THE LANCET Respiratory Medicine

Supplementary appendix

This appendix formed part of the original submission and has been peer reviewed. We post it as supplied by the authors.

Supplement to: Hui KPY, Cheung M-C, Perera RAPM, et al. Tropism, replication competence, and innate immune responses of the coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 in human respiratory tract and conjunctiva: an analysis in ex-vivo and in-vitro cultures. *Lancet Respir Med* 2020; published online May 7. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30193-4.

Tropism, replication competence and innate immune responses of the novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 in human respiratory tract and conjunctiva: an analysis in *ex vivo* and *in vitro* cultures

Kenrie PY Hui Ph.D.¹, Man-Chun Cheung M.Sc.¹, Ranawaka APM Perera Ph.D.¹, Ka-Chun Ng B.Sc.¹, Christine HT Bui Ph.D.¹, John CW Ho Ph.D.¹, Mandy MT Ng B.Sc.¹, Denise IT Kuok Ph.D.¹, Kendrick C Shih MBBS², Sai-Wah Tsao Ph.D.³, Leo LM Poon D.Phil.¹, Malik Peiris FRCPath.¹ John M Nicholls FRCPA⁴, Michael CW Chan Ph.D.^{1*}

Supplementary information

Materials and methods

Human alveolar epithelial cells isolation

Primary human alveolar epithelial cells were isolated using human non-malignant lung tissue obtained from patients undergoing lung resection in the Department of Surgery, Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong SAR, under a study approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Hong Kong and Hospital Authority Hong Kong West Cluster. After removing visible bronchi, the lung tissue was minced into pieces of > 0.5 mm thickness using a tissue chopper and washed with balanced salt solution (BSS) containing Hanks' balanced salt solution (Gibco, USA) with 0.7 mM sodium bicarbonate (Gibco, USA) at pH 7.4 three times to partially remove macrophages and blood cells. The tissue was digested using a combination of 0.5% trypsin (Gibco, USA) and 4 U/ml elastase (Worthington Biochemical Corporation, USA) for 40 min at 37°C in a shaking water-bath. The digestion was stopped by adding DMEM/F12 medium (Gibco, USA) with 40% FBS in and DNase I (350 U/ml) (Sigma, USA). Cell clumps were dispersed by repeatedly pipetting the cell suspension for 10 min. A disposable cell strainer (gauze size of 50 µm) (BD Bioscience, USA) was used to separate large undigested tissue fragments. The single cell suspension in the flow-through was centrifuged and resuspended in a 1:1 mixture of DMEM/F12 medium and small airway basal medium (SABM) (Lonza, USA) supplemented with 0.5 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (hEGF), 500 ng/ml epinephrine, 10 µg/ml transferrin, 5 µg/ml insulin, 0.1 ng/ml retinoic acid, 6.5 ng/ml triiodothyronine, 0.5 µg/ml hydrocortisone, 30 µg/ml bovine pituitary extract and 0.5 mg/ml BSA together with 5% FBS and 350 U/ml DNase I. The cell suspension was plated on a tissue culture grade plastic flask (Corning, USA) and incubated in a 37°C water-jacketed incubator with 5% CO₂ supply for 90 min. The non-adherent cells were layered on a discontinuous cold Percoll density gradient (densities 1.089 and 1.040 g/ml) and centrifuged at $25 \times g$ for 20 min without brake. The cell layer at the interface of the two gradients was collected and washed four times with BSS to remove the Percoll. The cell suspension was incubated with magnetic beads coated with anti-CD14 antibodies at room temperature (RT) for 20 min under constant mixing. After the removal of the beads using a magnet (MACS CD14 MicroBeads), cell viability was assessed by trypan-blue exclusion. The purified pneumocyte suspension was resuspended in small airway growth medium (Lonza, USA) supplemented with 1% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin and plated at a cell density of 3×10^5 cells/cm². The cells were maintained in a humidified atmosphere (5% CO₂, 37° C) under liquid-covered conditions and growth medium was changed daily starting from 60 h after plating the cells. When the cell layer approached 75% confluence, the pneumocytes were trypsinised and detached into Hank's buffered saline solution

Isolation and culture of primary human peripheral blood monocyte derived macrophages

Peripheral blood leucocytes were separated from buffy coats of healthy blood donors from the Hong Kong Red Cross Blood Transfusion Service by centrifugation on a Ficoll-Paque density gradient and monocytes were purified by adherence on plastic petri dishes as described previously¹⁻³. Monocytes were seeded onto tissue culture plates in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 5% heat-inactivated autologous plasma. The purity of the monocyte preparations was confirmed by immunostaining for the surface receptor CD14 (BD Biosciences, USA). Cells were allowed to differentiate for 14 days *in vitro* before use. Culture medium was changed to macrophage serum free medium SFM (Gibco, USA) a week before infection.

Infection of human alveolar epithelial cells, peripheral blood monocyte derived macrophages and Caco-2 cells

Cells were infected with viruses at a MOI of 0.1 or two for the analysis of replication kinetics and cytokine and chemokine expression, respectively. DMEM with 2% FBS medium (Gibco, USA) with 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin was used as inoculum in the mock infected cells. The cell cultures were incubated with the virus inoculum for one hour in a water-jacketed 37°C incubator with 5% CO₂. The cells were rinsed three times with warm PBS and replenished with the appropriate growth medium. The infected cells were harvested for mRNA collection at 24 and 48 hpi. SARS-CoV ORF1b,⁴ MERS-CoV UpE,⁵ influenza matrix gene were quantified using qPCR as described.^{6,7}

Viral titration by TCID₅₀ assay

A confluent 96-well tissue culture plate of Vero-E6 or MDCK cells was prepared one day before the virus titration (TCID₅₀) assay. Cells were washed once with PBS and replenished with 2% DMEM or serum-free MEM medium supplemented with 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 μ g/ml streptomycin and 2 μ g/ml of TPCK (tosylsulfonyl phenylalanylchloromethyl ketone) treated trypsin for Vero-E6 and MDCK cells, respectively. Serial dilutions of virus supernatant, from 0.5 log to 7 log, were performed before adding the virus dilutions onto the plates in quadruplicate. The plates were observed for cytopathic effect daily. The end-point of viral dilution leading to CPE in 50% of inoculated wells was estimated using the Karber method.⁸

Immunohistochemical staining

Immunohistochemical staining of the respiratory tract tissue was carried out for the SARS-CoV-2 nucleoprotein as follows. The tissue sections were microwaved in 10 mM citrate buffer pH 6.0 for 15 min to expose antigens, blocked with 10% normal horse serum for 10 min at room temperature (RT). The sections were then incubated with 4D11 antibody for 60 min at RT followed by alkaline phosphatase (AP) conjugated anti-mouse antibody (Vector Laboratory, USA) for 60 min at RT, the sections were developed with VectorRed (VR) (Vector Laboratory, USA) for 3 min. After staining for the first antigen, the sections were blocked with 3% H₂O₂ in TBS for 10 min followed by 10% normal horse serum blocking for 10 min at RT. The sections were incubated with anti-CD68 (Dako), acetylated a Tubulin (Santa Cruz) or MUC5AC (ThermoFisher) for 60 min at RT followed by horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated anti-mouse antibody (Vector Laboratory, USA) for 60 min at RT, and developed with DAB (Vector Laboratory, USA) for 10 min. The nuclei were counterstained with Mayer's Hematoxylin for 10 seconds. After bluing with Scott's tap water, the sections were dehydrated and mounted with Permount. The double staining of SCGB1A1/CC10 (Protein-tech), p63-alpha (Cell Signaling Technology) with 4D11 was performed similarly as mentioned above, except after VR visualization the sections were blocked with 10% normal goat serum, followed by incubating with HRP conjugated anti-rabbit antibody (Vector Laboratory, USA) for 60 min at RT and color developed with DAB.

Real-time PCR assay

The RNA of infected cells were extracted at 24 h post infection using a MiniBEST universal RNA extraction kit (Takara Biotechnology). RNA was reverse-transcribed by using oligo-dT primers with

RT-PCR kit (Takara). mRNA expression of target genes was performed using an ABI ViiATM 7 realtime PCR system (Applied Biosystems). The gene expression profiles of cytokines and chemokines were quantified and normalized with β -actin as previously described^{1,3,9}.



Supplementary Figure 1. Viral replication kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV viruses in *ex vivo* cultures of human respiratory tract, conjunctiva, Caco-2 cells, AECs and macrophages. Data from the *ex vivo* cultures of human (A) bronchus (n=5), (B) lung (n=4), (C) conjunctiva (n=3), *in vitro* cultures of (D) Caco-2 cells (n=3), (E) AECs (n=3) and (F) macrophages (n=3) in Figure 3 A-F respectively are depicted as area under the curve (AUC). Bar-charts show the data at indicated time points denoted as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). *p<0.05. **p<0.01. ***p<0.001.



Supplementary Figure 2. Thermal inactivation curves at 37°C and 33°C.

Virus cultures in tissue culture wells without tissues or cells were incubated at (A) 37 °C, at which human bronchus and lung tissues were incubated, and (B) 33 °C, at which human conjunctiva tissues were incubated. Virus supernatants were harvested at indicated time-points for titration by TCID₅₀ assay to define the thermal inactivation of the virus in the absence of replication. Graphs show the mean virus titer from three independent experiments \pm standard deviation (SD). The horizontal dotted line denotes the limit of detection in the TCID₅₀ assay.

Patient	Tissues used	Age	Gender	Smoking behaviour	Diagnoses
1	Bronchus and lung	75	F	Non-smoker	Lung cancer
2	Bronchus	44	Μ	Unknown	Lung cancer
3	Bronchus and lung	67	Μ	Ex-smoker	Lung cancer
4	Bronchus and lung	60	Μ	Non-smoker	Lung cancer
5	Bronchus and lung	64	F	Non-smoker	Lung cancer
6	Conjunctiva	70	F	Unknown	pterygium, cataract
7	Conjunctiva	57	F	Unknown	pterygium
8	Conjunctiva	85	F	Unknown	pterygium, cataract

Supplementary Table 1. Tissue donor information

Supplementary Table 2. Exact p values for Figure 3											
Figure	3A (B	ronchus)	3B (Lu	ng)	Figure	3D (Ca	co-2	3E (AE	Cs)	3F (Ma	crophage)
	Sum.	P Value	Sum.	P Value		Sum.	P Value	Sum.	P Value	Sum.	P Value
1h					1h						
MCoV vs. SCoV2	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999	MCoV vs. SCoV2	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999
MCoV vs. SCoV	ns	0.5435	ns	>0.9999	MCoV vs. SCoV	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999
MCoV vs. H1N1pdm	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999	MCoV vs. H1N1pdm	ns	0.5113	ns	>0.9999	****	<0.0001
SCoV2 vs. SCoV	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999	MCoV vs. H5N1	ns	0.4958	ns	0.6731	****	<0.0001
SCoV2 vs. H1N1pdm	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999	SCoV2 vs. SCoV	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999	ns	0.426
SCoV vs. H1N1pdm	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999	SCoV2 vs. H1N1pdm	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999	****	<0.0001
					SCoV2 vs. H5N1	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999	**	0.0072
24h					SCoV vs. H1N1pdm	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999	****	< 0.0001
MCoV vs. SCoV2	ns	>0.9999	ns	0.0799	SCoV vs. H5N1	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999	****	< 0.0001
MCoV vs. SCoV	ns	>0.9999	ns	0.4713	H1N1pdm vs. H5N1	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999	****	< 0.0001
MCoV vs. H1N1pdm	*	0.0174	ns	>0.9999	•						
SCoV2 vs. SCoV	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999	24h						
SCoV2 vs. H1N1pdm	**	0.0056	ns	>0.9999	MCoV vs. SCoV2	ns	0.0879	ns	>0.9999	****	< 0.0001
SCoV vs. H1N1pdm	*	0.011	ns	>0 9999	MCoV vs. SCoV	**	0.0042	ns	>0 9999	****	<0.0001
		0.011	110	20.0000	MCoV vs H1N1pdm	****	<0.001	***	0.0007	****	<0.0001
48h					MCoV vs H5N1	ns	0 9788	****	<0.0001	****	<0.0001
MCoV vs SCoV2	nc	>0.0000	****	-0.0001	SCOV2 VS. FISITI	nc	>0.0700	200	>0.0000	20	>0.0000
MCoV vs. SCoV2	nc	20.3333	**	0.0045	SCOV2 vs. U1N1ndm	*	20.3333	***	20.3333	****	~0.0001
MCoV vs. 300v	115	0.0393	***	0.0045	SCOV2 vs. HTM pull	20	0.0499 >0.0000	****	<0.0003	****	<0.0001
	115	0.2411		0.0009	SCOVZ VS. HJINI	115	>0.9999	***	<0.0001	****	<0.0001
	ns *	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999		ns	0.555	****	0.0002	****	<0.0001
SCOV2 vs. HINIDOM	***	0.0232	ns	>0.9999	SCOV VS. H5N1	ns *	>0.9999	****	<0.0001	****	<0.0001
SCOV VS. H1N1pam		0.0002	ns	>0.9999	H1N1pdm vs. H5N1	<u> </u>	0.0223		<0.0001		<0.0001
701-					401-						
72n					48n						
MCoV vs. SCoV2	ns	>0.9999	•	0.044	MCoV vs. SCoV2		< 0.0001	ns	>0.9999	****	< 0.0001
MCoV vs. SCoV	·	0.0427	ns	0.1665	MCoV vs. SCoV		<0.0001	ns	>0.9999		<0.0001
MCoV vs. H1N1pdm	ns	>0.9999	**	0.0017	MCoV vs. H1N1pdm	****	<0.0001	****	<0.0001	****	<0.0001
SCoV2 vs. SCoV	*	0.047	ns	>0.9999	MCoV vs. H5N1	**	0.0015	****	<0.0001	****	<0.0001
SCoV2 vs. H1N1pdm	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999	SCoV2 vs. SCoV	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999
SCoV vs. H1N1pdm	ns	0.0921	ns	0.5811	SCoV2 vs. H1N1pdm	ns	>0.9999	****	<0.0001	****	<0.0001
					SCoV2 vs. H5N1	ns	>0.9999	****	<0.0001	****	<0.0001
96h					SCoV vs. H1N1pdm	ns	>0.9999	****	<0.0001	****	<0.0001
MCoV vs. SCoV2	ns	>0.9999	ns	0.1148	SCoV vs. H5N1	ns	>0.9999	****	<0.0001	****	<0.0001
MCoV vs. SCoV	****	<0.0001	ns	0.2505	H1N1pdm vs. H5N1	ns	>0.9999	****	<0.0001	****	<0.0001
MCoV vs. H1N1pdm	ns	>0.9999	***	0.0002							
SCoV2 vs. SCoV	**	0.0017	ns	>0.9999	72h						
SCoV2 vs. H1N1pdm	ns	>0.9999	ns	0.1285	MCoV vs. SCoV2	*	0.0174	ns	>0.9999	****	<0.0001
SCoV vs. H1N1pdm	*	0.0332	ns	0.0949	MCoV vs. SCoV	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999	****	< 0.0001
•					MCoV vs. H1N1pdm	*	0.0222	****	< 0.0001	****	< 0.0001
Figure	3C (C	conjunctiva)			MCoV vs. H5N1	ns	0.2099	****	< 0.0001	****	< 0.0001
-	Sum.	P Value			SCoV2 vs. SCoV	ns	0.9631	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0.9999
SCoV2 - SCoV					SCoV2 vs. H1N1pdm	ns	>0.9999	****	< 0.0001	****	< 0.0001
1h	ns	>0.9999			SCoV2 vs. H5N1	ns	>0.9999	****	< 0.0001	****	< 0.0001
24h	ns	0.9644			SCoV vs. H1N1pdm	ns	0 9454	****	<0.0001	****	<0.0001
48h	**	0.0017			SCoV vs. H5N1	ns	>0 9999	****	<0.0001	****	<0.0001
		0.0011			H1N1pdm vs H5N1	ns	>0.9999	ns	>0 9999	****	<0.0001
						110	20.0000	110	20.0000		\$0.0001
					96h						
					MCoV vs. SCoV2			ns	0 7809	****	<0.0001
					MCoV vs. SCoV			ns	0 7809	****	
					MCoV vs. H1N1ndm			ns	0.2837	****	
						-		****	~0.0001	****	
					SCOV2VE SCOV			ne		ne	
					6CoV2 vs. 300v			**	>0.99999	****	20.3333
					SCOV2 VS. HINIPOM			****	-0.003	****	<0.0001
								**	<0.0001	****	<0.0001
					SCOV VS. HINIPOM			****	0.003	****	<0.0001
					SCOV VS. H5N1			****	<0.0001	****	<0.0001
					H1N1pdm vs. H5N1				<0.0001		<0.0001

References

1. Hui KP, Lee SM, Cheung CY, et al. Induction of proinflammatory cytokines in primary human macrophages by influenza A virus (H5N1) is selectively regulated by IFN regulatory factor 3 and p38 MAPK. *Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md : 1950)* 2009; **182**(2): 1088-98.

2. Cheung CY, Poon LL, Lau AS, et al. Induction of proinflammatory cytokines in human macrophages by influenza A (H5N1) viruses: a mechanism for the unusual severity of human disease? *Lancet* 2002; **360**(9348): 1831-7.

3. Hui KP, Lee SM, Cheung CY, et al. H5N1 influenza virus-induced mediators upregulate RIG-I in uninfected cells by paracrine effects contributing to amplified cytokine cascades. *The Journal of infectious diseases* 2011; **204**(12): 1866-78.

4. Chu DKW, Pan Y, Cheng SMS, et al. Molecular Diagnosis of a Novel Coronavirus (2019nCoV) Causing an Outbreak of Pneumonia. *Clinical chemistry* 2020.

5. Chu DKW, Hui KPY, Perera R, et al. MERS coronaviruses from camels in Africa exhibit region-dependent genetic diversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2018; **115**(12): 3144-9.

6. Chan MC, Cheung CY, Chui WH, et al. Proinflammatory cytokine responses induced by influenza A (H5N1) viruses in primary human alveolar and bronchial epithelial cells. *Respir Res* 2005; **6**: 135.

7. Chan MC, Chan RW, Yu WC, et al. Influenza H5N1 virus infection of polarized human alveolar epithelial cells and lung microvascular endothelial cells. *Respir Res* 2009; **10**(1): 102.

8. Karber G. 50% end-point calculation. *Arch Exp Pathol Pharmak* 1931; **162**: 480-3.

9. Chan RW, Chan MC, Wong AC, et al. DAS181 inhibits H5N1 influenza virus infection of human lung tissues. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009; **53**(9): 3935-41.