

# Accurate and robust segmentation of neuroanatomy in T1-weighted MRI by combining spatial priors with deep convolutional neural networks

Philip Novosad<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Vladimir Fonov<sup>a,b</sup>, D. Louis Collins<sup>a,b</sup>, and Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative<sup>1</sup>

\*Corresponding author at: McConnell Brain Imaging Centre, Montreal Neurological Institute, McGill University, Montreal, Canada. E-mail address:  
philip.novosad@mail.mcgill.ca

<sup>a</sup>McConnell Brain Imaging Centre, Montreal Neurological Institute, McGill University, Montreal, Canada

<sup>b</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, McGill University, Montreal, Canada

<sup>1</sup>Data used in preparation of this article were obtained from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database ([adni.loni.usc.edu](http://adni.loni.usc.edu)). As such, the investigators within the ADNI contributed to the design and implementation of ADNI and/or provided data but did not participate in analysis or writing of this report. A complete listing of ADNI investigators can be found at [http://adni.loni.usc.edu/wp-content/uploads/how\\_to\\_apply/ADNI\\_Acknowledgement\\_List.pdf](http://adni.loni.usc.edu/wp-content/uploads/how_to_apply/ADNI_Acknowledgement_List.pdf).

## 1 Supplementary material

Tables 9 and 10 summarize the reliability and accuracy of the compared segmentation methods in the OASIS scan-rescan dataset using the original manual reference segmentations provided by Neuromorphometrics (i.e. without median filtering). All networks were re-trained on the original labels, and all performance metrics in Table 10 were re-calculated using the original unfiltered labels as the references. Training on the original labels had little effect on the reproducibility of the CNN-based methods. However, using the original manual labels resulted in a drop in the reliability estimates of manual labelling, from a mean Dice of 86.9% over all structures (using median filtering) to a mean Dice of 83.9% ( $p = 4 \times 10^{-41}$ ). The accuracy of the various automated methods also dropped when using the original manual segmentations as the reference. For example, the mean Dice coefficient of the top performing method CNN-SP-D + DA dropped from 86.6% over all structures to 85.1% ( $p = 1 \times 10^{-27}$ ).

	CNN-B	CNN-SP	CNN-SP-D	CNN-SP-D + DA	FIRST	Manual
L Caudate	92.4 (1.4)	92.5 (1.6)	92.8 (1.4)	<b>92.9 (1.4)</b>	90.8 (5.0)	85.1 (2.2)
	0.19 (0.03)	0.19 (0.04)	0.17 (0.03)	<b>0.17 (0.03)</b>	0.24 (0.14)	0.35 (0.06)
R Caudate	92.0 (1.0)	92.1 (0.9)	92.2 (0.9)	<b>92.4 (0.9)</b>	91.9 (0.9)	85.2 (2.3)
	0.19 (0.02)	0.19 (0.03)	0.18 (0.02)	<b>0.18 (0.02)</b>	0.20 (0.02)	0.34 (0.06)
L Putamen	92.9 (1.5)	93.7 (1.3)	93.9 (1.2)	94.0 (1.2)	<b>94.5 (0.6)</b>	86.1 (1.9)
	0.21 (0.04)	0.19 (0.05)	0.19 (0.04)	<b>0.18 (0.04)</b>	0.20 (0.02)	0.39 (0.07)
R Putamen	93.2 (1.2)	93.7 (1.1)	93.9 (0.9)	94.0 (0.8)	<b>94.6 (0.5)</b>	86.4 (1.7)
	0.21 (0.04)	0.20 (0.04)	0.19 (0.03)	<b>0.19 (0.02)</b>	0.20 (0.02)	0.39 (0.06)
L Thalamus	94.7 (1.2)	95.0 (0.8)	95.4 (0.8)	95.5 (0.7)	<b>96.1 (0.7)</b>	89.6 (0.6)
	0.24 (0.06)	0.22 (0.04)	0.21 (0.03)	0.20 (0.03)	<b>0.20 (0.03)</b>	0.44 (0.04)
R Thalamus	95.0 (0.8)	95.3 (0.8)	95.5 (0.8)	95.5 (0.7)	<b>95.9 (0.6)</b>	89.7 (0.9)
	0.23 (0.04)	0.22 (0.04)	<b>0.21 (0.04)</b>	0.21 (0.03)	0.21 (0.03)	0.44 (0.05)
L Hippocampus	89.5 (1.6)	90.5 (1.6)	91.2 (1.1)	<b>91.6 (1.0)</b>	90.8 (1.3)	83.3 (0.9)
	0.24 (0.04)	0.24 (0.07)	0.21 (0.03)	<b>0.20 (0.03)</b>	0.24 (0.05)	0.38 (0.03)
R Hippocampus	88.9 (1.8)	90.2 (1.5)	90.8 (1.4)	<b>91.2 (1.2)</b>	91.1 (0.8)	82.5 (1.0)
	0.24 (0.04)	0.23 (0.04)	0.22 (0.03)	<b>0.21 (0.02)</b>	0.22 (0.02)	0.41 (0.06)
L Pallidum	90.5 (3.1)	91.9 (1.8)	92.0 (1.9)	<b>92.5 (1.6)</b>	92.3 (1.9)	81.9 (3.9)
	0.24 (0.07)	0.21 (0.04)	0.21 (0.05)	<b>0.20 (0.05)</b>	0.22 (0.05)	0.47 (0.11)
R Pallidum	90.1 (2.6)	91.9 (1.4)	<b>92.2 (1.1)</b>	92.1 (1.2)	91.2 (2.9)	82.1 (3.3)
	0.25 (0.06)	0.21 (0.04)	<b>0.20 (0.03)</b>	0.21 (0.03)	0.26 (0.10)	0.46 (0.09)
L Amygdala	84.1 (4.1)	85.9 (4.1)	87.7 (2.3)	<b>88.7 (1.5)</b>	84.8 (7.2)	77.0 (4.0)
	0.31 (0.07)	0.30 (0.08)	0.27 (0.04)	<b>0.25 (0.04)</b>	0.34 (0.15)	0.51 (0.14)
R Amygdala	79.9 (18.7)	86.3 (4.5)	87.9 (2.7)	<b>88.6 (2.7)</b>	85.8 (5.2)	77.5 (2.1)
	2.35 (8.89)	0.30 (0.11)	0.26 (0.06)	<b>0.25 (0.06)</b>	0.32 (0.14)	0.48 (0.07)
All	90.3 (7.1)	91.6 (3.6)	92.1 (2.8)	<b>92.4 (2.5)</b>	91.7 (4.7)	83.9 (4.5)
	0.41 (2.64)	0.22 (0.07)	0.21 (0.05)	<b>0.20 (0.04)</b>	0.24 (0.09)	0.42 (0.09)

Table 1: Reliability in the OASIS scan-rescan dataset using the raw unfiltered manual labellings. Each table cell reports the mean Dice coefficient (standard deviation) as a percentage on top and the mean MHD (standard deviation), in millimeters, on bottom. The top performing method is emboldened in each row.

	CNN-B	CNN-SP	CNN-SP-D	CNN-SP-D + DA	FIRST
L Caudate	84.3 (3.3)	84.3 (5.0)	85.5 (3.1)	<b>85.7 (2.3)</b>	72.2 (6.3)
	0.45 (0.16)	0.48 (0.37)	0.38 (0.10)	<b>0.37 (0.07)</b>	0.82 (0.20)
R Caudate	84.9 (3.4)	85.8 (2.7)	86.0 (2.5)	<b>86.2 (2.4)</b>	69.0 (7.2)
	0.42 (0.17)	0.37 (0.11)	0.36 (0.08)	<b>0.35 (0.06)</b>	0.88 (0.22)
L Putamen	86.4 (2.1)	87.3 (2.5)	87.6 (2.3)	<b>87.8 (2.6)</b>	84.0 (2.1)
	0.44 (0.08)	0.41 (0.09)	0.39 (0.09)	<b>0.39 (0.09)</b>	0.58 (0.08)
R Putamen	87.3 (1.9)	87.7 (1.7)	87.7 (2.0)	<b>88.0 (1.6)</b>	83.7 (2.5)
	0.42 (0.07)	0.39 (0.06)	0.41 (0.08)	<b>0.39 (0.06)</b>	0.62 (0.09)
L Thalamus	88.7 (1.4)	88.7 (2.8)	88.7 (3.8)	<b>89.5 (1.6)</b>	85.6 (2.1)
	0.54 (0.08)	0.60 (0.35)	0.57 (0.23)	<b>0.53 (0.09)</b>	0.84 (0.11)
R Thalamus	89.9 (0.9)	89.9 (1.2)	90.2 (1.5)	<b>90.7 (0.9)</b>	87.1 (1.3)
	0.49 (0.05)	0.51 (0.08)	0.50 (0.09)	<b>0.48 (0.05)</b>	0.76 (0.07)
L Hippocampus	81.2 (3.8)	82.1 (4.7)	83.9 (1.9)	<b>84.7 (1.6)</b>	79.6 (1.6)
	0.50 (0.14)	0.60 (0.59)	0.43 (0.08)	<b>0.42 (0.14)</b>	0.55 (0.07)
R Hippocampus	80.4 (4.2)	82.3 (3.8)	83.0 (2.1)	<b>84.5 (1.3)</b>	79.5 (1.9)
	0.54 (0.21)	0.56 (0.49)	0.46 (0.09)	<b>0.40 (0.06)</b>	0.55 (0.06)
L Pallidum	80.2 (4.2)	82.4 (3.8)	82.6 (3.6)	<b>83.6 (4.7)</b>	76.1 (6.3)
	0.55 (0.12)	0.51 (0.13)	0.50 (0.11)	<b>0.47 (0.13)</b>	0.74 (0.26)
R Pallidum	81.4 (3.8)	83.3 (3.4)	82.9 (4.6)	<b>83.9 (3.1)</b>	75.2 (6.2)
	0.51 (0.10)	0.46 (0.10)	0.48 (0.14)	<b>0.44 (0.09)</b>	0.77 (0.22)
L Amygdala	69.6 (16.9)	75.3 (4.1)	76.1 (3.9)	<b>77.8 (3.7)</b>	69.2 (9.0)
	2.50 (8.31)	0.58 (0.11)	0.59 (0.15)	<b>0.54 (0.11)</b>	0.86 (0.38)
R Amygdala	74.5 (4.6)	75.8 (5.1)	77.5 (2.6)	<b>79.0 (3.3)</b>	71.0 (4.7)
	0.57 (0.16)	0.58 (0.17)	0.52 (0.07)	<b>0.50 (0.11)</b>	0.74 (0.13)
All	82.4 (8.1)	83.8 (5.7)	84.3 (5.1)	<b>85.1 (4.5)</b>	77.7 (8.0)
	0.66 (2.47)	0.50 (0.29)	0.46 (0.14)	<b>0.44 (0.11)</b>	0.73 (0.22)

Table 2: Accuracy in the OASIS scan-rescan dataset using the raw unfiltered manual labellings. Each table cell reports the mean Dice coefficient (standard deviation) as a percentage on top and the mean MHD (standard deviation), in millimeters, on bottom. The top performing method is emboldened in each row.