natureresearch

Corresponding author(s):	Ittai Ben-Porath
Last updated by author(s):	Feb 18, 2020

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see <u>Authors & Referees</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics							
For all statistical analys	es, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.						
n/a Confirmed							
☐ ☐ The exact san	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement						
A statement of	on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly						
The statistical Only common t	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.						
A description	of all covariates tested						
A description	of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons						
A full descript AND variation	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)						
For null hypot	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted Give <i>P</i> values as exact values whenever suitable.						
For Bayesian	analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings						
For hierarchic	cal and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes						
Estimates of e	effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d , Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated						
	Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.						
Software and o	code						
Policy information abo	ut <u>availability of computer code</u>						
Data collection	Microscopic Image collection: NIS elements BR (Nikon) V. 3.0, FV10-ASW v. 4.2. FACS: FACSDiva (BD) v. 8.0.1						
Data analysis	mRNA seq analysis: R: v. 3.5.2, Cutadapt v. 1.18, Tophat2 v. 2.1.1, DESeq2 v. 1.22.1. Metascape (https://metascape.org). FACS analysis: FCS Express v. 4						
	om algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.						
Data							
- Accession codes, un - A list of figures that	ut <u>availability of data</u> include a <u>data availability statement</u> . This statement should provide the following information, where applicable: ique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets have associated raw data restrictions on data availability						
Gene expression data inc	cluded in this study is deposited at the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) , GSE146979, publicly available.						
Field-speci	ific reporting						
Please select the one b	below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.						
☐ Behavioural & social sciences ☐ Fcological evolutionary & environmental sciences							

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size

Mouse experiments were conducted using sibling cohorts, and sample size was therefore primarily determined by litter size and genotype distribution. Where necessary several independent mouse cohorts where combined to obtain statistically sufficient n numbers. For other experiments no statistical method was used for precalculation of sample sizes, and replicate numbers were defined based on standard practices accepted for experimentation of this type, typically using 3 to 6 replicates.

Data exclusions

One mouse papilloma showing an extreme outlier gene expression profile was excluded from the expression profile comparisons, otherwise no samples were excluded.

Replication

All experiments were performed independently at least twice, and were successfully replicated. The FACS analysis of K10+ and hair follicle stem cell percentage experiment was conducted once due to validation through complementary methods. The co-induction experiment of Tcf3+p16 was conducted once due to the complexity of obtaining triple-transgenic mouse cohorts and the length of the experiment.

Randomization

All mouse experiments were conducted on sibling mouse litters which were caged together and co-treated, with no separation by genotype or other parameter. All long-term experiments were conducted on single gender mice as described, to avoid gender influence. No other experiments involved sample group assignment.

Blinding

Experiments were largely done without blinding. The potential for blinding was limited by the need to assign genotypes to animals, and by distinct features of control and experimental groups.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems		Methods		
	n/a	Involved in the study	n/a Involved in the study	
		Antibodies	\boxtimes	ChIP-seq
		🔀 Eukaryotic cell lines		
	\boxtimes	Palaeontology	\boxtimes	MRI-based neuroimaging
		Animals and other organisms		
		Human research participants		
	\boxtimes	Clinical data		

Antibodies

Antibodies used

Antibodies used: human p16INK4a (Abcam, ab108349, 1:1000), human p16INK4a (BD Pharmingen, 551153, 1:200), p-Rb (Santa Cruz, sc-16669-R, 1:200), Ki67 (Abcam, ab16667, 1:200), BrdU (Bio-Rad, MCA2060,1:200), Mcm7 (Santa Cruz, sc-9966, 1;200), E-Cadherin (BD Pharmingen, 610182, 1:100), K14 (Progen, GP-CK14, 1:300), K10 (Santa Cruz, sc-23877, 1:300), K5 (Progen, GP-CK5), Rb (Santa Cruz, sc-102), CyclinD1 (Abcam, ab16663), beta-catenin (BD Pharmingen, 610153, 1:300), Tcf1 (Invitrogen, MA5-14965, 1:100), Tcf3 (Santa Cruz, sc-8635, 1:100), and CD44 (eBioscience, 14-0441-82, 1:100), Vimentin (Cell Signaling, 5741, 1:200), CD3 (Bio-Rad, MCA1477, 1:200), F4/80 (Bio-Rad, MCA497, 1:200). CD34 (BD Bioscience, 562608, 1:200), Sca-1 (Biolegend, 108113, 1:300), CD49f (eBioscience, 17-0495-80,1:200), CD45 (eBioscience, 25-0451-82, 1:1500), CD140a (eBioscience, 17-1401-81, 1:300), CD31 (eBioscience, 17-0311-80, 1:300).

Validation

All primary antibodies were verified to be validated by the manufacturer for species specificity and the application used.

Eukaryotic cell lines

- 11			1 .	100	4.4
Policy	intorn	nation	about	cell	lines

Cell line source(s)

Primary mouse keratinocytes were generated according to described procedures by Dr. Chen Luxenburg.

Authentication

No further authentication was conducted on primary mouse cells.

Mycoplasma contamination

Cells were routinely tested for mycoplasma and found mycoplasma free in all cases.

Commonly misidentified lines (See ICLAC register)

Were not used in this study.

Animals and other organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

Laboratory animals

The following transgenic mouse lines were crossed as indicated: tet-p16 (mixed C57BI6 and 129sv), K5-rtTA (FVB), tet-GFP (mixed C57Bl6 and 129sv) and tet-Tcf3 (FVB). For transgene induction mice received 2mg/mL doxycycline (Bio Basic) in their drinking water starting at the age of 3 weeks, and treatment was maintained for the indicated durations. In all experiments, the experimental and control mouse groups were siblings grown and treated together. For short-term inductions (up to 2 weeks), both males and females were used; for inductions of 6 months and wound-healing assays all presented results are from male mice, whereas for papilloma formation assays only data of females is shown, due to higher average lesion formation rates. All experiments were initiated in 3 week-old mice and continued as indicated up to 6 months. Animals were housed in specific pathogen free conditions, at 20-24°C, 30-70% humidity and a 12:12 hour light-dark cycle.

Wild animals

Were not used in this study.

Field-collected samples

Not conducted.

Ethics oversight

Animal procedures were approved by the Hebrew University Animal Care and Use Committee.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Human research participants

Policy information about studies involving human research participants

Population characteristics

A total of 72 human actinic keratosis lesions, surgically resected, were collected in three medical centers: 19 cases were obtained from the laboratory of Histology-Embryology, Athens Medical School; 42 lesions from 35 patients were obtained from the University of Arizona, USA; 11 cases were obtained from the Hadassah Medical Center, Jerusalem, Israel. All slides underwent pathologist review to verify lesion type. Patients were aged 38 to 92, with equal representation of males and females. These covariates did not influence scoring.

Recruitment

All patient material available was included in the analysis, with no selection or biases introduced that may influence scoring.

Ethics oversight

Clinical sample collection and their experimental use were approved by the Internal Review Boards of the respective institutions: the Bio-Ethics Committee of Athens Medical School, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and local laws and regulations, following written consent from patients; the University of Arizona Institutional Review Board protocol, with patient informed consent, and the Hadassah Medical Center Helsinki Committee, with approved waiver of patient consent for usage of fully anonymized archival specimens.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Flow Cytometry

Plots

Confirm that:

The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).

The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).

All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.

A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

Methodology

Sample preparation

For dissociation of mouse epidermal dorsal skins, tissues were shaved and excised, and adipose and muscle tissues were removed. The skin was then incubated with 0.25% trypsin solution overnight at 4°C, and the epidermis was scraped off, minced and suspended in a trypsin/PBS with 0.5% BSA solution.

For dissociation of mouse epidermal ear skins, tissue were obtained by digestion with 2mg/mL Dispase II (Roche Diagnostics) in PBS solution for 40 minutes at 37°C, and treated with 2mg/mL collagenase type II (Worthington Biochemicals) and 1mg/mL DNase I (Roche) in PBS with 2% fetal calf serum (FCS) for 25 minutes at 37°C. Samples were then incubated with 0.5M EDTA for 10 minutes. Cells were treated with fixation and permeabilization solution (BD Pharmingen) for K10 staining.

Single cell suspensions were stained later on with primary anti-mouse antibodies.

Instrument

BD FACS Aria II was used for sorting, and a Miltenyi Biotec MACSQuant Analyzer was used for flow cytometry analysis.

Software

Data analysis was done using FCS Express software (version 4)

Cell population abundance

Purity of sorted epidermal cells for profiling was determined using RT-PCR.

Gating strategy

A figure describing gating strategy sorting cells is provided in the supplementary information. Boundaries between positive and negative staining was determined depending on single stains for each antibody.

X Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.