Supplementary Information for

Insect herbivory dampens Subarctic birch forest C sink response to warming

Silfver et al.

Supplementary Figure 1. The distribution of R^2 values of linear regressions used in the CO_2 flux calculation. Online, fast-response (~1 Hz) sampling of concentration changes in the chamber facilitates the calculation procedure due to a large number of data points, resulting in a small measurement error. The vast majority of $R²$ values for the Picarro G2401-based measurements were >0.95 . With the Vaisala GMP343-based system, the R^2 values were lower, especially with the low fluxes during autumn. However, the lower $R²$ values were mostly due to the random analyzer noise and only 12 of the 1020 linear regressions fitted to the data had a *P* > 0.05 (with two-sided significance tests). All of these cases were related to a small CO_2 flux (-0.009 to 0.004 mg CO_2 m⁻² s⁻¹). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Supplementary Figure 2. Scatterplots of net ecosystem exchange NEE fluxes with and without dilution correction in the data recorded using the Vaisala GMP343 analyzer for **a** negative and **b** positive fluxes. The CO² mixing ratios measured using the Picarro G2401 are internally corrected for water vapour. In the GMP343-based system, we measured the relative humidity inside the chamber, but the sensor broke down during the measurement period. As shown here, the dilution effect is very limited and no correction was applied to these data. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

b

Supplementary Figure 3. Measured gross primary production GPP versus the GPP calculated using the fitted α and GP_{max} values. These values were used for determining the constant GP_{max}/ α ratio, which was then used for standardizing the fluxes at $PAR = 800 \mu$ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. The ratio was determined as the median from those α and GP_{max} values estimated from the PAR response measurements that included three or four light levels and had the highest $PAR > 800 \mu$ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. The four-level cases are shown in the figure. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Supplementary Figure 4. The measured GPP versus the GPP calculated using the constant GP_{max}/ α ratio (of 203 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) and the α parameter estimated for each PAR response measurement. The figure shows all cases in which three or four light levels were available. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Supplementary Figure 5. Measured GPP versus the predicted GPP at the highest radiation level, based on a response function fit in which this data point was excluded when estimating the α parameter. This illustrates the uncertainty related to extrapolation of the PAR response beyond the highest light level observed. All data with at least three light levels and the highest PAR within 500–1100 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ were included. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Supplementary Figure 6. GPP₈₀₀ calculated with fits based on two free parameters (α and GP_{max}) versus the GPP₈₀₀ calculated with fits based on one free parameter (α) and a fixed α /GP_{max} ratio. This illustrates the uncertainty related to using a constant *α*/GP_{max} in flux standardization. The data include the cases that were used for determining the fixed *α*/GP_{max}. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Supplementary Table 1. The mean percentage (s.e.m.) of leaves that belonged to the four damage categories (0, 1–4, 5–20 and > 20% of leaf area damaged) in *Betula* **plantlets and the mean damage index (s.e.m.) calculated from these values in the four treatment combinations in 2017 (** $n = 57-60$ **per treatment) and 2019 (** $n = 44-55$ **).**

Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Supplementary Table 2. Statistics of warming (ambient and $+3$ °C) and **herbivory (normal and reduced) effects on leaf damage index in the additional 2019 survey (***N* **= 193).**

The data was log-transformed and analyzed using linear mixed models and Type I Anova (with two-sided significance tests). Soil OM content and the areal cover of vascular plants, mosses and lichens were treated as covariates and added to the model to remove plot-to-plot variation that might otherwise confound the treatment effects. Field replicate block and birch genotype (nested within species) were included in the model as random effects, but are not reported. Values of *P* < 0.05 are in bold.

Supplementary Table 3. Statistics of warming (ambient and +3 °C) and herbivory (normal and reduced) effects on bud break timing and the relative growth of the experimental birch plantlets in 2017 and 2018 (*N* **= 232–239 for each year).**

The data were analyzed using repeated measures linear mixed models and Type I Anova (with two-sided significance tests). Year was treated in the models as a repeated measure. Soil OM content and the areal cover of vascular plants, mosses and lichens were treated as covariates and added to models to remove plot-to-plot variation that might otherwise confound the treatment effects (but omitted from the final model if redundant). Field replicate block and birch genotype (nested within species) were included in the models as random effects, but are not reported. Relative growth was log-transformed before analysis. Values of *P* < 0.05 are in bold.

Supplementary Table 4. Statistics of warming (ambient and +3 °C) and herbivory (normal and reduced) effects on the summer and autumn leaf chlorophyll content of the experimental birch plantlets in 2017–2018.

The data were analyzed using repeated linear mixed models and Type I Anova (with two-sided significance tests). Date was treated in the models as a repeated measure (2–3 dates for summers, 12 –10 dates for autumns, $N = 234$ –235 for 2017, $N = 181$ –235 for 2018). Soil OM content and the areal cover of vascular plants, mosses and lichens were treated as covariates and added to models to remove plot-to-plot variation that might otherwise confound the treatment effects. Field replicate block and birch genotype (nested within species) were included in the models as random effects, but are not reported. Values of *P* < 0.05 are in bold.

Supplementary Table 5. Statistics of warming (ambient and +3 °C) and herbivory (normal and reduced) effects on (a) the resin capture of soil mineral N $(\text{sum of NH4}^+ \text{ and NO3}^-)$ and $(\text{b}) \text{ soil}$ **microbial biomass carbon (MBC).**

Data were analyzed using repeated measures linear mixed models and Type I Anova (with two-sided significance tests). Year (mineral N data, *n* = 20 for each year) and soil layer (three layers, MBC data, *n* = 20 for each layer) were treated in the models as repeated measures. Soil OM content and the areal cover of vascular plants, mosses and lichens were treated as covariates and added to models to remove plot-to-plot variation that might otherwise confound the treatment effects (but omitted from the final model if redundant). Field replicate block was included in the models as a random effect, but is not reported. Mineral N was log-transformed and MBC square-root transformed before analysis. Values of $P < 0.05$ are in bold.

Supplementary Table 6. Monthly mean air temperature and precipitation during the May–November warming period in the study years 2017 and 2018 and in the reference years 1981–2010.

Data from Kevo weather station (Finnish Meteorological Institute), ca. 200 m from the study site.

Supplementary Table 7. Statistics of warming (ambient and +3 °C) and herbivory (normal and reduced) on soil moisture in 2017 and 2018.

The data were analyzed using repeated measures linear mixed models and Type I Anova (with two-sided significance tests). Date was treated in the models as a repeated measure (four and six dates for 2017 and 2018 respectively, *n* = 20 for each date). Soil OM content and the areal cover of vascular plants, mosses and lichens were treated as covariates and added to models to remove plot-toplot variation that might otherwise confound the treatment effects. Field replicate block was included in the models as a random effect, but is not reported. Values of $P < 0.05$ are in bold.

Supplementary Table 8. Statistics of herbivory (normal and reduced) and warming (ambient and +3 °C) effects on net ecosystem CO² exchange (NEE) using different PAR levels for standardizing the fluxes.

Treatment effects on net ecosystem exchange (NEE₁₂₀₀, NEE₈₀₀, NEE₃₀₀) were tested using repeated measures linear mixed models and Type I ANOVA (with two-sided significance tests), where the variance is allocated to explanatory variables in the order of their appearance. Soil organic matter (OM) content and cover of vascular plants, lichens and mosses are continuous variables that describe the variation among the experimental plots prior to the establishment of the experiment. They were used in the models as covariates to remove plot-to-plot variation that might otherwise confound the treatment effects. Years were analyzed separately $(N = 100$ for 2017, $N = 240$ for 2018). Date was treated as a repeated measure, warming and herbivory reduction as fixed effects and treatment block (not reported) as a random effect. *F* and *P* indicate *F*-statistics and *P*-values respectively; *P* < 0.05 are in bold. As GPP₁₂₀₀ and GPP₃₀₀ are proportional to GPP₈₀₀, they produce the same results as GPP₈₀₀ (Table 2). Values of $P < 0.05$ are in bold. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.