Supplementary Material*

Mackey K, King VJ, Gurley S, Kiefer M, Liederbauer E, Vela K, Sonnen P, Kansagara D. Risks and Impact of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors or Angiotensin-Receptor Blockers on SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Adults. A Living Systematic Review. Ann Intern Med. 19 May 2020 [Epub ahead of print]. doi:10.7326/M20-1515

Supplement. Supplemental Appendices

Appendix A. Search Strategy

Appendix B. Quality Assessment of Cohort Studies Using the Newcastle–Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale

Appendix C. Quality Assessment of Case–Control Studies Using the Newcastle–Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale

^{*} This supplementary material was provided by the authors to give readers further details on their article. The material was reviewed but not copyedited.

Appendix A: Search Strategy

Sear	ch for primary literature							
	LINE [Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed							
	ions, Daily and Versions(R) 1946							
#	Search Statement							
1	Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus/ or SARS Virus/							
2	(Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 or COVID-19 or COVID19 or novel coronavirus or coronavirus or corona virus or SARS-CoV or SARS-CoV-2 or SARS2 or 2019-nCoV or Middle East Respiratory Syndrome or MERS or MERS virus or MERS viruses or MERS-CoV or Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome or SARS or SARS-CoV or SARS coronavirus).ti,ab,kf.							
3	1 or 2							
4	Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors/ or exp Angiotensin Receptor Antagonists/							
5	(angtiotensin?converting enzyme inhibitor\$1 or angiotensin receptor antagonist\$1 angiotensin receptor blocker\$1 or ACE?I or ARB\$1).ti,ab,kf							
6	4 or 5							
7	Thiazides/							
8	(thiazide\$1).ti,ab,kf							
9	7 or 8							
10	6 or 9							
11 12	3 and 10							
	limit 11 to years 2003-current							
#	Search Statement							
1	MeSH descriptor: [Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus] this term only							
2	MeSH descriptor: [SARS Virus] this term only							
3	(Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 or COVID-19 or COVID19 or novel coronavirus or coronavirus or corona virus or SARS-CoV or SARS-CoV-2 or SARS2 or Middle East Respiratory Syndrome or MERS or MERS virus or MERS viruses or MERS-CoV or Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome or SARS or SARS-CoV or SARS coronavirus):ti,ab,kw							
4	#1 OR #2 OR #3							
5	MeSH descriptor: [Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors] this term only							
6	MeSH descriptor: [Angiotensin Receptor Antagonists] explode all trees							
7	(angtiotensin?converting enzyme inhibitor? or angiotensin receptor antagonist? angiotensin receptor blocker? or ACE?I or ARB?):ti,ab,kw							
8	#5 OR #6 OR #7							
9	MeSH descriptor: [Thiazides] this term only							
10	(thiazide?):ti,ab,kw							
11	#9 OR #10							
12 13	#8 OR #11 #4 AND #12							
#	ClinicalTrials.gov # Search Statement							
1	Condition or disease: Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome OR Middle East Respiratory Syndrome OR COVID-19 OR SARS OR MERS OR CoV OR coronavirus							
2	Other terms: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors OR angiotensin receptor antagonists OR ACE-I OR ARBs OR angiotensin receptor blocker OR thiazides							
3	#1 AND #2							
ı	I.							

WHO database of publications on coronavirus disease (COVID-19)						
#	Search Statement					
1	angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors					
2	angiotensin receptor antagonists					
3	thiazides					
med	medRxiv.org					
#	Search Statement					
1	angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors					
2	angiotensin receptor antagonists					

Appendix B: Quality Assessment of Cohort Studies using the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale (26)

	Selection				Comparability	Outcome		
Author (all year 2020)	Representativeness of the exposed cohort*	Selection of the non- exposed cohort*	Ascertainment of exposure*	Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study, OR baseline assessment*	Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis*	Assessment of outcome*	Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur?*	Adequacy of follow up of cohorts*
Bean (29)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Feng (30)	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
Li (31)	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1
Liu (32)	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1
Mehra (34)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Meng (35)	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
Rentsch (36)	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
Reynolds (37)	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
Rossi (38)	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
Yang (39)	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
Peng (40)	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
Zeng (41)	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1
Zhang (42)	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1

^{*}Key: Newcastle-Ottawa QA scale for Cohort studies

Representativeness of the exposed cohort

Enter 0 or 1:

^{1 =} truly representative of the average __ in the community

- 1 = somewhat representative of the average ___ in the community
 0 = selected group of users, e.g., nurses, volunteers
 0 = no description of the derivation of the cohort

Selection of the non-exposed cohort

Enter 0 or 1:

- 1 = drawn from the same community as the exposed cohort
- 0 = drawn from a different source
- 0 = no description of the derivation of the non-exposed cohort

Ascertainment of exposure

Enter 0 or 1:

- 1 = secure record
- 1 = structured interview
- 0 = written self-report
- 0 = no description

Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study, OR baseline assessment

Enter 0 or 1:

- 1= yes
- 0 = no

Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis

Enter 0 or 1:

- 1 = study accounts/controls for most important factors(s), e.g., age
- 0 = no adjustment for potential confounders

Assessment of outcome

Enter 0 or 1:

- 1 = independent blind assessment
- 1 = record linkage
- 0 = self-report
- 0 = no description

Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur?

Enter 0 or 1:

- 1 = yes
- 0 = no or unclear

Adequacy of follow up of cohorts

Enter 0 or 1:

- 1 = complete follow up; all subjects accounted for.
- 1 = subjects lost to follow up unlikely to introduce bias; small number (less than 20%) lost, or description was provided of those lost

0 = follow up rate < 80% and no description of those lost 0 = no description

Appendix C: Quality Assessment of Case-control Studies using the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale (26)

Study	Is the case definition adequate? Enter 0 or 1: 1 = yes, with independent validation 1 = yes, eg record linkage or based on self reports 0 = no description	Representativeness of the cases Enter 0 or 1: 1 = consecutive or obviously representative series of cases 0 = potential for selection biases or not stated	Selection of Controls Enter 0 or 1: 1 = community controls 0 = hospital controls 0 = no description	Definition of Controls Enter 0 or 1: 1 = no history of disease (endpoint) 0 = no description of source	Comparability of cases and controls on the basis of the design or analysis Add points: Minimum 0, Maximum 2 1 = study controls for age, demographic factors 1 = study controls for cardiovascular comorbidities 0 = neither of the above	Ascertainment of exposure Enter 0 or 1: 1 = secure record (eg surgical records) 1 = structured interview where blind to case/control status 0 = interview not blinded to case/control status 0 = written self report or medical record only 0 = no description	Same method of ascertainment for cases and controls Enter 0 or 1: 1 = yes 0 = no	Non-Response rate Enter 0 or 1: 1 = same rate for both groups 0 = non respondents described 0 = rate different and no designation
(33)			•		_			