

Corresponding author(s):	Elaine Sanij	
Last updated by author(s):	April 11, 2020	

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see <u>Authors & Referees</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

_					
C 1	トつ	11	ist	т.	\sim
- N	_			- 11	

For	all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Confirmed
	\mathbf{x} The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	🗶 A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	X A description of all covariates tested
	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
x	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
×	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
×	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated

 $\textit{Our web collection on } \underline{\textit{statistics for biologists}} \textit{ contains articles on many of the points above}.$

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection

All data in this study was collected via commercial software as described in detail in the appropriate Methods sections. These include a Zeiss ELYRA PS.1 confocal microscope and Olympus BX-61 microscope equipped with a Spot RT camera (model 25.4) and the SPOT Advance (5.6) image acquisition software (Diagnostic Instruments); FACSCanto II (BD Biosciences) and BD FACSAria Fusion 4 (BD Medical Technology) for flow cytometry, Cellomics CX7 for high content imaging, Chemidoc Imaging system (BioRad), iMark™ Microplate Absorbance Reader (BioRad) and IncuCyte ZOOM imaging system (Essen BioScience).

Data analysis

Data analysis in this study is described in detail in the appropriate Methods sections. Publicly available microarray data were analysed via commercial code and/or R-packages, including: the Limma R package (version 3.3.2), GSEA 1000 iterations, the GSVA 53 package (version 1.20.0) in R (version 3.3.2) with details for their specific use described in the Methods section. Other analysis softwares used include GraphPad Prism version 8.0 (GraphPad Software Inc.), Flowlogic software (Version 7.2.1, Inivai Technologies), Cell Profiler version 3.1.9 (Broad Institute), Image J software (1.47v, NIH), SurvivalVolume v1.2, StudyLog software (StudyLog Systems). The source data and the code to reproduce Figures 2A-C, Figure 10 and Supplementary Figure 2 are available in Git-hub as detailed in the data availability section in the article [https://github.com/esanij/CX-5461-sensitivity-signature-in-ovarian-cancer].

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

The gene expression microarray data of the ovarian cancer cell lines is publicly available in GEO (GSE43765). We have provided a complete data availability statement in the article as suggested. Gene expression data from CCLE and ICGC are publicly available from the original sources [https://portals.broadinstitute.org/ccle/data, https://dcc.icgc.org/]. IC50s from the Genomics of Drug Sensitivity database used for Supplementary Figure 2 are also publicly available from [https://www.cancerrxgene.org, version v17.3]. All the databases/datasets used in the study along with appropriately accessible links and accession numbers in the manuscript under the 'Data availability' section as well as in this reporting summary.

There is no restriction on data availability.					
Field-spe	ecific reporting				
x Life sciences	ne below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection. Behavioural & social sciences				
Life scier	nces study design				
All studies must dis	sclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.				
Sample size	N/A				
Data exclusions	No data were excluded from any analyses.				
Replication	All replication attempts were successful. We have used biological (not technical) replicates in this study to demonstrate statistical significance (by a defined methodology). We clearly describe in the manuscript text, relevant Methods sections and Figure Legends precisely how we chose biological replicates (i.e. animal numbers or independent repeats of in vitro experiments). For example, for the western blot assays and proliferation assays, we have shown representative data in the main figure but we have performed at least three independent experiments.				
Randomization	Tumour bearing mice were randomly assigned to treatment groups.				
Blinding	Section Animal studies (treatment of PDXs) on page 24				
•	g for specific materials, systems and methods on from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material,				
•	ted is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.				
Materials & ex	perimental systems Methods n/a Involved in the study				
Antibodies	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
x Eukaryotic	cell lines				
x Palaeonto					
	earch participants				
Clinical da					
Antibodies					
Antibodies used					
Validation	All antibodies used in this study have been validated by manufactures for their specific application and previously reported by our group and other labs, which we reference in the article including Quin et al. Oncotarget 2016, Kondrashova et al., Nature Comms 2018 and Hill et al Cancer Discovery 2018.				
Eukaryotic c	ell lines				
Policy information	about <u>cell lines</u>				
Cell line source(s	Ovarian cancer cell lines were obtained from various sources listed in Supplementary Table 1 or primary citations are referenced to provide this information. FUCCI-labelled cell lines were generated by lentiviral transduction of pCSII-EF-mCherry-hCdt1(30/120) and pCSII-EF-mVenus-hGeminin(1/110) (kindly provided by				
Authentication	Dr. Atsushi Miyawaki, RIKEN, Japan).				

Individuality and the identity of ovarian cell lines were routinely confirmed by a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based short tandem repeat (STR) analysis using six STR loci.

Mycoplasma contamination

Cell lines were maintained in culture for a maximum of 8-10 weeks. Mycoplasma testing was routinely performed by PCR. Cell lines were only used upon confirmation of mycoplasma negative status.

Commonly misidentified lines (See <u>ICLAC</u> register)

Wild animals

Of the 32 ovarian cell lines used in Figure 1A, the following cell lines are listed as commonly misidentified on the ICLAC register (59M, A2780, Caov3 and CH1). Our STR analysis however confirmed their identity. These cell lines were not used for any other experiments. These 4 cell lines were only used in Figure 1A upon STR authentication of their correct identity.

Animals and other organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

Laboratory animals NOD/SCID/IL2Rynull female mice at 6-8 weeks of age were used. Mouse housing conditions are described on page 24.

Provide details on animals observed in or captured in the field; report species, sex and age where possible. Describe how animals No wild animals were used in this study. Were cought and transported and what happened to captive animals after the study (if killed, explain why and describe method; if

released, say where and when) OR state that the study did not involve wild animals.

Field-collected samples

Fond field-collected samples | Fond f

Ethics oversight All experiments involving animals were approved by the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research Animal Ethics Committee.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Human research participants

Policy information about studies involving human research participants

Population characteristics N/A

Kondrashova et al Nat Comms 2018 had established a cohort of ovarian cancer PDXs from from OC patients enrolled in the Recruitment

Australian Ovarian Cancer Study (http://www.aocstudy.org). In the manuscript we utilised 2 PDXs based on their response to

Australian Ovarian Cancer Study (http://www.aocstudy.org). In the manuscript we utilised 2 PDXs based on their response to cisplatin and rucaparib as reported in Kondrashova et al, details in page 14-15.

Ethics oversight The Australian Ovarian Cancer Study and additional ethics approval was obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committees

at the Royal Women's Hospital and the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute, Melbourne. Section "Animal Studies", page 24

Informed consent was obtained from all patients, and all experiments were performed according to the human ethics guidelines.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Flow Cytometry

Plots

Confirm that:

- The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).
- The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).
- | All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.
- 🗶 A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

Methodology

Sample preparation

Cell cycle analysis was performed using 5-bromo-2'- deoxyuridine (BrdU) incorporation and propidium iodide staining. Cells were collected, pelleted and fixed in 80% ice-cold ethanol and stored at 4°C until further processing.

Detached FUCCI-labelled cells were suspended in PBS and fluorescent protein signals was anlaysed.

Instrument FACSCanto II (BD Biosciences)

Software Cell cycle analysis was performed using Flowlogic software (Inivai Technologies) Version 7.2.1

Cell population abundance Over 10,000 cells were registered per measurment

Gating strategy The standard gating strategy included FSC/SSC for cell morphology, FSC-A/FSC-H for single cells, FL1-A (FITC)/ FL3-A (Propidium

lodide) We have provided information and a schematic of gating strategy in Supplementary Figure 3D&E.

x Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.