years         Male: 53.6%         Race: 21.9%         Physical activity (25 fitms/vk):         17.8%         History of Hypercholestrolaemia:         20.8%         History of hypertensin: 23.5%         Heart Disea: 13.1%         Diabetes: 6.2%         Diabetes: 6.2%         Diabetes: 6.2%         Age: 62.6 years         Male: 63.8%         Race: 92.6% White; 3.6% Black,         1.3% Hispanie, 0.8% Asian         BM: 27.3 kg/m2         Current smoker: 17.8%         Physicial activity (25 fitms/vk):<			
24.3%         History of hypertension: 23.9%         Heart Disease: 17.3%         Stroke: 2.1%         Cancer: 9.1%         Diabetes: 6.0%         Fair or poor bealth: 10.4%         Daily aspirin use: 17.7%         Q2         Age: 62.9 years         Male: 58.5%         Race: 91.9% White; 3.7% Black,         L3% Higgmein, 1.1% Asian         BML 26.5 kg/m2         Current smoker: 10.9%         Physical activity (25 fitms/vk):         17.8%         History of Hypercholestrolaemia:         20.8         Q3         Age: 62.9 years         Male: 53.6%         Race: 21.9%         Physical activity (25 fitms/vk):         17.8%         History of Hypercholestrolaemia:         20.8%         History of hypertensin: 23.5%         Heart Disea: 13.1%         Diabetes: 6.2%         Diabetes: 6.2%         Diabetes: 6.2%         Age: 62.6 years         Male: 63.8%         Race: 92.6% White; 3.6% Black,         1.3% Hispanie, 0.8% Asian         BM: 27.3 kg/m2         Current smoker: 17.8%         Physicial activity (25 fitms/vk):<	History of Hypercholesterolaemia:		
History of hypertension: 23.9% Hear Disease: 17.3% Stroke: 2.1% Cancer: 9.1% Dibbetes: 6.0% Fair or poor health: 10.4% Daily aspirin use: 17.7% Q3 Age: 62.9 years Male: 58.9% Race: 91.9% White, 3.7% Black, 1.8% Hispanic, 1.1% Asian BM: 26.5 kg/m2 Current smoker: 10.9% Physical activity (25 times/wk): 17.8% History of Hypertonlosterolaemia: 26.8% History of hypertonsion: 23.5% Heart Disease: 13.1% Stroke: 2.0% Cancer: 8.6% Diabetes: 6.2% G2 Age: 62.6 years Male: 63.8% Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.3% Asian BM: 27.3 Kg/m2 Current smoker: 17.8%	24.3%		
Heart Disease: 17.3% Stroke: 2.1% Cancer: 9.1% Diabetes: 6.0% Fair or poor health: 10.4% Daily aspirin use: 17.7% Q3 Age: 62.9 years Male: 58.9% Race: 91.9% White, 3.7% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 1.1% Asian BM: 26.5 Kg/m2 Current smoker: 10.9% Physical astivity (>5 times/wk): 17.8% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 26.8% History of hypertension: 23.5% Heart Disease: 13.1% Stroke: 2.0% Cancer: 8.6% Diabetes: 6.2% Fair or poor health: 12.1% Daily aspirin use: 14.4% Daily aspirin use: 14.4% Daily aspirin use: 14.4% Daily aspirin use: 14.4%			
Stroke: 2.1% Cancer: 9.1% Diabetes: 6.0% Fair or poor health: 10.4% Daily aspirin use: 17.7% Q3 Age: 62.9 years Male: 58.9% Race: 91.9% White, 3.7% Black, 1.8% Hispanie, 1.1% Asian BMI: 26.5 kg/m2 Current smoker: 10.9% Physical activity (>5 times/wk): 17.8% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 26.8% History of hypercholesterolaemia: 26.9% History of hypercholesterolaemia: 27.9% History of	History of hypertension: 23.9%		
Stroke: 2.1% Cancer: 9.1% Diabetes: 6.0% Fair or poor health: 10.4% Daily aspirin use: 17.7% Q3 Age: 62.9 years Male: 58.9% Race: 91.9% White, 3.7% Black, 1.8% Hispanie, 1.1% Asian BMI: 26.5 kg/m2 Current smoker: 10.9% Physical activity (>5 times/wk): 17.8% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 26.8% History of hypercholesterolaemia: 26.9% History of hypercholesterolaemia: 27.9% History of	Heart Disease: 17.3%		
Cancer: 9.1% Diabetes: 6.0% Fair or poor health: 10.4% Daily aspirin use: 17.7% Q3 Age: 62.9 years Male: 58.9% Race: 91.9% White, 3.7% Black, 1.8% Hispanic, 1.1% Asian BMI: 65.6 kg/m2 Current smoker: 10.9% Physical activity (>5 times/wk): 17.8% History of Hyperetonlosterolaemia: 26.8% History of Pyperetonlosterolaemia: 26.8% History of hypertension: 23.5% Heart Disease: 13.1% Stroke: 2.0% Cancer: 8.6% Diabetes: 6.2% Fair or poor health: 12.1% Daily aspirin use: 14.4% Q5 Age: 62.6 years Male: 63.8% Mine, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 73.8 // Skym2 Current smoker: 17.3%			
Diabetes: 6.0% Fair or poor health: 10.4% Daily sapirin use: 17.7%Q2 Age: 62.9 years Male: 58.9% Race: 91.9% White, 3.7% Black, 1.8% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 2.6.3% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 2.6.3% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 2.6.3% History of hypertension: 23.5% Heart Disease: 13.1% Stroke: 2.0% Cancer: 8.6% Diabetes: 6.2% Fair or poor health: 12.1% Diabetes: 6.2% Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current snoker: 17.3%			
Fair or poor health: 10.4%         Daily aspirin use: 17.7%         Q3         Age: 62.9 years         Male: 58.9%         Race: 91.9% White, 3.7% Black,         1.8% Hispanie, 1.1% Asian         BM: 26.5 kg/m2         Current smoker; 10.9%         Physical activity (>5 times/wk):         17.8%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         26.8%         Gamma Bistics: 2.3%         History of hypercholesterolaemia:         26.8%         Cancer: 8.6%         Diabetes: 6.2%         Fair or poor health: 12.1%         Daily aspirin use: 14.4%         Q5         Age: 62.6 years         Male: 63.8%         Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black,         1.3% Hispanic, 0.3% Asian         BM: 27.3 kg/m2         Current smoker: 17.8%         Physical activity (>5 times/wk):			
Fair or poor health: 10.4%         Daily aspirin use: 17.7%         Q3         Age: 62.9 years         Male: 58.9%         Race: 91.9% White, 3.7% Black,         1.8% Hispanie, 1.1% Asian         BM: 26.5 kg/m2         Current smoker; 10.9%         Physical activity (>5 times/wk):         17.8%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         26.8%         Gamma Bistics: 2.3%         History of hypercholesterolaemia:         26.8%         Cancer: 8.6%         Diabetes: 6.2%         Fair or poor health: 12.1%         Daily aspirin use: 14.4%         Q5         Age: 62.6 years         Male: 63.8%         Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black,         1.3% Hispanic, 0.3% Asian         BM: 27.3 kg/m2         Current smoker: 17.8%         Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	Diabetes: 6.0%		
Daily aspirin use: 17.7%         Q3         Age: 62.9 years         Male: 58.9%         Race: 91.9% White, 3.7% Black,         1.8% Hispanic, 1.1% Asian         BMI: 26.5 kg/m2         Current smoker: 10.9%         Physical activity (>5 times/vk):         17.8%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         26.8%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         26.8%         Cancer: 8.0%         Diabetes: 6.2%         Pair or poor health: 12.1%         Daily aspirin use: 14.4%         Q5         Age: 62.6 years         Male: 63.8%         Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black,         1.3% Hispanic, 0.3% Asian         BMI: 27.3 kg/m2         Current smoker: 17.8%			
Q3Age: 62.9 yearsMale: 58.9%Race: 91.9% White, 3.7% Black,1.3% Hispanic, 1.1% AsianBM: 26.5 kg/m2Current smoker: 10.9%Physical activity (>5 times/wk):17.8%History of Hypercholesterolaemia:26.8%History of hypertension: 23.5%Heard Disease: 13.1%Stroke: 2.0%Cancer: 8.6%Diabetes: 6.2%Pair or poor health: 12.1%Daily aspirin use: 14.4%Q5Age: 62.6 yearsMale: 63.8%Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black,1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% AsianBM: 17.3 kg/m2Current smoker: 17.8%			
Q3Age: 62.9 yearsMale: 58.9%Race: 91.9% White, 3.7% Black,1.3% Hispanic, 1.1% AsianBM: 26.5 kg/m2Current smoker: 10.9%Physical activity (>5 times/wk):17.8%History of Hypercholesterolaemia:26.8%History of hypertension: 23.5%Heard Disease: 13.1%Stroke: 2.0%Cancer: 8.6%Diabetes: 6.2%Pair or poor health: 12.1%Daily aspirin use: 14.4%Q5Age: 62.6 yearsMale: 63.8%Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black,1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% AsianBM: 17.3 kg/m2Current smoker: 17.8%	Daily aspirin use: 17.7%		
Age: $62.9$ years Male: $58.9\%$ Race: $91.9\%$ White, $3.7\%$ Black, $1.8\%$ Hispanic, $1.1\%$ Asian BMI: $26.5$ kg/m2 Current smoker: $10.9\%$ Physical activity (>5 times/wk): $17.3\%$ History of Hypercholesterolaemia: $26.8\%$ History of hypertension: $23.5\%$ Heart Disease: $13.1\%$ Stroke: $2.0\%$ Cancer: $8.6\%$ Diabetes: $6.2\%$ Pair or poor health: $12.1\%$ Daily aspirin use: $14.4\%$ QS Age: $62.6$ years Male: $56.8\%$ Race: $92.8\%$ White, $3.6\%$ Black, $1.3\%$ Hispanic, $0.8\%$ Asian BMI: $27.3$ kg/m2 Current smoker: $17.5\%$ Physical activity (>5 times/wk):			
Age: $62.9$ years Male: $58.9\%$ Race: $91.9\%$ White, $3.7\%$ Black, $1.8\%$ Hispanic, $1.1\%$ Asian BMI: $26.5$ kg/m2 Current smoker: $10.9\%$ Physical activity (>5 times/wk): $17.3\%$ History of Hypercholesterolaemia: $26.8\%$ History of hypertension: $23.5\%$ Heart Disease: $13.1\%$ Stroke: $2.0\%$ Cancer: $8.6\%$ Diabetes: $6.2\%$ Pair or poor health: $12.1\%$ Daily aspirin use: $14.4\%$ QS Age: $62.6$ years Male: $56.8\%$ Race: $92.8\%$ White, $3.6\%$ Black, $1.3\%$ Hispanic, $0.8\%$ Asian BMI: $27.3$ kg/m2 Current smoker: $17.5\%$ Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	03		
Male: 58.9% Race: 91.9% White, 3.7% Black, 1.8% Hispanic, 1.1% Asian BMI: 26.5 kg/m2 Current smoker: 10.9% Physical activity (>5 times/wk): 17.8% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 26.8% History of hypertension: 23.5% Heart Disease: 13.1% Stroke: 2.0% Cancer: 8.6% Diabetes: 6.2% Fair or poor health: 12.1% Daily aspirin use: 14.4% <u>Q5</u> Age: 62.6 years Male: 63.8% Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current smoker: 17.8%	$\underline{Q3}$		
Male: 58.9% Race: 91.9% White, 3.7% Black, 1.8% Hispanic, 1.1% Asian BMI: 26.5 kg/m2 Current smoker: 10.9% Physical activity (>5 times/wk): 17.8% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 26.8% History of hypertension: 23.5% Heart Disease: 13.1% Stroke: 2.0% Cancer: 8.6% Diabetes: 6.2% Fair or poor health: 12.1% Daily aspirin use: 14.4% <u>Q5</u> Age: 62.6 years Male: 63.8% Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current smoker: 17.8%	Age: 62.9 years		
Race: 91 9% White, 3.7% Black, 1.8% Hispanic, 1.1% Asian BMI: 26.5 kg/m2 Current snoker: 10.9% Physical activity (>5 times/wk): 17.8% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 26.8% History of hypercholesterolaemia: 26.6% Cancer: 8.6% Diabetes: 6.2% Cancer: 8.6% Diabetes: 6.2% Fair or poor health: 12.1% Daily aspirin use: 14.4%OS Age: 62.6 years Male: 63.8% Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current snoker: 17.8% Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	Male: 58 9%		
1.8% Hispanic, 1.1% Asian BMI: 26.5 kg/m2 Current smoker: 10.9% Physical activity (>5 times/wk): 17.8% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 26.8% History of hypertension: 23.5% Heart Disease: 13.1% Stroke: 2.0% Cancer 8.6% Diabetes: 6.2% Fair or poor health: 12.1% Daily aspirin use: 14.4%Q5 Age: 62.6 years Male: 63.8% Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current smoker: 17.8% Physical activity (>5 times/wk):			
BMI: 26.5 kg/m2         Current smoker: 10.9%         Physical activity (>5 times/wk):         17.3%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         26.8%         History of hypertension: 23.5%         Heart Disease: 13.1%         Stroke: 2.0%         Cancer: 8.6%         Diabetes: 6.2%         Fair or poor health: 12.1%         Daily aspirin use: 14.4%         Q5         Age: 62.6 years         Male: 63.8%         Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black,         1.3% Hisparic, 0.8% Asian         BMI: 27.3 kg/m2         Current smoker: 17.8%         Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	Race: 91.9% White, 3.7% Black,		
BMI: 26.5 kg/m2         Current smoker: 10.9%         Physical activity (>5 times/wk):         17.3%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         26.8%         History of hypertension: 23.5%         Heart Disease: 13.1%         Stroke: 2.0%         Cancer: 8.6%         Diabetes: 6.2%         Fair or poor health: 12.1%         Daily aspirin use: 14.4%         Q5         Age: 62.6 years         Male: 63.8%         Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black,         1.3% Hisparic, 0.8% Asian         BMI: 27.3 kg/m2         Current smoker: 17.8%         Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	1.8% Hispanic, 1.1% Asian		
Current smoker: 10.9% Physical activity (>5 times/wk): 17.8% History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 26.8% Heart Disease: 13.1% Stroke: 2.0% Cancer: 8.6% Diabetes: 6.2% Fair or poor health: 12.1% Daily aspirin use: 14.4% Of Age: 62.6 years Male: 63.8% Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current smoker: 17.8% Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	BMI: 26.5 kg/m2		
Physical activity (>5 times/wk):         17.8%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         26.8%         History of hypertension: 23.5%         Heart Disease: 13.1%         Stroke: 2.0%         Cancer: 8.6%         Diabetes: 6.2%         Fair or poor health: 12.1%         Daily aspirin use: 14.4%         Q5         Age: 62.6 years         Male: 63.8%         Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black,         1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian         BMI: 27.3 kg/m2         Current smoker: 17.8%         Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	Divil. 20.5 Kg/ili2		
17.8%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         26.8%         History of hypertension: 23.5%         Heart Disease: 13.1%         Stroke: 2.0%         Cancer: 8.6%         Diabetes: 6.2%         Fair or poor health: 12.1%         Daily aspirin use: 14.4%         Q5         Age: 62.6 years         Male: 63.8%         Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black,         1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian         BMI: 27.3 kg/m2         Current smoker: 17.8%         Physical activity (>5 times/wk):			
17.8%         History of Hypercholesterolaemia:         26.8%         History of hypertension: 23.5%         Heart Disease: 13.1%         Stroke: 2.0%         Cancer: 8.6%         Diabetes: 6.2%         Fair or poor health: 12.1%         Daily aspirin use: 14.4%         Q5         Age: 62.6 years         Male: 63.8%         Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black,         1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian         BMI: 27.3 kg/m2         Current smoker: 17.8%         Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	Physical activity (>5 times/wk):		
History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 26.8% History of hypertension: 23.5% Heart Disease: 13.1% Stroke: 2.0% Cancer: 8.6% Diabetes: 6.2% Fair or poor health: 12.1% Daily aspirin use: 14.4% Q5 Age: 62.6 years Male: 63.8% Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current smoker: 17.8% Physical activity (>5 times/wk):			
26.8% History of hypertension: 23.5% Heart Disease: 13.1% Stroke: 2.0% Cancer: 8.6% Diabetes: 6.2% Fair or poor health: 12.1% Daily aspirin use: 14.4% <u>Q5</u> Age: 62.6 years Male: 63.8% Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current smoker: 17.8% Physical activity (>5 times/wk):			
History of hypertension: 23.5% Heart Disease: 13.1% Stroke: 2.0% Cancer: 8.6% Diabetes: 6.2% Fair or poor health: 12.1% Daily aspirin use: 14.4% Q5 Age: 62.6 years Male: 63.8% Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current smoker: 17.8% Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	History of Hypercholesterolaemia:		
History of hypertension: 23.5% Heart Disease: 13.1% Stroke: 2.0% Cancer: 8.6% Diabetes: 6.2% Fair or poor health: 12.1% Daily aspirin use: 14.4% Q5 Age: 62.6 years Male: 63.8% Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current smoker: 17.8% Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	26.8%		
Heart Disease: 13.1% Stroke: 2.0% Cancer: 8.6% Diabetes: 6.2% Fair or poor health: 12.1% Daily aspirin use: 14.4%			
Stroke: 2.0% Cancer: 8.6% Diabetes: 6.2% Fair or poor health: 12.1% Daily aspirin use: 14.4% Q5 Age: 62.6 years Male: 63.8% Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current smoker: 17.8% Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	History of hypertension. 25.5%		
Cancer: 8.6% Diabetes: 6.2% Fair or poor health: 12.1% Daily aspirin use: 14.4% Q5 Age: 62.6 years Male: 63.8% Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current smoker: 17.8% Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	Heart Disease: 13.1%		
Cancer: 8.6% Diabetes: 6.2% Fair or poor health: 12.1% Daily aspirin use: 14.4% Q5 Age: 62.6 years Male: 63.8% Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current smoker: 17.8% Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	Stroke: 2.0%		
Diabetes: 6.2% Fair or poor health: 12.1% Daily aspirin use: 14.4% Q5 Age: 62.6 years Male: 63.8% Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current smoker: 17.8% Physical activity (>5 times/wk):			
Fair or poor health: 12.1% Daily aspirin use: 14.4% Q5 Age: 62.6 years Male: 63.8% Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current smoker: 17.8% Physical activity (>5 times/wk):			
Daily aspirin use: 14.4% Q5 Age: 62.6 years Male: 63.8% Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current smoker: 17.8% Physical activity (>5 times/wk):			
Daily aspirin use: 14.4% Q5 Age: 62.6 years Male: 63.8% Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current smoker: 17.8% Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	Fair or poor health: 12.1%		
Q5 Age: 62.6 years Male: 63.8% Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current smoker: 17.8% Physical activity (>5 times/wk):			
Åge: 62.6 yearsMale: 63.8%Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black,1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% AsianBMI: 27.3 kg/m2Current smoker: 17.8%Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	Daily aspirin use: 14.4%		
Åge: 62.6 yearsMale: 63.8%Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black,1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% AsianBMI: 27.3 kg/m2Current smoker: 17.8%Physical activity (>5 times/wk):			
Åge: 62.6 yearsMale: 63.8%Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black,1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% AsianBMI: 27.3 kg/m2Current smoker: 17.8%Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	05		
Male: 63.8% Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current smoker: 17.8% Physical activity (>5 times/wk):			
Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current smoker: 17.8% Physical activity (>5 times/wk):			
Race: 92.8% White, 3.6% Black, 1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current smoker: 17.8% Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	Male: 63.8%		
1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian         BMI: 27.3 kg/m2         Current smoker: 17.8%         Physical activity (>5 times/wk):			
BMI: 27.3 kg/m2 Current smoker: 17.8% Physical activity (>5 times/wk):			
Current smoker: 17.8% Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	1.3% Hispanic, 0.8% Asian		
Current smoker: 17.8% Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	BMI: 27.3 kg/m2		
Physical activity (>5 times/wk):			
Physical activity (>5 times/wk):	Current Shloker. 17.070		
	Physical activity (>5 times/wk):		
14.2%	14.2%		
	1	1	

	History of Hypercholesterolaemia: 27.4% History of hypertension: 22.8% Heart Disease: 12.5% Stroke: 2.3% Cancer: 9.1% Diabetes: 13.3% Fair or poor health: 16.9%			
Hooper et al.[22]	Daily aspirin use: 13.1%Potentially relevant records: 20,846Full-texts assessed for eligibility:2155Excluded 1216 full texts, abstractsand trials registry entriesExcluded 192 trials due to duration<52 weeks, intervention was not	<ul> <li>Meta-analysis of RCTs examining effect omega-6 fats on total mortality, cardiovascular events, CHD events, MACCE, and stroke in healthy people or those with CVD</li> <li>Articles sourced from CENTRAL, MEDLINE and Embase to May 2017, Clinical trials.gov; WHO International trials platform to Sept 2016. Checked trials in systematic reviews.</li> <li>Quality of evidence was assessed using GRADE.</li> <li>Study bias assessed using Cochrane 'Risk of Bias' tool</li> <li>Inclusion criteria were: RCTs of at least 12 months duration. Higher versus lower omega 6 fat (including LA, GLA, DGLA, AA or any combination). Intervention had to be dietary supplementation, a provided diet or dietary advice with aim to increase or decrease intake of omega-6 fats or dietary component high in omega-6 fats e.g. sunflower oil if no clear aim stated.</li> <li>Intervention to achieve increase or decrease by 10% of baseline omega 6 intake Diet versus usual diet; no advice, no supplementation or placebo, with lower omega 6 intake.</li> <li>Excluded studies which aimed to increase omega 6 and 3.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Primary outcomes were all-cause mortality, CVD mortality, CVD events (all available data on fatal and not fatal MI; angina and/or stroke), CHD events (MI (fatal or /non fatal) or angina, Major Adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular events (where it was possible to assess the numbers of participants experiencing fatal or non fatal MI; unstable angina and stoke), Stroke (total, fatal and non-fatal, ischaemic and haemorrhagic.</li> <li>Secondary outcomes were Myocardial infarction (MI, total, fatal and non-fatal), Angina, Sudden cardiac death, Atrial fibrillation (AF) (new or recurrent, ventricular tachycardia and/or ventricular fibrillation), Heart failure, Revascularisation (angioplasty or coronary artery bypass grafting), Peripheral arterial disease (PAD), Serum lipids (including TC, fasting TAGs, HDL-C, LDL-C), BMI, body weight and other measures of adiposity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>10 trials reported all-cause mortality Pooled analysis (4506 participants) showed no effect of higher vs. lower intake of omega-6 on all-cause mortality (RR 1.00; 95% CI: 0.88, 1.12)</li> <li>None of the subgroup analysis considering omega-6 type, intervention type, energy replacement, primary or secondary prevention of CVD, dose, duration, statin use, baseline omega-6 intake or sex suggested important differences in mortality between higher or lower omega-6 fats and all-cause mortality.</li> <li>7 trials reported CVD mortality Pooled analysis (4019 participants) showed no effect of higher vs. lower intake of omega-6 on CVD mortality (RR 1.09; 95% CI: 0.76, 1.55). Significant heterogeneity was observed in these studies with some showing protective effects whilst others showing harm. Subgrouping by primary or secondary prevention of CVD did not suggest important differences between subgroups but did reduce heterogeneity and suggested harmful effects of omega-6 fat in secondary prevention trials (RR 1.28; 95% CI: 1.04, 1.57).</li> <li>7 trials reported CVD events. Pooled analysis (4962 participants) showed no effect of higher vs. lower intake of omega-6 on CVD events (RR 0.97; 95% CI: 0.81, 1.15). This was not altered by subgroup analysis.</li> </ul>

16 trials included participants with existing CVD         13 trials provided 0.6 - <1% energy from PUFA, 17 trials provided 1-         <2% energy, 8 trials gave 2-<5% energy, and 11 trials gave ≥5% energy as PUFA.		7 trials reported CHD events. Pooled analysis (3997 participants) showed no effect of higher vs. lower intake of omega-6 on CHD events (RR 0.88; 95% CI: 0.66, 1.17). Where omega-6 fat replaced MUFA, there was an increased risk of CHD events, while omega-6 fat replacing carbohydrates appeared to reduce CHD event risk
Baseline omega-6 intake was <5% energy in 3 trials, 5% to <8% in 3 trials, and at least 8% in 1 trial. 12 trials did not report baselines omeaga-3 intake.		2 trials reported MACCEs. Pooled analysis (2879 participants) showed no effect of higher vs. lower intake of omega-6 on MACCEs (RR 0.84; 95% CI: 0.59, 1.20).
In the majority of studies (9), as LA increased, SFA decreased. MUFA decreased in 5, carbohydrate and protein in 1, and carbohydrates in 1. For 3 trials it was unclear what was replaced in the diet.		4 trials reported stroke. Pooled analysis (3730 participants) showed no effect of higher vs. lower intake of omega-6 on stoke (RR 1.36; 95% CI: 0.45, 4.11). Studies were heterogeneous and CIs very wide. In subgroup analysis increasing omega-6 fat was protective in primary prevention but not secondary prevention.
		7 trials reported MI. Pooled analysis (4606 participants) showed increasing omega-6 was associated with reduced risk of MI (RR 0.88; 95% CI: 0.76, 1.02). Studies were heterogeneous and CIs very wide. There were no differences with subgroup analysis
		10 trials suggested increased omega-6 fats reduces TC (4280 participants, MD -0.33 mmol/L; 95% CI -0.50, -0.16).
		5 trials indicated increasing omega-6 has no effect on TAG (834 participants, MD -0.01 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.23, 0.21), 4 trials showed no effect on HDL-C (1995 participants, MD -0.01 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.03, 0.02), and 2 trials showed no effect on LDL-C (MD -0.04 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.21, 0.14)

Aung et al.[23]Potentially relevant records: 41,406 Texts screened for CV endpoints: 983 Excluded 254 as not human or chincial trial Excluded 254 as not human or chincial trial Excluded 254 as not human or chincial trial Excluded 254 as not human or chincial trial exclude 254 as not human or hist review and agains inclusion or actual a non-fraital CHD and major vascular eventsPrimary outcomes included nonfatal MI; death caused by CHD, schemich, haemorrhagic, and unclassified stroke; coronary or non-coronary and reacting crosscularization procedurers; major vascular eventsOmega-3 supplementation had no significant association with any CHD schemich, beamsorhagic,					Increasing omega-6 had little or no effect on adiposity (based on BMI). Summary Low quality evidence suggests increasing omega-6 fats may make no difference to all-cause mortality, CVD events, CVD mortality, CHD events or stroke. Increasing omega 6
Texts screened for CV endpoints: 983 Excluded 354 as not human or clinical trial Excluded 354 as not human or clinical trial Excluded 354 as study length <6 months 81 reports reviewed against inclusion criteriaassociation of omega-3 supplements with risk of fatal annon-fatal CHD and major vacular eventsCHD; ischemic, haemorrhagic, and unclassified stroke; coronary or non-coronary aterial revascularization events; major vascular events (a composite of first occurrence of nonfatal MI or death caused by CHD; nonfatal DT death caused by CHD; onofatal of fatal stroke; or any revascularization major vascular events (a composite of first outcomes <10 events					based on low quality evidence. High quality evidence suggests increasing omega-6 may lower TC but has no effect on adiposity, LDL-C, HDL-C
Summary	Aung et al.[23]	Texts screened for CV endpoints: 983 Excluded 354 as not human or clinical trial Excluded 548 as study length <6 months 81 reports reviewed against inclusion criteria Excluded 73 due to sample size <500, duration <1 year, and major vascular outcomes <10 events Articles in final meta-analysis: 10 All studies were parallel RCTs Total number of participants in analysis: 77,917 8 studies had double-blind design and were placebo-controlled. 2 had open label design 61.4% of participants were men, with a mean age at entry was 64 years 66.4% of participants had a prior history of CHD, 28% had prior	association of omega-3 supplements with risk of fatal and non-fatal CHD and major vascular events Articles sourced from PUBMED and MEDLINE, plus hand searching of reference lists review articles or previous meta analyses. Used PRISMA guidelines for the conduct of meta analyses and RCTs. Not clear how bias or quality was determined. Inclusion criteria were: Studies must be RCTs, including cross-over and parallel-group designs. Must be trials or marine-derived very long chain omega-3 FA supplements vs. placebo All required use of supplements but no restrictions on EPA or DHA Studies must be 1 year in duration	CHD; ischemic, haemorrhagic, and unclassified stroke; coronary or non-coronary arterial revascularization events; major vascular events (a composite of first occurrence of nonfatal MI or death caused by CHD; nonfatal or fatal stroke; or any revascularization procedure); and all-cause mortality. Deaths caused by CHD included sudden cardiac deaths, deaths due to ventricular arrhythmias, and heart failure in patients with CHD, MI, or deaths occurring after coronary	significant association with any CHD event (RR 0.96; 95% CI: 0.90, 1.01; P = 0.12), CHD death (RR 0.93; 95% CI: 0.83, 1.03; $P = 0.05$ ), nonfatal MI (RR, 0.97; 95% CI: 0.87, 1.08; $P = 0.40$ ), major vascular events (RR 0.97; 95% CI: 0.93, 1.01; $P = 0.10$ ), stroke (RR 1.03; 95% CI: 0.93, 1.13; $P = 0.56$ ), or revascularisaztion events (RR 0.99; 95% CI: 0.94, 1.04; $P = 0.61$ ) Considering history of CHD, diabetes, pre-treatment levels of cholesterol, HDL-C, LDL-C, TAGs or prior use of statin therapy, intake of omega 3 supplements in each subgroup had no significant association with major vascular events Study design (open vs. blind) did not influence lack of association between omega-3 supplementation of non-fatal MI, CHD death, or any CHD. Omega-supplementation was not associated with all-cause mortality (RR 0.96; 95% CI: 0.92, 1.01; $P = 0.16$ )

9/10 trials used a combination of EPA and DHA. EPA dose ranged from 226-1800 mg/d and DHA ranged from 0-1700 mg/d.			This meta-analysis of RCTs does not support the use of omega-3 supplements for the prevention of fatal CHD, nonfatal MI, stroke, revascularization events, or any major vascular events in those with no or pre-existing CVD. Important consideration is DOSE given
19,212 participants eligible Excluded 11,033. 8179 participants randomized (40 to each arm) Randomised 1:1 to either placebo ( <i>n</i> 4089) or intervention ( <i>n</i> 4090). Intervention Age: 64 (57.0-69.0) years Age ≥ 65 years: 45.4% Male: 71.6% White: 90.3% BMI: 30.8 (27.8-34.5) kg/m2 BMI ≥ 30 kg/m2: 57.0% CV risk category: 70.7% secondary; 29.3% primary Ezetimibe use: 6.4% Statin Intensity: 6.2% low, 61.9% moderate, 31.5% high, 0.3% missing Diabetes: 0.7% T1, 57.9% T2, no diabetes 41.5%, missing 00% hsCRP: 2.2 (1.1-4.5) mg/L TAG: 2.4 (2.0-3.1) mmol/L LDL-C: 1.9 (1.6-2.3) mmol/L LDL-C: 1.9 (1.6-2.3) mmol/L Prior Atherosclerotic Coronary Artery Disease and Related Morbidities: 58.4% Prior Atherosclerotic Cerebrovascular Diseases and Related Morbidities: 15.7% Prior Atherosclerotic Cerebrovascular Diseases es 9.5% Prior Non-Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease: 8.2% Prior Cardiac Arrhythmias: 5.6% Prior Non-Cardiac/Non- Atherosclerotic Vascular Disorders: 87.3%	Randomised, double-blind, placebo- controlled trial. Eligible patients randomized in a 1:1 fashion to either icosapent ethyl (2 g twice daily with food) or matching placebo Randomization was stratified by primary vs. secondary prevention, use of ezetimibe, and geographic region	Primary outcome was the total of first plus subsequent ischaemic events consisting of the composite of cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, nonfatal stroke, coronary revascularization, or hospitalization for unstable angina. Secondary endpoint was hard MACE (defined as "cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke"). Follow-up visits continued at 4 and 12 months and annually thereafter until approximately 1,612 primary efficacy endpoint events occurred, after which patients made a final end-of-study visit.	After a median follow-up of 4.9 years there were 1,606 primary end point events lcosapent ethyl significantly reduced rates of first occurrence of the primary end point vs. placebo (HR 0.75; 95% CI: 0.68, 0.83; p<0.0001). lcosapent ethyl significantly reduced rates of second occurrence of the primary end point vs. placebo (HR 0.68; 95% CI: 0.60, 0.78; p<0.0001). Total key secondary endpoint event rates were significantly reduced to 32 from 44 per 1,000 patient-years for icosapent ethyl versus placebo, respectively (RR 0.72; 95% CI: 0.63, 0.82; p<0.0001) Times to first, second, third or fourth occurrence of the primary endpoint were significantly reduced with lcosapent ethyl is a derivative of EPA. Recent studies have questioned the role of omega-3 supplementation in primary and secondary prevention of CVD, and it is clear from these that one of the issues has potentially been the dose of EPA and DHA used. REDUCE-IT used a dose of 4000 mg/d. This trial was also not focussed on LDL-C. Ongoing trials such as STRENGTH, RESPECT EPA, & EVAPORATE will reveal more information on the role of omega-3 supplementation and CVD.

Anti-hypertensive medication: 95.3%		
Anti-platelet medication: 79.7%		
Anticoagulant: 9.4%		
No antithrombotic: 14.3%		
ACEi: 51.7%		
ARB: 27.1%		
Beta blocker: 71.0%		
Beta bioeker. / 1.070		
Placebo		
Age: 64 (57.0-69.0) years		
Age $\geq 65$ years: 46.6%		
Male: 70.8%		
White: 90.2%		
BMI: 30.8 (27.9-34.7) kg/m2		
BMI: 50.8 (27.9-54.7) kg/mi2 BMI $\ge$ 30 kg/m2: 57.8%		
CV risk category: 70.7% secondary;		
29.3% primary		
Ezetimibe use: 6.4%		
Statin Intensity: 6.5% low, 63.0%		
moderate, 30.0% high, 0.5% missing		
Diabetes: 0.7% T1, 57.8% T2, no		
diabetes 41.4%, missing 0.1%		
hsCRP: 2.2 (1.1-4.5) mg/L		
TAG: 2.4 (2.0-3.1) mmol/L		
HDL-C: 1.0 (0.9-1.2) mmol/L		
LDL-C: 2.0(1.6-2.3) mmol/L		
Prior Atherosclerotic Coronary		
Artery Disease and Related		
Morbidities: 58.5%		
Prior Atherosclerotic Cerebrovascular		
Disease and Related Morbidities:		
16.2%		
Prior Atherosclerotic Peripheral		
Artery Disease: 9.5%		
Prior Non-Atherosclerotic		
Cardiovascular Disease: 89.1%		
Prior Cardiac Arrhythmias: 5.9%		
Prior Non-Cardiac/Non-		
Atherosclerotic Vascular Disorders:		
87.2%		
Anti-diabetic medication: 53.7%		
Anti-hypertensive medication: 95.2%		
Anti-platelet medication: 79.1%		
Anticoagulant: 9.5%		
No antithrombotic: 14.7%		
ACEi: 52.1%		
ARB: 26.8%		
Beta blocker: 70.4%		

## Online Supplementary Table 2 Food Groups and their association with CV outcomes

Study	Participant characteristics	Study Design	Measures and time points	Key observations
Study Aune et al.[25]	Participant characteristics Potentially relevant records: 46,082 Excluded 44,823 based on title or abstract Full-texts assessed for eligibility: 1259 Excluded 934 as reported other exposures than vitamin C, E, or carotenoids 325 relevant papers assessed. 230 excluded due to reviews, cross- sectional studies, or supplement use. Articles in final meta-analysis: 99 (69 cohort studies) Follow-up ranged from 4-32 years	Study Design           Meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies assessing relationship between blood concentrations of vitamin C, E, and carotenoids with risk of CHD, stroke, CVD, total cancer, and all-cause mortality           Articles sourced from PubMed and EMBASE to February 2017           PRIMSA criteria followed for reporting of meta analyses           Quality of evidence assessed using Newcastle-Ottawa scale           Study bias assessed using funnel plots and Egger's test           Inclusion criteria unclear	Measures and time points Primary outcomes were risk of CHD, stroke, CVD, total cancer, and all-cause mortality	Key observations 11 studies reported dietary vitamin C intake in relation to CHD. Pooled analysis (240,824 participants) suggested a significant 12% reduction per 100 mg/d (RR 0.88; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.98) in CHD risk with increased vitamin C intake 12 studies reported dietary vitamin C intake in relation to stroke. Pooled analysis (296,066 participants) suggested a significant 8% reduction per 100 mg/d (RR 0.92; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.98) in stroke risk with increased vitamin C intake. There was substantial heterogeneity observed in studies 10 studies reported vitamin C intake in relation to CVD. Pooled analysis (296,066 participants) suggested a significant 11% reduction per 100 mg/d (RR 0.89; 95% CI: 0.85, 0.94) in stroke risk with increased vitamin C intake in relation to total mortality. Pooled analysis (296,066 participants) suggested a significant 11% reduction per 100 mg/d (RR 0.89; 95% CI: 0.85, 0.94) in stroke risk with increased vitamin C intake 16 studies reported blood vitamin C concentration in relation to CHD. Pooled analysis (7514 participants) suggested a significant 26% reduction (RR 0.89; 95% CI: 0.85, 0.94) in CHD

		risk per 50 µmol/L increase in vitamin C concentration
		16 studies reported blood vit C concentration in relation to stroke. Pooled analysis (27,843 participants) suggested a significant 30% reduction (RR 0.70; 95% CI: 0.61, 0.81) in CHD risk per 50 µmol/L increase in vitamin C concentration
		6 studies reported blood vitamin C concentration in relation to stroke. Pooled analysis (45,273 participants) suggested a significant 24% reduction (RR 0.76; 95% CI: 0.61, 0.81) in stroke risk per 50 μmol/L increase in vitamin C concentration
		8 studies reported blood vit C concentration in relation to total mortality. Pooled analysis (48,060 participants) suggested a significant 28% reduction (RR 0.72; 95% CI: 0.66, 0.79) in mortality risk per 50 µmol/L increase in vitamin C concentration
		5 studies reported total dietary carotenoids in relation to CHD. Pooled analysis (91,838 participants) suggested a significant 15% reduction (RR 0.85; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.93) in CVD risk per 5000 µg/d increase in carotenoids intake
		2 studies reported total dietary carotenoids in relation to CVD. Pooled analysis (135,971 participants) suggested a significant 20% reduction (RR 0.80; 95% CI: 0.70, 0.90) in CVD risk per 5000 µg/d increase in carotenoids intake
		5 studies reported total dietary carotenoids in relation to mortality. Pooled analysis (189,079 participants) suggested a significant 12% reduction (RR 0.88; 95% CI: 0.83, 0.93) in CVD

		risk per 5000 µg/d increase in carotenoids intake
		3 studies reported blood carotenoid concentration in relation to CHD.
		Pooled analysis (3040 participants) suggested a significant 17% reduction
		(RR 0.83; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.95) in CHD risk per 100 µg/dL increase in blood
		carotenoids
		7 studies reported blood carotenoid concentration in relation to mortality.
		Pooled analysis (18,559 participants) suggested a significant 26% reduction
		(RR 0.74; 95% CI: 0.62, 0.88) in CHD
		risk per 100 µg/dL increase in blood carotenoids
		4 studies reported total dietary β-
		carotene in relation to CHD. Pooled analysis (99,345 participants) suggested
		a significant 18/% reduction (RR 0.82; 95% CI: 0.68, 0.98) in CVD risk per
		5000 $\mu$ g/d increase in $\beta$ -carotene intake
		7 studies reported total dietary β- carotene in relation to stroke. Pooled
		analysis (201,587 participants) suggested a significant 19% reduction
		(RR 0.81; 95% CI: 0.66, 0.98) in CVD risk per 5000 μg/d increase in β-
		carotene intake
		5 studies reported total dietary β- carotene in relation to mortality. Pooled
		analysis (143,140 participants) suggested a significant 8% reduction
		(RR 0.92; 95% CI: 0.85, 0.98) in
		mortality risk per 5000 $\mu$ g/d increase in $\beta$ -carotene intake
		No significant association between
		dietary $\beta$ -carotene and CVD
		3 studies reported blood β-carotene in relation to CHD. Pooled analysis (2933
		participants) suggested a significant

		20% reduction (RR 0.80; 95% CI: 0.66,
		0.97) in CVD risk per 25 µg/dL increase
		in β-carotene
		3 studies reported blood β-carotene in
		relation to stroke. Pooled analysis
		(30,144 participants) suggested a
		significant 15% reduction (RR 0.85;
		significant 15% feduction (KK 0.85;
		95% CI: 0.74, 0.97) in CVD risk per 25
		$\mu g/dL$ increase in $\beta$ -carotene
		8 studies reported blood β-carotene in
		relation to CVD. Pooled analysis
		(24,428 participants) suggested a
		significant 14% reduction (RR 0.86
		95% CI: 0.78, 0.96) in CVD risk per 25
		$\mu g/dL$ increase in $\beta$ -carotene
		10
		7 studies reported blood β-carotene in
		relation to mortality. Pooled analysis
		(23,141 participants) suggested a
		significant 19% reduction (RR 0.81;
		95% CI: 0.72, 0.90) in mortality risk per
		25 $\mu$ g/dL increase in $\beta$ -carotene
		3 studies reported blood β-
		cryptoxanthinin relation to mortality.
		Pooled analysis (14,985 participants)
		suggested a significant 16% reduction
		(RR 0.84; 95% CI: 0.76, 0.94) in
		mortality risk per 15 µg/dL increase in
		β-cryptoxanthin.
		No significant association existed
		between blood $\beta$ -cryptoxanthin and
		CHD, stroke, or CVD
		No significant associations were
		observed between dietary lycopene and
		CHD, stroke, CVD, or mortality.
		CIID, SHOKE, CVD, OF MORTAILTY.
		No significant associations were
		observed between blood lycopene and
		CHD, stroke, CVD, or mortality.
		<b>AT 1 100 1 1 1</b>
		No significant associations were
		observed between dietary vitamin E and
		CHD, stroke, CVD, or mortality.

				1
				4 studies reported blood α-Tocopherol concentration in relation to stroke. Pooled analysis (69,386 participants) suggested a 10% reduction (RR 0.90; 95% CI: 0.86, 0.95) in stroke risk per 500 µg/dL increase in blood α- Tocopherol 9 studies reported blood α-Tocopherol concentration in relation to mortality. Pooled analysis (52,376 participants) suggested a 6% reduction (RR 0.94; 95% CI: 0.89, 0.99) in mortality risk per 500 µg/dL increase in blood α- Tocopherol Blood α-Tocopherol was not significantly associated with CHD or CVD <b>Summary</b> This meta-analysis showed an inverse association between dietary intake and blood concentration of vitamin C and risk of CHD, stroke, CVD, and all-cause mortality. Dietary carotenoid intake as well as intake of specific carotenoids (β-carotene, lycopene) were inversely associated with CHD, stroke, and mortality, whereas blood concentrations of carotenoids (total, β-carotene, <i>a</i> - carotene, lycopene, β-cryptoxanthin) were inversely associated with CVD, total cancer, and/or all-cause
Yip et al.[26]	Potentially relevant records: 4736 Screened 959 abstracts	Review of evidence from systematic reviews and meta analyses examining the association	Primary outcomes were incidence and/or mortality RR, odds ratio, or HR over a given time span for high-vs low	mortality. For each 100 g/d increases in fruit intake, there was a 14% decreased risk
	Full-text articles assessed for suitability: 87 Excluded 23 due to not meta	between fruit and vegetable intake and the burden of disease	intakes. Secondary outcomes included incidence and/or mortality RR, odds ratio, or hazard ratio over a time span per gram(s) of fruit and/or vegetable intake	of stroke (RR 0.86; 95 % CI 0.84, 0.88). Risk of CVD was decreased by 10% for
	analyses, were comparative risk assessment of used biomarkers	Search PubMed, Ovid, EBSCOhost, Google Scholar databases, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, and World Cancer	per gram(s) of fruit ano/or vegetable intake	each 100 g/d increase in fruit intake (RR 0.90; 95% CI: 0.88, 0.92)
	Articles in final meta analysis: 64	Research Fund International websites (April 2018)		

None of the included studies were based on RCT data Follow up periods not reported.	Quality assessment of studies performed using Assessing the Methodological Quality of Systematic Reviews (AMSTAR) checklist. For cohort studies the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale for Cohort Studies	CHD risk was reduced by 9% for every 100 g/d increase in fruit intake (RR 0.91; 95% CI: 0.89, 0.93) Risk of hypertension was reduced by 3% for each 100 g/d increase in fruit (RP 0.072 05% CI 0.06 0.00)
	was used Inclusion criteria were Only meta analyses examining the direct associations of fruit and/or vegetables intake with burden of disease were considered Studies must quantify the pooled RR directly associated with dietary fruit and/or vegetables as in grams or servings. Studies were excluded if they showed only associations of subgroups (i.e. celery and mushrooms), used biomarkers (either biomarkers of fruit and vegetable intake or biomarkers of fruit and vegetable intake or biomarkers of ithey investigated specific disease interventions	(RR 0.97; 95% CI: 0.96, 0.99)All-cause mortality risk was reduced by 11% for every 100 g/d of fruit intake (RR 0.89; 95% CI: 0.88, 0.90).In general, clear increases in protective associations were observed within the first 300 g/day of intakes but little further increase thereafter.Each 100 g/d increase in tinned fruit was associated with a 19% increase in all-cause mortality (RR 1.19; 95% CI: 1.06, 1.26).In those consuming $\geq$ 34 g/d vs. <17 g/d tinned fruit there was a 23% increased risk of CVD mortality (RR 1.23; 95% CI: 1.05, 1.43)For each 100 g/d increase in vegetables there was a 14% decrease in CHD (RR 0.86; 95% CI: 0.84, 0.89).Risk of stroke was decreased by 12% (RR 0.88; 95% CI: 0.92, 0.95) for every 100 g/d increase in vegetables.CVD risk was decreased by 7% (RR 0.93; 95% CI: 0.92, 0.95) for each 100 g/d increase in vegetables.CVD mortality was reduced by 5% and all-cause mortality by 13% (RR 0.95; 95% CI: 0.91, 0.99 and RR 0.87; 95% CI: 0.84, 0.90, respectively) for each 100g increase in vegetables.
		protective associations were observed

		within the first 300 g/day of intakes but little further increase thereafter.
		For fruit and vegetables combined, each 100 g/d increases was associated with a 8% decreased risk for all-cause mortality (RR 0.91; 95% CI: 0.90, 0.93).
		CVD mortality risk was reduced by 7% (RR 0.93; 95% CI: 0.89, 0.97) for each 100 g/d increase in fruit and vegetables.
		Risk of stroke was decreased by 7% for each 100 g/d increase in fruit and vegetables (RR 0.93; 95% CI: 0.91, 0.95)
		CVD risk was decreased by 4% for each 100 g/d increase in fruit and vegetables (RR 0.96; 95% CI: 0.94, 0.98)
		Risk of CHD was decreased by 4% for each 100 g/d increase in fruit and vegetables (RR 0.96; 95% CI: 0.95, 0.97)
		Risk of hypertension was decreased by 1% for each 100 g/d increase in fruit and vegetables (RR 0.99; 95% CI: 0.99, 0.99)
		Clear increases in protective associations were observed within the first 300 g/day of intake, little further increase thereafter.
		Summary Evidence from this study shows increased fruit and vegetable intakes are associated with reduced burden of CVDs. In this analysis increased consumption of tinned fruit was associated with increased all-cause and CVD mortality.

Heart
-------

D 1 1 11				XX71 1
Bechthold et al.[27]	Potentially relevant records: 16,623 Excluded 16,382 after title/abstract	Meta-analysis of prospective studies examining association between different food	Primary outcomes included CHD (including MI and other coronary artery diseases (like angina)); stroke	Wholegrains 7 studies (6,834 cases), 7 studies
a1.[27]	screening	groups and risk of CHD, stroke, and HF	(haemorrhagic, ischemic); and HF	(11,114 cases) and 5 studies (6,455
	Full texts assessed: 261	groups and fisk of CTID, stroke, and Th	(nachormagic, ischemic), and m	cases) were included in high vs. low
	Excluded 138 due to not relevant	Articles sourced from PUBMED and		, 8
				intake for CHD, stroke, and HF,
	exposure/outcome, not relevant study	EMBASE (until March 2017), plus hand		respectively.
	design, secondary prevention, or meta	searching of reference lists review articles or		
	analysis	previous meta analyses.		Compared with low intakes, high
				intakes of wholegrain were associated
	Articles in final meta-analysis: 123	Used MOOSE guidelines for the conduct of		with lower risk of CHD (RR 0.85; 95%
	Whole grain: 16	meta analyses.		CI: 0.81, 0.90), stroke (RR 0.91; 95%
	Refined grain: 8			CI: 0.82, 1.02) and HF (RR 0.91; 95%
	Vegetables: 32	Inclusion criteria were:		CI: 0.85, 0.97).
	Fruits: 30	Prospective design		
	Nuts: 12	Must contain information on 1 of 12		Each additional daily 30 g of whole
	Legumes: 13	predefined food groups		grains were inversely associated with
	Eggs: 16	Participants $\geq 18$ years		risk of CHD (RR 0.95; 95% CI: 0.92,
	Dairy: 24	Considering CHD including myocardial		0.98, and HF (RR 0.96; 95% CI: 0.95,
	Fish: 47	infarction and other coronary artery diseases		0.97)
	Red meat: 15	(like angina); stroke (haemorrhagic,		,
	Processed meat: 13	ischemic); and HF as outcomes		Risk of CHD decreased by 17% with
	Sugar sweetened beverages: 9	· · ·		increasing intake of whole grains up to
	6	Exclusion criteria		$\sim 100$ g/d. No benefit for increasing
		studies including populations suffering from		intake was apparent above this intake
		chronic disease		intuite was apparent above and intaite
		studies reporting only fatal outcomes		Refined Grains
		Studies aggregating outcomes as total CVD,		5 studies (3286 cases), 6 studies (11,434
		and not reporting on CHD, stroke or HF		cases) and 1 study (1018 cases) were
		separately		included in high vs. low intake for
		separatery		CHD, stroke, and HF, respectively
		Applied the NutriGrade scoring system (max		CIID, subke, and III, respectively
		10 points) which comprises the following		Compared with low intakes, high
		items: (i) risk of bias/study quality/study		intakes of refined grains were associated
		limitations (max. 2 points), (ii) precision		with increased risk of CHD (RR 1.11;
		(max. 1 point), (iii) heterogeneity (max. 1		95% CI: 0.99, 1.25). No association was
		point), (iv) directness (max. 1 point), (v)		
		publication bias (max. 1 point), (v)		observed for stroke or HF
				37 (11
		bias (max. 1 point), (vii) effect size (max. 2		<u>Vegetables</u>
		points), and (viii) dose-response (max. 1		19 studies (19,402 cases), 16 studies
		point)		(12,442 cases) and 3 study (6,267 cases)
				were included in high vs. low intake for
				CHD, stroke, and HF, respectively
				Commenter it loss intoles a high
				Compared with low intakes, a high
				intakes of vegetables was associated
				with lower risk of CHD (RR 0.92; 95%
				CI: 0.87, 0.98) and stroke (RR 0.87;

		95% CI: 0.82, 0.93). No association was observed with HF.
		Each additional daily 100 g of vegetables were inversely associated with risk of CHD (RR 0.97; 95% CI: 0.96, 0.99), stroke (RR 0.92; 95% CI: 0.86, 0.98), and HF (RR 0.96; 95% CI: 0.94, 0.98)
		<u>Fruits</u> 17 studies (17,827 cases), 17 studies (30,523 cases) and 3 study (6,267cases) were included in high vs. low intake for CHD, stroke, and HF, respectively
		Compared with low intakes, a high intakes of fruits was associated with lower risk of CHD (RR 0.89; 95% CI: 0.84, 0.93), stroke (RR 0.83; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.89) and HF (RR 0.95; 95% CI: 0.88, 1.02).
		Each additional daily 100 g of fruits were inversely associated with risk of CHD (RR 0.94; 95% CI: 0.90, 0.97) and stroke (RR 0.90; 95% CI: 0.84, 0.97). There was no association with risk of HF (RR 0.98; 95% CI: 0.94, 1.01)
		<u>Nuts</u> 54 studies (5480 cases), 6 studies (7490 cases) and 3 studies (3613 cases) were included in high vs. low intake for CHD, stroke, and HF, respectively
		Comparing low vs. high intakes suggested a trend for reduced risk of CHD (RR 0.80; 95% CI: 0.62, 1.03). This was not observed for stroke and HF
		Each additional daily 100 g of fruits were inversely associated with risk of CHD (RR 0.94; 95% CI: 0.90, 0.97) and stroke (RR 0.90; 95% CI: 0.84, 0.97). There was no association with risk of HF (RR 0.98; 95% CI: 0.94, 1.01)

	Legumes 10 studies (8228 cases) and 6 studies (6333 cases) were included in high vs. low intake for CHD and stroke, respectively
	Comparing the highest to the lowest categories of legume intake, an inverse association between legume intake and risk of CHD (RR 0.91; 95% CI: 0.84, 0.99), but not with risk of stroke (RR 0.98; 95% CI: 0.88, 1.10)
	A small inverse association was observed for each additional daily intake of 50 g of legumes and risk of CHD (RR 0.96; 95% CI: 0.92, 1.01), but not for stroke (RR 1.00; 95% CI: 0.88, 1.13)
	Eggs 11 studies (14,370 cases), 6 studies (6333 cases), and 4 studies (5059 cases) were included in high vs. low intake for CHD, stroke, and HF respectively.
	Comparing the highest to the lowest categories of egg intake, no association between egg intake and risk of CHD (RR 0.99; 95% CI: 0.94, 1.05) or risk of stroke (RR 0.99; 95% CI: 0.93, 1.05) was observed. A positive association between egg intake and risk of HF (RR
	1.25; 95% CI: 1.12, 1.39) was present There was no association between each increment of 50 g of daily egg intake and risk of CHD (RR 1.00; 95% CI: 0.95, 1.06) or stroke (RR 0.99; 95% CI: 0.93, 1.05) but with risk of HF (RR 1.16; 95% CI: 1.03, 1.31)
	Dairy13 studies (15,790 cases), 12 studies(16,887 cases), and 3 studies (4057cases) were included in high vs. low

	intake for CHD, stroke, and HF respectively.
	Comparing the highest to the lowest categories of dairy intake, no associations were observed between dairy intake and risk of CHD (RR 0.99; 95% CI: 0.92, 1.07), stroke (RR 0.96; 95% CI: 0.90, 1.01), or HF (RR 1.00; 95% CI: 0.90, 1.10)
	Each additional daily 200 g of dairy were not associated with risk of CHD (RR 0.99; 95% CI: 0.96, 1.02) or stroke (RR 0.98; 95% CI: 0.96, 1.00), but were positively associated with risk of HF (RR 1.08; 95% CI: 1.01, 1.15). No significant differences could be observed for low-fat and high-fat dairy and risk of CHD and stroke.
	Fish 22 studies (16,732 cases), 20 studies (14,360 cases), and 8 studies (7945 cases) were included in high vs. low intake for CHD, stroke, and HF respectively.
	Comparing the highest to the lowest categories, a small inverse association between fish intake and risk of CHD (RR 0.94; 95% CI: 0.88,1.02) or stroke (RR 0.95; 95% CI: 0.89, 1.01), and a stronger inverse association between fish intake and risk of HF (RR 0.89; 95% CI: 0.80, 0.99) was observed
	Each additional daily 100 g of fish were inversely associated with risk of CHD (RR 0.88; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.99), stroke (RR 0.86; 95% CI: 0.75, 0.99), and HF (RR 0.80; 95% CI: 0.67, 0.95)
	Red Meat 3 studies (6659 cases), 7 studies (10,541 cases), and 5 studies (9229 cases) were included in high vs. low intake for CHD, stroke, and HF, respectively.

 1		
		Comparing the highest to the lowest categories, a positive association between red meat intake and risk of CHD (RR 1.16; 95% CI: 1.08, 1.24,), stroke (RR 1.16; 95% CI: 1.08, 1.25), and HF (RR 1.12; 95% CI: 1.04, 1.21) was observed
		Each additional daily 100 g of red meat were positively associated with risk of CHD (RR 1.15; 95% CI: 1.08,1.23,), stroke (RR 1.12; 95% CI: 1.06, 1.17), and HF (RR 1.08; 95% CI: 1.02, 1.14)
		Processed meat 5 studies (7038 cases), 6 studies (9492 cases), and 3 studies (7077 cases) were included in high vs. low intake for CHD, stroke, and HF, respectively.
		Comparing the highest to the lowest categories, a positive association between processed meat intake and risk of CHD (RR 1.15; 95% CI: 0.99, 1.33), stroke (RR 1.16; 95% CI: 1.07, 1.26), and HF (RR 1.27; 95% CI: 1.14, 1.41)
		Each additional daily 50 g of processed meat were positively associated with risk of CHD (RR 1.27; 95% CI: 1.09, 1.49), stroke (RR 1.17; 95% CI: 1.02, 1.34), and HF (RR 1.12; 95% CI: 1.05, 1.19)
		<u>Sugar-sweetened beverages</u> 5 studies (8470 cases), 7 studies (11,187 cases), and 2 studies (8603 cases) were included in high vs. low intake for CHD, stroke, and HF, respectively.
		Comparing the highest to the lowest categories, a positive association between SSB intake and risk of CHD (RR 1.10; 95% CI: 1.01, 1.20) and stroke (RR 1.09; 95% CI: 1.01, 1.18), but no association with HF risk (RR

				1.11; 95% CI: 0.88, 1.39) were observed Each additional daily 250 ml of SSB were positively associated with risk of CHD (RR 1.17; 95% CI: 1.11, 1.23,), stroke (RR 1.07; 95% CI: 1.02, 1.12), and HF (RR 1.08; 95% CI: 1.05, 1.12) Summary This meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies confirms previously understood thinking around cardioprotective components. It also highlights foods which appear to show little or no association with CHD, stroke, or HF (eggs and dairy)
al.[28] eligibilit 86 exclu 221 part 3 arms: High fla Low flav Control Total dr HF Grou Age: 50 Men: 62 Nonsmo BMI: 27 Waist ci Mean 22 Blood gl TC: 5.7 TAG: 1. HDL-C: LDI-Acl LDI-SN PWV*: PWA Ai PWA Ai PWA Ai	uded tticipants randomized to one of avonoid (HF): n 74 avonoid (LF): n 70 1 (CT): n 77 rop outs: 67 <u>oup</u> 0 ± 1 years	Single-blind, dose-dependent, parallel randomised controlled trial 18 week duration Only those with an RR of CVD >1.5, established by using a methodology adapted from the Framingham CVD risk scoring tool, were recruited and randomly assigned to 1 of 3 dietary groups: High flavonoid (HF): n 74 Low flavonoid (LF): n 70 Control (CT): n 77 Portions of F&Vs were defined as 80 g for fresh, frozen, or canned items or 40 g for dried items and ≥150 mL fresh juice Used USDA flavonoids database to define HF and LF foods. HF and LF foods were defined as >15 mg/100 g and as <5 mg/100 g of total flavonoids, respectively, with adjustments made to account for fresh, dry, or canned F&V weight.	<ul> <li>Primary outcome was vascular function and was powered based on microvascular reactivity</li> <li>Participants attended 4 clinic visits (week 0, 6, 12, and 18)</li> <li>2 week run in on habitual diet followed by baseline (week 0 visit). HF and LF participants' target intake of F&amp;Vs was increased over and above habitual intake by 2, 4, and 6 (+2, +4, and +6) 80-g portions/d over 3 consecutive 6-wk periods (+2, +4, and +6)</li> <li>Vascular function, 24hr ambulatory BP, fasting blood samples (lipids), and 24-hr urine collected at each visit.</li> <li>3-d dietary intake and adverse effects were assessed at weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12.</li> <li>Compliance assessed with 2 24-hr dietary recalls and biomarkers of F&amp;V intake (plasma vitamin C, folate, and carotenoids, and urinary flavonoids and potassium)</li> </ul>	Dose-dependent increase in dietary and urinary flavonoids in the HF group, with no change in other groups (P = 0.0001). Dietary intakes of folate (P=0.035), non-starch polysaccharides (P=0.001), vitamin C (P=0.0001), and carotenoids (P=0.0001) increased in both intervention groups compared with the control group Men in the HF group showed improved endothelium-dependent vasodilation (measured by LDI-Ach-AUC) with +2 target portions /d, remaining elevated with +4 and +6 portions/d (P=0.017). There was no significant effect of HF treatment in women. Women in the LF treatment arm showed improvements in endothelium- independent microvascular reactivity (measured via LDI-SNP AUC) with +2 portions/d (P=0.0002) but increased in those consuming +6 portions/d (P=0.0309) CRP was significantly reduced in men consuming +4 and +6 portions/d

•		
CRP*:		compared with baseline and +2
ICAM*:		portions/d (P=0.001).
VCAM*:		
E-selectin*:		Men in the HF and LF groups had
vWF*:		significantly lower CRP at $+2$ (P =
TNF- $\alpha^*$ :		0.0126) and +4 target portions (P =
IL-6*:		0.001) compared with control men.
NO*:		Significant reductions in VACM
Fibrinogen*:		(P=0.0468), E-selectin (men; P=0.0005,
Fibrilogen		women: P=0.0047) were also observed
LEG		
LF Group		in both HF and LF groups.
Age: $51 \pm 1$ years		
Men: 58%		NO was significantly increased in the
Nonsmoker: 86.4%		HF arm (P=0.0293) with +4 portions/d
BMI: 28.0 ± 0.3 kg/m2		compared with LF and CT groups. NO
Waist circumference: $93.9 \pm 0.7$ cm		decreased in the CT group (P=0.0299).
Mean 24-hr SBP: 128 ± 2 mmHg		'
Mean 24-hr DBP: 77 ± 1 mmHg		Summary
Blood glucose: $5.7 \pm 0.0$ mmol/L		This study demonstrates that +2
TC: $5.6 \pm 0.1$ mmol/L		portions of flavonoid-rich fruits and
TAG: $1.4 \pm 0.0 \text{ mmol/L}$		vegetables (berries, citrus fruit,
HDL-C: $1.6 \pm 0.0 \text{ mmol/L}$		apples, grapes, peppers, onions,
LDLC: $3.7 \pm 0.1$ mmol/L		broccoli, and herbs) per day improves
		arterial function and +4 portions/day
LDI-Ach AUC*: 960 ± 71		
LDI-SNP AUC*: 975 ± 78		reduces inflammation (especially in
PWV*: $8.5 \pm 0.3$ m/s		men with increased CVD risk). This
PWA Aix*: 25.1 ± 1.7 %		is evidence to increase consumption
PWA AIx HR75*: 20.3 ± 1.7 %		of flavonoid-rich fruits and
DVP-SI*: 7.9 ± 0.2 m/s		vegetables, and highlights the need to
DVP-RI*: 69.7 ± 1.8 %		focus on specific types of fruit and
HR*: 63 ± 1 bpm		vegetables, rather than as a whole
CRP*: $1.8 \pm 0.2 \ \mu g/mL$		category.
ICAM*: 903 ± 36 ng/mL		
VCAM*: 654 ± 24 ng/mL		
E-selectin*: $36.0 \pm 1.9 \text{ ng/mL}$		
vWF*: $92.6 \pm 4.9$ % of normal		
$PAI-1*: 3.3 \pm 0.4 \text{ ng/mL}$		
$TNF-\alpha^*: 1.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ pg/mL}$		
$IL-6^*: 1.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ pg/mL}$		
NO*: $10.4 \pm 0.3 \mu mol/L$		
Fibrinogen*: $3.2 \pm 0.1$ g/L		
<u>CT Group</u>		
Age: $52 \pm 1$ years		
Men: 63%		
Nonsmoker: 89.5%		
BMI: 27.3 ± 0.4 kg/m2		
Waist circumference: $92.3 \pm 1.0$ com		

McEvoy et al.[29]	Mean 24-hr SBP: $125 \pm 2 \text{ mmHg}$ Mean 24-hr DBP: $76 \pm 1 \text{ mmHg}$ Blood glucose: $5.5 \pm 0.0 \text{ mmol/L}$ TC: $5.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ mmol/L}$ TAG: $1.3 \pm 0.0 \text{ mmol/L}$ HDL-C: $1.5 \pm 0.0 \text{ mmol/L}$ LDL-C: $3.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ mmol/L}$ LDI-Ach AUC*: $1180 \pm 124$ LDI-SNP AUC*: $1209 \pm 117$ PWV*: $8.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ m/s}$ PWA Aix*: $25.1 \pm 1.8 \text{ m/s}$ PWA Aix*: $25.1 \pm 1.8 \text{ m/s}$ PWA Aix*: $25.1 \pm 1.8 \text{ m/s}$ PWA Aix HR75*: $18.2 \pm 1.8$ DVP-SI*: $8.2 \pm 0.3 \text{ m/s}$ DVP-RI*: $72.9 \pm 1.9 \text{ m/s}$ HR*: $61 \pm 1 \text{ m/s}$ CRP*: $2.0 \pm 0.3 \mu\text{g/mL}$ ICAM*: $932 \pm 31 \text{ ng/mL}$ VCAM*: $641 \pm 24 \text{ ng/mL}$ E-selectin*: $34.8 \pm 1.4 \text{ ng/mL}$ vWF*: $75.4 \pm 5.6 \%$ of normal PAI-1*: $3.4 \pm 0.4 \text{ ng/mL}$ IL-6*: $1.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ pg/mL}$ NO*: $10.6 \pm 0.3 \mu\text{mol/L}$ Fibrinogen*: $3.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ g/L}$ 105 participants recruited and commenced 4 week run-in 13 lost prior to randomisation	Randomised controlled parallel trial 12 week duration (excluding 4 week run-in)	Primary outcomes were changes in blood pressure, lipids, or inflammatory markers (hsCRP).	No significant change in self-reported F&V intake in 2 portions/d group. Mean F&V intake increased to 3.8 and 7.1
	92 randomised to 1 of 3 arms: 2 portions/d: n 29 4 portions/d: n 31 7 portions/d: n 32 89 participants completed study 2 <u>portions/d</u> Age: 55.9 $\pm$ 4.9 years Men: 55% Current smoker: 17% Weight: 87.1 $\pm$ 11.4 kg BMI: 31.3 $\pm$ 2.4 kg/m2 Waist circumference: 104 $\pm$ 8.1 cm Waist-to-hip ratio: 0.96 $\pm$ 0.08 Body fat: 39.6 $\pm$ 7.7 % 24-hr SBP: 127.0 $\pm$ 13.9 mmHg 24-hr DBP: 76.4 $\pm$ 10.9 mmHg TC: 5.55 $\pm$ 0.95 mmol/L	Participants recruited from hospital outpatient clinics and from the general public. All participants were low F&V consumers ( $\leq 2$ portions/d or $\leq 160$ g/d), overweight (BMI: >27 and $\leq 35$ kg/m2), and without pre- existing CVD or diabetes but had a combination of risk factors that placed them at high total risk (estimated multifactorial CVD risk $\geq 20\%$ over 10 y) of developing atherosclerotic CVD for the first time Randomly assigned to 1 of 3 groups: 2 portions/d (160 g/d) 4 portions/d (320 g/d) 7 portions/d (560 g/d)	<ul> <li>4 week run-in where F&amp;V intake was restricted to &lt;2 portions/d.</li> <li>Participants given personalised dietetic advice to increase F&amp;V intake and encourage variety. All participants received F&amp;V.</li> <li>Compliance with the study protocol was monitored weekly via telephone during the intervention period and determined with use of self-reported dietary data collected pre- and post-intervention using a 4-d food record.</li> <li>Anthropometry, blood pressure, lipids, and hsCRP measured at baseline (week 0) and week 12</li> </ul>	portions/d within the 4 and 7 portions/d groups, respectively (P<0.0001). Mean change in self-reported F&V intake was significantly correlated with mean change in lutein status (P<0.0001) and mean change in $\beta$ -cryptoxanthin status (P = 0.03). Increasing F&V intake had no impact on either SBP or DBP Increasing F&V had no significant impact on any measured lipid parameter. In the 2 portions/d group LDL-C increased (P=0.05) but remained unchanged in the 4 and 7 portions/d groups (P=0.70 and P=0.37, respectively).

LDL-C: 3.36 ± 0.94 mmol/L	1 F&V portion was defined as an 80-g	No evidence of a dose-response effect
HDL-C: $1.34 \pm 0.30$ mmol/L	serving	of increasing F&V intake on hsCRP
TAG: $2.00 \pm 0.83$ mmol/L	berving	concentrations ( $P_{trend}=0.33$ ).
TC:HDL-C: $4.32 \pm 1.21$		concentrations (Firend=0.55).
Blood glucose: $5.48 \pm 0.49$		Summary
		This study suggests no direct effects
Antihypertensive medication: 28 %		
Lipid-lowering medication: 41 %		of increasing fruit and vegetable
F&V portions: $1.71 \pm 0.98$		intake on blood pressure, lipids, or
		inflammation. No information was
4 portions/d		provided on what fruits and
Age: $57.7 \pm 5.9$ years		vegetables were consumed
Men: 71%		
Current smoker: 19%		
Weight: $90.4 \pm 9.4$ kg		
BMI: $31.0 \pm 2.5 \text{ kg/m2}$		
Waist circumference: $105 \pm 6.6$ cm		
Waist-to-hip ratio: $0.98 \pm 0.05$		
Body fat: $36.7 \pm 6.4 \%$		
24-hr SBP: 126.5 ± 10.9 mmHg		
24-hr DBP: $76.5 \pm 7.7$ mmHg		
TC: $5.35 \pm 1.10$ mmol/L		
LDL-C: $3.18 \pm 1.00 \text{ mmol/L}$		
HDL-C: $1.27 \pm 0.38$ mmol/L		
TAG: 1.98 ± 0.79 mmol/L		
TC:HDL-C: $4.42 \pm 1.10$		
Blood glucose: $5.64 \pm 0.63$		
Antihypertensive medication: 39 %		
Lipid-lowering medication: 42 %		
F&V portions: $1.70 \pm 0.70$		
7 portions/d		
Age: $54.4 \pm 6.8$ years		
Men: 66%		
Current smoker: 34%		
Weight: $87.8 \pm 9.9 \text{ kg}$		
BMI: $30.6 \pm 2.1 \text{ kg/m2}$		
Waist circumference: $103 \pm 6.2$ cm		
Waist circumfetchee: $105 \pm 0.2$ cm Waist-to-hip ratio: $0.96 \pm 0.05$		
Body fat: 37.6 ± 7.4 %		
24-hr SBP: $129.7 \pm 11.7$ mmHg		
24-hr DBP: 76.9 ± 8.3 mmHg		
TC: $5.70 \pm 1.12 \text{ mmol/L}$		
LDL-C: 3.57 ± 1.05 mmol/L		
HDL-C: $1.22 \pm 0.33$ mmol/L		
TAG: $2.02 \pm 0.97$ mmol/L		
TC:HDL-C: 4.93 ± 1.44		
Blood glucose: $5.54 \pm 0.60$		
Antihypertensive medication: 28 %		

	Lipid-lowering medication: 25 % F&V portions: $1.62 \pm 0.81$			
	*			
Zhong et al.[31]	Total participants: $n = 29,615$ Mean age: $51.6 \pm 13.5$ years <i>ARIC</i> : Men: $45.6\%$ , Women: $54.4\%$ Age: $54.3\pm5.8$ years Ethnicity: Black 24%, White 76% Current smoker: $26.3\%$ BMI: $27.7 \pm 5.3\text{kg/m}^2$ SBP: $121.1 \pm 18.7$ mmHg HDL-C: $1.3 \pm 0.4$ mmol/L Non-HDL-C: $4.25 \pm 1.44$ mmol/L Diabetes: $10.8\%$ Antihypertensive medication: $31\%$ Lipid lowering medication: $31\%$ Hormonal therapy: $10.1\%$ Total energy 1534 kcals/d (IQR 1189-1960), Egg intake $0.14/d$ (IQR 0.07-0.43), dietary cholesterol $227mg/d (IQR 0-6.2), alcohol 0g/d (IQR0-6.2), aHEI**-2010 score 40.6\pm8.7CARDIA:Men: 43.6\%Women: 56.4\%Age: 25.7\pm3.1 yearsEthnicity: Black 48\%, White 52\%Current smoker: 29.8\%BMI: 24.6 \pm 5.1\text{kg/m}^2SBP: 110.4 \pm 10.9mmHgHDL-C: 1.4 \pm 0.3 mmol/LNon-HDL-C: 3.2 \pm 0.9 mmol/LDiabetes: 0.8\%Antihypertensive medication: 2.5\%Lipid lowering medication: 2.5\%Total energy 2460 kcal/d (IQR 0.17-0.87), dietary cholesterol 384 \pm 259-567 mg/d, alcohol 1.2 g/d (IQR 0-13.2), aHEI-2010 score 43.4 \pm 11.1FHS$	Meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies Participants taken from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study, Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) Study, Framingham Heart Study (FHS), Framingham Offspring Study (FOS), Jackson Heart Study (JHS), and the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) Exclusion criteria: CVD at baseline, participants consuming <500 Kcals/day and > 6000 Kcals/day, or missing data from study variables.	<ul> <li>Primary outcomes were incident CVD (including fatal and non-fatal CHD, stroke, heart failure and other CVD deaths), and all-cause mortality.</li> <li>Each study assessed self-reported usual dietary intake (dietary assessment method not reported but all cohorts used different dietary assessment tools (except the two Framingham cohorts)</li> <li>Diet data were harmonized cohort by cohort, only baseline measures were included in the study (start dates between 1985 and 2005).</li> <li>Consumption frequencies were converted into estimated numbers per day using the middle value (e.g. 3-4 times/week =0.5 times per day). One serving was standardised across cohorts and food groups were constructed using the same definitions. Ingredients from mixed dishes were considered and appropriate portions determined for each cohort.</li> <li>Models adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, education total energy, smoking status, physical activity score, alcohol intake, co-use of hormone therapy, BMI, diabetes, systolic BP, use of anti-hypertensive medication, HDL-C, non-HDL-C, and use of lipid lowering medication</li> <li>To further evaluate whether dietary cholesterol or egg intake within different dietary patterns altered the association with incident CVD and all-cause mortality, major food groups were adjusted individually or incorporated into 3 diet pattern scores: alternate Healthy Eating Index 2010 (aHEI- 2010) score, alternate Mediterranean Diet (MedDiet) score or Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH).</li> <li>Median follow up 17.5 years, interquartile range 13.0-21.7, maximum 31.3 years (1985-2016)</li> </ul>	Mean cholesterol intake was 285±184 mg/d Mean egg consumption was 0.34±0.46 eggs/d Higher consumption of dietary cholesterol or eggs was significantly associated with higher risk of incident CVD and all-cause mortality in a dose dependant manner. Each additional 300mg of dietary cholesterol consumed per day was significantly associated with higher risk of incident CVD (HR 1.17; 95%CI 1.09, 1.26, adjusted ADR 3.24%; 95%CI 1.39, 5.08*) and all-cause mortality (HR 1.18; 95% CI: 1.10, 1.26], adjusted ARD 4.43%; 95% CI: 2.51, 6.36). *each additional 300mg cholesterol per day is associated with a 3.24% greater absolute risk of CVD over the follow-up period (i.e. 32 additional cases of CVD per 1000 participants). Each additional half egg consumed per day was significantly associated with higher risk of incident CVD (adjusted HR 1.06; 95% CI: 1.03, 1.10], adjusted ARD 1.11%; 95% CI: 0.32, 1.89) and all-cause mortality (adjusted HR 1.08; 95% CI: 1.04-1.11, adjusted ARD 1.93%; 95% CI: 1.01,2.76) Association between dietary cholesterol and incident CVD and all-cause mortality were no longer significant after adjusting for consumption of eggs, processed and unprocessed meat. The dietary cholesterol content of eggs fully explained the association between egg consumption and incident CVD, and

Men: 34.2%		largely explained the association
Men: 34.2% Women: 65.8%,		between egg consumption and all-cause
Age: $73.4 \pm 3$ years		mortality.
Ethnicity: White 100%		
Current smoker: 10.2%		The significant associations of dietary
BMI: $26.6 \pm 4.7$ kg/m <sup>2</sup>		cholesterol consumption with CVD and
SBP: 146 ± 20.6 mmHg		all-cause mortality were independent of
HDL-C: 1.3 ± 0.4 mmol/L		the fat amount and quality of the diet
Non-HDL-C: $4.4 \pm 1.0$ mmol/L		
Diabetes: 9.6 %		Authors found the effect of egg and
Antihypertensive medication: 43%		dietary cholesterol remained after
Lipid lowering medication: 5.9%		accounting for the beneficial effect of
Hormone therapy: 4.9%		different dietary models; aHEI-2010
Total energy 1676 kcal/d (IQR 1802-		score (HR 1.18; 95% CI: 1.10, 1.26),
3348), egg intake 0.14/d (IQR 0.07-		MedDiet (HR 1.18; 95% CI: 1.10,
0.43), dietary cholesterol 221 mg/d		1.26), DASH (HR 1.19; 95% CI: 1.11,
(IQR 152-308), alcohol 1.2 g/d (IQR		
		1.27).
0-13.2), aHEI-2010 score 50.9 ± 9.6		9
505		Summary
FOS		This is a statistically strong study
Men: 45.4%		representing the ethnically diverse US
Women: 54.6%		population. However, the authors
Age: 73.4±3 years		themselves report that the effect of
Ethnicity: White 100%		increasing egg intake on incident
Current smoker: 19.2%		CVD is modest and the clinical
BMI: $27.3 \pm 4.8$ kg/m <sup>2</sup>		significance of this unknown. The
SBP: 125 ± 18.1 mmHg		study findings were based on a single
HDL-C: $1.3 \pm 0.4$ vmmol/L		measure of self-reported dietary
Non-HDL-C: $4.0 \pm 1.0$ mmol/L		intake at baseline when the average
Diabetes: 6 %		follow-up time was 17 years. This
Antihypertensive medication: 17%		does not take into account any
Lipid lowering medication: 6.2%		changes to habitual cholesterol or egg
Hormone therapy: 10.3%		intake during that time. The results
Total energy 1786 kcal/d (IQR 1413-		are very much in contrast to the null
2233), egg intake 0.14/d (IQR 0.07-		effects of egg consumption of CVD
0.43), dietary cholesterol 209mg/d,		risk in other recent studies.
(IQR 153-280), alcohol 3.2 g/d (IQR		Tisk in other recent studies.
$(10K 153-280)$ , alcohol 5.2 g/d (10K 0-13.2), aHEI-2010 score $45.8\pm9.4$		
0-15.2), allL1-2010 SCOLC 45.017.4		
JHS		
Men: 37.7%		
Women: 62.3%,		
Ethnicity: Black 100%		
Age: $49.3 \pm 10.6$ years		
Current smoker: 11.9%		
BMI: $31.9 \pm 7.3 \text{ kg/m}^2$		
SBP: 124.4 ± 15.3 mmHg		
HDL-C: $1.3 \pm 0.4$ mmol/L		

	Non-HDL-C: 3.8 ± 1.0 mmol/L			1
	Diabetes: $11\%$			
	Antihypertensive medication: 40.3%			
	Lipid lowering medication: 7.3%			
	Hormone therapy: 14%			
	Total energy 1999 kcal/d (IQR 1446-			
	2736), egg intake 0.32/d (IQR 0.09-			
	0.65), dietary cholesterol 306mg/d			
	(IQR 196-473), alcohol 0.1 g/d (IQR 0-1.7) aHEI-2010 score 51 ± 9.8			
	$0-1.7$ ) affel-2010 score $51 \pm 9.8$			
	MESA			
	Men: 47.6%			
	Women: 52.4%			
	Age: 61.4±9.6 years			
	Ethnicity: Black 26.6%, Hispanic			
	22.2%, Chinese 11.8 %, White 39.4%			
	Education: < high school 17.8%, high			
	school 17.4%, ≥college64.9%			
	Current smoker: 13.1%			
	BMI: $28.3 \pm 5.4$ kg/m <sup>2</sup>			
	SBP: 125.9 ± 20.9mmHg			
	HDL-C: 1.3 ± 0.4 mmol/L			
	Non-HDL-C: $3.7 \pm 0.7$ mmol/L			
	Diabetes: 12.4%			
	Antihypertensive medication: 36.3 %			
	Lipid lowering medication: 16.1 %			
	Hormone therapy: 15.5 %			
	Total energy 1515 kcal/d (IQL 1095-			
	2065), egg intake 0.14/d (IQR 0.04-			
	0.29), dietary cholesterol 209 mg/d			
	(IQR 133-326), alcohol, 0.5g/d (IQR			
	0.1-5.3), aHEI-2010 score 51 ± 9.8			
Qin et al.[32]	512 896 Chinese participants in	Prospective cohort study (China Kadoorie	Primary outcomes were morbidity or mortality from	Median follow-up 8.9 years (IQR 2.15
	original cohort.	Biobank (CKB) Study.	CVD, IHD, haemorrhagic stroke and ischaemic stroke, as	years)
	Definition in the coord		well as major coronary events (MCE) including fatal	At baseline 13.1% of participants
	Participants recruited between 2004-	Baseline assessment of habitual frequency of	IHD death and incident non-fatal MI.	reported daily consumption of eggs
	2008 from 10 geographical locations.	egg consumption over the previous year was		(usual amount 0.76 eggs/day) and 9.1%
		used to inform groups:	Baseline data collected between 2004 and 2008 to	reported never or rare consumption.
	Excluded participants with baseline	,	completion, which occurred at diagnosis of CVD	
	cancer, CHD or stroke, self-reported	never or rarely	endpoint, death, loss to follow-up or 31 <sup>st</sup> December 2015	Among the 461,213 subjects, there were
	diabetes of on-site fasting plasma	1 to 3 days per month	(whichever came first).	83,977 CVD incident cases, 9,985 CVD
	$glucose \ge 7.0 \text{ mmol/L}$	1 to 3 days per week		deaths and 5,103 MCE.
	N ( 1	4 to 6 days per week	Used a non-validated, qualitative food frequency	Compared to non-consumers, daily egg
	<u>Never/rarely</u>	daily	questionnaire to assess diet data	consumption was associated with lower
	Participants: $n$ 42,046	No details on habitual diet were provided	Covariates collected at baseline questionnaire, including	risk of CVD (HR 0.89; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.92).
			L ovariates collected at baseline questionnaire including	09/1
	Age: 52.3 ± 10.8 years Men: 33.9 %	No details on naoituar diet were provided	anthropometric data, socio-demographic information,	0.52).

DML 22.7 + 2.5 h = / = 2		Multimeriate a directed LID (050% CD f
BMI: $23.7 \pm 3.5 \text{ kg/m2}$	lifestyle behaviours (smoking, alcohol intake, physical	Multivariate-adjusted HR (95% CI) for
Current drinking: 19.3 %	activity and diet), medical history (self reported HTN	IHD was 0.88 (0.84-0.93), MCE 0.86
Current smoking: 34.1 %	and use of BP lowering medication, aspirin and statins,	(0.76-0.97, haemorrhagic stroke 0.74
Physical activity: 21.5 13.5 MET h/d	family history of CHD or stroke	(0.67-0.82), and ischaemic stroke 0.90
Hypertension: 36.9 %	Logistic regression or multiple linear regression (for	(0.85-0.95).
Family history of CVD: 20.2 %	continuous variable) was conducted to compare age, sex-	
Diet pattern	, site adjusted proportions or means of baseline	Daily consumers had an 11% lower risk
New affluence: 10.3 %	characteristics by frequency of egg intake.	of IHD, 18% lower risk of CVD death.
Traditional southern: 64.6 %	HR and 95% CI were estimated for the associations	and 28% lower risk of haemorrhagic
Multivitamin use: 2.7 %	between egg consumption and CVD. The multivariate	stroke death compared to non-
	model was adjusted for all covariates listed in participant	consumers.
1–3 days/month	characteristics.	consumers.
Participants: n 92,568	characteristics.	Each and and in successful and a successful and
		Each one-egg increment per week was
Age: $51.2 \pm 10.6$ years		associated with an 8% lower risk of
Men: 38.9 %		haemorrhagic stroke (HR 0.92; 95% CI:
BMI: 23.5 ± 3.3 kg/m2		0.90, 0.95).
Current drinking: 17.9 %		
Current smoking: 32.6 %		Similar associations were observed for
Physical activity: 22.1 ± 14.4 MET		CVD and haemorrhagic stroke mortality
h/d		HRs (daily consumption vs non
Hypertension: 34.1 %		consumption) were 0.82 (95% CI: 0.75,
Family history of CVD: 19.3 %		0.89) and 0.72 (95% CI: 0.62, 0.84),
Diet pattern		respectively. The inverse associations
New affluence: 10.2 %		with mortality from IHD and ischaemic
Traditional southern: 64.1 %		stroke were non-significant.
Multivitamin use: 2.6 %		
		Further analysis demonstrated that egg
<u>1-3 days/week</u>		consumption was not associated with
Participants: n 2,169,00		morbidity of mortality of any CVD
Age: 50.2 ± 10.3 years		endpoint among diabetic patients
Men: 42.2 %		(diagnosed during study).
BMI: $23.5 \pm 3.3 \text{ kg/m2}$		
Current drinking: 18.6 %		Among Chinese adults, a moderate level
Current smoking: 32.1 %		of egg consumption (up to <1 egg per
Physical activity: $21.9 \pm 14.1$ MET		day) was significantly associated with
h/d		lower risk of CVD.
Hypertension: 32.2 %		IOWCI HISK OF C Y D.
		<b>G</b>
Family history of CVD: 19.6 %		Summary
Diet pattern		Inconsistent with other studies. There
New affluence: 19.0 %		is potential misclassification of egg
Traditional southern: 56.6 %		consumption due to a non-validated
Multivitamin use: 3.4 %		FFQ and recall issues, change of
		habitual egg consumption after
4–6 days/week		developing disease (reverse causality).
Participants: n 49,182		This study did not contain any groups
Age: $49.7 \pm 10.3$ years		that eat more than one egg per day,
Men: 42.3 %		and so no association could be made
BMI: $23.5 \pm 3.3 \text{ kg/m2}$		
Divit. 23.3 $\pm$ 3.3 Kg/III2		with >1 egg per day and CVD.

Alexander et al.[33]	Current drinking: 18.5 % Current smoking: 31.5 % Physical activity: 21.9 $\pm$ 13.2 MET h/d Hypertension: 30.5 % Family history of CVD: 20.3 % Diet pattern New affluence: 35.6 % Traditional southern: 41.0 % Multivitamin use: 4.4 % 7 days/week Participants: n 60,427 Age: 51.6 $\pm$ 10.9 years Men: 44.2 % BMI: 23.4 $\pm$ 3.4 kg/m2 Current drinking: 21.0 % Current smoking: 32.7 % Physical activity: 21.7 $\pm$ 13.9 MET h/d Hypertension: 29.0 % Family history of CVD: 21.0 % Diet pattern New affluence: 55.6 % Traditional southern: 23.7 % Multivitamin use: 6.5 % Potentially relevant records: 245 After duplicates: 150 Excluded 84 due to study design, experimental or non-english Full texts assessed: 66 Excluded 49 due to diet pattern, missing RR for eggs, or study population with disease Number of studies in qualitative synthesis: 17 Articles in final meta analysis: 15 Approximately 276,000 participants for stroke outcome and 308,000 participants for CHD outcome	Systematic review and meta-analysis         Searched PubMed (August 2015), EMBASE, and Cochrane Collaboration reports         Followed Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines for reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses         Bias assessed using Eggers's regression method         Inclusion criteria were         Prospective design         Human populations         Published in English         Provide risk estimates and measure of userior	Primary outcomes were incident stroke, incident CHD including mortality, incident coronary events, incident MI, incident haemorrhagic stroke, incident CVD, IHD mortality, stroke mortality, incident hospitalized or fatal HF, ischaemic stroke Follow-up of 6-26 years Relative risks comparing the highest to the lowest categories of egg intake were combined across all studies to produce summary associations. Generally these were 1 egg per day vs < 2 eggs per week. Random effects meta-analysis was used to generate summary relative risk estimates (SRREs) for high vs low intake and stratified intake dose-response analysis. Heterogeneity was examined in subgroups where sensitivity and regression analysis were conducted on increasing and intake	Stroke         Comparing high (1 egg/d). vs. low (<2         eggs/week) egg intake, a significant         12% lower risk of stroke was observed         (SRRE 0.88; 95% CI: 0.81, 0.97).         Heterogeneity between studies was low         Subgroup analysis based on location of         study indicated a significant reduction         in stroke risk in US studies (SRRE 0.90;         95% CI: 0.82, 0.99) but not in studies         performed in Japan (SRRE 0.82; 95%         CI: 0.58, 1.18)         CHD         Comparing high vs low egg intake, a         non-significant SRRE 0.97 (95% CI:         0.88, 1.07) was observed.
	* *	Published in English	Heterogeneity was examined in subgroups where	non-significant SRRE 0.97 (95% CI:

	7 studies included in the meta- analysis of egg intake and stroke	Studies were excluded if they were case- control, cross-sectional, ecologic and		0.99; 95% CI: 0.90, 1.10) or studies undertaken in Japan (SRRE 0.83; 95%
	7 studies included in the meta-	experimental animal studies, or case reports, case series, commentaries, and letters to the		CI: 0.61,1.11).
	analysis for CHD. Studies adjusted for CHD and stroke risk factors such as age, race, BMI, physical activity, smoking, alcohol and BP. Some studies in the meta- analysic included participante with	editor.		Daily (or more) intake of eggs was not associated with risk of CHD (SRRE 0.99; 95% CI: 0.89, 1.09). No apparent trend was observed in the stratified intake dose-response analyses for egg consumption and CHD risk
	analysis included participants with T2Dm, HTN and hyperlipidaemia.			Summary These finding are relatively consistent with those of Shin et al and Rong et al. Also, some studies included in these meta-analysis report increased risks between egg consumption and CHD and stroke risk among people with diabetes, however, methodological reasons such as not capturing any changes in dietary intake and lifestyle behaviours following a diabetes diagnosis may bias results. Many of these associations are not statistically significant and may not reflect an independent relationship. More studies are needed which take into account the overall dietary patterns and foods consumed with eggs that may increase risk of T2DM.
Rong et al.[34]	Potentially relevant records: 1440 After duplicates: 1317 Excluded 1301 due to study design, non-human, or did not study CHD or	Meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies examining the association between egg intake and CHD or stroke	Primary outcomes were CHD, CHD mortality, MI, IHD, IHD mortality, stroke and stroke mortality Length of follow-up was 8 to 26 years	Summary RR for CHD for an increase in one egg per day was 0.99 (95% CI: 0.85, 1.15, P <sub>trend</sub> =0.88).
	stroke as outcome Full texts assessed: 16 Excluded 8 due to insufficient data, fewer than 3 categories of egg intake	Searched PubMed and EMBASE (June 2013). Used reference lists from relevant papers and review articles		RR for stroke for an increment of one egg consumed per day was 0.91 (95% CI: 0.81-1.02, Ptrend=0.10).
	Articles in final meta analysis: 8	Used MOOSE guidelines for the conduct of meta analyses.		This meta-analysis did not identify any association between egg consumption and risk of CHD or stroke. A higher
	6 studies (9 reports) examined CHD as an outcome 6 studies (8 reports) considered stroke	Quality assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa scale		intake of eggs (up to one per day) was not associated with increased risk of CHD or stroke.
		Begg and Egger tests for publication bias Inclusion criteria were		In a sub-group analysis of diabetic populations, the RR of CHD comparing

Heart

r				,
	263,938 participants for CHD	Prospective design		the highest with the lowest egg
	outcome and 210,404 participants for	Egg consumption was the exposure		consumption was 1.54 (95% CI: 1.14-
	stroke outcome	Outcomes of CHD or stroke		2.09; P=0.01).
		Relative risk and 95% CI reported for at least		
	Studies primarily conducted in the	3 quantitative categories of egg intake		Diabetic subjects with a higher egg
	US			consumption had a 25% (95% CI: 0.57,
		Studies were excluded if they were reviews,		0.99, P=0.04) lower risk of
		editorials, non-human studies, and letters		haemorrhagic stroke. RR for ischaemic
		without sufficient data		and total stroke in persons with diabetes
				were 0.91 (95% CI: 0.82, 1.01) and 0.80
		Articles reporting both CHD and stroke were		(95% CI: 0.29, 2.15), respectively.
		treated as two separate reports as were results		( <i>ib</i> % ell 0.2 <i>i</i> ), 211 <i>b</i> ), respectively.
		stratified by gender.		Associations between egg consumption
		stratified by gender.		and risk of coronary heart disease and
				stroke were similar in subgroup
				analyses, which were defined by sex,
				study location, number of cases or
				participants, duration of follow-up,
				repeated egg consumption
				measurements, study quality, and
				whether diet variables or cholesterol
				levels were controlled for in models
				~
				Summary
				Studies with larger sample sizes and
				longer follow-up times are required
				to confirm these sub group results
				In long-term follow up, subjects may
				have changed diet; approximately
				half of the studies had updated diet
				information during the follow-up, but
				others have intake date from baseline
				only.
Shin et al.[35]	Potentially relevant records: 72	Meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies	Primary outcomes were CVD, IHD, mortality, T2DM	CVD risk
	Excluded 53 due to study design,	examining the association between egg intake	and stroke	In 348,420 participants there were 9389
	non-human, or did not study CVD,	and CHD or stroke		cases of incident CVD; from 239,729
	mortality, or T2DM as outcome		Egg consumption assessed by using a self-administered	participants there were 5401 cases of
	Full texts assessed: 19	Searched PubMed and EMBASE (March	or interview-based FFQ and categorized into 3–6 groups.	stroke; and from 241,900 participants
	Excluded 3 due to not reporting HR	2013).		there were 4189
	with 95% CI or use of continuous	/		
	variable for egg intake	Used MOOSE guidelines for the conduct of		Comparison of the highest egg
	tot egg mane	meta analyses.		consumption category ( $\geq 1 \text{ egg/day}$ )
	Articles in final meta analysis: 16	ineta anaryooo.		with the lowest ( $\leq 1 \text{ egg per week or}$
	riteres in mar meta anarysis. 10	Inclusion criteria were		never) resulted in a pooled HR of:
	8 studies examined CVD as an	Prospective design		0.96 (95% CI: 0.8, 1.05) for overall
	outcome; 4 studies IHD and 5 studies	Egg consumption was the exposure		CVD.
	stroke	Outcomes of CHD or stroke		CYD.
	SUUK	Outcomes of CHD of SHOKE		Mortality
				monunty

	6 studies considered mortality, with 3 examining IHD mortality and 3 examining stroke mortality 3 studies considered diabetes as an outcome 4 reported CVD in persons with diabetes 3 examined mortality in persons with diabetes For CVD, the number of participants	Hazard ratios and 95% CI reported for at least 3 quantitative categories of egg intake Studies were excluded if they were reviews, editorials, non-human studies, and letters without sufficient data Articles reporting both CHD and stroke were treated as two separate reports as were results stratified by gender.		In 103,202 participants there were 510 deaths from IHD and 1818 deaths from stroke. Comparison of the highest egg consumption category (≥1 egg/day) with the lowest (≤1 egg per week or never) resulted in pooled HR of: 1.13 (95% CI: 0.95, 1.33) for overall mortality, 0.98 (95% CI: 0.77, 1.24) for IHD mortality, and 0.92 (95% CI: 0.56, 1.50) for stroke mortality <u>Risk of Diabetes</u> In 69,297 participants there were 4889
	from 1600 to 90,735 and from 6 to 20 years, respectively For mortality, number of individuals and duration of the follow-up ranged from 4077 to 37,130 and from 9 to 20 y, respectively. For T2DM number of participants and duration of follow-up ranged from 1669 to 36,295 and from 11 to 20 y, respectively In persons with T2DM, number of patients and duration of follow-up ranged from 341 to 5672 and from 7			Comparison of the highest egg consumption category (≥1 egg/day) with the lowest (≤1 egg per week or never) resulted in pooled HR of 1.42 (95% CI: 1.09, 1.86) <u>Risk of CVD and mortality in those</u> with T2DM In 7549 participants, comparing highest (≥1 egg/day) with the lowest (≤1 egg per week or never) category of egg consumption the pooled HR was 1.69; 95% CI: 1.09, 2.62). Egg consumption was not linked with mortality. Summary
	to 20 y, respectively			Egg consumption was not associated with increased risk of CVD and cardiac mortality in the general population. However, this study observed an increased risk of incidence of T2DM with higher egg consumption, and increased risk of CVD in subjects with diabetes
al.[36]	Total participants at 1997: <i>n</i> 38,984 Excluded participants with cancer, CVD, diabetes, or those with unusually high or low energy intake ( <i>n</i> 6010)	Prospective cohort design. Participants taken from Swedish Mammography Cohort with baseline data gathered in 1997	Primary outcome was incidence of MI Diet measured at baseline using validated 96-item FFQ. FFQ was validated against the mean intake of four 7-d weighed diet records.	Over an average follow up of 11.6 years there were 1392 cases of the primary endpoint. Comparing highest vs lowest quintiles, women in the highest quintile of total dairy foods were more likely to

Heart

	All women were followed from baseline until	Incident cases of MI (fatal and nonfatal; International	be highly educated, and have higher
Final sample: n 33,636 women	date of first MI, death, or end of the follow- up period	Classification of Diseases, 10th edition, code I21) from baseline (September 15, 1997) through December 31,	intakes of whole grain food.
Q1	* *	2008, from the Cause of Death Registry and through	Total dairy food consumption (8.4
Participants: n 6798	Participants grouped on quintiles of total	December 31, 2009, from the National Hospital	servings/d) was inversely associated
Age: 52.9 years	dairy intake	Discharge Registry by computerized record linkage of	with risk of MI (HR 0.77; 95% CI: 0.63,
Never smoked: 58.4 %	Q1: 2.2 servings/d	the cohort population to the registries using the national	0.95, P <sub>trend</sub> =0.047).
Past smoker: 25.7 %	Q2: 3.5 servings/d	registration number that each resident in Sweden is	
Current smoker: 26.3 %	Q3: 4.5 servings/d	assigned	Milk and cultured milk/yoghurt were
Physical activity: 42.2 MET h/d	Q4: 6.0 servings/d		not associated with risk of MI in
Waist-to-hip ratio >0.8: 46.3	Q5: 8.4 servings/d	Models adjusted for smoking status, physical activity,	multivariate-adjusted models (HR 1.14;
BMI: 25.0 kg/m2	Qui orri ser (ingo, a	waist-to-hip ratio, alcohol consumption, diagnosis of	95% CI: 0.95, 1.36, P <sub>trend</sub> =0.115, and
Alcohol consumption	Total dairy intake was the sum of milk [full-	hypertension, diagnosis of high cholesterol, family	HR 0.89; 95% CI: 0.75, 1.05,
0-<2.5 g ethanol/d: 46.9 %	fat ( $\geq 3.0\%$ fat), semi-skimmed ( $\leq 1.5\%$ fat),	history of myocardial infarction, education, aspirin	$P_{trend}=0.149$ , respectively).
2.5-<15.0 g ethanol/d: 43.4 %	skimmed (0.5% fat), and pancakes], cultured	usage, hormone therapy usage, energy intake, dairy food	r trend=0.149, respectively).
$\geq 15.0$ g ethanol/d: 9.7 %	milk/yogurt [full-fat ( $\geq 3.0\%$ fat) and low-fat	groups and consumption of fruit and vegetables and	Women in the highest quintile of cheese
High blood pressure: 19.8 %	$(\leq 1.5\% \text{ fat})$ , cheese [full-fat (>17\% fat),	whole-grain foods.	intake (6.0 servings/d) had a
Elevated cholesterol: 8.7 %	$(\leq 1.5\%$ fat)], cheese [101-fat (>17\% fat), low-fat ( $\leq 17\%$ fat), and cottage	whole-grain roous.	significantly lower risk of MI vs. low
Family history of MI: 13.4 %	cheese/quark], cream and crème fraiche (full-		cheese consumers (HR 0.74; 95% CI:
Aspirin use: 41.2 %	fat and low-fat) intakes.		$0.60, 0.91, P_{trend}=0.006).$
HRT use (ever): 49.4 %			
	Subgroup analysis performed by assigning		When cheese was removed from total
Q2	participants to 5 groups based on the same		dairy variable, total diary was not
Participants: n 6912	cut-offs used for quintiles of total dairy food		associated with MI (HR for highest vs.
Age: 61 years	intake but using a sum of dairy food that		lowest quintile 0.83; 95% CI: 0.57,
Never smoked: 52.4 %	excluded cheese (i.e., the sum of milk,		1.21).
Past smoker: 23.1 %	cultured milk/yogurt, and cream).		
Current smoker: 22.6 %			At intakes reported in the study (0.4
Physical activity: 42.4 MET h/d	<u>Q1</u>		servings/d), cream and full-fat crème
Waist-to-hip ratio >0.8: 46.1 %	Fruit and vegetables 366 g/d, whole grain		fraiche were not associated with MI risk
BMI: 24.9 kg/m2	foods 91 g/d, total dairy 181 g, milk 44 %		
Alcohol consumption	total dairy, cultured milk/yoghurt 35 % total		Women who reported using butter on
0-<2.5 g ethanol/d: 44.1 %	dairy, cheese 18 % total dairy, cream and		bread but not in cooking had a 34%
2.5-<15.0 g ethanol/d: 47.5 %	crème fraiche 3.3 % total dairy		significantly higher risk compared with
≥15.0 g ethanol/d: 8.4 %			women who did not use butter at all
High blood pressure: 19.2 %	Q2		
Elevated cholesterol: 7.5 %	Fruit and vegetables 390 g/d, whole grain		The association for total dairy food was
Family history of MI: 12.9 %	foods 104 g/d, total dairy 297 g, milk 41 %		attenuated and became non-significant
Aspirin use: 42.3 %	total dairy, cultured milk/yoghurt 40 % total		after adjustment for calcium and
HRT use (ever): 51.2 %	dairy, cheese 18 % total dairy, cream and		phosphorous (HR for the highest vs. the
	crème fraiche 1.5 % total dairy		lowest quintile: 0.85; 95% CI: 0.62,
Q3	creme number 1.5 % total daily		1.16 and 0.83; 95% CI: 0.63, 1.06,
Participants: n 6629	Q3		respectively. The association for cheese
Age: 61.6 years	Fruit and vegetables 394 g/d, whole grain		was attenuated and became non-
Never smoked: 54.3 %	foods 114 g/d, total dairy 384 g, milk 41 %		significant after adjustment for calcium
Past smoker: 22.1 %	total dairy, cultured milk/yoghurt 38 % total		(HR for the highest vs. the lowest
Current smoker: 22.0 %	dairy, cheese 20 % total dairy, cream and		quintile: 0.81; 95% CI: 0.62, 1.05).
	crème fraiche 1.3 % total dairy		quintile: 0.61, 95% CI: 0.62, 1.05).
 Physical activity: 42.5 MET h/d	creme fraiche 1.5 % total dairy		1

 W	1	
Waist-to-hip ratio >0.8: 45.9 %		Total low-fat or full fat milk intake was
BMI: 25.0 kg/m2	<u>Q4</u>	not associated with MI risk (comparing
Alcohol consumption	Fruit and vegetables 406 g/d, whole grain	highest vs. lowest HR 1.03; 95% CI:
0-<2.5 g ethanol/d: 44.4 %	foods 121 g/d, total dairy 461 g, milk 40 %	0.89, 1.18, Ptrend=0.660 and HR 1.10
2.5-<15.0 g ethanol/d: 47.3 %	total dairy, cultured milk/yoghurt 37 % total	95% CI: 0.92, 1.31, Ptrend=0.283,
≥15.0 g ethanol/d: 8.3 %	dairy, cheese 21 % total dairy, cream and	respectively).
High blood pressure: 18.9 %	crème fraiche 1.1 % total dairy	
Elevated cholesterol: 7.4 %		Higher intakes of full fat cheese (4.0
Family history of MI: 13.2 %	Q5	servings/d) was associated with a
Aspirin use: 43.8 %	Fruit and vegetables 423 g/d, whole grain	significantly lower risk of MI (HR
HRT use (ever): 50.5 %	foods 140 g/d, total dairy 673 g, milk 38 %	comparing highest vs. lowest: 0.83;
· · ·	total dairy, cultured milk/yoghurt 37 % total	95% CI: 0.68, 1.01, Ptrend=0.035).
Q4	dairy, cheese 24 % total dairy, cream and	Adjusting for calcium attenuated this
Participants: n 6573	crème fraiche 0.8	association.
Age: 61.9 years		
Never smoked: 53.8 %		Summary
Past smoker: 22.6 %		This cohort study showed a non-
Current smoker: 22.0 %		linear association between dairy
Physical activity: 42.7 MET h/d		intake and risk of MI, and
Waist-to-hip ratio >0.8: 46.0 %		subsequent analysis showed different
BMI: 24.8 kg/m2		types of dairy food have different
Alcohol consumption		associations with risk of MI. A high
0-<2.5 g ethanol/d: 44.9 %		intake of cheese was associated with a
2.5-<15.0 g ethanol/d: 46.9 %		significantly lower risk of MI,
$\geq 15.0$ g ethanol/d: 8.2 %		whereas the use of butter on bread
High blood pressure: 18.7 %		was associated with an increased risk.
Elevated cholesterol: 6.8 %		Studies should focus on individual
Family history of MI: 13.1 %		dairy components in future analysis
Aspirin use: 43.7 %		dairy components in future analysis
HRT use (ever): 51.4 %		
HKT use (ever): 31.4 %		
Q5		
Participants: n 6724		
Age: 61.7 years		
Never smoked: 52.4 %		
Past smoker: 22.0 %		
Current smoker: 24.1 %		
Physical activity: 42.0 MET h/d		
Waist-to-hip ratio >0.8: 45.7 %		
BMI: 24.8 kg/m2		
Alcohol consumption		
0-<2.5 g ethanol/d: 46.8 %		
2.5-<15.0 g ethanol/d: 44.3 %		
$\geq 15.0$ g ethanol/d: 8.9 %		
High blood pressure: 18.4 %		
Elevated cholesterol: 6.7 %		
Family history of MI: 13.4 %		
Aspirin use: 45.3 %		

HRT use (ever): 51.2 %			
Idexander et       Potentially relevant records: 5928         I.[37]       Potentially relevant records: 5928         Screened 5928 by title       After duplicates 1649         Excluded 1596 due to study design or clinical outcomes       Full texts assessed: 53         Excluded 21 due to calcium       supplementation or diet pattern         Articles in final meta analysis: 31       None of the included studies were based on RCT data         Studies published between 1996-2015       with baseline dietary assessment between 1965 – 2001         Study countries included USA, Europe, the Nordic countries, Australia and Japan       Over 1,000,000 total participants	Systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies investigating dairy consumption and CVD         Searched PubMed and EMBASE. Additional records found through screening bibliographies         Followed PRISMA guidelines for reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses         Exposure was total dairy intake, specific dairy products (e.g. milk, cheese, yoghurt), Ca from dairy products (reported as an analytical variable in the individual studies) and low- and full-fat dairy intake         Inclusion criteria were         Prospective design         Adult population         English language         Provide risk estimates and measures of variance for dairy product patterns and CVD         Studies were excluded if they studied dietary patterns i.e. dairy product patterns and CVD outcomes	Primary outcomes included CVD, CHD and stroke. Results expressed as summary relative risk estimates (SRREs)	Total Diary Intake         4 studies reported a composite of 'tota dairy intake' with 'total CVD'.         Comparing low and high intakes, total dairy intake was associated with a 12% lower risk of total CVD (SRRE 0.88; 95% CI: 0.75, 1.04)         7 studies total dairy intake and CHD reporting a SRRE of 0.91 (95% CI: 0.3 - 1.04). Significant heterogeneity was reported. Subgroup analysis of US-onl studies showed no relationship betweet total dairy and risk of CHD (SRRE 0.99; 95% CI: 0.92, 1.07).         Studies with a follow-up ≤15 years showed a significant SRRE for CHD risk (0.81; 95% CI: 0.71, 0.93). Studie with a follow-up ≥15 years showed no relationship.         No clear relationship was observed for either full-fat diary or low-fat diary and CHD risk (SRRE 1.05; 95 % CI 0.93, 1.19, and SRRE 0.90; 95 % CI 0.82, 0.98, respectively)         7 studies reported on the association between total diary and stroke. Total dairy was significantly inversely relate to stroke (SRRE 0.91; 95 % CI 0.83, 0.99). There was modest heterogeneity which was explained by duration of follow-up, fat content, and amount consumed. Studies with a follow-up ≥ years resulted in an SRRE of 0.88 (950 CI: 0.82, 0.95). Both full-fat dairy intake (SRRE 0.91; 95 % CI 0.84, 0.99 and low-fat dairy intake (SRRE 0.91; 95 % CI 0.84, 0.99 and low-fat dairy intake (SRRE 0.91; 95 % CI 0.84, 0.99 and low-fat dairy intake (SRRE 0.91; 95 % CI 0.84, 0.99 and low-fat dairy intake (SRRE 0.91; 95 % CI 0.84, 0.99 and low-fat dairy intake (SRRE 0.91; 95 % CI 0.84, 0.99 and low-fat dairy intake (SRRE 0.91; 95 % CI 0.84, 0.99 and low-fat dairy intake (SRRE 0.91; 95 % CI 0.84, 0.99 and low-fat dairy intake (SRRE 0.91; 95 % CI 0.84, 0.99 and low-fat dairy intake (SRRE 0.91; 95 % CI 0.84, 0.99 and low-fat dairy intake (SRRE 0.91; 95 % CI 0.84, 0.99 and low-fat dairy intake (SRRE

with total CVD. The SSRE was 0.94 (95% CE 0.86, 1.03). 6 studies reported the association between total milk and CHD. Comparing low and high innakes total milk was not associated with CHD risk Subgroup analysis conserved in the second of the second of the second studies (SSRE 0.84, 995, CE 0.67, 1.05). and a positive association in women (SSRE 1.15, 95% CE 1.07, 1.00, 1.33). 7 studies reported the association between total milk and stroke. Comparing low and high innakes total milk was not agrificantly associated of urb 20, 200, 200, 200, 200, 200, 200, 200,			4 studies reported milk in association
<ul> <li>6 studies reported the association between total milk and CHD. Comparing low and high initates total milk was not associated with CHD risk (SRRE 165 598 C ± 0.05, 1.16).</li> <li>7 Studies SRE 165 598 C ± 0.07, 1.03).</li> <li>7 studies (SRE 16, 598 C ± 0.07, 1.03).</li> <li>7 studies reported the association between total milk and stroke. Comparing low and high initates total milk was not significant pascelated with risk of stroke SRRE 0.08, 95% C ± 0.09, 71, 01, 01, 01, 01, 01, 01, 01, 01, 01, 0</li></ul>			
between total milk and CHD.         Comparing low and high intakes total milk was not associated with CHD risk (SRRE 10.5; 95% CL 0.57, 1.16).         Studgrup analysis considering location or suggested a lower risk in UK-based studies (SRRE 10.5; 95% CL 0.07, 1.05) and a positive association in worms (SRRE 11.5; 95% CL 1.00, 1.33).         7 studies reported the association in worms (SRRE 11.5; 95% CL 1.00, 0.73, 1.05) and a positive association in worms (SRRE 11.5; 95% CL 1.00, 1.33).         7 studies reported the association in worms (SRRE 11.5; 95% CL 1.00, 0.95% CL 0.70, 1.01).         8 studies (SRRE 0.09, 0.95% CL 0.70, 0.95%			
between total milk and CHD.         Comparing low and high intakes total milk was not associated with CHD risk (SRRE 10.5; 95% CL 0.57, 1.16).         Studgrup analysis considering location or suggested a lower risk in UK-based studies (SRRE 10.5; 95% CL 0.07, 1.05) and a positive association in worms (SRRE 11.5; 95% CL 1.00, 1.33).         7 studies reported the association in worms (SRRE 11.5; 95% CL 1.00, 0.73, 1.05) and a positive association in worms (SRRE 11.5; 95% CL 1.00, 1.33).         7 studies reported the association in worms (SRRE 11.5; 95% CL 1.00, 0.95% CL 0.70, 1.01).         8 studies (SRRE 0.09, 0.95% CL 0.70, 0.95%			
Comparing low and high intakes total         nilk was not associated with CHD risk (SRRE 105, 95% Cf. 10, 57, 116).         Subgroup analysis considering location or suggested alower risk in UK-based studies (SRRE 105, 95% Cf. 10, 133).         7 studies reported the association between torial with and stroke.         Comparing low and high intakes total milk was not significantly associated with risk of stroke (SRRE 109, 95% Cf. 0, 79, 102) although there was substratial historegenetic, No effect was observed in subgroup analysis considering sex or duration of follow-up existence of the stroke of the stroke of the stroke of the subgroup analysis considering sex or duration of follow-up estimatically significant association with tend CPU (SRE 08, 95% Cf. 0, 72, 03), 31.5 servings of cheses was associated with significant inverse relationship between cheses intake and CHD risk (SRE 08, 95% Cf. 0, 72, 03), 31.5 servings of cheses was associated with significant inverse relationship between cheses intake and cHD risk (SRE 08, 95% Cf. 0, 79, 0, 94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse relationship between cheses intake and crist strakes of the stroke stroke of the stroke 08, 95% Cf. 0, 79, 0, 94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse relationship between cheses intake and crist strakes of the stroke of the stroke stroke of the stroke of the stroke of the stroke stroke of the stroke of the stroke stroke of the stroke of the stroke stroke of the stroke of the stroke of the stroke stroke of the stroke of the stroke of the stroke stroke of the stroke of the stroke of the str			
<ul> <li>nilk was not associated with CHD risk (SRRE 1.05;95% CT: 0.55, 1.16).</li> <li>Subgroup analysis considering location or suggested all ower risk in UK-based studies (SSRE 0.84; 95% CT: 0.07, 1.05).</li> <li>and a positive association in women (SSRE 1.15; 95% CT: 1.00, 1.33).</li> <li>7 studies reported the association between total milk and stroke. Comparing low and high intakes total milk was not sequence association in working (SSRE 1.05; 95% CT: 0.07, 1.05).</li> <li>and a positive association in women (SSRE 1.15; 95% CT: 0.07, 1.05).</li> <li>and a positive association in women (SSRE 1.05; 95% CT: 0.07, 1.05).</li> <li>and a positive association with and stroke total milk was not associated (CT) as a substantial between total milk and stroke total milk was not associated (CT) as a substantial between total milk or and positive association with total CVD (SRE 0.89; 95% CT: 0.78, 1.07).</li> <li>Cheese 3 studies suggested an inverse non-statistically significant association with total CVD (SRE 0.89; 95% CT: 0.78, 1.01).</li> <li>S studies showed a significant inverse relationship between cheese intake and CVD (SRE 0.89; 95% CT: 0.78, 1.07).</li> <li>S studies (0.86; 95% CT: 0.70, 0.94).</li> <li>4 studies howed a significant inverse scattor with ensers intake and risk of stroke (SRE 0.72; 95% CT: 0.70, 0.99).</li> <li>S studies (0.86; 95% CT: 0.70, 0.94).</li> <li>4 studies howed a significant inverse scattor with cheese intake and risk of stroke (SRE 0.72; 95% CT: 0.70, 0.97).</li> <li>S studies cattor with cheese intake and risk of stroke (SRE 0.02; 95% CT: 0.70, 0.97).</li> <li>S studies cattor with cheese intake and risk of stroke (SRE 0.02; 95% CT: 0.70, 0.97).</li> <li>S studies cattor with cheese intake and risk of stroke (SRE 0.02; 95% CT: 0.70, 0.97).</li> <li>S studies cattor with cheese intake and risk of stroke (SRE 0.02; 95% CT: 0.70, 0.97).</li> <li>S studies stroke as significant inverse scattor with cheese intake</li></ul>			
SRRE 1.03: 95% C1: 0.95. 1.10,         Subjective production is uncertained in the second in the se			
Subgroup analysis considering location or suggested a lower risk in UK-based studies (SSRE 0.84, 95% CE 0.67, 1.05) and a positive association in women (SSRE 1.15; 95% CE 1.00, 1.33).         7 studies reported the association between total milk and stockact Comparing low and high intakes total milk was not significantily associated with risk of stroke (SRE 0.00; 95% CE 0.07, 2.10, 2.10hough there was substantial heterogeneity. No effect was observed in subgroup analysis considering sex or duration of follow-up Cheese 3 studies suggested an inverse non- statistically significant association with total CVD (SRE 0.87, 95% CE 0.78, 1.01).         S studies suggested an inverse non- statistically significant association with total CVD (SRE 0.87, 95% CE 0.78, 1.01).         S studies showed a significant inverse relationship between cheese intake and CHD risk (SRE 0.82, 95% CE 0.72, 0.03). 51.5 servings of these was associated with significant inverse sRER (0.86, 95% CE 0.70, 0.94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse associated with significant inverse associa			
studies (SRE 0.84; 95% CL 0.67, 1.05) and cap sostive association in women (SRE 1.15; 95% CL 1.00, 1.33).         7 studies reported the association between total milk was not significantly associated with risk of stroke (SRE 0.80; 95% CL 0.79, 1.02) atthough there was substantial heterogeneity. No effect was observed in subgroup analysis considering sex or duration of follow-up considering sex or duration of follow-up statistically significant association with total CVD (SRE 0.89; 95% CL 0.78, 1.01).         5 studies suggested an inverse non- statistically significant inverse relationship between chees intake and CHD risk (SRE 0.89; 95% CL 0.78, 1.01).         5 studies subgested to a inverse non- statistically significant inverse relationship between second sasociated with significant inverse relationship between second associated with significant inverse subscritter (0.86; 95% CL 0.79, 0.94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse association with cheese intake and rick of stroke (SRE 10.87; 95% CL 0.79, 0.94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse association with cheese intake and rick of stroke (SRE 10.87; 95% CL 0.70, 0.94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse associated with significant inverse associated with lower risk of stroke (SRE 10.29; 95% CL 0.70, 0.94).         3 studies showed a significant inverse associated with lower risk of stroke (SRE 10.29; 95% CL 0.70, 0.94).			Subgroup analysis considering location
and a positive association in women (SSRE 1.15; 95% CE 1.00, 1.33). 7 studies reported the association between total milk and stroke. Comparing flow and high intakes total milk was not significantly associated with risk of struck (SRRE 0.02; 0.5% CE 0.79, 1.02) although there was substantial betregencity. No effect was observed in subgroup analysis considering sex or duration of follow-up Cheese 3 studies auggested an inverse non- statistically significant association with teal CVD (SRRE 0.82; 95% CE 1.07, 104). 5 studies showed a significant inverse relationship between cheese intake and CHD risk (SRRE 0.82; 95% CE 1.07, 0.94). 4 studies showed a significant inverse associated with significant inverse satistical with significant inverse relationship hetween cheese intake and CHD risk (SRRE 0.82; 95% CE 1.07, 0.94). 4 studies showed a significant inverse associated with significant inverse associated with significant inverse statistical with its fisher to extreme SRRE (0.86; 95% CE 1.077, 0.94). 5 studies showed a significant inverse associated with significant inverse associated with significant inverse associated with significant inverse statistical with its fisher inverse statistical with its fisher inverse associated with significant inverse associated with its fisherings associated			
(SSRE 1.15; 95% CE 1.00, 1.33).         7 studies reported the association         between tot multik and stroke.         Comparing low and high intakes total         milk was not significantly associated         with risk of stroke (SRRE 0.90; 95% CE 1.00, 710, 102) although there was         substantial heteropencity. No effect was         observed in subgroup analysis         considering sex of unation of follow-up         Cheese         3 studies suggested an inverse non-         statistically significant association with         total CVD (SRRE 0.89; 95% CE 0.78, 1.01).         1.01).         S studies stowed a significant inverse         relationship between cheese intake and         CHD risk (SRE 0.89; 95% CE 0.72, 0.92).         0.93). 1.5 servings of cheese was         associated with significant inverse         relationship between cheese intake and         CHD risk (SRE 0.82; 95% CE 0.72, 0.94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse         straction with reservings of cheese was         associated with with 1.5 servings         of stroke (CRE 0.82; 95% CE 0.77, 0.94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse         associated with with 1.5 servings         of stroke (SRE 0.02; 95% CE 0.77, 0.97).         0.99). Similar responses were obser			
7 studies reported the association         between total milk and stroke.         Comparing low and high index sotal         milk was not significantly associated         with risk of stroke (SRRE 10.90.95% CL         0.79, 1.02) although there was         substantial heterogenity. No effect was         observed in subgroup analysis         considering sex or duration of follow-up         Cheese         3 studies suggested an inverse non-statistically significant association with         total CVD (SRRE 0.89, 95% CL 0.78, 1.01).         5 studies showed a significant inverse         relationship between cheese intake and         CHD risk (SRRE 0.82, 95% CL 0.72, 0.93). 1.15 servings of cheese was         associated with significant inverse         SRRE (0.85, 95% CL 0.70, 0.94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse         SRRE (0.86, 95% CL 0.70, 0.94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse         sascociated with lower risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87, 95%, CL 0.77, 0.99).         10 stroke SRRE 0.87, 95%, CL 0.73, 0.997, 0.993, Stroke (SRRE 0.87, 95%, CL 0.73, 0.970, 0.993, Stroke (SRRE 0.87, 95%, CL 0.73, 0.970, 0.993, Stroke (SRRE 0.87, 95%, CL 0.73			
between total milk and stroke.         Comparing low and bigs intakes total milk was not significantly associated with risk of stroke (SRRE 0.90, 95% CI 0.79, 1.02) although three was substantial heterogeneity. No effect was observed in subgroup analysis considering sex or duration of follow-up         Cheese         Studies suggested an inverse non-statistically significant association with rotal CVD (SRRE 0.89, 95% CI: 0.78, 1.01).         S studies suggested an inverse non-statistically significant association with rotal CVD (SRRE 0.89, 95% CI: 0.78, 1.01).         S studies stowed a significant inverse relationship between cheese intake and CHD firsk (SRRE 0.82, 95% CI: 0.79, 0.93), >1.5 strvings of cheese was associated with significant inverse sRRE (0.86; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse intake and risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99).         Op).       Significant responses were observed to CHD firsk, with 7.15 servings associated with significant inverse association with heterse intake and risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99).         3 studies showed a significant inverse			(SBRE 1.15, 75% CI. 1.00, 1.55).
Comparing low and high intakes total         milk was not significanty associated         with risk of stroke (SRRE) 0.90; 95% CI         0.79, 102) although there was         substantial heterogeneity. No effect was         observed in subgroup analysis         considering sex or duration of follow-up         Cheese         3 studies suggested an inverse non-         statistically significant association with         total CVD (SRRE 0.89; 95% CI: 0.78,         1.01).         5 studies showed a significant inverse         relationship between cheese intake and         CHD risk (SRRE 0.82; 95% CI: 0.72,         0.93). >1.5 servings of cheese was         associated with significant inverse         association with these intake and         CHD risk (SRRE 0.82; 95% CI: 0.72,         0.93). >1.5 servings of cheese was         association with big antificant inverse         association with these intake and risk         GHD risk, vith >1.5 servings         association with these intake and risk         of stroke (SRRE 0.87, 95% CI: 0.77, 0.79).         0.93). Similar reproses were observed         10 CHD risk, with >1.5 servings         association with these intake and risk         of stroke (Clo.87, 0.79).         0.71, 0.99). Similar reproses w			
milk was not significantly associated with risk of stroke (SRRE 0.09, 95% CI 0.79, 1.02) although there was substantial heterogeneity. No effect was observed in subgroup analysis considering sex or duration of follow-up Cheese 3 studies suggested an inverse non- statistically significant association with total CVD (SRRE 0.89, 95% CI: 0.78, 1.01). 5 studies showed a significant inverse relationship between cheese mas associated with significant inverse sRRE (0.86, 95% CI: 0.72, 0.93). 1.5 servings of cheese was associated with significant inverse SRRE (0.86, 95% CI: 0.79, 0.94). 4 studies showed a significant inverse sRRE (0.86, 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Simit cheese intel and risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87, 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Simit cheese intel and risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87, 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Simit cheese intel and risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87, 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Simit cheese intel and risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87, 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Simit cheese intel and risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87, 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Simit cheese intel and risk of stroke (SRE 0.95% OF: 0.77, 0.99). Simit cheese intel and risk of stroke (SRE 0.95% OF: 0.77, 0.99). Simit cheese intel and risk of stroke (SRE 0.95% OF: 0.77, 0.99). Simit cheese intel and risk of stroke (SRE 0.95% OF: 0.77, 0.99). Simit cheese intel and risk of stroke (SRE 0.95% OF: 0.77, 0.99). Simit cheese intel and risk of stroke (SRE 0.95% OF: 0.77, 0.99). Simit cheese intel and risk of stroke (SRE 0.95% OF: 0.77, 0.99). Simit cheese intel and risk of stroke (SRE 0.95% OF: 0.77, 0.99). Simit cheese intel and risk of stroke (SRE 0.95% OF: 0.77, 0.99). Simit cheese intel and risk of stroke (SRE 0.95% OF: 0.77, 0.99). Simit cheese intel and risk of stroke (SRE 0.95% OF: 0.77, 0.99). Simit cheese intel and risk of stroke (SRE 0.95% OF: 0.77, 0.99). Simit cheese intel and risk of stroke (SRE 0.95% OF: 0.77, 0.99). Simit cheese intel and risk of stroke (SRE 0.95% OF: 0.77, 0.99). Simit cheese intel and risk of stroke (SRE 0.95% OF: 0.77, 0.99). Simit cheese risk of stroke of stroke (SRE 0			
with risk of stroke (SRE 0.90, 95% C1         0.79, 1.02, although there van         substantial heterogeneity. No effect was         observed in subgroup analysis         considering sex or duration of follow-up         Cheese         3 studies suggested an inverse onto- statistically significant association with total CVD (SRE 0.89; 95% CI: 0.78, 1.01).         5 studies showed a significant inverse relationship between cheese intake and CHD risk (SRE 0.82; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.93).>15 servings of cheese was associated with significant inverse statistically significant inverse statistically significant inverse relationship terveen cheese observed to CHD risk, with >1.5 servings associated with lower insk cen dirsk of stroke (SRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Similar responses were observed to CHD risk, with >1.5 servings associated with lower risk of stroke (SRE 0.92; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Similar responses were observed to CHD risk, with >1.5 servings associated with lower risk of stroke (SRE 0.92; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Similar responses were observed to CHD risk, with >1.5 servings			Comparing low and high intakes total
0.79, 1.02) although there was substantial heterogeneity. No seffect was observed in subgroup analysis considering sex or duration of follow-up         Cheese         3 studies suggested an inverse non-statistically significant association with total CVD (SRRE 0.89; 95% CI: 0.78, 1.01).         5 studies showed a significant inverse relationship between cheese intake and CHD risk (SRRE 0.82; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.93). 1:1.5 servings of cheese was associated with significant inverse statistically significant inverse statistically with significant inverse statistically with significant inverse relationship between cheese intake and CHD risk (SRRE 0.82; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.93). 1:1.5 servings of cheese was associated with significant inverse statistically with significant inverse statistically with significant inverse state and risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.99). Simificant inverse association with the cheese intake and risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.99).         0.90       Simificant inverse association with otherse intake and risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.99).         3 studies showed a significant inverse association with cheese intake and risk of stroke (SRRE 0.92; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.97).			with risk of stroke (SRRE 0.90: 95% CI
substantial heterogeneity. No effect was observed in subgroup analysis considering sex or duration of follow-up       Cheese         3 studies suggested an inverse non-statistically significant association with total CVD (SRRE 0.89; 95% CI: 0.78, 1.01).       5 studies showed a significant inverse relationship between cheese via the sex or duration of cHD risk (SRRE 0.82; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.93).         0.93). > 1.5 servings of cheese was associated with significant inverse relationship between cheese via sassociation with cheese intake and CHD risk (SRRE 0.82; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.93).       4 studies showed a significant inverse sase associated with significant inverse relationship between cheese was associated with significant inverse sase associated with significant inverse aspectred to CHD risk, with >1.5 servings associated wi			
Image: Considering sex or duration of follow-up       Cheese       3 studies suggested an inverse non-statistics suggested an inverse non-statistics suggested an inverse relation of total CVD (SREE 0.89; 95% CI: 0.78, 1.01).         S studies showed a significant inverse relationship between cheese intake and CHD risk (SRRE 0.82; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.93). >1.5 servings of cheese was associated with significant inverse association with serving of these was associated with significant inverse association with cheese intake and risk of stroke (SRRE 0.86; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse association with >1.5 servings associated with >0.99. Simili cant inverse association with >0.51 servings associated with >0.51 servings associated to to CHD risk, with >0.51 servings associated to stroke (SRRE 0.92; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.97).         3 studies examined the relationship			substantial heterogeneity. No effect was
Cheese       3 studies suggested an inverse non-statistically significant association with total CVD (SRE 0.89; 95% CI: 0.78, 1.01).         5 studies showed a significant inverse relationship between cheese intake and CHD risk (SRE 0.82; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.93).         5 studies showed a significant inverse sassociated with significant inverse sRRE (0.86; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse association with significant inverse sRRE (0.86; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse association with significant inverse association with significant inverse association with significant inverse (SRE 0.86; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Similar responses were observed to CHD risk, with 1.5 servings associated with lower risk of stroke (SRRE 0.92; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.97).         3 studies examined the relationship			
3 studies suggested an inverse non-statistically significant association with total vision (SRE 0.89; 95% CI: 0.78, 1.01).         5 studies showed a significant inverse relationship between one-sentrate and CHD risk (SRE 0.89; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.93).s1.5 servings of cheese was associated with significant inverse SRE (0.86; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse associated with significant inverse served to CHD risk (SRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Similar responses were observed to CHD risk is response serve observed to CHD risk vith 10w risk of stroke (SRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Similar responses were observed to CHD risk vith 10w risk of stroke (SRE 0.92; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.97).			considering sex or duration of follow-up
3 studies suggested an inverse non-statistically significant association with total vision (SRE 0.89; 95% CI: 0.78, 1.01).         5 studies showed a significant inverse relationship between one-sentrate and CHD risk (SRE 0.89; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.93).s1.5 servings of cheese was associated with significant inverse SRE (0.86; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse associated with significant inverse served to CHD risk (SRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Similar responses were observed to CHD risk is response serve observed to CHD risk vith 10w risk of stroke (SRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Similar responses were observed to CHD risk vith 10w risk of stroke (SRE 0.92; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.97).			Cheese
statistically significant association with total CVD (SRRE 0.89; 95% CI: 0.78, 1.01).         5 studies showed a significant inverse relationship between cheese intake and CHD risk (SRRE 0.82; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.93), >1.5 servings of cheese was associated with significant inverse SREE (0.86; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse servings associated with significant inverse servings of stroke (SRRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Similar reservings associated with lower risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Similar step >1.5 servings         associated with significant inverse associated with lower risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Similar step >1.5 servings         associated with lower risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Similar step >1.5 servings         associated with lower risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.97).         3 studies examined the relationship			3 studies suggested an inverse non-
1.01).         5 studies showed a significant inverse relationship between cheese intake and CHD risk (SRRE 0.82; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.93). >1.5 strings of cheese was associated with significant inverse SRRE (0.86; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse association with cheese intake and risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99).         4 studies showed a significant inverse associated with bluer risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99).         3 studies examined the relationship			statistically significant association with
<ul> <li>5 studies showed a significant inverse relationship between cheese intake and CHD risk (SRRE 0.82; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.93). &gt;1.5 servings of cheese was associated with significant inverse SRRE (0.86; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.94).</li> <li>4 studies showed a significant inverse association with cheese intake and risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Similar responses were observed to CHD risk, with &gt;1.5 servings associated with lower risk of stroke (SRRE 0.92; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.97).</li> <li>3 studies examined the relationship</li> </ul>			
relationship between cheese intake and CHD risk (SRRE 0.82; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.93). >1.5 servings of cheese was associated with significant inverse SRRE (0.86; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse association with cheese intake and risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Similar responses were observed to CHD risk, with >1.5 servings associated with lower risk of stroke (SRRE 0.92; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.97).         3 studies examined the relationship			1.01).
relationship between cheese intake and CHD risk (SRRE 0.82; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.93). >1.5 servings of cheese was associated with significant inverse SRRE (0.86; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse association with cheese intake and risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Similar responses were observed to CHD risk, with >1.5 servings associated with lower risk of stroke (SRRE 0.92; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.97).         3 studies examined the relationship			5 studies showed a significant inverse
0.93). >1.5 servings of cheese was associated with significant inverse SRRE (0.86; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse association with cheese intake and risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Similar responses were observed to CHD risk, with >1.5 servings associated with lower risk of stroke (SRRE 0.92; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.97).         3 studies examined the relationship			relationship between cheese intake and
associated with significant inverse SRRE (0.86; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.94). 4 studies showed a significant inverse association with cheese intake and risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Similar responses were observed to CHD risk, with >1.5 servings associated with lower risk of stroke (SRRE 0.92; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.97). 3 studies examined the relationship			
SRRE (0.86; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.94).         4 studies showed a significant inverse association with cheese intake and risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Similar responses were observed to CHD risk, with >1.5 servings associated with lower risk of stroke (SRRE 0.92; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.97).         3 studies examined the relationship			
4 studies showed a significant inverse association with cheese intake and risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Similar responses were observed to CHD risk, with >1.5 servings associated with lower risk of stroke (SRRE 0.92; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.97). 3 studies examined the relationship			
association with cheese intake and risk of stroke (SRRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Similar responses were observed to CHD risk, with >1.5 servings associated with lower risk of stroke (SRRE 0.92; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.97). 3 studies examined the relationship			
of stroke (SRRE 0.87; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99). Similar responses were observed to CHD risk, with >1.5 servings associated with lower risk of stroke (SRRE 0.92; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.97). 3 studies examined the relationship			
0.99). Similar responses were observed to CHD risk, with >1.5 servings associated with lower risk of stroke (SRRE 0.92; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.97). 3 studies examined the relationship			
to CHD risk, with >1.5 servings associated with lower risk of stroke (SRRE 0.92; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.97). 3 studies examined the relationship			
associated with lower risk of stroke (SRRE 0.92; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.97). 3 studies examined the relationship			
(SRRE 0.92; 95% CI: 0.87, 0.97). 3 studies examined the relationship			
			2 studies examined the relationship
			between yoghurt and total CVD, and 4

				studies examined the relationship with CHD. Yoghurt intake was not associated with either outcome. <u>Calcium from dairy products</u> In 4 studies diary calcium was not associated significantly with total CHD (SRRE 0.94; 95% CI: 0.82, 1.08). Comparing low vs. high, diary calcium was significantly and inversely associated with lower risk of stroke (SRRE 0.69; 95% CI: 0.60, 0.81). <u>Summary</u> Evidence from this meta analysis suggests that specific dairy components may be associated with lower risk of CHD and stroke. This is important given the content of dairy (SFA, protein) and demonstrates the importance of considering the whole food matrix, rather than individual nutrients.
Soedamah-Muthu et al.[38]	No information provided on number of articles searched for in this updated systematic review and meta analysis, only number of newly added texts Previous meta analysis relevant to this updated one include: Gijsbers L, Ding EL, Malik VS, de Goede J, Geleijnse JM, Soedamah- Muthu SS. Consumption of dairy foods and diabetes incidence: a dose- response meta-analysis of observational studies. Am J Clin Nutr. 2016;103(4):1111–1124 Guo J, Astrup A, Lovegrove JA, Gijsbers L, Givens DI, Soedamah- Muthu SS. Milk and dairy consumption and risk of cardiovascular diseases and all-cause mortality: dose-response meta- analysis of prospective cohort studies.	Systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies investigating dairy consumption and cardiometabolic disease Searched PubMed (July 2018). Additional records found through reference lists of recent reviews. Followed PRISMA guidelines for reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses Exposure was total dairy intake, specific dairy products (e.g. milk, cheese, yoghurt), Ca from dairy products (reported as an analytical variable in the individual studies) and low- and full-fat dairy intake Inclusion criteria were Prospective design Adult population Reported data on dairy consumption in relation to T2DM, CHD, and stroke	Primary outcomes included diabetes and CHD	<ul> <li>26 cohort studies examined the relationship between total dairy (per 200 g/d) and diabetes. There was a borderline significant association between total dairy and risk of diabetes (RR 0.97; 95% CI: 0.95, 1.00).</li> <li>Per 200 g/d increment in low fat dairy was associated with a 4% lower risk of diabetes (RR 0.96; 95% CI: 0.92, 1.00).</li> <li>Comparing 80 g/d vs.0 g/d of yoghurt, there was an inverse significant association with diabetes (RR 0.86; 95% CI: 0.83, 0.90).</li> <li>Substantial heterogeneity was noted in all studies for diabetes outcome.</li> <li>15 cohorts were included for the association between total dairy and milk in relation to CHD. Total dairy or milk was not association with incident CHD per 200 g/d increment (RR 1.00; 95%</li> </ul>

	Eur J Epidemiol. 2017 Apr; 32(4):269-287. de Goede J, Soedamah-Muthu SS, Pan A, Gijsbers L, Geleijnse JM. Dairy consumption and risk of stroke: a systematic review and updated dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. J Am Heart Assoc. 2016;5(5). 10.1161/JAHA.115.002787	Studies were excluded if they were on animals, children <18 years of age, or patient populations If dairy intake was only reported in servings, without the actual portion size, portion sizes of 177 g for total, low-fat, and full-fat dairy; 244 g for total, low-fat and full-fat milk; 244 g for yogurt; and 43 g for cheese were used to estimate grams per day		CI: 0.98, 1.03 and RR 1.01; 95% CI: 0.97, 1.04, respectively). Total dairy was not significantly associated with stroke (RR 0.98; 95% CI: 0.96, 1.01). Low fat and full fat diary had a similar significant inverse relationship per 200g/d increment with stroke (RR 0.97; 95% CI: 0.95, 0.99, and RR 0.96; 95% CI: 0.93, 0.99, respectively). An increment of 200 g/d of milk intake was associated with an 8% lower risk of stroke (RR 0.92; 95% CI: 0.88, 0.97). Summary In this updated meta-analysis of observational studies examining diary intake with T2DM, CHD, and stroke, yoghurt intake was inversely associated with diabetes, and total dairy or milk was not associated with CHD. This study suggests a neutral or small beneficial associations between dairy components and cardiometabolic disease.
Buziau et al.[39]	Total participants: <i>n</i> 8748 For T2DM cohort: <i>n</i> 7633 For CVD cohort: <i>n</i> 7679 Tertile of energy-adjusted dairy intake <u>T1</u> Participants: <i>n</i> 2916 Age: 52.5 ± 1.5 years BMI 25  kg/m2: 42 % 25-29  kg/m2: 31.7 % $\ge 30 \text{ kg/m2}$ : 26.3 % Never smoked: 58.4 % Past smoker: 25.7 % Current smoker: 26.3 % Alcohol* Non-drinker: 13.9 % Rarely drinker: 26.9 % Low-risk drinker: 52.2 % Risky drinker: 7.0 %	Prospective cohort design. Participants taken from The Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health Study used women from 1946–1951 cohort Group on tertiles of energy-adjusted total dairy intake Maximum follow-up of 15 years Dairy products (g/d) were classified as "yogurt," "total cheese" (all types of cheese), "total fermented dairy" (sum of yogurt and total cheese), "total nonfermented dairy" (all types of milk), and "total dairy" (sum of total fermented dairy and nonfermented dairy). <u>T1</u> Total dairy 204-233 g/d,	Primary outcomes were self-reported physician- diagnosed T2DM and CVD Diet measured using validated 101-item FFQ and a 10- point scale (ranging from never to ≥3 times/d), except for milk (quantity of milk/d). Australian Food Composition Database (NUTTAB95) was used to compute energy and nutrient intakes BMI, weight, and physical activity were self-reported Models were adjusted for age, education, smoking status, alcohol consumption, and physical activity level, BMI, dietary variables and total energy intake. To minimize the possibility of reverse causality, ORs were estimated, excluding women with self-reported disease diagnosis within the first 3 y of follow-up	<ul> <li>Women in the highest tertile of energy-adjusted total dairy intake were more likely to have a lower BMI and to be higher educated, a never smoker, classified as rarely drinker, and physically active.</li> <li>During follow-up, 701 cases of T2DM were reported. Women in highest tertile of yoghurt intake had lower odds of developing T2DM (OR 0.81; 95% 0.67, 0.99, Ptrend=0.041). Adjustment for diet variables attenuated this relationship (OR 0.88; 95% CI: 0.71, 1.08, Ptrend=0.21). Other categories (total cheese, total fermented dairy intake, total nonfermented dairy, and total dairy) were not associated with T2DM risk in fully adjusted models</li> </ul>

-				
	Physical activity	$1610 \pm 543$ kcal/d, total fat $36.6 \pm 5.6$ % total		835 cases of new CVD occurred during
	<600 MET min/wk: 60.8 %	energy, SFA 14.4 $\pm$ 3.3 % total energy,		follow-up. Comparing highest vs.
	600-1199 MET min/wk: 18.4 %	MUFA 13.1 ± 2.4 % total energy, PUFA 5.8		lowest tertiles, women with the highest
	≥1200 MET min/wk: 20.8 %	± 1.9 % total energy, protein 20.5 ± 3.7 %		intake of yoghurt and total fermented
	_	total energy, total carbohydrate $43.6 \pm 7.2 \%$		dairy had significantly lower risk of
	T2	total energy, sugars $18.4 \pm 5.7$ % total		CVD compared (OR 0.84; 95% CI:
	Participants: n 2916	energy, starch $24.9 \pm 5.1$ total energy, fibre		$0.70, 1.00, P_{\text{trend}}=0.05, \text{ and OR } 0.80;$
	Age: $52.5 \pm 1.5$ years	$20.0 \pm 8.0$ % total energy, alcohol $10 \pm 14$		95% CI: 0.67, 0.97, P <sub>trend</sub> =0.017,
	BMI	g/d, fruit 282 ± 200 g/d, vegetables 139 ± 63		respectively). Adjustment for other
	<25 kg/m2: 44.5 %	g/d, hut $202 \pm 200$ g/d, vegetables $159 \pm 05$ g/d, whole-grain bread $34 \pm 14$ g/d, red meat		dietary variable and total energy
	25-29 kg/m2: 32.9 %	$48 \pm 46$ g/d, processed meat $20 \pm 22$ g/d, fish		attenuated this relationship for yoghurt
	6			
	$\geq$ 30 kg/m2: 22.6 %	$38 \pm 44$ g/d, sugar-sweetened beverages $0.6 \pm$		and total fermented dairy (OR 0.87;
	Never smoked: 62.1 %	0.9 servings/d, coffee $1.3 \pm 1.2$ servings/d,		95% CI: 0.72,1.04, Ptrend=0.13, and
	Past smoker: 24.7 %	tea $1.5 \pm 1.2$ servings/d		OR 0.83; 95% CI: 0.69, 1.00,
	Current smoker: 13.2 %			Ptrend=0.048). No association was seen
	Alcohol*	<u>T2</u>		for total cheese, total nonfermented
	Non-drinker: 11.9 %	Total dairy 281-395 g/d		dairy, or total dairy.
	Rarely drinker: 25.7 %	$1569 \pm 528$ kcal/d, total fat $34.5 \pm 5.6$ % total		
	Low-risk drinker: 56.5 %	energy, SFA 13.6 $\pm$ 3.4 % total energy,		
	Risky drinker: 5.6 %	MUFA 12.1 ± 2.3 % total energy, PUFA 5.6		Summary
	Physical activity	$\pm 2.0$ % total energy, protein 20.8 $\pm 3.2$ %		In this prospective cohort study,
	<600 MET min/wk: 55.0	total energy, total carbohydrate $45.4 \pm 6.4 \%$		higher intakes of total fermented
	600-1199 MET min/wk: 21.0 %	total energy, sugars $21.0 \pm 5.4$ % total		dairy and lower risk of CVD. Dairy
	≥1200 MET min/wk: 24.0 %	energy, starch $24.1 \pm 4.6$ % total energy,		may also be a marker of a healthy
		fibre $20.0 \pm 8.0$ % total energy, alcohol $10 \pm$		diet, as women in this cohort who
	Т3	13 g/d, fruit 289 $\pm$ 179 g/d, vegetables 133 $\pm$		consumed that highest total dairy also
	Participants: n 2916	59 g/d, whole-grain bread $35 \pm 16$ g/d, red		had lowest prevalence of obesity, and
	Age: $52.5 \pm 1.5$ years	meat 40 $\pm$ 36 g/d, processed meat 17 $\pm$ 16		consumed higher quantities of
	BMI	g/d, fish $34 \pm 37$ g/d, sugar-sweetened		vegetables, and lower amounts of
	<25 kg/m2: 45.8 %	beverages $0.5 \pm 0.7$ servings/d, coffee $1.4 \pm$		SFA, sugar-sweetened beverages, and
	25-29 kg/m2: 33.3 %	1.2 servings/d, tea $1.6 \pm 1.2$ servings/d		processed meats.
	$\geq 30 \text{ kg/m2: } 20.9 \%$	$1.2 \text{ set vings/u}, \text{ tea } 1.0 \pm 1.2 \text{ set vings/u}$		processed means.
	$\geq$ 50 kg/m2. 20.9 % Never smoked: 62.1 %	Т3		
	Past smoker: 24.1 %	Total dairy 420-631 g/d		·
	Current smoker: 13.8 %	$1555 \pm 477$ kcal/d, total fat $32.6 \pm 6.1$ % total		
	Alcohol*	energy, SFA 13.1 $\pm$ 3.7 % total energy,		
	Non-drinker: 11.2 %	MUFA 11.3 $\pm$ 2.3 % total energy, PUFA 5.2		
	Rarely drinker: 28.7 %	$\pm 2.1$ % total energy, protein 21.7 $\pm 3.2$ %		
	Low-risk drinker: 56.1 %	total energy, total carbohydrate $46.5 \pm 6.0 \%$		
	Risky drinker: 4.1 %	total energy,		
	Physical activity	sugars $23.4 \pm 5.4$ % total energy, starch 22.8		
	<600 MET min/wk: 53.1 %	$\pm$ 4.6 total energy, fibre 20 $\pm$ 78 % total		
	600-1199 MET min/wk: 22.9 %	energy, alcohol 9 $\pm$ 13 g/d, fruit 293 $\pm$ 176		
	≥1200 MET min/wk: 24.1%	g/d, vegetables $130 \pm 57$ g/d, whole-grain		
		bread $34 \pm 16$ g/d, red meat $34 \pm 32$ g/d,		
	*rarely drinker" (any alcohol	processed meat $15 \pm 14$ g/d, fish $32 \pm 35$ g/d,		
	consumption <1 time/mo), "low-risk	sugar-sweetened beverages $0.4 \pm 0.7$		
L	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		1	

	drinker" (≤14 drinks/wk), and "risky drinker" (≥15 to 28 drinks/wk)"	servings/d, coffee $1.5 \pm 1.2$ servings/d, tea $1.7 \pm 1.2$ servings/d		
Fontecha et al.[40]	Potentially relevant records: 2940 After duplicates: 2172 Full texts assessed: 31 Full texts assessed: 53 Excluded 15 due to texts being narrative reviews or not reporting data for dairy products consumption Articles in final overview of reviews for CVD events: 17 Reports published between 2004- 2017. Sample size ranged from 2350 to 764,917 with participants followed for 5-83 years. Age ranged from 8-103 years 11 studies reported total dairy 9 on regular vs. low fat 2 fermented dairy information 9 studies on milk consumption 2 on high vs low fat milk consumption 2 on nonfermented milk consumption 1 on fermented milk consumption Cheese, butter and cream considered in 9 studies For updated meta analysis: 12	Overview of systematic reviews and meta- analyses Searched MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, and Web of Science databases from their inception to April 2018. Reference lists were also reviewed Followed Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) and Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta- Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines for reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses Bias assessed using AMSTAR 2. Only systematic reviews and meta-analyses addressing the relation between dairy product consumption and cardiovascular outcomes were considered. Meta-analyses had to include longitudinal studies, written in English, and followed systematic review methodology For RCTs on biomarkers, prospective, parallel and cross-over designs were eligible. Studies were excluded if a supplement could confound the effect of the milk or dairy product administered.	Primary outcomes for CV events were cardiovascular outcomes were incidence and mortality of CVD, CHD, and stroke. Some studies reported risk of IHD, MI, HF, and ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke For RCTs and biomarkers of cardiometabolic risk SBP), DBP, and plasma lipids (TC, LDL-C, HDL-C, and TAGs) were considered	The maximum number of cardiovascular events, including fatal and nonfatal outcomes, was 11,019 fc CVD, 37,049 for CHD, and 39,352 fo stroke <u>Total dairy products</u> Collectively, total dairy intake was no associated with CVD 5 meta-analyses reported risk of CHD (total, incidence or mortality). Total dairy was neutral for CHD risk with similar results for high fat dairy. A significant lower risk was found for low-fat products (RR 0.90; 95% CI: 0.82, 0.98). 1 meta-analysis indicated total dairy was associated with a lower risk of M (RR 0.83; 95% CI: 0.66, 0.99). 4 meta-analyses considered a dose- response relationship between total dairy and CHD. 3 studies found no differences with an increase of 200 g/ 1 study showed significantly reduced risk with increments of 300 and 600 g (RR 0.88; 95% CI: 0.80, 0.96 and RR 0.90; 95% CI: 0.79, 0.94, respectively 7 meta-analyses reported the associati between stroke and total dairy intake. studies found a significant inverse association between total dairy an stroke. Both high fat and low-fat dairy an stroke. Both high fat and low-fat dairy an stroke. Both high fat and low-fat dairy was inversely associated with stroke.

	-	
		inverse association (RR 0.79 95% CI:
		0.68 - 0.91) with 3 reporting no
		association.
		Milk
		2 meta-analyses reported associations
		between milk and CVD incidence, with
		1 showing a protective effect (RR 0.84;
		95% CI: 0.78, 0.90).
		2 meta-analyses showed no association
		between milk intake and increased CHD
		or IHD risk
		5 studies analysed fatal and non-fatal
		stroke in association with total milk
		intake. 1 reported a significant inverse
		relationship (RR 0.83; 95% CI: 0.77,
		0.90) with 4 showing no association.
		Dose response analysis for milk was
		reported in 2 meta-analyses.
		Incremental intakes of 200 g/d were
		associated with lower CVD (RR 0.94;
		95% CI: 0.89, 0.96) but no relationship
		was found with 244g/d increments, or
		increased milk intake and CHD
		incidence.
		mendence.
		1 ( 1 ) ( 11 ) 1 (
		1 study suggested higher risk of
		haemorrhagic stroke for each 200 g/d
		increment of high-fat milk vs low-fat
		milk (RR 1.04; 95% CI: 1.02, 1.06).
		Cheese
		3 meta-analyses analysed the
		relationship between high vs. low
		cheese intake and CVD risk. One study
		suggested an inverse association (RR
		0.90; 95% CI: 0.82, 0.99) and 2 showed
		no association. No associations were
		observed with either high- or low-fat
		cheese and CVD risk, or dose-responses
		of 10 g/d or 50 g/d.
		2 studies showed a significantly
		reduced risk for CHD associated with
		increased cheese intake, and 2 showed

		no association. There were no
		differences with either high- or low-fat
		cheese and associations (null) will CHD
		risk. Dose-responses for cheese intake
		of 50 g/d and 75 g/d were associated
		with lower CHD risk.
		5 studies reported on the association
		between cheese intake and stroke. 4
		studies showed a significant inverse
		association with stroke, and 1 showed
		no significant association. 1 dose
		response analysis of cheese intake and
		risk of stroke showed a significantly
		lower risk of stroke when cheese intake
		was increased by 50 g/d or 75 g/d (RR
		0.86; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.99, and RR 0.92;
		95% CI: 0.87, 0.97, respectively).
		Yoghurt and Fermented products
		2 meta-analyses reported on the
		association between yoghurt intake and
		CVD, showing no significant
		association. No significant association
		was also observed between yoghurt and
		CHD risk (3 meta-analyses), or risk of
		stroke (2 meta-analyses), or hor of
		50  or  100  g/d were not associated with
		fatal and non-fatal CHD events
		ratar and non-ratar CHD events
		1 study suggested consumption of
		fermented milk was significantly
		inversely associated with risk of stroke
		(RR 0.80; 95% CI: 0.71, 0.89), and an
		increment of 200 g/d of fermented dairy
		was associated with lower risk of CVD,
		but not CHD risk
		DUL NOL CHLD FISK
		Butter and Cream
		No significant association were found
		for butter and CVD (1 meta-analysis),
		CHD (2 meta-analyses), and stroke (4
		meta-analyses).
		Dairy Products and Cardiometabolic
		Biomarkers
		Increased fermented dairy intake was
		associated with lower TC and LDL-C in

				4 meta-analyses. 1 meta-analysis found no differences in LDL-C when comparing whole-fat dairy with low-fat dairy products.
				8 studies examined the effect of dairy consumption on blood pressure. 6 reported a significant decreased in SBP and 5 reported a significant decreased in DBP.
				In updated meta-analysis, no significant changes in TC (-0.06 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.19, 0.07 mmol/L), LDL-C (-0.06 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.16, 0.03 mmol/L) were seen relating to total dairy consumption. Heterogeneity was high for TC and LDL-C
				Dairy product consumption did not result in significant changes in SBP (- 0.41 mmHg; 95% CI: -1.73, 0.91) or DBP (-0.77 mmHg; 95% CI: -1.81, 0.27). Heterogeneity was low for BP trials.
				Summary This is the most comprehensive study to date combining multiple systematic reviews and meta-analyses, multiple types of dairy, in addition to biomarkers and hard CV end-points. The main findings suggest that total dairy products (either regular or low- fat) have a null or slightly beneficial association with CV health (risk of CVD, CHD, or stroke). Thus advice to limit them based on their SFA content may not be beneficial, and more research is needed into fermented dairy.
Zhao et al.[41]	Potentially relevant records: 2768 Excluded 2515 due to unreported outcomes for diseases of interest or quantifying alcohol exposure	Updated Meta-Analysis of Cohort Studies quantifying the association between alcohol consumption and CHD mortality.	Primary outcome was presence or absence of mortality from CHD. CHD defined as per ICD-10; I20-I25 as per WHO, 2010	Pooled 269 risk estimates showed a significantly higher risk among former drinkers (RR 1.25; 95% CI: 1.03, 1.51, P=0.0215) and a significantly lower risk
	65 studies excluded for not being original.	Searched PubMed and EMBASE (March 2013).	Weighted RR estimates adjusted for between-study variation, abstainer group biases, mean age, sex of study population, alcohol measure accuracy ethnicity (mainly	among low-, medium-, and high-volume drinkers (RR 0.80; 95% CI: 0.69, 0.93; 0.80; 95% CI: 0.69, 0.94; and 0.86; 95%

 99 studies avaluded due to the	Followed DDISMA avidalines followed for	White us not) control of beaut health at hearthing	Ch 0.72 1.01 respectively) converse
88 studies excluded due to the combining morbidity and mortality,	Followed PRISMA guidelines followed for identifying relevant studies.	White vs. not), control of heart health at baseline, socioeconomic status, and smoking status in individual	CI: 0.73, 1.01, respectively,) compared with abstainers
no alcohol categories, restricted to	identifying relevant studies.	studies.	with austallicis
sample with pre-existing conditions,	Inclusion criteria were:	studies.	
duplicate/published in different	Studies must be prospective in design	Covariates included the presence of former and/or	The mean estimates indicated
journals.	Published in English	occasional drinker biases, mean age of cohort at baseline,	significantly decreased risk of CHD
87 studies excluded for meta-analysis	Report mortality from CHD as an outcome	gender of study participants, primarily White ethnicity of	mortality among male drinkers who
	Minimum of three levels of alcohol	study population or not, alcohol measure accuracy,	drank 1.3-24.99 g/d (RR 0.86; 95% CI:
of all-cause of mortality.			0.74, 0.99, P=0.0382) and 25-44.99 g/d
	consumption quantified for human subjects	control of social status, smoking status, and indication of	(RR 0.84; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.97,
Articles in final meta-analysis: 45		prior heart conditions	P=0.162).
45	Studies were excluded if they did not meet		
45 unique studies selected included	inclusion criteria		
269 estimates of the risk relationship			In women, those who drank 1.3–24.99
between level of alcohol consumption	Participants grouped based on daily alcohol		and day had a lower risk of CHD
and CHD mortality.	use in grams of ethanol assessed at baseline		mortality compared with abstainers (RR
There were 2,913,140 subjects of all	and compared with a reference group of		0.81; 95% CI: 0.66, 0.99, P=0.443).
ages, ethnicity and medical	variously defined "nondrinkers":		However, fully adjusted RRs were
conditions and 65,476 deaths	Former drinkers now completely abstaining;		significantly higher among both male
available for the analysis	Current occasional drinkers: up to one drink		former (RR 1.37; 95% CI: 1.12, 1.67,
	per week (<1.30 g per day);		P=0.0026) and marginally higher
17 reported RR estimates for men and	Current low-volume drinkers: up to two		among male occasional drinkers (RR
women separately, 21 for men only, 2	drinks or 1.30-24.99 g per day;		1.24; 95% CI: 1.00, 1.55, P=0.0526) but
for women only, and 5 for both sexes	Current medium-volume drinkers: up to four		not for women.
combined.	drinks or 25-44.99 g per day;		
	Current high-volume drinkers: up to six		Fully adjusted models for the studies
Only 7 studies (53 risk estimates)	drinks or 45-64.99 g per day;		with mean age older than age 55 years
were free from abstainer bias.	Current higher volume drinkers: six drinks,		at baseline showed significantly
	65 g, or more per day.		increased RRs for former drinkers (RR
25 studies (132 risk estimates) had			1.34; 95% CI 1.08, 1.65, P=0.0078 and
both former and occasional drinker	Studies were classified on the presence or		decreased RRs for low (RR 0.81; 95%
bias, 8 studies (41 risk estimates) had	absence of abstainer biases by whether		CI: 0.69, 0.95, P=0.0080), medium (RR
only former drinker bias, and 5	abstainers included both occasional drinkers		0.77; 95% CI: 0.66, 0.90, P=0.0015)
studies (43 risk estimates) had only	and former drinkers, abstainers included		and all current drinkers (RR 0.83; 95%
occasional drinker bias.	occasional drinkers only, abstainers included		CI: 0.75, 0.92, P=0.0074).
	former drinkers only, and abstainers included		C1. 0.75, 0.92, 1 = 0.0074).
5 studies were conducted in Asian	neither occasional drinkers nor former		In participants aged 19-55 years,
countries (3 in China, 2 in Japan) and	drinkers.		compared to abstainers both former
40 in countries with mainly White			drinkers and occasional drinkers had a
populations (22 in the United States,	Subgroups of studies were stratified by		significantly increased risk of CHD
18 in Australia or European	gender, mean age, and ethnicity and control		mortality (RR 1.45; 95% CI: 1.08, 1.95,
countries).	for heart health in order to explore variation		P=0.0136, and RR 1.44; 95% CI: 1.09,
	in the effects of alcohol use on CHD		1.89, P=0.101, respectively.
	mortality according to different values of		1.02, 1 =0.101, respectively.
	these variables.		In studies that controlled for heart-
			health at baseline (i.e. excluded
			participants with heart conditions) fully-
			adjusted models showed no significant
			associations between alcohol intake and
			associations between alconor midke and

		CHD mortality. The only significant
		association was observed comparing
		former drinkers vs. abstainers (RR 1.39; 95% CI: 1.03, 1.86, P=0.0295).
		<i>95%</i> CI. 1.05, 1.80, F=0.0295 <i>)</i> .
		In studies that included all participants
		(i.e. did not control for heart health at
		baseline), compared with abstainers decreased RRs for current low volume
		(0.78; 95% CI: 0.68,0.89, P=0.0005),
		medium volume (0.76; 95% CI: 0.66,
		0.88, P=0.0002), high volume (0.84,
		95% CI: 0.72, 0.99, P=0.0319), and all
		current drinkers (0.83, 95% CI: 0.76, 0.91, P=0.0041) were observed.
		In studies that were regarded as higher
		quality (n=5; free from former drinker bias, controlled for smoking, had a
		mean age up to 60 years, followed up to
		a mean age of 55 years, and had
		adequate measures of alcohol
		exposures) comparing former drinkers vs. abstainers was the only category to
		show a positive association with risk of
		CHD mortality (RR 1.40; 95% CI: 1.08,
		1.84, P=0.0186)
		Fully adjusted models showed a
		significantly increased risk among
		former drinkers (RR 1.28) and
		decreased risk among low- (RR 0.81)
		and medium-volume drinkers (RR 0.83) compared with abstainers in the White
		populations. In Asian populations, the
		RR estimates were similar to the White
		populations but were not significant.
		Summary
		In this analysis of prospective cohort
		studies, CHD risk was significantly
		lower in individuals classed as low-
		and medium-volume drinkers, and did not suggest high intakes of alcohol
		were associated with increased risk.
		However alcohol intake was self-
		reported at 1 time point – not
		capturing changes during life – or

				risk estimates were based on small study populations (i.e. heavy drinkers in Asian populations). Because of additional confounding variables and this study is not able to support the concept that alcohol intake is cardioprotective
women. Sample persona diagnos HF, or c not pro- consum Analysi women. Age: 45 years w All part at basel <u>COSM</u> <u>Never I</u> Particip Age: 64 BMI: 2: Postsec Family Current Walk/b Exercis Aspirin Hyperte Hypercl Diabete Atrial fi mDASI <u>Former</u> Particip Age: 63 BMI: 2: Postsec Family Current Current	excluded due to missing l identification number, death, sis of ischaemic heart disease, cancer before baseline, and vided information on alcohol pption. is sample: 40,590 men, 4,022 5–79 years old men, 49–83 romen. ticipants free of IHD and HF line Drinkers pants: $n$ 1844 4.3 years 5.6 kg/m2 ondary education: 15.8 % history of MI: 11.4 % t smokers: 6.4 % icycle = 40 min/day: 33 % the 2 h/week: 53.6 % to use = 7 tablets/week: 4.2 % ension: 20.4 %	Participants taken from the Cohort of Swedish Men (COSM) and Swedish Mammography Cohort (SMC). Men were categorised into eight groups according to their alcohol drinking status and number of drinks consumed per week: never (lifetime abstainers), former, current drinkers: occasional drinkers <1, numbers of drinks between 1–6, 7–14, 15–21, 22–28, and 28 per week. Because of lower alcohol consumption in women than in men, the two highest categories were collapsed into one category (i.e. highest category >21 drinks/week).	<ul> <li>Primary outcomes were risk of MI and HF. Outcomes were determined from the Swedish National Patient Register and the Swedish Cause of Death Register. ICD-10 code I21 used to define MI and and I50 and I11.0 for HF.</li> <li>Validated FFQs at baseline were given in 1997. Patients were followed–up until December 2010. Average alcohol consumption in the past year prior to baseline was assessed with six questions on alcoholic beverages, including:</li> <li>class I beer (alcohol by volume, 2.25%), class II beer (2.8–3.5%), class II beer (&gt;3.5%), wine (12%), strong wine (&gt;18%), and liquor.</li> <li>Weekly alcohol consumption was calculated by multiplying the frequency of consumption of each alcoholic beverage by the amount consumed per occasion. One drink was defined as 12 g alcohol (ethanol).</li> <li>Covariates data on education, family history of myocardial infarction, smoking, weight, height, physical activity, aspirin use, history of hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and diabetes were identified using the baseline questionnaire, participants provided. Self-reported history of hypertension and diabetes was complemented with data on diagnosis of these diseases in the Swedish National Patient Register.</li> <li>Follow -up time from January 1, 1998 until the first of the following.</li> <li>Multivariable models were adjusted for age (as the time scale in all analyses), education, family history of myocardial infarction before 60 years of age; smoking; BMI; walking/bicycling; exercise; use of aspirin; and history of hypertension; Hypercholesterolemia, idiabetes; and atrial fibrillation. The multivariable model was also</li> </ul>	Compared with individuals consuming small (<1drink/wk) amounts of alcohol, heavy drinkers (> 28 drinks/wk in men and > 21 drinks/wk in women) were younger and less active, more likely to be current smokers, and have a family history of MI. In women, hypertension was more prevalent in never and former drinkers than in heavy drinkers During the 12 years of follow-up there were 3678 cases of MI in men and 1500 cases of MI in women. 1905 men and 1328 women were diagnosed with HF. Alcohol consumption was statistically significantly inversely associated with risk of MI in both men and women (P for trend < 0.001). In multivariable analysis compared with <1 drink/wk men who consumed >28 drinks/wk had a lower risk of MI (HR 0.70; 95% CI: 0.15, 0.67). In women, heavy intakes of alcohol (>21 drinks/wk) attenuated the inverse relationship between alcohol and risk of MI. Alcohol intake was not associated with incident HF in either men or women although heavy intakes were associated with increased risk in men (HR 1.45; 95% CI: 1.09, 1.93). This was not observed in women. In men the HRs for <1 drink/wk, 1-6 drinks/wk, 7-14 drinks/wk, 15-21 drinks/wk and 22-28 drinks/wk were 1.07 (95% CI: 0.19, 1.2(95%

Exercise = 2 h/week: 57.9 %	controlled for overall diet using a modified Dietary	CI: 0.94, 1.34), 0.92 (95% CI: 0.72,
Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: $8.8\%$	Approaches to Stop Hypertension diet	1.17), and 1.12 (95% CI: 0.82, 1.55),
Hypertension: 25.5 %	score (mDASH diet score) ranges from 7 (minimal	respectively.
Hypercholesterolemia: 15.1 %	adherence) to 35 (maximal adherence).	respectively.
Diabetes: 13 %	adherence) to 55 (maximal adherence).	In women the HRs for <1 drink/wk, 1-6
Atrial fibrillation: 3.2 %		drinks/wk, 7-14 drinks/wk, 15-21
mDASH diet score: 20.4		drinks/wk, 7-14 drinks/wk, 13-21 drinks/wk and >21 drinks/wk were 0.93
IIIDASH diet score. 20.4		(95% CI: 0.81, 1.06), 0.90 (95% CI:
<1 drink/wk		(95% CI: 0.81, 1.00), 0.90 (95% CI: 0.70, 1.16), 0.62 (95% CI: 0.29, 1.31),
Participants: $n$ 3572		and 0.73 (95% CI: 0.32, 1.63),
Age: 62 years		respectively.
BMI: 25.8 kg/m2		respectively.
Postsecondary education: 14.9 %		In men, risk of HF was higher in never
Family history of MI: 14.7 %		and former drinkers (HR 1.24; 95% CI:
Current smokers: 20.9 %		0.99, 1.54 and 1.40, 95% CI: 1.15, 1.71,
Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 33.7 % Exercise = 2 h/week: 56.5 %		respectively). The relationship was
Exercise = 2 h/week: $56.5 \%$ Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: $5.7 \%$		absent in women
1		S
Hypertension: 23.2 %		Summary
Hypercholesterolemia: 12.4 %		This study shows divergent
Diabetes: 9 %		associations between alcohol intake
Atrial fibrillation: 1.9 %		and risk of MI or HF. The difference
mDASH diet score: 20.5		between men and women's HF risk
		may be due to a small number of
<u>1-6 drinks/wk</u>		women who drank heavily, thus
Participants: n 16,423		meaning lower statistical power.
Age: 59.8 years		Similarly the intake of ethanol may
BMI: 25.6 kg/m2		have been inadequate to have an
Postsecondary education: 16.6 %		impact on BP. This is shown by the
Family history of MI: 14.2 %		baseline data where the prevalence of
Current smokers: 23.2 %		hypertension is lower in the heavy
Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 32.9 %		drinking group than the light
Exercise = 2 h/week: 58.6 %		drinkers. Similar to other studies a
Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 5.7 %		limitation is that alcohol consumption
Hypertension: 21.6 %		was self-reported and measured at
Hypercholesterolemia: 12.9 %		baseline only, and other types of CVD
Diabetes: 7.6 %		(or comorbidities) were not examined
Atrial fibrillation: 1.9 %		
mDASH diet score: 20.9		
7-14 drinks/wk		
Participants: n 10,001		
Age: 57.7 years		
BMI: 25.6 kg/m2		
Postsecondary education: 19.8 %		
Family history of MI: 14.2 %		
Current smokers: 25.4 %		
Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 32 %		

	xercise = 2 h/week: 60.7 %	
As	spirin use = 7 tablets/week: $5.6\%$	
Hy	ypertension: 21.6 %	
	ypercholesterolemia: 13.4 %	
	iabetes: 6.6 %	
At	trial fibrillation: 2.1 %	
mI	DASH diet score: 20.9	
15		
	5-21 drinks/wk	
Pa	articipants: n 3586	
Ag	ge: 56.7 years	
B	MI: 25.9 kg/m2	
	ostsecondary education: 20.1 %	
	amily history of MI: 14.6 %	
	urrent smokers: 30.1 %	
W	/alk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 32 %	
Ex	xercise = $2 \text{ h/week: } 57.8 \%$	
	spirin use = 7 tablets/week: 6.6 %	
H	ypertension: 22.8 %	
	ypercholesterolemia: 15.3 %	
	iabetes: 6.4 %	
	trial fibrillation: 2.2 %	
mI	DASH diet score: 20.7	
22	2-28 drinks/wk	
	articipants: n 1332	
	ge: 56.4 years	
BN	MI: 26.1 kg/m2	
Po	ostsecondary education: 20.4 %	
	amily history of MI: 14.6 %	
	urrent smokers: 34.3 %	
	alk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 29.9 %	
	xercise = 2 h/week: 58.4 %	
As	spirin use = 7 tablets/week: 6.9 %	
Hv	vpertension: 24.4 %	
	ypercholesterolemia: 14.9 %	
	iabetes: 6.7 %	
	trial fibrillation: 2 %	
mI	DASH diet score: 20.4	
>2	28 drinks/wk	
	articipants: n 1475	
	ge: 56.5 years	
	MI: 26.2 kg/m2	
	ostsecondary education: 17.6 %	
Fa	amily history of MI: 16.5 %	
	urrent smokers: 42.5 %	
	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	
W	an/or yere = +0 mm/day. 27.5 %	

Exercise = 2 h/week: 53.6 % Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 7.9 % Hypertension: 27.9 %	
Hypertension: 27.9 %	
Hypertension: 27.9 %	
Hypercholesterolemia: 16.9 %	
Diabetes: 9.2 %	
Atrial fibrillation: 1.9 %	
mDASH diet score: 19.9	
hill/holl diet scole, 17,7	
SMC	
Never Drinkers	
Participants: n 4126	
Age: 67.6 years	
BMI: 25.9 kg/m2	
Postsecondary education: 12.4 %	
Family history of MI: 16.7 %	
Current smokers: 10.2 %	
Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 35.9 %	
Exercise = 2 h/week: $52.6\%$	
Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: $9.7 \%$	
Hypertension: 22.3 %	
Hypercholesterolemia: 7.8 %	
Diabetes: 6 %	
Atrial fibrillation: 1.1 %	
mDASH diet score: 22.1	
Former Drinkers	
Participants: n 908	
Age: 62.3 years	
BMI: 25.4 kg/m2	
Postsecondary education: 14.3 %	
Family history of MI: 19.7 %	
Current smokers: 38 %	
Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 35.3 %	
Exercise = $2 \text{ h/week}$ : $52.2 \%$	
Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 12.7 %	
Hypertension: 26.4 %	
Hypercholesterolemia: 7.6 %	
Diabetes: 7.7 %	
Atrial fibrillation: 2.2 %	
mDASH diet score: 21.8	
<1 drink/week	
Participants: n 8076	
Age: 63.2 years	
BMI: 25.4 kg/m2	
Postsecondary education: 15 %	
Family history of MI: 17.3 %	
Current smokers: 21.4 %	

Walkbycycle = 40 maid/au, 37.0 % Exercise = 2 Juwek: 55.0 % Aspirn use = 7 ubbcs/weck: 94.4 % Hypertexino: 11.6 % Hypertexino: 12.6 % Hypertexino: 12.6 % Hypertexino: 12.6 % Hypertexino: 12.6 % Hypertexino: 12.6 % Hypertexino: 14.6 % Hypertexino: 15.6 % Hypertexino: 15.6 % Hypertexino: 14.6 % Hypertexino: 14.6 % Hypertexino: 15.6 % Hypertexino: 15.4 % Hypertexino: 11.6 % Hyp				
Exercise - 2 Javacket: 53.6 % Aspirit use - TabletStveck: 9.4 % Hypercholesterolemia: 8.4 % Diabetes: 4.8 % Airda (Brinlation: 1.1 % mDASH diet score: 22.5 I-6.dinkStvecket Built - 4.7 kg/m2 Postscondary columation: 21.5 % Family history of MI: 16.4 % Current sumker: 24.5 % Watk/heyele = 40 minksty: 55 % Exercise = 2 Javacket: 82.5 % Hypercholance: 13.5 % Diabetes: 2.7 % And Built use = 7 JabletVeck: 8.2 % Hypercholance: 13.5 % Diabetes: 2.7 % And Built use = 7 JabletVeck: 8.2 % Hypercholance: 13.5 % Diabetes: 2.7 % And Built use = 7 JabletVeck: 8.2 % Hypercholance: 13.5 % Diabetes: 2.7 % And Built use = 7 JabletVeck: 8.2 % Hypercholance: 13.5 % Diabetes: 2.7 % And Built use = 7 JabletVeck: 8.2 % Hypercholance: 13.5 % Diabetes: 2.7 % And Built use = 7 JabletVeck: 8.2 % Hypercholance: 13.5 % Diabetes: 2.7 % And Built Use = 40 mindsy: 36 % Exercise = 2 Jue = 40 mindsy: 36 % Age: 57 & yeas Built - 2.5 & kg/m2 Diabetes: 2.3 % Artial Built Built on: 16 % Hypercholance: 16.4 % Hypercholance: 16.4 % Hypercholance: 16.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Artial Built Built on: 0.9 % mDASH diet score: 2.2.6 <u>15.2 Jue LinkSeck</u> Hypercholance: 16.9 % Hypercholance: 17.5 % H	v	Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 37.6 %		
Appirt use = 7 tablestweek: 9.4 %         Hypertolisterclemit: 9.4 %         Attral fibrillation: 1.1 %         anDASH diet Sour: 22.5         L-6 drink/week         Participants: 10.532         Age: 90, years         BMI: 24.7 kg/m2         Postscendary clucation: 21.5 %         Postscendary clucation: 21.6 %         Postscendary clucation: 2.6 %         Postscendary clucation: 28.4 %         Postsc				
Hypercholscrelomic 24.6 %         Hypercholscrelomic 24.8 %         Artial förbillation: 1.1 %         mDASH diet score: 22.5         Johnson 2000				
Hypercholesterolemic: 84 %         Atrial fibrillation: 1.1 %         mDASH die score: 22.5         1-6 drinks/week         Participants: n 16,822         Age: 59 years         DML: 4.7 kpm         DML: 4.7 kpm         Demotes: 51.5 %         Validbiety: e 40 minkby: 55.9 %         Apprint use = 7 tablets/week: 82.6 %         Hypercholesterolemic: 10.5 %         Phypercholesterolemic: 7.6 %         Diabetes: 27.7 %         Attrail: fibrillation: 1.9 %         Photicipants an 3628         Api: 7.6 %         Diabetes: 21.9 %         Participants: 10.3 %         Participants: 10.4 %         Participants: 11.9 %         Postscondary education: 28.4 %         Participants: 18.4 %         Participants: 7.0 %         Participant				
Hypercholesterolemic: 84 %         Atrial fibrillation: 1.1 %         mDASH die score: 22.5         1-6 drinks/week         Participants: n 16,822         Age: 59 years         DML: 4.7 kpm         DML: 4.7 kpm         Demotes: 51.5 %         Validbiety: e 40 minkby: 55.9 %         Apprint use = 7 tablets/week: 82.6 %         Hypercholesterolemic: 10.5 %         Phypercholesterolemic: 7.6 %         Diabetes: 27.7 %         Attrail: fibrillation: 1.9 %         Photicipants an 3628         Api: 7.6 %         Diabetes: 21.9 %         Participants: 10.3 %         Participants: 10.4 %         Participants: 11.9 %         Postscondary education: 28.4 %         Participants: 18.4 %         Participants: 7.0 %         Participant	F	Avpertension: 21.6 %		
Dibbers: 4.8 %         Artid Iffeiliation: 1.1 %         mDASH diet score: 22.5         1-6 drinks/week         Participant: n 16.382         Age: 596 years         BM: 24.7 Kg/n2         Prostscondary education: 21.5 %         Current smokers: 24.5 %         Current smokers: 24.5 %         VaikNiceycle: 40 mind/up; 35.9 %         Exercise = 2 h/week: 53.9 %         Apprint use = 1 h/week: 53.9 %         Diberts: 2.7 %         Prostscondary education: 7.6 %         Diberts: 2.7 %         Participant: n 3628         Age: 57.6 years         BM: 24.5 kg/n2         Postscondary education: 28.4 %         Family history of MI: 16.1 %         Current smokers: 31.1 %         WaikNicycle = 40 minklay: 36 %         Exercise = 1 hiweek: 57.6 %         Diaberts: 2.1 %         Markinicycle = 40 minklay: 36 %         Exercise = 1 hiweek: 57.6 %         Hyperticipant: n8.4 %         Participant: n8.4 %         Participant: n8.4 %         Hyperticipant: n609         Ayrani Hinflandan: c				
Arial fibrillation: 11 %         mDASH diet score: 22.5         1-6 drink/week         Participants: n 16.382         Age: 56 9 cars         BME 24.7 kg/m2         Postscendnary education: 21.5 %         Family history of MI: 16.4 %         Current shows: 24.5 %         Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 25.9 %         Exercise = 2 hweek: 58.9 %         Aspirin use = 7 tablet/week: 8.2 %         Hypertholosterolemiz: 7.6 %         Dabetes: 2.7 %         Arial fibrillation: 19.3 %         Participants: n 5028         Age: 57.6 years         BME 24.7 kg/m2         Postscendnary: education: 28.4 %         Postscendnary: education: 28.7 %         Apprint use: 7 Tablet/week: 90.6 %         Hypertension: 18.4 %         Phypertholestrolemiz: 67.%         Dabeters: 23.9 %         Arrial fibrillation: 0.9 %         mDASH diet score: 22.6         15.21 dinks/wk				
Arial fibrillation: 11 %         mDASH diet score: 22.5         1-6 drink/week         Participants: n 16.382         Age: 56 9 cars         BME 24.7 kg/m2         Postscendnary education: 21.5 %         Family history of MI: 16.4 %         Current shows: 24.5 %         Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 25.9 %         Exercise = 2 hweek: 58.9 %         Aspirin use = 7 tablet/week: 8.2 %         Hypertholosterolemiz: 7.6 %         Dabetes: 2.7 %         Arial fibrillation: 19.3 %         Participants: n 5028         Age: 57.6 years         BME 24.7 kg/m2         Postscendnary: education: 28.4 %         Postscendnary: education: 28.7 %         Apprint use: 7 Tablet/week: 90.6 %         Hypertension: 18.4 %         Phypertholestrolemiz: 67.%         Dabeters: 23.9 %         Arrial fibrillation: 0.9 %         mDASH diet score: 22.6         15.21 dinks/wk	I	Diabetes: 4.8 %		
mDASH diet score: 22.5         1-6 drinks/week         Participants: n16,382         Age:: 59.6 years         BM: 24.7 Kg/m2         Postsceondary education: 21.5 %         Family history of MI: 16.4 %         Current smokers: 24.2 %         Walk/bicycle = 40 minday: 53.9 %         Exercise = 2 histofic Week: 8.2 %         Hypertension: 19.3 %         Postsceondary education: 19.4 %         Diabeters: 7.1 %         mDASH diet score: 22.9         7-14 drinks/wk         Participants: n 3628         Age: 7.5 6 years         BM: 24.5 kg/m2         Postsceondary education: 28.4 %         Family history of MI: 16.1 %         Current smokers: 31.1 %         Walk/bicycle = 40 minday: 36 %         Exercise = 2 hiveek: 57.5 %         Aspirin use = 7 tablet/week: 9.8 %         Hypertension: 18.4 %         Phypertension: 18.4 %         Participants: n 6090         Age:: 50.9 kg/m2         Participants: n 6090         Age:: 50.9 kg/m2         Postsecondary of MI: 17.1 %				
1-6 drinks/week         Participants: n 10.382         Age: 50 6 years         BMI: 24.7 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 21.5 %         Family history of MI: 16.4 %         Current smoker: 24.5 %         Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 35.9 %         Exercise = 2 hweek: 58.9 %         Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 8.2 %         Hypertension: 19.3 %         Phypertension: 19.3 %         Arrial fibrillation: 19.3 %         Phypertension: 2.7 %         Arrial fibrillation: 19.3 %         Postsecondary education: 2.8 %         Age: 57 6 years         BMI: 24.5 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 2.8 4 %         Participants: n 5028         Age: 57 6 years         BMI: 24.5 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 2.8 4 %         Participants: n 50.9 %         Apprintaiser: 1.1 %         Walkbicyc2 = 40ebit: 75.5 %         Apprintaiser: 71.8 %         Phypertension: 18.4 %         Phypereholestrotemize: 75.8 %				
Participants: $n$ 16.382 Age: 95 9 years BME: 24.7 kg/m2Postsecondary ductation: 21.5 % Family history of M1: 16.4 % Current smokers: 24.5 % Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 35.9 % Exercise = 2 h/week: 82.9 % Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 82.6 % Hypercholesterolemia: 7.6 % Diabetes: 2.7 % Atrial fibrillation: 1.8 % mDASH diet score: 22.97.14 drinks/wk Participants: n 3628 Age: 57.6 years BME: 24.5 kg/m29.8552.0042 (Stable = 40 min/day: 38.9 % Atrial fibrillation: 1.8 % mDASH diet score: 22.97.14 drinks/wk Participants: n 3628 Age: 57.6 years BME: 24.5 kg/m29.8552.0042 (Stable = 40 min/day: 36 % Exercise = 2 h/week: \$3.7 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypertension: 18.7 % Diabetes: 23.6 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypertensi	n	nDASH diet score: 22.5		
Participants: $n$ 16.382 Age: 95 9 years BME: 24.7 kg/m2Postsecondary ductation: 21.5 % Family history of M1: 16.4 % Current smokers: 24.5 % Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 35.9 % Exercise = 2 h/week: 82.9 % Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 82.6 % Hypercholesterolemia: 7.6 % Diabetes: 2.7 % Atrial fibrillation: 1.8 % mDASH diet score: 22.97.14 drinks/wk Participants: n 3628 Age: 57.6 years BME: 24.5 kg/m29.8552.0042 (Stable = 40 min/day: 38.9 % Atrial fibrillation: 1.8 % mDASH diet score: 22.97.14 drinks/wk Participants: n 3628 Age: 57.6 years BME: 24.5 kg/m29.8552.0042 (Stable = 40 min/day: 36 % Exercise = 2 h/week: \$3.7 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypertension: 18.7 % Diabetes: 23.6 % Hypertension: 18.4 % 				
Participants: $n$ 16.382 Age: 95 9 years BME: 24.7 kg/m2Postsecondary ductation: 21.5 % Family history of M1: 16.4 % Current smokers: 24.5 % Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 35.9 % Exercise = 2 h/week: 82.9 % Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 82.6 % Hypercholesterolemia: 7.6 % Diabetes: 2.7 % Atrial fibrillation: 1.8 % mDASH diet score: 22.97.14 drinks/wk Participants: n 3628 Age: 57.6 years BME: 24.5 kg/m29.8552.0042 (Stable = 40 min/day: 38.9 % Atrial fibrillation: 1.8 % mDASH diet score: 22.97.14 drinks/wk Participants: n 3628 Age: 57.6 years BME: 24.5 kg/m29.8552.0042 (Stable = 40 min/day: 36 % Exercise = 2 h/week: \$3.7 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypertension: 18.7 % Diabetes: 23.6 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypertensi	1	6 drinks/week		
Age: 59.6 years BM: 24.7 kg/m2Postsecondary education: 21.5 % Family history of MI: 16.4 % Current smokers: 24.5 % Walkhövgle = 40 min/day: 35.9 % Exercise = 2 h/week: 58.9 % Appirnue = 7 tablets/week: 8.2 % Hypertension: 19.3 % Hypertension: 19.3 % Hypertension: 19.3 % Hypertension: 19.3 % Hypertension: 19.3 % Hypertension: 10.3 % Hypertension: 10.4 % Hypertension: 10.4 % Hypertension: 10.4 % Hypertension: 10.4 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypertension: 16.7 % Hypertension: 16.7 % Hypertension: 16.7 % Hypertension: 16.7 % Hypertension: 16.7 % Hypertension: 16.4 % 				
B $\overline{M}$ : 24,7 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 21.5 % Family history of MI: 16.4 % Current suckers: 24.5 % Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 35.9 % Exercise = 10/weck: 82.9 % Asprin use = 7 tablets/weck: 8.2 % Hypercholesterolemia: 7.6 % Diabetes: 2.7 % Artial förillation: 1 % mDASH diet score: 22.9 7.14 drink/wk Participants: n 3628 Age: 57.6 years BMI: 24.3 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 28.4 % Family history of MI: 16.1 % Current sunders: 31.1 % Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 % Exercise = 2 h/weck: 59.6 % Asprin use = 7 tablets/weck: 9.9 % Hypercholestrolemia: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Artial förillation: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Artial förillation: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Artial förillation: 7.7 % Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Participants: n 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Participants: n 45.5 % Participants: n 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Participants: n 609				
B $\overline{M}$ : 24,7 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 21.5 % Family history of MI: 16.4 % Current suckers: 24.5 % Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 35.9 % Exercise = 10/weck: 82.9 % Asprin use = 7 tablets/weck: 8.2 % Hypercholesterolemia: 7.6 % Diabetes: 2.7 % Artial förillation: 1 % mDASH diet score: 22.9 7.14 drink/wk Participants: n 3628 Age: 57.6 years BMI: 24.3 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 28.4 % Family history of MI: 16.1 % Current sunders: 31.1 % Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 % Exercise = 2 h/weck: 59.6 % Asprin use = 7 tablets/weck: 9.9 % Hypercholestrolemia: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Artial förillation: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Artial förillation: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Artial förillation: 7.7 % Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Participants: n 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Participants: n 45.5 % Participants: n 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Participants: n 609	A	Age: 59.6 years		
Postsecondar equation: 21.5 % Family history of M1: 16.4 % Current smokers: 24.5 % Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 35.9 % Exercise = 2 hweek: 58.9 % Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 8.2 % Hypercholesterolemia: 7.6 % Diabetes: 2.7 % Atrial fibrillation: 1% mDASH diet score: 22.9 7.14 drinks/wk Participants: <i>n</i> 3628 Age: 57.6 years BM1: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 28.4 % Family history of M1: 16.1 % Current smokers: 31.1 % Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 % Exercise = 2 hweek: 57.5 % Araiii fibrillation: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Artial fibrillation: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Artial fibrillation: 6.9 % mDASH diet score: 22.6 15.21 drinks/wk Participants: <i>n</i> 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Participants: <i>n</i> 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Participants: <i>n</i> 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Participants: <i>n</i> 609				
Family history of MI: 16.4 %         Current smokers: 24.5 %         Walk/bicycle = 40 minday: 35.9 %         Exercise = 2 hivesk: 8.2 %         Appirin use = 7 tablets/week: 8.2 %         Hypertolesterolemin: 7.6 %         Diabetes: 2.7 %         Arrial fibrillation: 1 %         mDASH diet score: 22.9         7-14 drinks/wk         Participants: n 3028         Age: 57.6 years         BMI: 24.5 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 28.4 %         Family history of MI: 16.1 %         Current smokers: 2.7.5 %         Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 9.6 %         Hypercholestrolemini: 6.7 %         Diabetes: 2.3 %         Arial fibrillation: 0.9 %         mDASH diet score: 22.6         15-21 drinks/wk         Participants: n 609         Age: 56.9 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 34.5 %         Postsecondary education: 34.5 %				
Current smokers: 24.5 % Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 35.9 % Exercise = 2 h/week: 58.9 % Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 8.2 % Hyperchoiserrolemia: 7.6 % Diabetes: 2.7 % Arrial fibrillation: 1 % mDASH field score: 22.9 $7.14 drinks/wkParticipants: n 5628Age: 57.6 yearsBM1: 24.5 kg/m2Postsecondary education: 28.4 %Family history of MI: 16.1 %Current smokers: 31.1 %Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 %Exercise = 2 h/week: 57.5 %Arrial fibrillation: 0.9 %mDASH field score: 22.61.521 drinks/wkParticipants: n 609Age: 56.9 kg/m2BM1: 24.5 kg/m2Postsecondary education: 34.5 %Pamily history of MI: 16.1 %Current size and fibrillation: 0.9 %mDASH field score: 22.61.521 drinks/wkParticipants: n 609Age: 56.9 kg/m2BM1: 24.5 kg/m2Postsecondary education: 34.5 %Pamily history of MI: 16.1 %Current size and min/day: 36 %Exercise = 1.0 week: 57.5 %Participants: 0.9 %mDASH field score: 22.61.521 drinks/wkParticipants: n 609Age: 56.9 kg/m2Postsecondary education: 34.5 %Family history of MI: 17.1 %$				
Current smokers: 24.5 % Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 35.9 % Exercise = 2 h/week: 58.9 % Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 8.2 % Hyperchoiserrolemia: 7.6 % Diabetes: 2.7 % Arrial fibrillation: 1 % mDASH field score: 22.9 $7.14 drinks/wkParticipants: n 5628Age: 57.6 yearsBM1: 24.5 kg/m2Postsecondary education: 28.4 %Family history of MI: 16.1 %Current smokers: 31.1 %Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 %Exercise = 2 h/week: 57.5 %Arrial fibrillation: 0.9 %mDASH field score: 22.61.521 drinks/wkParticipants: n 609Age: 56.9 kg/m2BM1: 24.5 kg/m2Postsecondary education: 34.5 %Pamily history of MI: 16.1 %Current size and fibrillation: 0.9 %mDASH field score: 22.61.521 drinks/wkParticipants: n 609Age: 56.9 kg/m2BM1: 24.5 kg/m2Postsecondary education: 34.5 %Pamily history of MI: 16.1 %Current size and min/day: 36 %Exercise = 1.0 week: 57.5 %Participants: 0.9 %mDASH field score: 22.61.521 drinks/wkParticipants: n 609Age: 56.9 kg/m2Postsecondary education: 34.5 %Family history of MI: 17.1 %$	I F	Family history of MI: 16.4 %		
Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 55.9 %         Exercise = 2 h/week: 82.9 %         Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 8.2 %         Hypertension: 19.3 %         Hypertension: 19.3 %         Arrial fibrillation: 1 %         mDASH diet score: 22.9         7-14 drinks/wk         Participants: n 3028         Age: 57.6 years         BMI: 24.5 fg/m2         Postsecondary education: 28.4 %         Family history of MI: 16.1 %         Current sunders: 31.1 %         Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 %         Exercise = 2 h/week: 57.5 %         Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 96.%         Hypercholesterolemia: 6.7 %         Diabetes: 2.3 %         Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 %         mDASH diet score: 22.6         15.21 drinks/wk         Participants: n 609         Age: 56.9 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 34.5 %         Panily bistory of MI: 17.1 %				
Exercise = 2 hweek: 58.9 % Aspirin use 7 tubits/week; 8.2 % Hypertholostrolemia: 7.6 % Diabetes: 2.7 % Atrial fibrillation: 1 % mDASH dist score: 22.9 7 <u>-14 drinks/wk</u> Participants: <i>n</i> 3628 Age: 57.6 years BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 28.4 % Family history of MI: 16.1 % Current smokers: 31.1 % Walkheycle = 40 min/day: 36 % Exercise = 2 hweek: 57.5 % Aspirin use = 7 tablet/week: 9.6 % Hypertholesterolemia: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 % mDASH dist score: 22.6 15 <u>-21 drinks/wk</u> Participants: <i>n</i> 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 71.1 %				
Aspirin use 7 lablet/week: 8.2 %         Hypertension: 19.3 %         Hypertolosterolemia: 7.6 %         Diabetes: 2.7 %         Atrial fibrillation: 1 %         mDASH diet score: 22.9         7.14 drinks/wk         Participants: n 3628         Age: 57.6 years         BMI: 24.5 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 28.4 %         Family history of MI: 16.1 %         Current smokers: 31.1 %         Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 %         Exercise = 2 hweek: 57.5 %         Aspirin inse = 7 ablet/week: 9.6 %         Hypertension: 18.4 %         Participants: n 60%         Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 %         mDASH diet score: 22.6         15-21 drinks/wk         Participants: n 60%         Age: 50.8 kg/m2         BMI: 24.5 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 34.5 %         Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
Aspirin use 7 lablet/week: 8.2 %         Hypertension: 19.3 %         Hypertolosterolemia: 7.6 %         Diabetes: 2.7 %         Atrial fibrillation: 1 %         mDASH diet score: 22.9         7.14 drinks/wk         Participants: n 3628         Age: 57.6 years         BMI: 24.5 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 28.4 %         Family history of MI: 16.1 %         Current smokers: 31.1 %         Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 %         Exercise = 2 hweek: 57.5 %         Aspirin inse = 7 ablet/week: 9.6 %         Hypertension: 18.4 %         Participants: n 60%         Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 %         mDASH diet score: 22.6         15-21 drinks/wk         Participants: n 60%         Age: 50.8 kg/m2         BMI: 24.5 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 34.5 %         Family history of MI: 17.1 %	I E	Exercise = $2 \text{ h/week}$ : 58.9 %		
Hypertension: 19.3 %         Hypertension: 7.6 %         Diabetes: 2.7 %         Atrial fibrillation: 1 %         mDASH diet score: 22.9         7.14 drinks/vk         Participants: n 3028         Age: 57.6 years         BMI: 24.5 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 28.4 %         Family history of MI: 16.1 %         Current smokers: 31.1 %         Walk/Discycle = 40 mir/day: 36 %         Exercise = 2 h/week: 57.5 %         Aspirin use = 7 tablet/stweek: 9.6 %         Hypertension: 18.4 %         Hypertension: 18.4 %         Hypertholes: 2.3 %         Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 %         mDASH diet score: 22.6         15-21 drinks/vk         Participants: n 609         Age: 55.9 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 34.5 %         Postsecondary education: 34.5 %				
Hypercholesterolemia: 7.6 %         Diabetes: 2.7 %         Arrial fibrillation: 1 %         mDASH diet score: 22.9         7-14 drinks/wk         Participants: n 3628         Age: 57.6 years         BMI: 24.5 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 28.4 %         Family history of MI: 16.1 %         Current smokers: 31.1 %         Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 %         Exercise = 2 h/week: 57.5 %         Appertension: 18.4 %         Hypertension: 18.4 %         Hypertension: 2.6 %         Hypertension: 18.4 %         Participants: n.7 %         Diabetes: 2.3 %         Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 %         mDASH diet score: 2.6 6         15-21 drinks/vk         Participants: n.609         Age: 50-9 kg/m2         BMI: 24.5 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 34.5 %         Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
Diabetes: 2.7 % Atrial fibrillation: 1 % mDASH diet score: 22.9 7-14 drinks/wk Participants: n 3628 Age: 57.6 years BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 28.4 % Family history of MI: 16.1 % Current smokers: 31.1 % Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 % Exercise = 2 h/wcek: 57.5 % Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 9.6 % Hypercholesterolemia: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 % mDASH diet score: 22.6 15-21 drinks/wk Participants: n 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
Diabetes: 2.7 % Atrial fibrillation: 1 % mDASH diet score: 22.9 7-14 drinks/wk Participants: n 3628 Age: 57.6 years BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 28.4 % Family history of MI: 16.1 % Current smokers: 31.1 % Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 % Exercise = 2 h/wcek: 57.5 % Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 9.6 % Hypercholesterolemia: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 % mDASH diet score: 22.6 15-21 drinks/wk Participants: n 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %	H	Avpercholesterolemia: 7.6 %		
Atrial fibrillation: 1 %         mDASH diet score: 22.9         -1.14 drinks/wk         Participants: n 3628         Age: 57.6 years         BMI: 24.5 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 28.4 %         Family history of MI: 16.1 %         Current smokers: 31.1 %         Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 %         Exercise = 2 h/week: 57.5 %         Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 96 %         Hypertension: 18.4 %         Hypertension: 18.4 %         Hypertension: 18.4 %         Probabetes: 2.3 %         Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 %         mDASH diet score: 22.6         15.21 drinks/wk         Participants: n 609         Age: 56.9 kg/m2         BMI: 24.5 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 34.5 %				
mDASH diet score: 22.9         7-14 drinks/wk         Participants: n 3628         Age: 57.6 years         BMI: 24.5 kg/n2         Postsecondary education: 28.4 %         Family history of MI: 16.1 %         Current smokers: 31.1 %         Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 %         Exercise = 2 h/week: 57.5 %         Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 9.6 %         Hypercholesterolemia: 6.7 %         Diabetes: 2.3 %         atriai fibrillation: 0.9 %         mDASH diet score: 22.6         15-21 drinks/wk         Participants: n 609         Age: 56.9 kg/m2         BMI: 24.5 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 34.5 %				
7.14 drinks/wk Participants: n 3628 Age: 57.6 years BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 28.4 % Family history of MI: 16.1 % Current smokers: 31.1 % Walk/Dicycle = 40 min/day: 36 % Exercise = 2 b/week: 57.5 % Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 9.6 % Hypercholesterolemia: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 % mDASH diet score: 22.6 $15-21 drinks/wk$ Participants: n 609 Age:: 56.9 kg/m2 BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
7.14 drinks/wk Participants: n 3628 Age: 57.6 years BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 28.4 % Family history of MI: 16.1 % Current smokers: 31.1 % Walk/Dicycle = 40 min/day: 36 % Exercise = 2 b/week: 57.5 % Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 9.6 % Hypercholesterolemia: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 % mDASH diet score: 22.6 $15-21 drinks/wk$ Participants: n 609 Age:: 56.9 kg/m2 BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %	n	nDASH diet score: 22.9		
Participants: n 3628         Age: 57.6 years         BMI: 24.5 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 28.4 %         Family history of MI: 16.1 %         Current smokers: 31.1 %         Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 %         Exercise = 2 h/week: 57.5 %         Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 9.6 %         Hypercholesterolemia: 6.7 %         Diabetes: 2.3 %         Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 %         mDASH diet score: 22.6         15-21 drinks/wk         Participants: n 609         Age: 56.9 kg/m2         BMI: 24.5 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 34.5 %         Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
Participants: n 3628         Age: 57.6 years         BMI: 24.5 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 28.4 %         Family history of MI: 16.1 %         Current smokers: 31.1 %         Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 %         Exercise = 2 h/week: 57.5 %         Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 9.6 %         Hypercholesterolemia: 6.7 %         Diabetes: 2.3 %         Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 %         mDASH diet score: 22.6         15-21 drinks/wk         Participants: n 609         Age: 56.9 kg/m2         BMI: 24.5 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 34.5 %         Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
Age: $57.6$ yearsBMI: $24.5$ kg/m2Postsecondary education: $28.4$ %Family history of MI: $16.1$ %Current smokers: $31.1$ %Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: $36$ %Exercise = 2 h/week: $57.5$ %Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: $9.6$ %Hypercholesterolemia: $6.7$ %Diabetes: $2.3$ %Atrial fibrillation: $0.9$ %mDASH diet score: $22.6$ $\frac{15-21 drinks/wk}{1000000000000000000000000000000000000$				
Age: $57.6$ yearsBMI: $24.5$ kg/m2Postsecondary education: $28.4$ %Family history of MI: $16.1$ %Current smokers: $31.1$ %Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: $36$ %Exercise = 2 h/week: $57.5$ %Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: $9.6$ %Hypercholesterolemia: $6.7$ %Diabetes: $2.3$ %Atrial fibrillation: $0.9$ %mDASH diet score: $22.6$ $\frac{15-21 drinks/wk}{1000000000000000000000000000000000000$	F	Participants: n 3628		
BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 28.4 % Family history of MI: 16.1 % Current smokers: 31.1 % Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 % Exercise = 2 b/week: 57.5 % Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 9.6 % Hypercholesterolemia: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 % mDASH diet score: 22.6 <u>15-21 drinks/wk</u> Participants: n 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
Postsecondary education: 28.4 % Family history of MI: 16.1 % Current smokers: 31.1 % Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 % Exercise = 2 h/week: 57.5 % Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 9.6 % Hypercholesterolemia: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 % mDASH diet score: 22.6 <u>15-21 drinks/wk</u> Participants: <i>n</i> 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
Family history of MI: 16.1 % Current smokers: 31.1 % Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 % Exercise = 2 h/week: 57.5 % Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 9.6 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypercholesterolemia: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 % mDASH diet score: 22.6 $15-21 drinks/wk$ Participants: n 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
Family history of MI: 16.1 % Current smokers: 31.1 % Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 % Exercise = 2 h/week: 57.5 % Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 9.6 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypercholesterolemia: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 % mDASH diet score: 22.6 $15-21 drinks/wk$ Participants: n 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %	F	Postsecondary education: 28.4 %		
Current smokers: 31.1 % Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 % Exercise = 2 h/week: 57.5 % Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 9.6 % Hypertension: 18.4 % Hypercholesterolemia: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Artial fibrillation: 0.9 % mDASH diet score: 22.6 <u>15-21 drinks/wk</u> Participants: <i>n</i> 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 %         Exercise = 2 h/week: 57.5 %         Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 9.6 %         Hypertension: 18.4 %         Hypercholesterolemia: 6.7 %         Diabetes: 2.3 %         Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 %         mDASH diet score: 22.6         15-21 drinks/wk         Participants: n 609         Age: 56.9 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 34.5 %         Family history of MI: 17.1 %	I I	anny fistory of WIL 10.1 %		
Exercise = 2 h/week: 57.5 % Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 9.6 % Hypercholesterolemia: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 % mDASH diet score: 22.6 $\frac{15-21 \text{ drinks/wk}}{\text{Participants: } n 609}$ Age: 56.9 kg/m2 BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
Exercise = 2 h/week: 57.5 % Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 9.6 % Hypercholesterolemia: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 % mDASH diet score: 22.6 $\frac{15-21 \text{ drinks/wk}}{\text{Participants: } n 609}$ Age: 56.9 kg/m2 BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %	v	Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 36 %		
Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 9.6 %         Hypertension: 18.4 %         Hypercholesterolemia: 6.7 %         Diabetes: 2.3 %         Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 %         mDASH diet score: 22.6         15-21 drinks/wk         Participants: n 609         Age: 56.9 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 34.5 %         Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
Hypertension: 18.4 %         Hypercholesterolemia: 6.7 %         Diabetes: 2.3 %         Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 %         mDASH diet score: 22.6         15-21 drinks/wk         Participants: n 609         Age: 56.9 kg/m2         BMI: 24.5 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 34.5 %         Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
Hypercholesterolemia: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 % mDASH diet score: 22.6 <u>15-21 drinks/wk</u> Participants: <i>n</i> 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
Hypercholesterolemia: 6.7 % Diabetes: 2.3 % Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 % mDASH diet score: 22.6 <u>15-21 drinks/wk</u> Participants: <i>n</i> 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %	F	Appertension: 18.4 %		
Diabetes: 2.3 % Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 % mDASH diet score: 22.6 <u>15-21 drinks/wk</u> Participants: n 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 %         mDASH diet score: 22.6         15-21 drinks/wk         Participants: n 609         Age: 56.9 kg/m2         BMI: 24.5 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 34.5 %         Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
mDASH diet score: 22.6 <u>15-21 drinks/wk</u> Participants: n 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
mDASH diet score: 22.6 <u>15-21 drinks/wk</u> Participants: n 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %	A	Atrial fibrillation: 0.9 %		
15-21 drinks/wk         Participants: n 609         Age: 56.9 kg/m2         BMI: 24.5 kg/m2         Postsecondary education: 34.5 %         Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
Participants: n 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %	n	IDASIT UIEL SCOLE. 22.0		
Participants: n 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
Participants: n 609 Age: 56.9 kg/m2 BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %	1	5-21 drinks/wk		
Age: 56.9 kg/m2 BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
BMI: 24.5 kg/m2 Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %	A	Age: 56.9 kg/m2		
Postsecondary education: 34.5 % Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
Family history of MI: 17.1 %				
	F	Family history of MI: 17.1 %		
		Surrent Shiokers, 50 /b		

	Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 37.2 % Exercise = 2 h/week: 56.4 % Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 7.2 % Hypertension: 16 % Hypercholesterolemia: 7.8 %			
	Atrial fibrillation: 0.7 % mDASH diet score: 22.2			
	<u>&gt;21 drinks/wk</u> Participants: <i>n</i> 293 Age: 58 years BMI: 25 kg/m2			
	Postsecondary education: 29.4 % Family history of MI: 17.8 % Current smokers: 39.7 % Walk/bicycle = 40 min/day: 31.6 %			
	Exercise = 2 h/week: 48.4 % Aspirin use = 7 tablets/week: 10.2 % Hypertension: 20.5 % Hypercholesterolemia: 7.6 %			
	Diabetes: 5.5 % Atrial fibrillation: 1.2 % mDASH diet score: 21.7			
O'Neill et al.[43]	Initially participant records 62,799. 19,277 participants were excluded due to attrition or having experienced	Meta-analysis of six cohort studies using individual participant data.	Primary outcome was CHD incidence, determined from linked health records and survey data. Secondary outcomes included CHD mortality.	In pooled analysis, 4.9% of total participants experienced an incident (fatal or non-fatal) CHD event after a
	a CHD event prior to the study baseline. 8390 participants were not	Participants taken from 5 British cohort studies: the European Prospective	CHD events included ICD-9: 410-414 and ICD-10: I20-	median follow-up of $12.6 \pm 4.3$ years
	included due to incomplete data linkage.	Investigation of Cancer, Norfolk Cohort (EPIC-N); the Medical Research Council's National Survey of Health and Development	I25. Non-fatal CHD events were identified using the Royal College of General Practitioners' codebook (codes 1940, 1945 and 195	$0.9\%$ of participants died due to CHD (mean follow-up $13.7 \pm 4.1$ years).
	Total participants: <i>n</i> 35,132 62.1% male	1946 (NSHD); West of Scotland Twenty-07: 1930s (T07-1930s); West of Scotland	Survival time was calculated for all participants as time	With alcohol defined according to a single intake measurement (none,
	EPIC-N Record count: 7462	Twenty-07: 1950s (T07-1950s) and Whitehall II (WII) and an additional French cohort: Gaz et Electricité (GAZEL)	(in years) between the end of the alcohol assessment period and date of CHD event, death from non-CHD causes, study dropout or last date of data linkage (study	moderate, or heavy), there was no significance difference in risk of incident CHD between moderate and
	Age: 68.3 ± 8.0 years Male: 42.5 %	Participants grouped based on weekly alcohol	specific), whichever occurred first.	heavy consumers. Those identified as "none" had a significantly increased risk
	Non smoker: 50.3 % Current smoker: 4.4% Ex-smoker: 43.9 %	intake: Consistent non-drinker: 0 g at each wave of data collection;	Initial model accounting weekly alcohol intake and for age, sex and intake assessment interval, followed by an extended model that additionally included smoking status	in comparison to those who drank within recommended amounts (HR 1.29; 95% CI: 1.11, 1.43)
	Unknown: 1.4 % BMI: 25.7 ± 3.6 kg/m2 High blood pressure: 29.2 % Drinker type:	Former drinker 0 g at last wave but intake >0 g at any earlier wave; Consistently moderate Male: 1–168 g at each wave, Female: 1–112 g at each wave;	(no smoker, current smoker, ex- smoker, unknown) and socioeconomic status (high position, intermediate, low, unknown) covariates. Additional clinical data were obtained on BMI and self-reported high blood pressure	In comparison to consistent moderate drinkers, consistent non-drinkers, former drinkers, and inconsistent
	Consistent non-drinker: 5.7 %	wave, remaie: 1-112 g at each wave;	or use of antihypertensive medication. All covariates	moderate drinkers had an increased risk

Former drinker: 22.6 %	Inconsistently moderate Male: 1-168 g for	were assessed at the commencement of the follow-up	of incident CHD (HR 1.47; 95% CI:
Consistent moderate drinker: 43.8 %	most but not all waves, Female: 1-112 g for	period for all CHD during follow up, all CHD person	1.21, 1.78; 1.31; 95% CI: 1.13, 1.52;
Inconsistent moderate drinker: 7.1 %	most but not all waves;	years, fatal CHD during follow up, and fatal CHD person	and 1.18; 95% CI: 1.02, 1.37,
Consistent heavy drinker: 3.0 %	Consistently heavy Male: >168 g at each	year.	respectively). These relationships were
Inconsistent heavy drinker: 4.0 %	wave, Female: >112 g at each wave	*	attenuated when BMI, and hypertension
Unknown: 13.8 %	Inconsistently heavy Male: >168 g for most		were included in the model
Intake interval: $12.9 \pm 1.9$	but not all waves		
Intake Interval: 12.9 ± 1.9	Female: $>112$ g for most but not all waves		When analysed according to age (up to
GAZEL	remate. > 112 g for most but not all waves		55 years or above 55 years), consistent
Record count: 14.247	Age-stratified modelling of the longitudinal		non-drinkers aged <55 years and former
			drinkers showed increased risk of CHD
Age: $57.4 \pm 3.5$ years	drinker typology was also performed between		
Male: 74.1 %	participants aged ≤55 vs >55 years at this		compared to consistent moderate
Non smoker: 69.9 %	study's baseline to compare associations with		drinkers (HR 1.97; 95% CI: 1.29, 3.02;
Current smoker: 13.1%	the incident CHD outcome		HR 1.60; 95% CI: 1.09, 2.37,
Ex-smoker: 13.1 %			respectively).
Unknown: 3.9 %	A single one-off measure of alcohol intake		
BMI: 25.8 ± 3.6 kg/m2	was analyzed (none, moderate or heavy		In those aged >55, consistent non-
High blood pressure: 26.9 %	consumption)		drinkers, former drinkers, and
Drinker type:			inconsistent moderate drinkers all
Consistent non-drinker: 5.6 %			displayed increased risk of CHD (HR
Former drinker: 9.4 %			1.38; 95% CI: 1.11, 1.71, HR 1.27; 95%
Consistent moderate drinker: 31.1%			CI: 1.08, 1.51, and HR 1.25; 95% CI:
Inconsistent moderate drinker: 18.8			1.06, 1.48, respectively).
%			····, ····, ····F····, /////////////////
Consistent heavy drinker: 11.5 %			
Inconsistent heavy drinker: 9.7 %			In men, former drinkers were at
Unknown: 13.9 %			significantly greater risk of incident
Intake interval: $10.0 \pm 0.1$			CHD compared to consistently
Intake Interval. $10.0 \pm 0.1$			moderate drinkers after maximal
NEUD (1046)			
<u>NSHD (1946)</u>			adjustment for confounding factors (HR
Record count: 2979			1.29; 95% CI: 1.06, 1.56).
Age: $53.3 \pm 1.1$ years			
Male: 49.2 %			In women, former drinkers (HR 1.38;
Non smoker: 25.7 %			95% CI: 1.07, 1.78) and consistent non-
Current smoker: 36.7 %			drinkers (HR 1.91; 95% CI: 1.43, 2.55)
Ex-smoker: 35.8 %			showed increased risk compared to their
Unknown: 1.9 %			consistently moderate intake
BMI: 27.4 ± 4.8 kg/m2			counterparts
High blood pressure: 66.8 %			
Drinker type:			With fatal CHD as the outcome, similar
Consistent non-drinker: 7.0 %			relationships were observed. Non-
Former drinker: 9.4 %			drinkers had a significantly increased
Consistent moderate drinker: 19.8 %			risk of fatal CHD in comparison to
Inconsistent moderate drinker: 20.0			moderate drinkers (HR 1.44; 95% CI:
%			1.08, 1.93). No association was
Consistent heavy drinker: 3.1 %			observed for heavy drinkers.
Inconsistent heavy drinker: 8.1 %			observed for neavy drinkers.
Unknown: 32.5 %			
Unknown: 32.5 %			

Heart
-------

Intake interval: $17.0 \pm 0.0$		In contrast to CHD incidence,
		inconsistent moderate drinkers did not
T07 (1930s)		have an increased risk of fatal CHD
Record count: 869		(HR 1.04; 95% CI: 0.72, 1.52). Only
Age: $64.4 \pm 1.2$ years		former drinkers displayed a
Male: 42.6 %		significantly elevated risk (HR 1.54;
Non smoker: 36.0 %		95% CI: 1.07, 2.22). The HR was
Current smoker: 31.3%		similar for non-consistent and former
Ex-smoker: 32.0 %		drinkers (1.52 and 1.54, respectively).
		Increased risk was not observed in
Unknown: 0.7 %		
BMI: 26.7 ± 4.4 kg/m2		inconsistent moderate, heavy, or
High blood pressure: 17.5 %		inconsistent heavy drinkers although
Drinker type:		CIs were large in the latter group.
Consistent non-drinker: 13.6 %		C C 1
Former drinker: 10.7 %		Only women consistent non-drinkers
Consistent moderate drinker: 21.7 %		displayed a significantly increased risk
Inconsistent moderate drinker: 16.2		of fatal CHD (HR 2.62; 95% CI: 1.25,
%		5.49)
Consistent heavy drinker: 3.0 %		
Inconsistent heavy drinker: 4.7 %		Summary
Unknown: 30.4 %		This meta-analysis suggests that risk
Intake interval: $8.2 \pm 1.0$		of CHD is higher in those who either
make merval. $6.2 \pm 1.0$		
		never consume alcohol or used to
<u>T07 (1950s)</u>		consume alcohol, in comparison to
Record count: 1002		those with moderate consumption in
Age: $45.2 \pm 1.2$ years		line with Government
Male: 44.3 %		recommendations. Those individuals
Non smoker: 41.5 %		who drank moderately, but were
Current smoker: 34.4 %		inconsistent, also had higher risk of
Ex-smoker: 23.6 %		CHD suggesting that this may relate
Unknown: 0.5 %		to patterns of intake i.e. binge
BMI: $26.4 \pm 4.6$ kg/m2		drinking. Collectively, these data
High blood pressure: 5.4 %		show consistency is important. In his
Drinker type:		study drinking trajectories were
Consistent non-drinker: 8.7 %		based on volume and researchers
Former drinker: 9.3 %		were not able to examine the effects
Consistent moderate drinker: 25.7 %		of heavy drinking episodes. For
Inconsistent moderate drinker: 17.6		accurate determination of the role
%		alcohol has in CVD/CHD risk,
Consistent heavy drinker: 3.0 %		patterns of consumption, type,
Inconsistent heavy drinker: 7.4 %		volume all should be considered.
Unknown: 26.8 %		
Intake interval: $9.1 \pm 1.0$		This finding suggests that the absence
IIItake IIIteival. 7.1 ± 1.0		
		of an effect in heavy drinkers should
WII		be interpreted with caution, given the
Record count: 8573		known risk associate with large
Age: $55.7 \pm 6.0$ years		alcohol consumption and that the
Male: 67.8 %		adherence for low alcohol could have
		interest of the account cound have

Non smoker: 38.7 % Current smoker: 8.3 % Ex-smoker: 31.4 % Unknown: 21.6 % BMI: 26.1 ± 3.9 kg/m2 Higb blood pressure: 17.2 Drinker type: Consistent non-drinker: 5. Former drinker: 6.8 % Consistent moderate drink Inconsistent moderate drink Inconsistent moderate drink Consistent heavy drinker: Inconsistent heavy drinker Unknown: 25.8 % Intake interval: 11.2 ± 0.8	9 % er: 31.2 % ker: 17.8 3.0 %		health benefits in reducing long term CHD
Leong et al.[44]       Initially participant record         Individuals with a first MI         Hospital controls: 58 %         Community controls: 36 %         Other: 3 % of controls (W         Organization's Monitoring         and Determinants in Cardi         Disease -MONICA study :         undocumented source).         Excluded 54 controls and         due to missing records on         consumption.         Analysis sample: 12,195 c         14,583 controls.         Cases:         Total participants: n 12,19         Age: 58 ± 12 years         Male: 76 %         Geographic region         Western Europe: 5 %         Eastern and Central Europ         Middle East: 13 %         Africa: 4 %         South Asia: 14 %         China and Hong Kong: 25         Southeast Asia and Japan:	\$ 14,637consumption and risk of MI.Data obtained from MI undertaken in from 52 countries in Asia, Europe, the Middle East, Africa, Australia, and North and South America.ord Health of Trends ovascular und anAlcohol exposure was characterised by asking the frequency of alcohol beverage consume: <1 time per month, <1 time per week, 1-2 times per week, 3-4 times per week, 5-6 times per week. Daily Alcohol u was defined as the consumption of $\geq 1$ alcoholic beverage within the previous 12 months. It was also asked how many alcoholic beverages were consumed in the 2 hours before the onset of MI symptoms. Heavy episodic drinking: $\geq 6$ alcoholic drinks within 24 hours before MI.e: 14 %Also assessed if a period of heavy drinking may act as a trigger for acute MI. Time for trigger was identified as 24 hours prior to M 24 - 48 hours prior to MI was considered as $24 - 48$ hours prior to MI was considered as control	<ul> <li>employment, psychosocial factors and cardiovascular risk factors was obtained. Height, weight, waist, and hip circumference were measured in a standardized manner. Serum TC, HDL-C, TAG, and ApoB and A1 concentrations were measured in a core laboratory; low-density LDL-C concentration was calculated from these measurements. Smoking was classified as current, former (no smoking within the previous year), or never. Marital status was considered single, married/common-law partner, separated/ divorced, and widowed. Participants' highest level of education was categorised as less than grade 9, grades 9 to 12, or university/ college/ trade school.</li> <li>Logistic regression was used to evaluate the relationship between MI and alcohol use to account for the paired recruitment of cases and controls within ±5 years of age of each other. The effect of alcohol exposure was adjusted for Dietary Risk score, exercise, smoking, marital status, employment, education level, depression, stress at work or at home, and financial stress</li> </ul>	Alcohol consumption within the previous year was associated with a significantly lower risk of MI. The fully-adjusted OR was 0.87 (95% CI: 0.80, 0.94; P=0.001). Subgroup analysis based sex suggested a lower risk of MI in women (OR 0.73; 95% CI: 0.61, 0.78; P<0.001) but not men. The protective association of alcohol against MI was greater in individuals ≥45 years of age. For those aged 45-65 years the OR was 0.85 (95% CI: 0.76, 0.95) and for those aged >65 years the OR was 0.87 (95% CI: 0.75, 1.01). Alcohol use in European/North America/Australian/New Zealand populations was associated with a lower risk of MI (OR 0.71; 95% CI: 0.59, 0.85). In South Asian populations this was associated with increased risk (OR 1.4, 95% CI: 1.1, 1.8). Country-base analysis indicated respective ORs for Sri Lanka, Pakistan, Nepal, India, and Bangladesh of 1.4 (95% CI: 0.30, 6.6), 1.2 (95% CI: 0.61, 2.2), 0.85 (95% CI: 0.42, 1.7), 1.3 (95% CI: 0.80, 2.1), and

	1		
Australia and New Zealand: 5 %		Models adjusted for age (categorized as <45, 45-65, and	1.0 (95% CI: 0.40, 2.7). In participants
South America and Mexico: 10 %		>65 years), sex, geographic region, dietary Risk score,	of South Asian origin living outside of
North America: 2 %		exercise, smoking, marital status, employment, education	the South Asian countries, the OR for
Consumed alcohol in previous year:		level, depression, stress at work or at home, financial	MI with alcohol use was 0.80 (95% CI:
45 %		stress, body mass index, waist-to-hip ratio, serum ratio of	0.53, 1.2; P=0.3).
Current smoker: 45 %		ApoB to ApoA1; TC, HDL-C, LDL-C, and TAG	· · · ,
N cigarettes smoked per day among		concentrations, and history of hypertension or diabetes	The inverse association between alcohol
ever smokers		mellitus.	intake and MI was absent when alcohol
<20:43 %			intake exceeded >4 times/week.
≥20: 57 %			Compared with non-drinkers, ORs for
Diabetes mellitus: 18 %			<1x/week, 1-4x/week and $>4x$ /week
Hypertension: 39 %			were 0.89 (95% CI: 0.81, 0.96), 0.84
Daily fruit or vegetable consumption:			(95% CI: 0.75-0.94), and 0.88 (95% CI:
80 %			(55%  Cl.  0.75 - 0.54), and $0.00 (55%  Cl.  0.76, 1.01)$ , respectively.
Dietary Risk score: $-4.1 \pm 5.4$			0.70, 1.01), respectively.
Undertakes leisure-time exercise: 15			
			Consuming any alcohol in the hazard
<sup>%</sup> Home or work stress			
None: 25 %			period (up to 24 hours prior to MI) was
			not associated with increased MI risk
Some periods: 48 %			(OR 1.0; 95% CI: 0.91, 1.2; P=0.7).
Several periods: 19 %			Heavy drinking (≥6 drinks) during the
Permanent: 8 %			hazard period was associated with
Financial stress			increased risk of MI (OR 1.4; 95% CI:
Little or none: 44 %			1.1, 1.9; P=0.001). Using sex-specific
Moderate: 41 %			definition of heavy drinking (≥5 drinks
Severe: 15 %			for men and (≥4 drinks for women)
Depressed: 8 %			showed similar associations (OR 1.4;
Marital status			95% CI: 1.2, 1.8; P=0.001). The
Never: 3 %			association between heavy drinking and
Married/common-law partner: 82 %			risk of MI was more pronounced in
Separated/divorced: 4 %			those aged over 45 years; ORs for those
Widowed: 11 %			<45, 45–65, and >65 years of age were
Education			0.84 (95% CI: 0.51, 1.4; P=0.5), 1.6
<grade %<="" 45="" 9:="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td>(95% CI: 1.1, 2.2; P=0.01), and 5.3</td></grade>			(95% CI: 1.1, 2.2; P=0.01), and 5.3
Grade 9–12: 26 %			(95% CI: 1.6, 18; P=0.008).
>Grade 12: 29 %			
Employment			Summary
Employed: 50 %			In this study moderate alcohol intake
Retired: 35 %			was inversely associated with risk of
Unemployed: 6 %			MI in most geographical locations
Home duties: 9 %			studied, however alcohol intake was
BMI: 26.1 ± 4.15 kg/m2			positively associated with risk of MI
Waist-to-hip ratio: $0.93 \pm 0.084$			in South Asian populations. Small
ApoB: 0.95 (0.78–1.1) mmol/L			quantities of alcohol in the 24 hour
ApoA1: 1.1 (0.96–1.3) mmol/L			period prior to MI did not appear to
ApoB/ApoA1 ratio: 0.86 (0.70–1.1)			be associated with increase of MI.
Total cholesterol: 5.2 (4.4–6.0)			However heavy drinking was
mmol/L			associated with increased risk,
		1	

HDL-C: 0.99 (0.82–1.2) mmol/L LDL-C: 3.3 (2.7–4.0) mmol/L FAG: 1.6 (1.1–2.3) mmol/L Controls		especially in older individuals, and is supported by mechanistic work that shows increases in blood pressure and clotting following a heavy drinking episode.
Fotal participants: n 14,583		
Age: $57 \pm 12$ years		
Male: 74 %		
Geographic region		
Western Europe: 5 %		
Eastern and Central Europe: 13 %		
Middle East: 12 %		
Africa: 5 %		
South Asia: 15 %		
China and Hong Kong: 21 %		
Southeast Asia and Japan: 8 %		
Australia and New Zealand: 5 %		
South America and Mexico: 13 %		
North America: 3 %		
Consumed alcohol in previous year:		
47 %		
Current smoker: 26 %		
N cigarettes smoked per day among		
ever smokers		
<20: 57 %		
≥20: 43 %		
Diabetes mellitus: 7 %		
Hypertension 7 %		
Daily fruit or vegetable consumption:		
35 %		
Dietary Risk score: $-5.3 \pm 5.4$		
Undertakes leisure-time exercise: 23		
%		
Home or work stress		
None: 27 %		
Some periods: 53 %		
Several periods: 16 %		
Permanent: 4 %		
Financial stress		
Little or none: 49 %		
Moderate: 39 %		
Severe: 12 %		
Depressed: 7 %		
Marital status		
Never: 5 %		
Never: 5 % Married/common-law partner: 82 %		
Viaineu/common-law partiter: 62 %		
Separated/divorced: 4 % Widowed: 9 %		
WIUUWCU. 7 70		

Wood et al.[45]	Education $\langle \text{Grade 9: 38 \%}$ Grade 9: 12: 25 % $\rangle \text{Grade 12: 37 \%}$ Employment Employed: 55 % Retired: 31 % Unemployed: 5 % Home duties: 9 % BMI: 25.8 ± 4.15 kg/m2 Waist-to-hip ratio: 0.91 ± 0.084 ApoB: 0.90 (0.76–1.1) mmol/L ApoB/ApoA1 ratio: 0.75 (0.60–0.93) TC: 5.1 (4.3–5.9) mmol/L HDL-C: 1.0 (0.82–1.3) mmol/L LDL-C: 3.1 (2.5–3.8) mmol/L LDL-C: 3.1 (2.5–3.8) mmol/L Total participants: <i>n</i> 599,912 Total sample in analysis: 83 studies	Data from three large-scale data sources: Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration	Primary outcomes was association between alcohol intake and all-cause mortality, total CVD, and specific	40,310 deaths from all-causes, (including 11,762 vascular and 15,150
	Age: 57 $\pm$ 9 years Male: 56 % Current smoker: 21 % Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration Assessment period: June 2017 Initial sample: 142 studies, 2,334,435 participants. Sample excluded due to missing information available on drinking status, drinking amount, plus-age, sex, history of diabetes and smoking, baseline of CVD, 1 year of follow-up, non or ex-drinkers at baseline survey. Analysis sample: 81 studies Total participants: <i>n</i> 247,504 Age: 57.1 (8.7) years Male: 66 % Smoking status Not current: 65 % Current: 35 % Diabetes: 4 % BMI: 26.1 (3.8) km/m2 HDL-C: 1.40 (0.41) mmol/L TC: 5.8 (1.7) mmol/L SBP: 136.5 (19.0) mmHg	(EFRC), EPIC-CVD, and the UK Biobank. Baseline alcohol consumption was categorised into eight predefined groups according to the amount in grams consumed per week: $>0-\le25$ , $>25-\le50$ , $>50-\le75$ , $>75-\le100$ , $>100-\le150$ , $>150-\le250$ , $>250-\le350$ , and $>350$ g per week. Data were harmonised across the contributing studies using a conversion of 1 unit=8 g of pure alcohol to a standard scale of grams per week, enabling a common analytical approach despite variation in the methods used (e.g., self- administered vs interview-led questionnaires; food frequency questionnaires vs dietary recall surveys), and in consumption scales over different periods of ascertainment. Alcohol type (wine, beer, and spirits), consumption frequency ( $\le 2$ days per week or >2 days per week) and episodic heavy drinking (binge drinkers $\ge 100$ g per drinking occasion or non-binge drinkers $\le 100$ g per drinking occasion) were investigated.	CV subtypes (stroke, MI, CHD, HF and other CV deaths) HRs were adjusted for usual levels of available potential confounders or mediators: body-mass index (BMI); SBP; HDL-C; LDL-C; TC; fibrinogen; baseline measures for smoking amount (in pack-years); level of education reached (no schooling or primary education only vs secondary education vs university); occupation (not working vs manual vs office vs other); self-reported physical activity level (inactive vs moderately inactive vs moderately active vs active); self-reported general health (scaled 0–1 where low scores indicate poorer health); self-reported red meat consumption; self-reported use of anti-hypertensive drugs.	neoplastic deaths)39,018 first incident CVD outcomes, including 12,090 stroke events, 14,539 MI events, 7990 coronary disease events excluding MI, 2711 HF events, and 1121 deaths from other CVDs. Approximately 50% reported drinking more than 100 g of alcohol per week, and 8.4% drank more than 350 g per week. Baseline alcohol consumption was positively correlated with male sex, smoking status and amount, systolic blood pressure, HDL-C level, fibrinogen, and lower socioeconomic status with a median 96 g/week. A positive, curvilinear association between alcohol intake and all-cause mortality was observed, with lowest risk in those consuming <100 g/week. With all CVD outcomes as an outcome, a J-shaped relationship existed. However subgroup analysis suggested

Weekly alcohol consump	tion: 87.7 Cumulative survival from 40 years of age	different associations between alcohol
(2.2–522.4) g/week	onwards in different categories of baseline	intake and types of CVD.
>0-≤25 g per week: 22 %	alcohol consumption were also calculated.	
>25-≤50 g per week: 14	% Results were modelled from age 40 years and	The relationship between alcohol intake
>50-≤75 g per week: 119	6 enabled estimation of years of life lost	and all-cause mortality was greater in
>75 $-\leq$ 100 g per week: 7		those who consumed more beer or
>100- $\leq$ 150 g per week: 1		spirits as opposed to wine, and in those
>150– $\leq$ 250 g per week: 1		drinking alcohol less frequently (i.e.
>250– $\leq$ 350 g per week: 1		binge drinkers). Similar observations
$\leq$ 350 g per week: 10 %		were seen for CVD and subtypes,
		although to a lesser extent.
EPIC-CVD		
Assessment period: April	2018	Compared with the 0-25 g/week,
Initial sample: 23 Europe		alcohol consumed had positive and
from 10 countries involvi		linear associations with stroke (HR per
participants.	<u></u>	100 g/week higher consumption 1.14;
Sample excluded due to r	nissing	95% CI: 1.10, 1.17), coronary disease
information available on		excluding MI (1.06; 95% CI: 1.00,
status, drinking amount, t		1.11), HF (1.09; 95% CI: 1.03, 1.15),
sex, history of diabetes an		fatal hypertensive disease (1.24; 95%
baseline of CVD, 1 year of		CI: 1.15, 1.33), and fatal aortic
non or ex-drinkers at base		aneurysm (1.15; 95% CI: 1.03, 1.28).
Analysis sample: 22 Euro		For MI, there was an inverse log-linear
centres from 9 countries	pean	relationship (0.94; 95% CI: 0.91, 0.97).
Total participants: <i>n</i> 26,0	36	Telationship (0.94, 95 % CI. 0.91, 0.97).
Weekly alcohol consump		In comparison to those who reported
(2.6–404.0) g/week	uon. 01.9	drinking $>0-\leq100$ g (mean usual 56 g)
$>0-\leq 25$ g per week: 30 %		alcohol per week, those who reported
>25=≤50 g per week: 30 %	9 9/-	drinking >100–≤200 g (mean usual 123
$>50 \leq 75$ g per week: 11		g) per week, $>200-\leq350$ g (mean usual
$>75-\leq 100$ g per week: 11		208  g per week or >350 g (mean usual
>100- $\leq$ 150 g per week: 9		367 g) per week had shorter life
>100=≤150 g per week: 1		expectancy at age 40 years of
>250-≤350 g per week: 7		approximately 6 months, 1–2 years, or
	70	
$\leq$ 350 g per week: 7 %		4–5 years respectively.
UK Biobank		Men who reported consuming above the
Assessment period: May	2017	UK upper limit of 112 g per week had a
Initial sample: 502,627 pa		shorter life expectancy at age 40 years
Sample excluded due to r		of 1.6 years (95% CI: 1.3, 1.8),
information available on		compared with men who reported
status, drinking amount, p		drinking below these respective upper
status, drinking amount, j sex, history of diabetes ar		limits. Thus, men who reported drinking
baseline of CVD, 1 year of		less than 100 g alcohol per week had
non or ex-drinkers at base		approximately a $1-2$ years longer life
Total participants: <i>n</i> 326,		expectancy at age 40 years than those
Weekly alcohol consump		who reported drinking 196 g per week.
	uon: 105.9	who reported drinking 196 g per Week.
(11.8–420.8) g/week		

>0-<25 g per week: 12 % >25-<50 g per week: 12 % >50-<275 g per week: 13 % >75-<100 g per week: 11 % >100-<150 g per week: 18 % >250-<2350 g per week: 18 % <350 g per week: 8 %		Women who reported drinking above either the UK threshold (112 g per week) had approximately 1.3 (1.1, 1.5) years shorter life expectancy at age 40 years compared with women who reported drinking below these thresholds. Summary This study showed that among current drinkers, the threshold for lowest risk of all-cause mortality was approximately 100 g per week. No clear thresholds were found for CVD subtypes other than MI. Importantly this study suggests different relationships between alcohol and subtypes of CVD, in part mediated by changes in risk factors. For example, alcohols known stimulatory effect on BP may explain the positive relationship between alcohol intake and stroke, but the HDL-C-raising effect may account for the inverse association with risk of MI. As with other studies of this type, results are limited by the nature of how alcohol intake was determined (self-reported)
		limited by the nature of how alcohol
		These data support adoption of lower limits of alcohol consumption than are recommended in most current guidelines.

Online Supplementary Table 3	Whole diet approaches to be con	nsidered for CVD prevention
------------------------------	---------------------------------	-----------------------------

Study	Participant characteristics	Study Design	Measures and time points	Key observations
Li et al.[46]	Total participants: n 4398	Prospective cohort design	Primary outcomes were all-cause and	During follow-up, there were 882 all-
	2258 from Nurses' Health study (NHS)	Participants taken from Nurses' Health	CVD mortality. CVD mortality was	cause and 336 CVD deaths for women,
	and 1840 men from Health Professional	Study the Health Professional Follow-	defined as fatal CHD, and fatal stroke.	and 451 all-cause and 222 CV deaths
	Follow-Up study (HPFS)	Up Study		for men.
	Included men and women who were		Food intakes determined using	
	free of CVD, stroke, or cancer at the	Participants grouped into quintiles of	validated FFQ every 4 years.	Median survival time after MI was 8.7
	time of enrolment, survived a first MI	AHEI2010	Nutrient content was calculated from	years for women and 9.0 years for men
	during follow-up, and had no history of		the FFQ using USDA National Nutrient	
	stroke at the time of initial MI onset	Women	Database for Standard Reference (v 10-	In women, greater AHEI2010 was
		Q1:	23)	associated with significantly lower all-
	Women	AHEI2010		cause mortality (HR 0.66; 95% CI:
	Q1	Post-MI: 38.9 ± 4.5	Diet quality was measured using	0.49, 0.88; Ptrend<0.001). This was not
	Participants: n 439	Pre-MI: 42.8 ± 8.6	Alternative Healthy Eating Index 2010	observed in men (HR 0.98; 95% CI:
	Age at diagnosis: $64.7 \pm 8.7$ years	1716 ± 511 kcal/d, SFA 10.4 ± 3.0 %	(AHEI2010)	0.66, 1.44; P <sub>trend</sub> =0.72).
	BMI: 27.2 ± 6.1 kg/m2	total energy, omega 3 fats $0.6 \pm 0.2 \%$		
	Physical activity: 8.4 ± 15.6 MET h/wk	total energy, TFA $1.8 \pm 0.7$ % total	For each 11 component of AHEI2010,	Pooled results suggested increased
	Never smoked: 32 %	energy, alcohol $4.2 \pm 12.8$ g/d, folate	a maximum score of 10 was given for:	adherence to AHEI2010 was associated
	Past smoker:48 %	intake 404 $\pm$ 196 µg/d, cereal fibre 5.0 $\pm$	red meat and processed meat (< 1	with lower all-cause mortality (HR
	Current smoker: 20 %	2.5 g/d	servings/day), nuts and legume (1	0.76; 95% CI: 0.60, 0.96; Ptrend=0.02).
	Diabetes: 23 %	red and processed meats $1.3 \pm 0.9$	servings/day), sugar-sweetened	
	High blood pressure: 69 %	servings/d, nuts and legumes $0.3 \pm 1.3$	beverages and fruit juice (< 1 servings	During the post-MI period, MI
	Elevated cholesterol: 68 %	servings/d, sugar-sweetened beverages	per month), total vegetables (> 5	survivors who were in the fifth quintile
	Lipid-lowering medication: 43 %	$1.5 \pm 1.1$ servings/d, total vegetables 2.3	servings/day), total fruit (> 4	of the AHEI2010 had a better prognosis
	CABG Surgery: 52 %	$\pm$ 1.3 servings/d, total fruits 1.1 $\pm$ 0.8	servings/day), PUFA (> 10% energy),	
		servings/d, fruit juice $1.0 \pm 0.8$	TFA (< 0.5% energy), alcohol	A greater increase in the AHEI2010
	Q3	servings/d	(women:0.5 - 1.5 drinks/day, men:1.5	score from pre- to post-MI was
	Participants: n 476		- 2.5 drinks/day), long-chain (n-3) fats	significantly associated with lower all-
	Age at diagnosis: $64.8 \pm 8.6$ years	Q3:	(EPA+DHA), 250 mg/day), whole	cause (pooled HR 0.71; 95% CI: 0.56,
	BMI: 27.0 ± 5.5 kg/m2	AHEI2010	grains (women: 75 g/day, men: 90	0.91; Ptrend=0.006) and cardiovascular
	Physical activity: 15.1 ± 20.3 MET	Post-MI: 53.6 ± 1.6	g/day), sodium (lowest decile, mg/d).	mortality (pooled HR 0.60; 95% CI:
	h/wk	Pre-MI: 51.45 ± 8.5		0.41, 0.86; P <sub>trend</sub> =0.006)
	Never smoked: 33 %	1579 ± 520 kcal/d, SFA 9.1 ± 2.7 % total	A minimum score of 0 was given for:	
	Past smoker: 55 %	energy, omega 3 fats 0.7 ± 0.2 % total	red meat and processed meat (≥ 1.5	Removal of alcohol did not significantly
	Current smoker: 11 %	energy, TFA $1.4 \pm 0.6$ % total energy.	servings/day), nuts and legume (0	affect the relationship between Post-MI
	Diabetes: 22 %	alcohol $3.5 \pm 7.4$ g/d, folate intake 507 ±	servings/day), sugar-sweetened	AHEI2010 and pooled all-cause
	High blood pressure: 68 %	268 $\mu$ g/d, cereal fibre 6.1 ± 2.7 g/d, red	beverages and fruit juice (≥ 1 servings	mortality (HR 0.73; 95% CI: 0.58, 0.93;
	Elevated cholesterol: 77 %	and processed meats $1.0 \pm 0.6$	per day), total vegetables (0	P <sub>trend</sub> =0.01). Removal of alcohol from
	Lipid-lowering medication: 50 %	servings/d, nuts and legumes $0.3 \pm 0.3$	servings/day), total fruit (0	the AHEA2010 attenuated the

rr	G1DG 6 57 %			
	CABG Surgery: 57 %	servings/d, sugar-sweetened beverages	servings/day), PUFA ( $\leq 2\%$ energy),	relationship between the change in score
	05	$1.0 \pm 1.1$ servings/d, total vegetables 1.6	TFA ( $\geq 4\%$ energy), alcohol (women: 0	and all-cause and CV mortality (HR
	Q5	$\pm$ 1.0 servings/d, total fruits 1.6 $\pm$ 1.0	or > 2.5 drinks/day, men: 0 or > 3.5	0.81; 95% CI: 0.64, 1.04; P <sub>trend</sub> =0.12
	Participants: n 469	servings/d, fruit juice $0.8 \pm 0.9$	drinks/day), long-chain (n-3) fats	and HR 0.82; 95% CI: 0.57, 1.18;
	Age at diagnosis: $64.9 \pm 8.6$ years	servings/d	(EPA+DHA), 0 mg/day), whole grains	$P_{trend}=0.28$ )
	BMI: $26.3 \pm 4.9 \text{ kg/m2}$		(0 g/day), sodium (highest decile,	
	Physical activity: $20.0 \pm 21.9$ MET	Q5:	mg/d).	Collectively this study highlights that
	h/wk	AHEI2010		greater adherence to a cardioprotective
	Never smoked: 28 %	Post-MI: $70.2 \pm 5.2$	Covariates considered medication use,	diet was associated with a 24% lower
	Past smoker: 64%	Pre-MI: $60.5 \pm 10.7$	medical history, and lifestyle factors	all-cause and 26% lower CV mortality.
	Current smoker: 8 %	$1593 \pm 498$ kcal/d, SFA 7.9 $\pm 2.3$ % total	previously associated with MI risk	Improving diet quality after a heart
	Diabetes: 22 %	energy, omega 3 fats $0.9 \pm 0.5$ % total		attack was also associated with lower
	High blood pressure: 68 %	energy, TFA 1.1 ± 0.5 % total energy,	Performed secondary analyses in which	all-cause and cardiovascular mortality.
	Elevated cholesterol: 78 %	alcohol $5.3 \pm 6.8$ g/d, folate intake $586 \pm$	alcohol component was removed to	
	Lipid-lowering medication: 56 %	$310 \mu g/d$ , cereal fibre $7.2 \pm 3.3 g/d$ , red	evaluate the contribution of a healthy	The relationship with the change in
	CABG Surgery: 60 %	and processed meats $0.8 \pm 0.6$	diet independent of alcohol intake.	score and all-cause and CV mortality
		servings/d, nuts and legumes 0.7 ± 0.7		was attenuated with the removal of
	Men	servings/d, sugar-sweetened beverages		alcohol, suggesting that alcohol intake
	Participants: n 364	$0.6 \pm 0.7$ servings/d, total vegetables 2.2		was associated with lower all-cause and
	Age at diagnosis: $65.8 \pm 9.3$ years	$\pm$ 1.2 servings/d, total fruits 2.2 $\pm$ 1.2		CV mortality
	BMI: 26.3 ± 3.5 kg/m2	servings/d, fruit juice $0.5 \pm 0.6$		
	Physical activity: 26.6 ± 35.2 MET	servings/d		The individuals in this study also had
	h/wk	-		pre-existing CVD which adds to the
	Never smoked:31 %	Men		relevance for practice.
	Past smoker: 52 %	Q1		-
	Current smoker: 8 %	AHEI2010		
	Diabetes: 14 %	Post-MI: 41.9 ± 5.4		
	High blood pressure: 57 %	Pre-MI: 44.3 ± 8.6		
	Elevated cholesterol: 63 %	2047 ± 670 kcal/d, SFA 10.3 ± 2.9 %		
	Lipid-lowering medication: 45 %	total energy, omega 3 fats $0.6 \pm 0.3$ %		
	CABG Surgery: 72 %	total energy, TFA $1.9 \pm 0.8$ % total		
	8.9	energy, alcohol 11.1 $\pm$ 18.1 g/d, folate		
	<u>Q3</u>	intake $600 \pm 339 \mu\text{g/d}$ , cereal fibre 6.7 ±		
	Participants: n 369	$3.7 \text{ g/d}$ , red and processed meats $1.7 \pm$		
	Age at diagnosis: $65.8 \pm 9.2$ years	1.0 servings/d, nuts and legumes $0.3 \pm$		
	BMI: $26.2 \pm 3.8 \text{ kg/m2}$	0.3 servings/d, sugar-sweetened		
	Physical activity: $36.7 \pm 50.4$ MET	beverages $1.7 \pm 1.5$ servings/d, total		
	h/wk	vegetables $1.2 \pm 0.9$ servings/d, total		
	Never smoked: 37 %	fruits $1.2 \pm 0.9$ servings/d, fruit juice 1.1		
	Past smoker: 51 %	$\pm 1.1$ servings/d		
	Current smoker: 4 %	2 1.1 561 / III		
	Diabetes: 16 %	Q3		
	High blood pressure: 62 %	AHEI2010		
	Elevated cholesterol: 63 %	Post-MI: $57.7 \pm 1.6$		
	Lipid-lowering medication: 52 %	Pre-MI: $57.7 \pm 1.0$ Pre-MI: $52.2 \pm 8.9$		
	CABG Surgery: 76 %	$1933 \pm 632$ kcal/d, SFA 8.5 ± 2.7 % total		
	CABO Surgery: 70 %	$1933 \pm 632$ kcal/d, SFA $8.5 \pm 2.7$ % total energy, omega 3 fats $0.7 \pm 0.4$ % total		
	<u>Q5</u>	energy, TFA $1.4 \pm 0.6$ % total energy,		
	<u>V</u>	energy, 1FA 1.4 $\pm$ 0.0 % total energy,		

Heart
-------

	Participants: <i>n</i> 362 Age at diagnosis: 66.0 ± 9.0 years BMI: 25.3 ± 3.5 kg/m2 Physical activity: 41.2 ± 35.1 MET h/wk Never smoked: 39 % Past smoker: 47 % Current smoker: 4 % Diabetes: 12 % High blood pressure: 50 6% Elevated cholesterol: 70 % Lipid-lowering medication: 57 % CABG Surgery: 79 %	alcohol 8.0 ± 11.5 g/d, folate intake 710 ± 357 µg/d, cereal fibre 7.8 ± 3.1 g/d, red and processed meats 1.4 ± 0.8 servings/d, nuts and legumes 0.5 ± 0.5 servings/d, sugar-sweetened beverages 1.4 ± 1.3 servings/d, total vegetables 2.0 ± 1.4 servings/d, total fruits 2.0 ± 1.4 servings/d, fruit juice 1.1 ± 1.1 servings/d Q5: AHEI2010 Post-MI: 74.1 ± 5.6 Pre-MI: 63.0 ± 9.0 1889 ± 577 kcal/d, SFA 7.0 ± 2.4 % total energy, omega 3 fats 1.0 ± 0.6 % total energy, TFA 1.0 ± 0.5 % total energy, alcohol 9.7 ± 9.6 g/d, folate intake 838 ± 454 µg/d, cereal fibre 9.6 ± 4.2 g/d, red and processed meats 1.0 ± 0.7 servings/d, nuts and legumes 1.0 ± 0.9 servings/d, sugar-sweetened beverages 0.8 ± 0.9 servings/d, total fruits 2.7 ± 1.8 servings/d, fruit juice 0.7 ± 0.7 servings/d, fruit juice 0.7 ± 0.7		
Lopez-Garcia et al.[47]	Total participants: <i>n</i> 17,415 11,278 from Nurses' Health study (NHS) and 6137 men from Health Professional Follow-Up study (HPFS) Included men and women with non- fatal CV event Ethnicity not reported SBP and DBP not reported Plasma Glucose not reported Men Q1 Participants: <i>n</i> 1586 Age: 68±9 years BMI: 26.5±3.8 kg/m2 Current smoker: 9% Physical activity: 27.3±33.8 MET hrs/wk Aspirin: 55% Diuretic: 12% B-Blocker: 22% Calcium Channel Blocker: 21%	Prospective cohort design Participants taken from Nurses' Health Study the Health Professional Follow- Up Study Followed STROBE criteria for reporting data from observational studies Participants grouped into quintiles of alternative Mediterranean Diet Score s (aMED) score Men Q1: aMED Score 2.19 $\pm$ 0.83 SFA 10.3 $\pm$ 2.9% total energy, TFA 1.7 $\pm$ 0.7% total energy, MUFA 11.6 $\pm$ 3.3% total energy, PUFA 5.4 $\pm$ 1.7% total energy, omega 3 0.14 $\pm$ 0.03% total energy, vegetable protein 5.0 $\pm$ 1.1% total energy, vegetables 1.9 $\pm$ 1.1 servings/d, legumes 0.3 $\pm$ 0.3	<ul> <li>Primary endpoint was death from any cause, CVD mortality, and cancer mortality</li> <li>Food intakes determined using validated FFQ every 4 years.</li> <li>Nutrient content was calculated from the FFQ using USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference (v 10-23)</li> <li>CV events defined as MI, stroke, angina pectoris, CABG and angioplasty</li> <li>aMED score calculated by awarding 1 point if intake was above cohort median for vegetables, legumes, fruit, nuts, whole-grain cereals, fish, and MUFAs:SFAs, and 1 point for intake below cohort median for red and processed meats. Alcohol intake of 5 to</li> </ul>	Following a median follow-up of 7.7 years for mean and 5.8 years for women there were 1142 and 666 deaths from CVD in mean and women, respectively. In men, a higher aMED score was associated with a significant reduction in all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. This relationship was not observed in women (due to adjustment for physical activity). In pooled estimates, greater aMED scores was associated with decreased all-cause mortality (P <sub>trend</sub> =<0.001) A 2-point increased in aMED was associated with a 7% reduction in risk of all-cause mortality (0.93; 95% CI: 0.89, 0.9).

Heart
-------

			15 /16 110/ 15 /16	
	Other BP medication: 11%	servings/day, fruit $1.8 \pm 1.1$ servings/d,	15 g/d for women and 10 to 15 g/d for	For men, MUFA:SFA showed an
	Lipid modifying medication: 24%	nuts $0.2 \pm 0.3$ servings/d, whole grain	men received 1 point.	inverse relationship with mortality. In
		$0.9 \pm 1.0$ servings/d, fish $0.2 \pm 0.2$		women, whole-grain intake,
	Q2	servings/d, MUFA:SFA 1.1 ± 0.2, red	Multivariable models were adjusted for	MUFA:SFA ratio, and moderate alcohol
	Participants: n 1239	and processed meat $0.9 \pm 0.7$ servings/d,	age, BMI, smoking status, physical	intake showed an inverse association
	Age: $69 \pm 9$ years	alcohol 9.0 $\pm$ 15.7 g/d.	activity, parental history of MI before	
	BMI: 26.3 ± 3.6 kg/m2		age 65 y, menopausal status and use of	Results did not differ when stratified on
	Current smoker: 9%	Q2	hormone therapy in women,	BMI (> or < 30 kg/m2) or activity level,
	Physical activity: $28.9 \pm 32.1$ MET	aMED Score 3.77 ± 0.39	multivitamin use, and medication use	or smoking status.
	hrs/wk	SFA 9.0 $\pm$ 2.7 % total energy, TFA 1.5 $\pm$	(aspirin, diuretics, β-blockers, calcium	
	Aspirin: 46%	0.7 % total energy, MUFA 11.1 ± 3.2 %	channel blockers, angiotensin-	Adjusting for alcohol intake slightly
	Diuretic: 11%	total energy, PUFA $5.6 \pm 1.7\%$ total	converting enzyme inhibitors, other	attenuated the relationship between
	B-Blocker: 19%	energy, omega 3 $0.17 \pm 0.19$ % total	antihypertensive medication, statins	aMED score and all-cause mortality
	Calcium Channel Blocker: 18%	energy, vegetable protein $5.6 \pm 1.2$ %	and other cholesterol lowering drugs,	(pooled adjusted RR for all-cause and
	Other BP medication: 9%	total energy, vegetables $2.5 \pm 1.5$	insulin, and oral antidiabetic	cardiovascular mortality for a 2-point
	Lipid modifying medication: 23%	servings/d, legumes $0.4 \pm 0.3$	medication)	increase in the aMED score: 0.95 [95%
		servings/day, fruit 2.4 ± 1.6 servings/d,		CI: 0.90, 1.00] and 0.99 [95% CI: 0.89,
	Q3	nuts $0.4 \pm 0.5$ servings/d, whole grain	Impact of alcohol was assessed by	1.10], respectively).
	Participants: n 1032	$1.4 \pm 1.2$ servings/d, fish $0.3 \pm 0.2$	adjusting for alcohol intake (never,	
	Age: 68 ± 8years	servings/d, MUFA:SFA 1.2 ± 0.2, red	0.1–4.9, 5.0–14.9, or ≥15.0 g/d) and	Adjustment for olive-oil did not change
	BMI: 26.0 ± 3.5kg/m2	and processed meat $0.8 \pm 0.7$ servings/d,	similarly with olive oil (never or <1	the associated between a 2-point
	Current smoker: 9%	alcohol $9.9 \pm 15.3$ g/d.	time/mo, 1-3 times/mo, 1 time/wk, or	increase in aMED and total and
	Physical activity: 31.8 ± 31.4 MET	_	≥2 times/wk	cardiovascular mortality (0.93; 95% CI:
	hrs/wk	Q3		0.89, 0.98 and 0.97: 95% CI: 0.89, 1.06,
	Aspirin: 60%	aMED Score 4.85 ± 0.33		respectively). Only 14.3% of mean and
	Diuretic: 11%	SFA 8.1 $\pm$ 2.6% total energy, TFA 1.3 $\pm$		10.3% of women consumed olive oil $\geq 2$
	B-Blocker: 21%	0.6 % total energy, MUFA 10.5 ± 3.3 %		times/wk.
	Calcium Channel Blocker: 22%	total energy, PUFA 5.6 ± 1.7 % total		
	Other BP medication: 10%	energy, omega $3.0.18 \pm 0.22\%$ total		Summary
	Lipid modifying medication: 29%	energy, vegetable protein $6.0 \pm 1.3 \%$		Collectively these data show an
		total energy, vegetables $3.1 \pm 1.6$		association between a reduction in
	04	servings/d, legumes $0.5 \pm 0.4$		mortality with increased adherence to
	Participants: n 938	servings/day, fruit $2.8 \pm 1.6$ servings/d,		a Mediterranean-style diet in men
	Age: $69 \pm 9$ years	nuts $0.5 \pm 0.6$ servings/d, whole grain		and women with a history of CVD.
	BMI: $26.1 \pm 3.6$ kg/m2	$1.7 \pm 1.4$ servings/d, fish $0.4 \pm 0.4$		The lack of effect with individual
	Current smoker: 9%	servings/d, MUFA:SFA $1.3 \pm 0.3$ , red		components likely suggest a
	Physical activity: $35.8 \pm 37.0$ MET	and processed meat $0.7 \pm 0.7$ servings/d,		synergistic effect and reinforces
	hrs/wk	alcohol 9.5 $\pm$ 14.3 g/d.		previous discussions regarding diet
	Aspirin: 52%			components such as whole grains.
	Diuretic: 8%	04		Ponenio Suen as "note Stanis"
	B-Blocker: 19%	aMED Score 5.70 $\pm$ 0.43		The individuals in this study also had
	Calcium Channel Blocker: 19%	SFA 8.0 $\pm$ 2.6% total energy, TFA 1.3 $\pm$		pre-existing CVD which adds to the
	Other BP medication: 7%	$0.6\%$ total energy, MUFA $10.6 \pm 3.3\%$		relevance for practice.
	Lipid modifying medication: 23%	total energy, PUFA 5.7 $\pm$ 1.7 % total		rese, and for practice,
	Lapid mounying medication. 2570	energy, omega 3 $0.21 \pm 0.23$ % total		
	Q5	energy, vegetable protein $6.2 \pm 1.4 \%$		
	Participants: n 1342	total energy, vegetables $3.8 \pm 2.1$		
	Age: $69 \pm 8$ years	servings/d, legumes $0.6 \pm 0.5$		
L	1150. 07 ± 0years	501 mg 5/0, 10guines 0.0 ± 0.5		

BMI: 25.7 ± 3.5 kg/m2	comminge/doxy fimit 2.1 + 1.7 comminge/d	
	servings/day, fruit 3.1 ± 1.7 servings/d,	
Current smoker: 9%	nuts $0.5 \pm 0.7$ servings/d, whole grain	
Physical activity: 40.9 ± 38.1 MET	$1.9 \pm 1.4$ servings/d, fish $0.4 \pm 0.3$	
hrs/wk	servings/d, MUFA:SFA 1.3 ± 0.3, red	
Aspirin: 50%	and processed meat $0.68 \pm 0.7$	
Diuretic: 6%	servings/d, alcohol $10.4 \pm 13.5$ g/d.	
B-Blocker: 19%		
Calcium Channel Blocker: 16%	Q5	
Other BP medication: 8%	aMED Score 7.05 ± 0.79	
Lipid modifying medication: 25%	SFA 7.0 $\pm$ 2.0% total energy, TFA 1.2 $\pm$	
	$0.5\%$ total energy, MUFA $10.4 \pm 3.2\%$	
Women	total energy, PUFA 5.8 $\pm$ 1.7 % total	
01	energy, omega 3 $0.25 \pm 0.26$ % total	
Participants: n 2274	energy, vegetable protein $6.7 \pm 1.4 \%$	
Age: 68±9 years	total energy, vegetables $4.5 \pm 2.1$	
BMI: $26.9 \pm 6.6 \text{ kg/m2}$	servings/d, legumes $0.7 \pm 0.6$	
Current smoker: 16%	servings/day, fruit $3.8 \pm 1.8$ servings/d,	
Physical activity: $9.4 \pm 14.4$ MET	nuts $0.7 \pm 0.8$ servings/d, whole grain	
hrs/wk	$2.3 \pm 1.5$ servings/d, fish $0.5 \pm 0.3$	
Aspirin: 65%	$2.5 \pm 1.5$ servings/d, hist $0.5 \pm 0.5$ servings/d, MUFA:SFA $1.5 \pm 0.3$ , red	
Diuretic: 14%	and processed meat $0.5 \pm 0.5$ servings/d,	
B-Blocker: 24%	and processed meat $0.5 \pm 0.5$ servings/d, alcohol $11.0 \pm 11.6$ g/d.	
	$aiconol 11.0 \pm 11.0 \text{ g/d}.$	
Calcium Channel Blocker: 17%	<b>XX</b> 7	
ACEi: 11%	Women	
Other BP medication: 10%	Q1	
Statins: 23%	aMED Score $2.19 \pm 0.83$	
Other lipid modifying medication: 4%	SFA 11.5 $\pm$ 3.2% total energy, TFA 1.9	
Insulin: 5%	$\pm$ 0.7 % total energy, MUFA 11.8 $\pm$ 3.3	
Oral antidiabetic drugs: 6%	% total energy, PUFA 5.4 $\pm$ 1.7 % total	
	energy, omega 3 $0.09 \pm 0.12$ % total	
Q2	energy, vegetable protein $4.8 \pm 1.2 \%$	
Participants: n 1970	total energy, vegetables $1.6 \pm 0.9$	
Age: $67 \pm 9$ years	servings/d, legumes $1.9 \pm 3.3$	
BMI: 26.7 ± 6.5 kg/m2	servings/day, fruit $1.4 \pm 1.0$ servings/d,	
Current smoker: 14%	nuts $0.1 \pm 0.3$ servings/d, whole grain	
Physical activity: 11.0 ± 18.8 MET	$0.7 \pm 1.0$ servings/d, $0.1 \pm 0.1$	
hrs/wk	servings/d, MUFA:SFA 1.0 ± 0.2, red	
Aspirin: 68%	and processed meat $0.9 \pm 0.8$ servings/d,	
Diuretic: 14%	alcohol $3.6 \pm 9.9$ g/d.	
B-Blocker: 26%	-	
Calcium Channel Blocker: 19%	Q2	
ACEi: 12%	aMED Score 3.77 ± 0.39	
Other BP medication: 8%	SFA 10.3 ± 3.2 % total energy, TFA 1.7	
Statins: 26%	$\pm 0.7$ % total energy, MUFA 11.5 $\pm 3.8$	
Other lipid modifying medication: 3%	% total energy, PUFA 5.6 $\pm$ 1.8% total	
Insulin: 5%	energy, omega $3.0.11 \pm 0.15$ % total	
Oral antidiabetic drugs: 7%	energy, vegetable protein $5.3 \pm 1.4$ %	
	total energy, vegetables $2.1 \pm 1.4$	

Q3	servings/d, legumes 1.9 ± 3.1	
Participants: n 2103	servings/day, fruit $1.9 \pm 1.4$ servings/d,	
Age: $67 \pm 8$ years	nuts $0.2 \pm 0.4$ servings/d, whole grain	
BMI: $26.5 \pm 6.3$ kg/m2	$1.0 \pm 1.3$ servings/d, fish $0.2 \pm 0.2$	
Current smoker: 12%	servings/d, MUFA:SFA 1.1 ± 0.3, red	
Physical activity: $13.4 \pm 16.8$ MET	and processed meat $0.8 \pm 0.7$ servings/d,	
hrs/wk	alcohol $4.3 \pm 9.9$ g/d.	
Aspirin: 67%		
Diuretic: 17%	Q3	
B-Blocker: 26%	aMED Score $4.85 \pm 0.33$	
Calcium Channel Blocker: 21%	SFA 9.7 $\pm$ 3.1 % total energy, TFA 1.6 $\pm$	
ACEi: 12%	$0.7 \%$ total energy, MUFA $11.4 \pm 3.9 \%$	
Other BP medication: 10%	total energy, PUFA 5.6 $\pm$ 1.8 % total	
Statins: 26%	energy, omega 3 $0.12 \pm 0.13$ % total	
Other lipid modifying medication: 4%	energy, vegetable protein $5.6 \pm 1.3 \%$	
Insulin: 5%	total energy, vegetables $2.6 \pm 1.5$	
Oral antidiabetic drugs: 6%	servings/d, legumes $2.0 \pm 3.2$	
	servings/day, fruit $2.3 \pm 1.4$ servings/d,	
Q4	nuts $0.3 \pm 0.5$ servings/d, whole grain	
Participants: n 1978	$1.3 \pm 1.4$ servings/d, fish $0.2 \pm 0.2$	
Age: $67 \pm 8$ years	servings/d, MUFA:SFA 1.2 ± 0.3, red	
BMI: $26.6 \pm 6.1 \text{ kg/m2}$	and processed meat $0.8 \pm 0.8$ servings/d,	
Current smoker: 8%	alcohol $4.3 \pm 9.4$ g/d.	
Physical activity: 14.1 ± 16.9 MET		
hrs/wk	Q4	
Aspirin: 71%	aMED Score 5.70 ± 0.43	
Diuretic: 15%	SFA 9.0 $\pm$ 2.9% total energy, TFA 1.5 $\pm$	
B-Blocker: 26%	0.6 % total energy, MUFA 11.1 ± 3.6 %	
Calcium Channel Blocker: 21%	total energy, PUFA 5.7 $\pm$ 1.8 % total	
ACEi: 13%	energy, omega $30.15 \pm 0.15$ % total	
Other BP medication: 9%	energy, vegetable protein $5.9 \pm 1.4 \%$	
Statins: 26%	total energy, vegetables $3.1 \pm 1.7$	
Other lipid modifying medication: 3%	servings/d, legumes 2.1 $\pm$	
Insulin: 5%	$3.2$ servings/day, fruit $2.6 \pm 1.5$	
Oral antidiabetic drugs: 6%	servings/d, nuts $0.4 \pm 0.5$ servings/d,	
oral and about of an ago, or o	whole grain $1.5 \pm 1.5$ servings/d, fish 0.3	
Q5	$\pm 0.3$ servings/d, MUFA:SFA 1.2 $\pm 0.3$ ,	
Participants: n 2953	red and processed meat $0.7 \pm 0.6$	
Age: $67 \pm 8$ years	servings/d, alcohol $4.0 \pm 8.1$ g/d.	
BMI: $26.2 \pm 5.7 \text{ kg/m2}$	$5017116590$ , alcohoi $\pm 0.1$ g/d.	
Current smoker: 7%	Q5	
Physical activity: $18.8 \pm 22.4$ MET	aMED Score $7.05 \pm 0.79$	
5		
hrs/wk	SFA 8.0 $\pm$ 2.4% total energy, TFA 1.3 $\pm$	
Aspirin: 72%	0.6 % total energy, MUFA 11.0 $\pm$ 3.5%	
Diuretic: 15%	total energy, PUFA 6.0 $\pm$ 1.8 % total	
B-Blocker: 26%	energy, omega 3 $0.18 \pm 0.17$ % total	
Calcium Channel Blocker: 21%	energy, vegetable protein $6.4 \pm 1.5 \%$	
ACEi: 12%	total energy, vegetables $4.2 \pm 1.9$	

	Other BP medication: 9% Statins: 29% Other lipid modifying medication: 4% Insulin: 3% Oral antidiabetic drugs: 5%	servings/d, legumes $2.2 \pm 3.2$ servings/day, fruit $3.3 \pm 1.6$ servings/d, nuts $0.5 \pm 0.6$ servings/d, whole grain $2.1 \pm 1.7$ servings/d, fish $0.4 \pm 0.3$ servings/d, MUFA:SFA $1.4 \pm 0.4$ , red and processed meat $0.6 \pm 0.6$ servings/d, alcohol $4.9 \pm 8.0$ g/d.		
Martínez-González et al.[48]	Articles in final meta-analysis: 27 Total number of participants in analysis: 271,479 Exposure to MedDiet assessed using range of screening tools	Cumulative MA of observational studies (prospective cohort and clinical trials) Articles sourced from PubMed, Embase, Google Scholar, and Web of Science till May 2017 Inclusion criteria were: Studies must be clinical trial or prospective cohort studies, original articles, primary prevention of mortality or incidence of CVD through the MedDiet, exposure must be adherence to MedDiet, and outcome was mortality from CVD or incidence of CV events (defined as CHD or stroke) Excluded studies that did not meet inclusion criteria, those which did not consider adherence to MedDiet on CV incidence or mortality from CVD. Computed a relative risk with 95% confidence interval for an increase of two points in adherence to the MedDiet No comments on assessment of study quality or publication bias	Primary outcomes CVD mortality or incidence of CV events Collected information on study design, sample size and sample characteristics, dietary assessment method, average duration of follow-up, number of non- fatal and fatal events, and results and covariates in the fully adjusted model	<ul> <li>Follow-up ranged from 4.8-17.3 years.</li> <li>Each 2-point increment in a 0-9 MedDiet was associated with an 11% reduction in CVD risk (RR 0.89; 95% CI: 0.86, 0.91).</li> <li>Lyon Heart Study and PREDIMED accounted for 0.62% and 1.32% of total evidence</li> <li>Summary Data form prospective cohort studies and clinical trials suggest that increased adherence to a Mediterranean diet is associated with reduced CV mortality or incidence of CVD. The study does not include the updated PREDIMED study published in 2018. This would not change the outcomes of this review</li> </ul>
Chiavaroli et al.[49]	Potentially relevant records: 125 After duplicates: 77 Excluded 60 due to not being systematic review and meta analysis, or did not assess effect of DASH on CV outcomes Full-texts assessed for eligibility: 14 Excluded 10 due to not being most recent systematic review and meta analysis, no pairwise meta-analysis performed, no cardiometabolic outcomes reported	Umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta analyses examining the DASH diet and cardiometabolic outcomes. Articles sourced from Medline and Embase (inception to January 3 2019). Quality of evidence was assessed using GRADE and reporting of evidence following Preferred Reporting Items for	Primary outcome was incident CVD in prospective cohort studies and SBP in trials. Secondary outcomes included incident CHD, stroke, and diabetes in prospective cohort studies. Secondary outcomes in controlled trials included DBP, blood lipids, glycaemic control, insulin, adiposity, and inflammation	<ol> <li>meta analysis of prospective studies assessed the relationship between DASH diet and CVD incidence (including 783,732 participants with 32,927 events). Consumption of the DASH diet was associated with a 20% reduction in CVD incidence (RR 0.80; 95% CI: 0.76, 0.85).</li> <li>meta analysis of prospective studies assessed the relationship between</li> </ol>

Heart
-------

Articles in final meta-analysis: 7	Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)	DASH diet and CHD incidence (including 144,337 participants with
3 systemic reviews and meta analyses of prospective cohort studies	Study bias assessed using Cochrane 'Risk of Bias' tool or New Castle	7260 events). Consumption of the DASH diet was associated with a 21% reduction in CVD incidence (RR 0.79;
4 systematic review and meta analyses of RCTs	Ottawa score.	95% CI: 0.71, 0.88).
Total number of participants from		1 meta analysis of prospective studies assessed the relationship between DASH diet and Stroke incidence
prospective cohort studies: 942,140 Total number of participants from		(including 150,191 participants with 4413 events). Consumption of the DASH diet was associated with a 19%
RCTs: 4414 Of systematic review and meta analyses		reduction in CVD incidence (RR 0.81; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.92).
of systematic review and ineta analyses of prospective cohort studies, 1 included composite CVD outcomes, 1 included stroke incidence, 1 included diabetes incidence, 1 included overall mortality		1 meta analysis of prospective studies assessed the relationship between DASH diet and diabetes incidence (including 158,408 participants with
Of systematic review and meta analyses of RCTs, 0 included HbA1c, 2 included glycaemic control, 1 included blood		23,612 events). Consumption of the DASH diet was associated with a 18% reduction in CVD incidence (RR 0.82; 95% CI: 0.74, 0.92) although significant
pressure, 1 included lipid parameters, 1 included body weight and adiposity, ands 1 included inflammation		heterogeneity was noted between studies
		1 meta analysis of RCTs assessed the effect of the DASH diet on BP outcomes (including 1918 participants). DASH diet reduced SBP (MD -5.20 mmHg; 95% CI: -7.00, -3.40 mmHg) and DBP (MD -2.60 mmHg; 95% CI: -3.50, -1.70 mmHg)). There was large heterogeneity in outcomes.
		1 meta analysis of RCTs studies assessed the effect of the DASH diet on lipid outcomes. DASH diet reduced TC (1673 participants, MD -0.20 mmol/L;
		95% CI: -0.31, -0.10 mmol/L), LDL-C (1673 participants, MD -0.10 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.20, -0.01 mmol/L)). There was no effect on HDL-C or TAG. Large heterogeneity in studies noted.

				2 RCTs showed DASH diet reduced HbA1c (654 participants, MD -0.53% (95% CI: -0.62, -0.43%)). 1 meta analysis of RCTs studies assessed the effect of the DASH diet on glucose outcomes (blood glucose, insulin, and HOMA-IR). DASH diet reduced insulin (760 participants, MD - 0.15 µU/mL; 95% CI: -0.22 to -0.08 µU/mL)). There was no effect seen on blood glucose of HOMA-IR 1 meta analysis of RCTs studies assessed the effect of the DASH diet on body weight. DASH diet reduced bodyweight (1211 participants, MD -1.42 kg; 95% CI: -2.03, -0.82 kg)). 1 meta analysis of RCTs studies assessed the effect of the DASH diet on CRP. No effect was seen but subgroup analysis showed an effect when compared to unhealthy or usual diets (MD -9.62 nmol/L; 95% CI: -15.62, -3.62 nmol/L) or when follow-up was ≥ 8 weeks <b>Summary</b> This study shows that adoption of the DASH diet is associated with reduced incident stroke, CVD, and CHD. The DASH diet shows modest effects on CV risk factors such as cholesterol, insulin, and inflammation. DASH is high in fruits and vegetables, whole grains, fish and poultry, and limiting fatty meats, and SSBs
Kim et al.[50]	Total participants: <i>n</i> 12,168 <u>Characteristics based on plant-based</u> <u>diet scores:</u> Q1 Participants: <i>n</i> 2717 Age: 53.7±5.8 years Women: 42.3% BMI <25kg/m2: 19.5%	Prospective cohort study and meta- analysis Participants taken from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. Established 4, plant-based diet scores (plant-based diet index [PDI], healthy plant-based diet index [hPDI], less healthy [unhealthy] plant-based diet	Primary outcome was all-cause mortality (defined as deaths attributable to any cause), CV mortality, and incident CV disease (defined as composite outcome of CHD, stroke, and HF) Diet data collected using a 66-item semi-quantitative	Median follow-up of 25 years, there were 1565 deaths from CVD and 5436 deaths from all-causes. Those in highest quintiles of PDI, hPDI, and pro-vegetarian index were more likely to be women, white, more physically active, less likely to be obese, have diabetes or hypertension

BI	MI 25-30kg/m2: 22.6%	index [uPDI], and provegetarian diet	FFQ at visit 1 and visit 3. The Harvard	when compared to those in the lowest
B	MI ≥30kg/m2: 27.5%	index). hPDI included whole grains,	Nutrient Database was used to derive	quintiles
Ci	urrent smoker: 33.8%	fruits, vegetables, nuts, legumes, tea, and	nutrient intakes from the FFQ	-
Ad	ctivity index: 2.3±0.7	coffee. uPDI included fruit juices,	responses.	Those in the highest quintile of uPDI
Hi	ligh blood pressure: 36.5%	refined grain, potatoes, sugar-sweetened	Participants examined at follow-up	were more likely to be male, younger,
Di	biabetes: 11.5%	beverages, sweets, and desserts.	visits, with the second visit occurring	smoke, obese, and have HTN
Fa	asting glucose: 6.1±2.4 mmol/L	-	between 1990 and 1992, the third	
Li	ipid-lowering medication: 1.2%	Higher PDI scores represented higher	between 1993 and 1995, the fourth	Those in highest quintiles of PDI, hPDI,
eC	GFR: 105.2±16.4 mL/min/1.73m2	intakes of healthy and less healthy plant	between 1996 and 1998, the fifth	and pro-vegetarian index consumed
Et	thnicity: 43.2% Black	foods. Higher hPDI scores represented	between 2011 and 2013, and the sixth	more fruits and vegetables, less red and
Q	2	higher intakes of healthy plant foods,	between 2016 and 2017.	processed meat, more plant protein and
Pa	articipants: n 2864	and lower intakes of less-healthy plant		carbohydrate (as percentage of total
A	ge: 53.7±5.6 years	foods. Higher uPDI scores represented	Models adjusted for BMI, age, race and	energy), fibre, and micronutrients such
W	Vomen: 55.2%	higher intakes of less healthy plant	gender, ARIC test centre, total energy	as potassium, magnesium and iron.
BI	MI <25kg/m2: 21.7%	foods, and lower intakes of healthy plant	consumption, alcohol intake, margarine	
BI	MI 25-30kg/m2: 24.4%	foods. Higher pro-vegetarian diet scores	intake, total cholesterol, lipid-lowering	Those in the highest quintile of uPDI
BI	MI≥30kg/m2: 24.7%	represented higher intakes of plant foods	medication, renal function, diabetes,	consumed less fruit and vegetables,
Ci	urrent smoker: 27.8%	(regardless of healthfulness). Higher	cigarette smoking, and education level	more red and processed meat, and had a
	ctivity index: 2.4±0.8	scores of all four scores represented		higher intake of total energy and
Hi	ligh blood pressure: 32.3%	lower intakes of animal foods.		carbohydrate as a percentage of energy.
Di	Diabetes: 11.4%			
	asting glucose: 6.1±2.4 mmol/L	PDI Score Quintiles		In fully adjusted models, compared with
	ipid-lowering medication: 1.3%	<u>Q1</u>		Q1 the highest quintile of PDI was
	GFR: 103.3±15.8 mL/min/1.73m2	PDI Score (median): 44 (28-46)		associated with a 16% lower risk of
Et	thnicity: 31.3% Black	Healthy Plant Food: 5.4±2.8 servings/d		incident CVD (HR 0.84; 95% CI: 0.76,
		Unhealthy Healthy Plant Food: 4.6±2.3		0.94; P <sub>trend</sub> <0.001), a 31% lower risk of
Q		servings/d		CVD mortality (HR 0.69; 95% CI: 0.58,
	articipants: n 2308	1715 $\pm$ 593 kcal/d, carbohydrates 43.7 $\pm$		0.81; P <sub>trend</sub> <0.001), and a 24% lower
	ge: 53.7±5.7 years	8.0 % total energy, total fat 35.4 $\pm$ 5.9 %		risk of all-cause mortality (HR 0.76;
	Vomen: 60%	total energy, SFA $13.2 \pm 2.7$ % total		95% CI: 0.69, 0.83; Ptrend<0.001)
	MI <25kg/m2: 18.9%	energy, MUFA 13.9 ± 2.6 % total		
	MI 25-30kg/m2: 18.4%	energy, PUFA 4.9 ± 1.2 % total energy,		In fully adjusted models, compared with
	MI≥30kg/m2: 19.4%	total protein 18.7 $\pm$ 3.9 % total energy,		Q1 the highest quintile of hPDI was
	urrent smoker: 23.2%	animal protein $15.2 \pm 3.9$ % total energy,		associated with a 16% lower risk of
	ctivity index: 2.4±0.8	plant protein $3.6 \pm 0.8$ % total energy,		CVD mortality (HR 0.84; 95% CI: 0.71,
	ligh blood pressure: 31.2%	fibre $8.3 \pm 2.7$ g/1000 kcal, animal		1.01; P <sub>trend</sub> =0.03), and a 9% lower risk
	Diabetes: 10.5%	foods: $5.6 \pm 2.8$ servings/d, fruits and		of all-cause mortality (HR 0.91; 95%
	asting glucose: 6.0±2.1 mmol/L	vegetables 2.8 ± 1.7 servings/d, red and		CI: 0.83, 1.00; P <sub>trend</sub> =0.03)
	ipid-lowering medication: 2.5%	processed meats $1.5 \pm 0.8$ servings/d,		
	GFR: 102.9±14.9 mL/min/1.73m2	dairy $1.8 \pm 1.4$ servings/d, fish or		In fully adjusted models, compared with
Et	thnicity: 24.1% Black	seafood $0.3 \pm 0.3$ servings/d, margarine		Q1 the highest quintile of pro-
		$1.0 \pm 0.9$ servings/d, alcohol 68.9 ±		vegetarian diet index was associated
Q4		137.9 g/wk		with a 15% lower risk of incident CVD
	articipants: n 1992			(HR 0.85; 95% CI: 0.77, 0.94;
	ge: 54.2±5.7 years	Q2:		$P_{trend}$ < 0.001), a 32% lower risk of CVD
	Vomen: 61.5%	PDI Score (median): 49 (47–50)		mortality (HR 0.68; 95% CI: 0.58, 0.80;
	MI <25kg/m2: 16.9%	Healthy Plant Food: 6.3±2.9 servings/d		$P_{trend}$ 0.001), and a 18% lower risk of all-
BI	MI 25-30kg/m2: 17.2%			

BMI $\geq 30 \text{ kg/m2: } 13.7\%$ Current smoker: $19.2\%$ Activity index: $2.5\pm0.8$ Unhealthy Healthy Plant Food: $4.7\pm2.4$ servings/dcause mortality (HR ( $-0.89; P_{trend} < 0.001$ )High blood pressure: $30.6\%$ Diabetes: $9.4\%$ Fasting glucose: $5.9\pm1.8$ mmol/L Lipid-lowering medication: $3.4\%$ eGFR: $102.1\pm13.9$ mL/min/1.73m2 Ethnicity: $19.2\%$ BlackNo significant association in the serving ser	ations were and any of the showed that higher ins were associated
Activity index: $2.5\pm0.8$ $1569\pm555$ kcal/d, carbohydrates $47.4\pm$ No significant associationHigh blood pressure: $30.6\%$ $7.8\%$ total energy, total fat $33.3\pm5.7\%$ No significant associationDiabetes: $9.4\%$ total energy, SFA 12.2 $\pm 2.4\%$ totalobserved with uPD1 asFasting glucose: $5.9\pm1.8$ mmol/Lenergy, MUFA $13.0\pm2.6\%$ totalprimary outcomesLipid-lowering medication: $3.4\%$ energy, PUFA $4.9\pm1.2\%$ total energy,Food group analysis aseGFR: $102.1\pm13.9$ mL/min/1.73m2total protein $18.5\pm3.9\%$ total energy,animal protein $14.4\pm3.8\%$ totalEthnicity: $19.2\%$ Blackenergy, fibre $10.1\pm3.0$ g/1000 kcal,with lower incidenceQ5energy, fibre $10.1\pm3.0$ g/1000 kcal,animal foods: $4.5\pm2.0$ servings/d, fruitsRefined grains showeAge: $53.9\pm5.8$ yearsand vegetables $2.8\pm1.7$ rservings/d, dairy $1.6\pm1.2$ servings/d,Higher intake of red at and eggs was association and eggs was	and any of the showed that higher ins were associated
High blood pressure: $30.6\%$ 7.8 % total energy, total fat $33.3 \pm 5.7$ % total energy, SFA $12.2 \pm 2.4$ % total energy, MUFA $13.0 \pm 2.6$ % total energy, MUFA $13.0 \pm 2.6$ % total energy, PUFA $4.9 \pm 1.2$ % total energy, total energy, eGFR: $102.1 \pm 13.9$ mL/min1.73m2 Ethnicity: $19.2\%$ BlackNo significant association observed with uPD1 a energy, PUFA $4.9 \pm 1.2$ % total energy, total energy, total energy, work animal protein $14.4 \pm 3.8$ % total energy, plant protein $4.2 \pm 0.9$ % total energy, fibre $10.1 \pm 3.0$ g/1000 kcal, animal foods: $4.5 \pm 2.0$ servings/d, fruits 	and any of the showed that higher ins were associated
Diabetes: $9.4\%$ total energy, SFA $12.2 \pm 2.4\%$ totalobserved with uPD1aFasting glucose: $5.9\pm 1.8 \text{ mmol/L}$ energy, MUFA $13.0 \pm 2.6\%$ totalprimary outcomesLipid-lowering medication: $3.4\%$ energy, PUFA $4.9 \pm 1.2\%$ total energy,Food group analysis aeGFR: $102.1\pm 13.9 \text{ mL/min/1.73m2}$ total protein $18.5 \pm 3.9\%$ totalenergy, PUFA $4.9 \pm 1.2\%$ total energy,Ethnicity: $19.2\%$ Blackanimal protein $14.4 \pm 3.8\%$ totalintakes of whole grainQ5energy, fibre $10.1 \pm 3.0$ g/1000 kcal,mortality, and all-cauParticipants: $n$ 2287animal foods: $4.5 \pm 2.0$ servings/d, fruitsRefined grains showedAge: $53.9\pm 5.8$ yearsand vegetables $2.8 \pm 1.7$ servings/d, redHigher intake of red aWomen: $60.6\%$ and processed meats $1.2 \pm 0.7$ Higher intake of red aBMI < 25kg/m2: 22.8\%	and any of the showed that higher ins were associated
Fasting glucose: $5.9\pm 1.8 \text{ mmol/L}$ Lipid-lowering medication: $3.4\%$ eGFR: $102.1\pm 13.9 \text{ mL/min/1.73m2}$ Ethnicity: $19.2\%$ Blackenergy, MUFA $13.0\pm 2.6\%$ total energy, PUFA $4.9\pm 1.2\%$ total energy, total protein $18.5\pm 3.9\%$ total energy, animal protein $14.4\pm 3.8\%$ total energy, plant protein $4.2\pm 0.9\%$ total energy, fibre $10.1\pm 3.0$ g/1000 kcal, animal foods: $4.5\pm 2.0$ servings/d, fruits Age: $53.9\pm 5.8$ years Women: $60.6\%$ primary outcomesBMI <25kg/m2: 22.8\%	showed that higher
Lipid-lowering medication: 3.4% eGFR: 102.1±13.9 mL/min/1.73m2 Ethnicity: 19.2% Blackenergy, PUFA 4.9 ± 1.2 % total energy, total protein 18.5 ± 3.9 % total energy, total protein 18.5 ± 3.9 % total energy, animal protein 14.4 ± 3.8 % total energy, plant protein 4.2 ± 0.9 % total energy, fibre 10.1 ± 3.0 g/1000 kcal, animal foods: 4.5 ± 2.0 servings/d, fruits Age: 53.9±5.8 years Women: 60.6%Food group analysis servings/d, fruits and vegetables 2.8 ± 1.7 servings/d, red servings/d, dairy 1.6 ± 1.2 servings/d,MI <25kg/m2: 22.8%	ins were associated
eGFR: 102.1±13.9 mL/min/1.73m2 Ethnicity: 19.2% Blacktotal protein 18.5 ± 3.9 % total energy, animal protein 14.4 ± 3.8 % total energy, plant protein 4.2 ± 0.9 % total energy, fibre 10.1 ± 3.0 g/1000 kcal, animal foods: 4.5 ± 2.0 servings/d, fruits Age: 53.9±5.8 years Women: 60.6%Food group analysis s intakes of whole grain with lower incidence energy, fibre 10.1 ± 3.0 g/1000 kcal, animal foods: 4.5 ± 2.0 servings/d, red and processed meats 1.2 ± 0.7Food group analysis s intakes of whole grain with lower incidence mortality, and all-cau Refined grains showeWomen: 60.6% BMI <25kg/m2: 22.8%	ins were associated
Ethnicity: 19.2% Blackanimal protein 14.4 ± 3.8 % total energy, plant protein 4.2 ± 0.9 % total energy, plant protein 4.2 ± 0.9 % total energy, fibre 10.1 ± 3.0 g/1000 kcal, animal foods: 4.5 ± 2.0 servings/d, fruits Age: 53.9±5.8 yearsintakes of whole grain with lower incidence energy, fibre 10.1 ± 3.0 g/1000 kcal, animal foods: 4.5 ± 2.0 servings/d, fruits and vegtables 2.8 ± 1.7 servings/d, fruits and processed meats 1.2 ± 0.7intakes of whole grain with lower incidence mortality, and all-cau Refined grains showe Higher intake of red a and eggs was associaBMI <25kg/m2: 22.8%	ins were associated
Ethnicity: 19.2% Blackanimal protein 14.4 ± 3.8 % total energy, plant protein 4.2 ± 0.9 % total energy, plant protein 4.2 ± 0.9 % total energy, fibre 10.1 ± 3.0 g/1000 kcal, animal foods: 4.5 ± 2.0 servings/d, fruits Age: 53.9±5.8 yearsintakes of whole grain with lower incidence energy, fibre 10.1 ± 3.0 g/1000 kcal, animal foods: 4.5 ± 2.0 servings/d, fruits and vegetables 2.8 ± 1.7 servings/d, fruits and processed meats 1.2 ± 0.7intakes of whole grain with lower incidence mortality, and all-cau Refined grains showeWomen: 60.6%and processed meats 1.2 ± 0.7Higher intake of red a and eggs was associaBMI <25kg/m2: 22.8%	ins were associated
Q5energy, plant protein 4.2 ± 0.9 % total energy, fibre 10.1 ± 3.0 g/1000 kcal, animal foods: 4.5 ± 2.0 servings/d, fruits and vegetables 2.8 ± 1.7 servings/d, fred women: 60.6%with lower incidence mortality, and all-cau Refined grains showed and processed meats 1.2 ± 0.7BMI <25kg/m2: 22.8%	
Q5energy, fibre 10.1 ± 3.0 g/1000 kcal, animal foods: 4.5 ± 2.0 servings/d, fruits Age: 53.9±5.8 yearsmortality, and all-cau Refined grains showe and vegetables 2.8 ± 1.7 servings/d, red and processed meats 1.2 ± 0.7mortality, and all-cau Refined grains showe Higher intake of red a and eggs was associaBMI <25kg/m2: 22.8%	
Participants: n 2287animal foods: 4.5 ± 2.0 servings/d, fruitsRefined grains showedAge: 53.9±5.8 yearsand vegetables 2.8 ± 1.7 servings/d, redHigher intake of red aWomen: 60.6%and processed meats 1.2 ± 0.7Higher intake of red aBMI <25kg/m2: 22.8%	
Age: $53.9\pm5.8$ yearsand vegetables $2.8\pm1.7$ servings/d, redWomen: $60.6\%$ and processed meats $1.2\pm0.7$ BMI <25kg/m2: 22.8%	
Women: $60.6\%$ and processed meats $1.2 \pm 0.7$ Higher intake of red aBMI <25kg/m2: 22.8%	in no association.
BMI <25kg/m2: 22.8% servings/d, dairy 1.6 ± 1.2 servings/d, and eggs was associa	and processed meat
BMI 25-30kg/m2: 17.3% fish or seafood $0.3 \pm 0.3$ servings/d, risk of CVD incidence	
$BMI \geq 30 \text{ kg/m}2:14.6\%$ $\text{Inst of scatood } 0.5 \pm 0.5 \text{ servings/d}, \text{ and all-cause mortality}$ $\text{and all-cause mortality}$	
1000000000000000000000000000000000000	ty.
Activity index: 2.6±0.8 Q3: Increased poultry app	naorad to ha
High blood pressure: 27.0% PDI Score (median): 52 (51–53) significantly associate	
Diabetes: 7.0% Healthy Plant Food: 7.0±2.9 servings/d all-cause mortality. F	
Fasting glucose: 5.7±1.6 mmol/L Unhealthy Plant Food: 4.9±2.4 diary was not signific	
Lipid-lowering medication: 3.8% servings/d with any outcomes.	cantry associated
eGFR: $101.9\pm13.2 \text{ mL/min/1.73m2}$ 1548 ± 537 kcal/d, carbohydrates 50.0 ± T 4% total ensurement to 1 6 + 22.0 ± 5.7 %	4 h
Ethnicity: 12.9% Black $7.4\%$ total energy, total fat $32.0 \pm 5.7\%$ Individual componen	
total energy, SFA 11.5 $\pm$ 2.3 % total vegetables, fruits, nut	
$\frac{\text{Characteristics based on Pro-Vegetarian}}{\text{Characteristics based on Pro-Vegetarian}} = \text{energy}, \text{MUFA } 12.4 \pm 2.5\% \text{ total}$	
$\frac{index \ score}{2}$ energy, PUFA 4.9 ± 1.2 % total energy, any of the outcomes.	
Q1 total protein $18.3 \pm 3.7$ % total energy, potatoes, which were	
Participants: $n$ 2970animal protein 13.8 $\pm$ 3.6 % total energy,healthy plant foods for	
Age: $53.4\pm 5.7$ yearsplant protein $4.6\pm 0.9\%$ total energy,was inversely associa	
Women: 46.5%fibre 11.4 ± 3.3 g/1000 kcal, animalCVD and all-cause m	nortality
BMI <25kg/m2: 21.8% foods: $4.0 \pm 1.8$ servings/d, fruits and	
BMI 25-30kg/m2: 24.3% vegetables 3.1 ± 1.7 servings/d, red and	
BMI $\ge$ 30kg/m2: 28.9% processed meats 1.0 $\pm$ 0.7 servings/d, Summary	
Current smoker: $32.9\%$ dairy $1.5 \pm 1.1$ servings/d, fish orOverall this study sl	
Activity index: $2.3 \pm 0.8$ seafood $0.3 \pm 0.3$ servings/d, margarinehealthy-plant based	
High blood pressure: $34.1\%$ $1.0 \pm 0.9$ servings/d, alcohol $36.4 \pm 80.7$ modest reduction in	
Diabetes: 10.4% g/wk mortality, and all-ca	ause mortality.
Fasting glucose: 6.1±2.3 mmol/L	
Lipid-lowering medication: 1.1% Q4: A poorly constructe	d plant-based diet
eGFR: 104.5±15.9 mL/min/1.73m2 PDI Score (median): 55 (54–56) containing refined g	grains, fruit juices
Ethnicity: 35.5% Black     Healthy Plant Food: 7.7±2.8 servings/d     and increased sweet	is and desserts is
Unhealthy Healthy Plant Food: 5.1±2.4 not associated with a	any benefit to CV
Q2 servings/d health, although in t	this study it was
Participants: $n 2687$ 1573 ± 524 kcal/d, carbohydrates 52.1 ± not associated with i	
Age: 53.7±5.7 years 7.2 % total energy, total fat 30.7 ± 5.9 % <b>This may be due to</b>	
Women: $55.5\%$ total energy, SFA 10.9 ± 2.3 % total potatoes and how the	

 BMI <25kg/m2: 19.8%	energy, MUFA 11.9 ± 2.7 % total	in different populations (boiled/baked
BMI 25-30kg/m2: 23.1%	energy, PUFA 4.9 ± 1.2 % total energy,	vs. chips).
BMI≥30kg/m2: 24.2%	total protein $17.9 \pm 3.5$ % total energy,	
Current smoker: 27.3%	animal protein $13.0 \pm 3.4$ % total energy,	
Activity index: 2.4±0.8	plant protein 4.9 ± 1.0 % total energy,	
High blood pressure: 31.4%	fibre $12.3 \pm 3.4$ g/1000 kcal, animal	
Diabetes: 11.6%	foods: $3.8 \pm 1.7$ servings/d, fruits and	
Fasting glucose: 6.1±2.4 mmol/L	vegetables $3.5 \pm 1.7$ servings/d, red and	
Lipid-lowering medication: 1.7%	processed meats $0.9 \pm 0.6$ servings/d,	
eGFR: 103.7±15.5 mL/min/1.73m2	dairy $1.5 \pm 1.1$ servings/d, fish or	
Ethnicity: 31.7% Black	seafood $0.3 \pm 0.3$ servings/d, margarine	
	$1.1 \pm 1.0$ servings/d, alcohol $32.4 \pm 66.3$	
Q3	g/wk	
Participants: n 1911	C C	
Age: 53.6±5.7 years	Q5:	
Women: 59.2%	PDI Score (median): 59 (57–74)	
BMI <25kg/m2: 15.4%	Healthy Plant Food: 9.0±3.0 servings/d	
BMI 25-30kg/m2: 16.1%	Unhealthy Healthy Plant Food: 6.0±2.6	
BMI≥30kg/m2: 15.4%	servings/d	
Current smoker: 24.0%	$1698 \pm 521$ kcal/d, carbohydrates 54.6 ±	
Activity index: 2.4±0.8	7.2% total energy, total fat 29.8 $\pm$ 5.6%	
High blood pressure: 31.4%	total energy, SFA 10.3 $\pm$ 2.3 % total	
Diabetes: 10.2%	energy, MUFA 11.5 $\pm$ 2.6 % total	
Fasting glucose: 5.9±2.0 mmol/L	energy, PUFA 5.0 $\pm$ 1.2 % total energy,	
Lipid-lowering medication: 2.3%	total protein 17.0 $\pm$ 3.1 % total energy,	
eGFR: 103.3±15.3 mL/min/1.73m2	animal protein 11.6 $\pm$ 3.2 % total energy,	
Ethnicity: 27.9% Black	plant protein $5.3 \pm 1.1$ % total energy,	
Edimenty: 27.5% Black	fibre $13.4 \pm 3.5$ g/1000 kcal, animal	
Q4	foods: $3.6 \pm 1.8$ servings/d, fruits and	
Participants: n 2266	vegetables $4.1 \pm 1.9$ servings/d, red and	
Age: 54.0±5.7 years	processed meats $0.8 \pm 0.7$ servings/d, red and	
Women: 59.5%	dairy $1.5 \pm 1.0$ servings/d, fish or	
BMI <25kg/m2: 20.3%	seafood $0.3 \pm 0.2$ servings/d, margarine	
BMI 25-30kg/m2: 18.3%	$1.1 \pm 0.9$ servings/d, alcohol 28.6 $\pm$ 59.4	
BMI $\geq$ 30kg/m2: 16.5%	g/wk	
Current smoker: 22.8%	g/wk	
Activity index: 2.5±0.8	Pro-vegetarian diet index score	
High blood pressure: 31.4%	O1:	
Diabetes: 9.8%	Pro-vegetarian diet index score (median	
	5	
Fasting glucose: 5.9±1.8 mmol/L	+range): 27 (15-29)	
Lipid-lowering medication: 2.9%	Healthy Plant Food: 5.5±2.7 servings/d	
eGFR: 102.5±14.3 mL/min/1.73m2	Unhealthy Healthy Plant Food: 4.7±2.3	
Ethnicity: 21.5% Black	servings/d	
	$1618 \pm 585$ kcal/d, carbohydrates $44.3 \pm$	
Q5	8.1 % total energy, total fat $35.2 \pm 5.8$ %	
Participants: n 2334	total energy, SFA $13.2 \pm 2.6 \%$ total	
Age: 54.6±5.8 years	energy, MUFA $13.8 \pm 2.5$ % total	
 Women: 58.4%	energy, PUFA 4.8 $\pm$ 1.1 % total energy,	

BMI <25kg/m2: 22.	% total protein 18.7 ± 4.0 % total energy,	
BMI 25-30kg/m2: 12		
BMI 25 50kg/m2:18.9		
Current smoker: 16.8		
Activity index: 2.6±	6	
High blood pressure:		
Diabetes: 8.2%	processed meats $1.4 \pm 0.8$ servings/d,	
Fasting glucose: 5.5:		
Lipid-lowering medi		
eGFR: 101.6±13.6 n		
Ethnicity: 16.5% Bla	ck 123.4 g/wk	
	Q2: Pro-vegetarian diet index score (median	
	+range): 31 (30/32)	
	Healthy Plant Food: 6.3±2.8 servings/d	
	Unhealthy Healthy Plant Food: 4.8±2.4	
	servings/d	
	$1567 \pm 561$ kcal/d, carbohydrates 47.7 ±	
	7.9 % total energy, total fat $33.3 \pm 5.7$ %	
	total energy, SFA 12.2 $\pm$ 2.4 % total	
	energy, MUFA 13.0 $\pm$ 2.6 % total	
	energy, PUFA 4.9 $\pm$ 1.2 % total energy,	
	total protein $18.4 \pm 3.9$ % total energy,	
	animal protein $14.3 \pm 3.8$ % total	
	energy, plant protein $4.2 \pm 0.8$ % total	
	energy, fibre $10.0 \pm 2.7$ g/1000 kcal,	
	animal foods: $4.4 \pm 2.0$ servings/d, fruits	
	and vegetables $2.7 \pm 1.6$ servings/d, red	
	and processed meats $1.2 \pm 0.8$	
	servings/d, dairy $1.6 \pm 1.2$ servings/d,	
	fish or seafood $0.3 \pm 0.3$ servings/d,	
	margarine $1.0 \pm 0.9$ servings/d, alcohol	
	43.1 ± 89.3 g/wk	
	Q3:	
	Pro-vegetarian diet index score (median	
	+range): 33 (33-34)	
	Healthy Plant Food: 6.9±2.8 servings/d	
	Unhealthy Healthy Plant Food: 4.9±2.4	
	servings/d	
	$1574 \pm 551$ kcal/d, carbohydrates $49.7 \pm$	
	7.6% total energy, total fat $32.2 \pm 5.7$ %	
	total energy, SFA 11.6 $\pm$ 2.3 % total	
	energy, MUFA 12.5 $\pm$ 2.6 % total	
	energy, PUFA 4.9 $\pm$ 1.2 % total energy,	
	total protein $18.2 \pm 3.7$ % total energy,	
	animal protein $13.7 \pm 3.6$ % total energy,	

plant protein $4.5 \pm 0.9 \%$ total energy, fibre $11.3 \pm 3.0 g/1000$ kcal, animal foods: $4.2 \pm 1.9$ servings/d, fruits and vegetables $3.1 \pm 1.6$ servings/d, red and processed meats $1.0 \pm 0.7$ servings/d, dairy $1.6 \pm 1.2$ servings/d, fish or seafood $0.3 \pm 0.3$ servings/d, margarine $1.0 \pm 0.9$ servings/d, alcohol $39.4 \pm 87.9$ g/wk Q4: Pro-vegetarian diet index score (median	
+range): 36 (35-37) Healthy Plant Food: 7.5 $\pm$ 2.9 servings/d Unhealthy Healthy Plant Food: 5.2 $\pm$ 2.5 servings/d 1619 $\pm$ 527 kcal/d, carbohydrates 51.6 $\pm$ 7.5 % total energy, total fat 31.0 $\pm$ 5.8 % total energy, SFA 11.0 $\pm$ 2.3 % total energy, MUFA 12.0 $\pm$ 2.6 % total energy, PUFA 5.0 $\pm$ 1.2 % total energy, total protein 17.8 $\pm$ 3.6 % total energy, animal protein 13.0 $\pm$ 3.5 % total energy,	
plant protein 4.8 $\pm$ 0.9 % total energy, fibre 12.2 $\pm$ 3.1 g/1000 kcal, animal foods: 4.0 $\pm$ 1.8 servings/d, fruits and vegetables 3.6 $\pm$ 1.7 servings/d, red and processed meats 0.9 $\pm$ 0.6 servings/d, dairy 1.5 $\pm$ 1.1 servings/d, fish or seafood 0.3 $\pm$ 0.3 servings/d, margarine 1.1 $\pm$ 0.9 servings/d, alcohol 38.9 $\pm$ 91.1 g/wk	
Q5: Pro-vegetarian diet index score (median +range): 40 (38-40) Healthy Plant Food: 9.0 $\pm$ 3.1 servings/d Unhealthy Healthy Plant Food: 5.6 $\pm$ 2.6 servings/d 1739 $\pm$ 514 kcal/d, carbohydrates 54.4 $\pm$ 7.4% total energy, total fat 29.5 $\pm$ 5.8 % total energy, SFA 10.1 $\pm$ 2.2 % total energy, MUFA 11.5 $\pm$ 2.7 % total	
energy, MUFA $5.1 \pm 2.7$ total energy, PUFA $5.1 \pm 1.2$ % total energy, total protein $17.4 \pm 3.2$ % total energy, animal protein $11.9 \pm 3.3$ % total energy, plant protein $5.5 \pm 1.1$ % total energy, fibre $14.1 \pm 3.6$ g/1000 kcal, animal	

Heart

		foods: $3.7 \pm 1.8$ servings/d, fruits and vegetables $4.5 \pm 2.0$ servings/d, red and processed meats $0.9 \pm 0.7$ servings/d, dairy $1.5 \pm 1.0$ servings/d, fish or seafood $0.2 \pm 0.3$ servings/d, margarine $1.2 \pm 1.0$ servings/d, alcohol $31.4 \pm 68.5$ g/wk		
Athinarayanan et al.[51]	2 year follow-up data Total participants: $n=349$ Continuous Care Intervention n=262 Age: 53.8±8.4 years Female: 66.79±2.92 % BMI: 40.42±8.81 kg Waist Circumference: 124.5±14.3 cm Weight: 114.56±0.60 kg Spine bone mineral density: 1.20 ± 0.16 g/cm2 Central abdominal fat: 5.77±1.69 kg Android:gynoid ratio: 1.27±0.33 Lower extremity lean mass: 18.45±4.05 kg Years since T2 Diabetes Diagnosis: 8.44±7.22 HbA1c: 7.6±1.5 % C-peptide: 4.36±2.15 nmol/L Plasma glucose: 9.1±0.2 mmol/L Insulin: 27.73±1.26 mIU/L HOMA-IR: 9.09±0.41 MetS (prevalence): 88.6±2.0 % SBP: 131.9±14.1 mmHg DBP: 82.1±8.3 mmHg TC: 4.7±1.1 mmol/L LDL-C: 2.7±0.9 mmol/L HDL-C: 1.1±0.3 mmol/L TAG: 2.2±1.6 mmol/L ALT: 30.65±22.7 U/L AST: 23.69±15.19 U/L ALF: 74.11±22.14 U/L Bilirubin: 9.2±3.6 µmol/L NAFLD-Liver Fat Score: 3.43±3.84 NAFLD-Fibrosis Score: -0.23±1.36 eGFR: 80.48±13.62 mL/s/m2 Creatinine: 0.88±0.01 µmol/L TSH: 2.32±1.74 mIU/L	Open label, non-randomized controlled study. Intervention consisted of a personalised nutrition recommendation designed to maintain nutritional ketosis. <u>Continuous Care Intervention (CCI)</u> Dietary protein was set at 1.5 g/kg of an "ideal" body weight and titrated against blood ketone levels. Fats were included to satiety and participants were encouraged to consume adequate intake of omega-3 (EPA and DHA) and omega 6 (LA), with the remainder from MUFA and SFA. Each participant was instructed to consumer 3-5 serving of non-starchy vegetables and adequate mineral and fluid intakes. Participants were advised to consume a multivitamin, 1000-2000 IU Vit D3, and up to 1000 mg omega-3 daily. Participants in this group selected how they wishes to receive their education: 1) group education sessions or 2) web-based viewed through an app. <u>Usual Care (UC)</u> Patients with T2 diabetes referred to local diabetes education programme and were counselled by RDs on diabetes self-management, nutrition, and lifestyle. No detail is provided on the specific macronutrients consumed, or the sources of protein of fa tin the diet.	<ul> <li>Primary outcomes were retention, HbA1c, weight, fasting glucose and insulin, HOMA-IR or c-peptide.</li> <li>Secondary outcomes included lipids, liver markers, calculated liver scores (fibrosis and fatty-liver), kidney function tests, thyroid function (TSH and free T4), inflammatory markers (hs-CRP and WBC), and changes in medication use and insulin dose.</li> <li>Prevalence and resolution of T2 diabetes, MetS, liver steatosis and fibrosis were assessed at baseline and 2 years</li> <li>Anthropometry was performed at baseline, 1-year and 2 year follow-up.</li> <li>Missing values were estimated from 40 imputations from logistic regression</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>HbA1C decreased by 0.9 units (P&lt;0.0001) during the 2 year period.</li> <li>HbA1C increased by 0.4 units in the usual care group</li> <li>Fasting glucose, HOMA-IR and insulin all significantly (P&lt;0.0001) decreased in the CCI group, and either stayed the same or increased in the usual care group.</li> <li>Weight decreased by -11.94±0.96 kg in the CCI group (P&lt;0.0001) and increased in the usual care group (+1.28±1.63 kg). Central abdominal fat and the android:gynoid ratio all improved over the 2 year period.</li> <li>At 2 years, 74% of CCI group achieved 5% weight loss compared to 14% of the UC group.</li> <li>Diabetes medication (excluding metformin) decreased significantly in the CCI group over the 2 year period (56.9% to 26.8%, P&lt;0.0001). Those individuals taking insulin observed a significant reduction in daily insulin units (81.9 to 15.5 U/day, P&lt;0.0001) in the CCI group.</li> <li>A significant (P&lt;0.0001) reduction in SBP and DBP was observed in the CCI group, but not in the usual care group. SBP decreased by -5.8±1.2 mmHg and DBP decreased by 3.1±1.2 mmHg.</li> <li>HDL-C and LDL-C all significantly (P&lt;0.001) increased in the CCI group.</li> </ul>

Free T4: 11.8±2.2 pmol/L		and LDL-C by 0.20±0.02 mmol/L.
hs-CRP: 8.54±14.49 nmol/L		HDL-C decreased in the usual care
WBC: 7.24±1.89× 10 <sup>9</sup> /L		group. TAG decreased significantly in
Diabetes Medication: 56.87±3.07 %		the CCI group only (-0.50±0.16
Sulfonylurea: 23.66±2.63 %		mmol/L)
Insulin: 29.77±2.83 %		,
TZD: 1.53±0.76 %		ALT, AST, ALP, NAFLD-Liver Fat
SGLT2: 10.31±1.88 %		Score and NAFLD-Liver Fibrosis Score
DPP-4: 9.92±1.85 %		all significantly reduced in the CCI
GLP-1: 13.36±2.11 %		group (P<0.0001).
Metformin: 71.37±2.80 %		group (1 (0.0001).
Wettommi. 71.5712.00 %		eGFR increased by 2.73±0.72 mL/S/m2
Usual Care Intervention		is the CCI group whereas no change
n=87		was seen in the usual care group.
		was seen in the usual care group.
Age: 52.3±9.5 years		At 2
Female: 58.62±5.31 %		At 2 years, 27.2% and 6.5% of CCI and
BMI: 36.72±7.26 kg		usual care patients showed resolution of
Waist Circumference: 117.9±14.3 cm		MetS (P=4.9x10 <sup>-15</sup> ). Diabetes remission
Weight: 111.07±1.09 kg		was observed in 17.6% of CCI
Years since T2 Diabetes Diagnosis:		participants and 0 of the usual care
7.85±7.32 years		participants at 2 years
HbA1c: 7.6±1.8 %		
C-peptide: 4.18±2.48 nmol/L		Summary
Plasma glucose: 8.4±0.4 mmol/L		Long term follow up for the ketogenic
Insulin: 27.57±2.29 mIU/L		diet shows substantial improvement
HOMA-IR: 8.66±0.92		in cardiometabolic risk factors in
MetS (prevalence): 91.4±3.1 %		individuals with established diabetes.
SBP: 129.8±13.6 mmHg		Results may not be as impressive as
DBP: 82.0±8.9 mmHg		DiRECT but the severity of T2DM is
TC: 4.8±1.2 mmol/L		greater in Virta Health. Long term
LDL-C: 2.6±0.9 mmol/L		follow-up is needed to examine the
HDL-C: 1.0±0.3 mmol/L		role these improvements may have on
TAG: $3.2\pm4.5$ mmol/L		CV and all-cause mortality.
ALT: 27.4±19.81 U/L		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
AST: 23.90±19.39 U/L		
ALP: 77.36±26.29 U/L		
Bilirubin: 9.4±4.8 µmol/L		
NAFLD Score: 3.10±3.63		
NAFLD-Fibrosis Score: -0.80±1.41		
eGFR: 79.17±13.73 mL/s/m2		
Creatinine: 0.90±0.02 µmol/L		
TSH: 3.80±17.07 mIU/L		
Free T4: 11.3±3.7 pmol/L		
hs-CRP: 8.89±8.62		
WBC: 8.14±2.39×10 <sup>9</sup> /L		
Diabetes Medication: 66.67±5.08%		
Sulfonylurea: 23.66±2.63 %		
Insulin: 45.98±5.37 %		

TZD: 1.15±1.15 %			
SGLT2: 14.94±3.84	%		
DPP-4: 8.05±2.93 %			
GLP-1: 16.09±3.96 %	, 7		
Metformin: 60.92±5.	26 %		
No statistically signif	icant difference in		
any baseline paramet			
5 1			
Ethnicity and medica	tion use not		
reported			

## **Table legends**

## Table 1

AA, arachidonic acid; ALA, alpha linolenic acid; ApoA1, apolipoprotein A1; ApoB, apolipoprotein B; ACEi, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; ASM, appendicular skeletal muscle mass; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; CHD, coronary heart disease; CHS, cardiovascular health study frailty score; CRP, c-reactive protein; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; DGLA, Dihomo- $\gamma$ linolenic acid; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; DM, diabetes mellitus; eGFRcycC, estimated glomerular filtration rate from cystatin C measurements; eGFRcr-cysC, estimated glomerular filtration rate from creatinine and cystatin C measurements; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; FFQ, food frequency questionnaire; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance; GLA, gamma-Linolenic acid; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; HTN, hypertension; LA, linoleic acid; low carbohydrate diet, LCD; LCDS, low carbohydrate score; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; MACCE, major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular events; MD, mean difference; MetS, metabolic syndrome; MI, myocardial infarction; MNA, mini nutritional assessment; MUFA, monounsaturated fat; PUFA, polyunsaturated fat; QoL, quality of life; RAS, renin-angiotensin system; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SFA, saturated fat; T2DM, type 2 diabetes; TAG, triacylglycerol; TC, total cholesterol; TFA, trans fatty acid; , VLDL, very low density lipoprotein; WMD, weighted mean difference

## Table 2

aHEI, alternate healthy eating index; ApoA1, apolipoprotein A1; ApoB, apolipoprotein B; BMI, body mass index; CHD, coronary heart disease; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DASH, dietary approaches to stop hypertension; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; DVP-SI, digital volume pulse-stiffness index; DVP-RI, digital volume pulse-reflection index; FFQ, food frequency questionnaire; F&V, fruits and vegetables, HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; HF, heart failure; HRT, hormone replacement therapy; hsCRP, high-sensitivity Creactive protein ICAM, intercellular adhesion molecule; IHD, ischaemic heart disease; LDI-Ach, laser Doppler imaging with acetylcholine; LDI-SNP, laser Doppler imaging with sodium nitroprusside; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; MCE, major coronary events; mDASH; modified DASH; MedDiet, Mediterranean Diet; MI, myocardial infarction; MUFA, monounsaturated fat; NO, nitric oxide; PAI-1, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1; PUFA, polyunsaturated fat; PWA AIx, pulse wave analysis augmentation index; PWA AIx HR75, pulse wave analysis augmentation index with correction to a heart rate of 75 beats/min; PWV, pulse wave velocity; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SFA, saturated fat; TAG, triacylglycerol; TC, total cholesterol; TFA, trans fatty acid; VCAM, vascular cell adhesion molecule; vWF, von Willebrand factor

## Table 3

aHEI, alternate healthy eating index; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; alternative Mediterranean diet (aMED); AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ApoA1, ACEi, angiotensin convertor enzyme inhibitor; apolipoprotein A1; ApoB, apolipoprotein B; BP, blood pressure; BMI, body mass index; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CHD, coronary heart disease; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DASH, dietary approaches to stop hypertension; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; DPP-4, Dipeptidyl peptidase 4; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; FFQ, food frequency questionnaire; GLP-1, Glucagon-like peptide-1; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance; hPDI, healthy plant-based diet index; HF, heart failure; hsCRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; HTN, hypertension; IHD, ischaemic heart disease; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; MD, mean difference; MedDiet, Mediterranean Diet; MetS, metabolic syndrome; MI, myocardial infarction; MUFA, monounsaturated fat; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; PDI, plant-based diet index; PUFA, polyunsaturated fat; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SFA, saturated fat; SGLT2, Sodium-glucose co-transporter-2; TAG, triacylglycerol; TC, total cholesterol; TFA, trans fatty acid; TSH, thyroid stimulating hormone; TZD, thiazolidinediones; uPDI, unhealthy plant-based diet index; WBC, white blood cells