***********************
SUPPLEMENTAL DATA S1
LONELINESS AND END OF LIFE EXPERIENCE IN OLDER ADULTS
*******************

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TOPIC	PAGE
MULTIVARIABLE REGRESSION MODELS PREDICTING TOTAL SYMPTOM BURDEN IN T LAST YEAR OF LIFE IN LONELY AND NON-LONELY DECEDENTS WITH MULTIPLE IMPUTATION	THE
Supplemental Table 1	2
SENSITIVITY ANALYSES WITHOUT MULTIPLE IMPUTATION Supplemental Table 2 Supplemental Table 3	3 4

## Supplemental Table 1: Multivariable regression models predicting total symptom burden<sup>a</sup> in the last year of life in lonely versus non-lonely decedents with imputed covariates (n=2896)

	Unadjusted		Model 1 <sup>b</sup>		Model 2 <sup>c</sup>		Model 3 <sup>d</sup>		Model 4 <sup>e</sup>		Model 5 <sup>f</sup> (n=2452)	
	Beta	P-Value	Beta	P-Value	Beta	P-Value	Beta	P-Value	Beta	P-Value	Beta	P-Value
	(95% CI)		(95% CI)		(95% CI)		(95% CI)		(95% CI)		(95% CI)	
Total	0.26	<0.001	0.19	<0.001	0.11	0.006	0.19	<0.001	0.21	<0.001	0.13	0.004
symptom	(0.19 - 0.34)		(0.12-0.26)		(0.03-0.19)		(0.12-0.27)		(0.13-0.28)		(0.0421)	
burdena												

Note: Bold font indicates statistically significant value (P<0.05)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Composite score for total symptom burden derived from factor analysis, Cronbach's alpha = 0.65.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Model 1: Adjusted for race, age, gender, education, total income, multimorbidity-weighted index

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Model 2: Model 1 + depressive symptoms

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Model 3: Model 1 + family and friends (partner status and "has friends;" note that "has children," "lives within 10 miles of children" and "has other immediate family" were not included since the baseline relationship with loneliness was not significant [Table 1])

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> Model 4: Model 1 + social support (relies on spouse, relies on children, relies on friends)

f Model 5: Model 2 + family and friends (partner status, has friends) + social support (relies on spouse, relies on children, relies on friends)

## Supplemental Table 2: Multivariable regression models predicting total symptom burden in the last year of life in lonely versus non-lonely decedents without imputed covariates

	Unadjustd (n=2896)		Model 1 <sup>b</sup> (n=2877)		Model 2 <sup>c</sup> (n= n=2695)		Model 3 <sup>d</sup> (n=2772)		Model 4 <sup>e</sup> (n=2670)		Model 5 <sup>f</sup> (n=2452)	
	Beta	P-Value	Beta	P-Value	Beta	P-Value	Beta	P-Value	Beta	P-Value	Beta	P-Value
	(95% CI)		(95% CI)		(95% CI)		(95% CI)		(95% CI)		(95% CI)	
Total	0.26	<0.001	0.19	<0.001	0.10	0.02	0.19	<0.001	0.22	<0.001	0.12	0.02
symptom	(0.18-0.34)		(0.12-0.27)		(0.02-0.18)		(0.11-0.27)		(0.14-0.31)		(0.02-0.22)	
Burdena												

Note: Bold font indicates statistically significant value (P<0.05)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Composite score for total symptom burden derived from factor analysis, Cronbach's alpha = 0.65.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Model 1: Adjusted for race, age, gender, education, total income, multimorbidity weighted index

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Model 2: Model 1 + depressive symptoms

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Model 3: Model 1 + family and friends (partner status and "has friends;" note that "has children," "lives within 10 miles of children" and "has other immediate family" were not included since the baseline relationship with loneliness was not significant [Table 1])

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> Model 4: Model 1 + social support (relies on spouse, relies on children, relies on friends)

f Model 5: Model 2 + family and friends (partner status, has friends) + social support (relies on spouse, relies on children, relies on friends)

## Supplemental Table 3: Relationship of loneliness to measures of intense care, healthcare utilization, and advance care planning at end of life without imputed covariates

Outcome	Adjusted <sup>b</sup> Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P-Value
Measures of Intense EOL Care	,	
3 or less days in hospice	0.90 (0.69-1.10)	0.43
(n=1139)		
Death location (n=2481)		
Home	Ref	Ref
Hospital	1.16 (0.89-1.51)	0.24
Nursing home	1.50 (1.03-2.19)	0.03
Spent time in ICU in last 2 years of life (n=904)	1.08 (0.84-1.40)	0.53
Used life support equipment in	1.38 (1.06-1.79)	0.02
last 2 years of life (n=1949) Dialysis in last 2 years of life (n=1968)	0.74 (0.41-1.31)	0.30
Other Healthcare Utilization in Las	t 2 years of Life	
Hospital nights, IRR <sup>a</sup> (n=1740)	0.96 (0.68-1.36)	0.82
Nursing home nights, IRR	0.78 (0.42-1.45)	0.41
(n=365)		
Advance Care Planning	1 00 (0 00 1 01)	0.00
Discussed EOL care (n=2460)	1.06 (0.83-1.34)	0.63
Assigned durable power of attorney (n=2417)	1.09 (0.82-1.44)	0.54
Written EOL care instructions (n=2440)	0.97 (0.78-1.21)	0.79
Subject participated in EOL decisions (n=1051)	0.91 (0.64-1.30)	0.65

Note: Bold font indicates statistically significant value (P<0.05)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> IRR: Incidence risk ratio

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Adjusted for race, age, gender, education, total income, multimorbidity-weighted index, depressive symptoms, family and friends (partner status, has friends), social support (relies on spouse, relies on children, relies on friends)