

Figure S1, related to Figure 1 and 2. YKL-5-124 specifically targets CDK7, disrupts cell cycle progression through inhibition of CDK7 CAK activity, and impairs DNA synthesis and MCM2 complex.

(A) Competitive pulldown assay in DMS79 treated with YKL-5-124 at indicated concentrations for 6 hr. Western blotting showing the pulldown (PD) or input (total lysate) of Cyclin H. (B) Western blotting analysis of RNA Pol II total, RNA Pol II p-Ser 2, RNA Pol II p-Ser 5, CDK1 total, CDK2 total, pCDK1 (Thr161), pCDK2 (Thr160) and Tubulin expression in NCI-H69 and GLC16 after treatment with YKL-5-124 at indicated concentrations for 24 hr. (C) Western blotting analysis of RNA Pol II total, RNA Pol II CTD-Ser2, RNA Pol II CTD-Ser5, CDK1 total, CDK2 total, pCDK1 (Thr161), pCDK2 (Thr160) and Tubulin expression in RPP631 and DMS79 after treatment with YKL-5-124, THZ531 or THZ1 at indicated concentrations for 24 hr. (D) RT-gPCR analysis of INSM1, ASCL1, NFIB and MYC in DMS79 and GLC16 after indicated treatment for 24 hr. Gene expression was normalized to GUSB. The RT-qPCR data were presented as fold changes of gene expression in the test sample compared to the vehicle. (E) Cell viability was measured at indicated time points (normalized to day 0) in GLC16, NCI-H69 and NCI-H82 upon treatment with DMSO (0) or increasing concentrations of YKL-5-124 (nM). (F) Flow cytometry analysis of Propidium Iodide (PI)-staining in DMS79 cells after treatment with DMSO or increasing concentrations of YKL-5-124 for 72 hr. (G and H) Quantification of DNA synthesis indicated by EdU incorporation per nucleus as well as within each replication focus in RPP631 cells treated with vehicle or 100 nM YKL-5-124 after 48 hr. (G) Quantification of EdU nuclear density (nm⁻²) per nucleus and (H) EdU content per focus are plotted. (I and J) Quantification MCM2 complex per nucleus as well as within each replication focus in RPP631 cells treated with vehicle or 100 nM YKL-5-124 after 48 hr. (I) Quantification of MCM2 nuclear density (nm⁻²) per nuclei and (J) Relative MCM2 content per focus are plotted. (D, E, G, H, I, J) Data shown as means ± SD of three independent experiments run in triplicates. (G, H, I, J) Unpaired two-tailed t-test. **p < 0.01, *****p < 0.0001.



Figure S2, related to Figure 3. YKL-5-124 disrupts cell cycle and induces pro-inflammatory cytokine/chemokine production.

(A and B) GSEA analysis of the differentially expressed genes induced by YKL-5-124 in RPP631. Here shown are two of the top most negatively regulated 'Hallmarks' signatures. Gene list was ranked with signed (from log₂ fold change (FC)) likelihood ratio from YKL-5-124 versus vehicle comparison. (C and D) Heatmaps for differential expression of transcripts from two top negatively regulated pathways in GSEA analysis between vehicle and YKL-5-124 treated cells (colors are log₂FC). (E and F) GSEA analysis of expressed genes associated with super enhancers (SEs) induced by (E) THZ1 (Christensen et al., 2014) or (F) YKL-5-124 in SCLC. Ranking of SE-genes enrichment was compared to all GO Biological Processes gene sets in each dataset. (G) RTgPCR analysis of TNF and CXCL10 in HAP1 CDK7 WT and HAP1 CDK7 C312S cells after treatment with DMSO or 100 nM YKL-5-124 for 48 hr. The RT-qPCR data were presented as fold changes of gene expression compared to the vehicle. (H) Western blotting analysis of the STING-TBK1-IRF3 pathway in YKL-5-124-treated DMS79 and RPP631. (I) RT-qPCR analysis of *Tnf* and Cxcl10 levels upon 48 hr YKL-5-124 treatment in the CRISPR/Cas9 cGAS knockout (KO) RPP631 cells. The RT-qPCR data were presented as fold changes of gene expression compared to the vehicle. (J-L) Profiling of OT-I CD8⁺ T cells by flow cytometry analysis after treatment with DMSO or YKL-5-124. T cell activity markers (J) CD69, (K) TNF α and (L) IFN γ were examined. (G, I) Data shown as means \pm SD of three independent experiments run in triplicates. (J, K, L) Data shown as means ± SEM of three independent experiments run in ten replicates. Unpaired two-tailed t-test. NS, not significant.



Figure S3, related to Figure 4. Histopathology of murine SCLC models and establishment of syngeneic mouse models for studying oncoimmunology in SCLC.

(A) Representative images of H & E and immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining for ASCL1 of lung tumors from RPP GEMM, RPP and RP orthotopic models as indicated. (B) Representative images of H & E and IHC staining for MYC of lung tumors from RPP-MYC orthotopic model. (C) Experimental approach of establishing RPP orthotopic model. Using *in vivo* CRISPR/Cas9-mediated loss-of-function gene editing, single-guide RNAs (sgRNAs) designed to inactivate tumor suppressors *Rb1*, *p53* and *p130* were delivered through intratracheal induction into mouse lung to generate a murine SCLC model. After 9 months, lung tumor nodules were harvested for *in vitro* culture. Established RPP631 cells were injected back to C57BL/6 background mice orthotopically. Tumor burden was monitored by MRI and histopathology of SCLC was confirmed by rodent pathologist.



Figure S4, related to Figure 4. Immune profiling gating strategy and comparison of the TME in RPP orthotopic syngeneic model versus GEMM model

(A) Representation of an example of gating strategy. Single cells were first gated and followed by aqua live/dead staining selection for live cells. Total immune cells were identified by CD45 staining. A sequential gating strategy was then used to identify various populations using specific markers: total T cells (CD3⁺), CD4⁺ T cells, CD8⁺ T cells, myeloid cells (CD11b⁺), and dendritic cells (CD11c⁺CD103⁺). In addition, the expression of CD44, CD62L and Ki67 in CD4⁺ T cells was analyzed. (B-E) Tumor infiltrating lymphocytes from tumor-bearing lung in the RPP orthotopic syngeneic model and RPP GEMM were analyzed by flow cytometry when tumor sizes were comparable. (B) Total T cells (CD45⁺CD3⁺), (C) CD4⁺ T cells, (D) CD8⁺ T cells and (E) myeloid cells (CD11b⁺) were quantified and compared. Data shown as means \pm SD. Unpaired two-tailed t-test. NS, not significant.





Figure S5, related to Figure 4. *In vivo* evaluation of YKL-5-124 treatment toxicity and target engagement.

(A-D) *In vivo* examination of YKL-5-124 treatment toxicities. (A) Body weight and blood cell counts including (B) platelet, (C) white blood cells (WBC) and (D) red blood cells (RBC) were monitored and measured on a weekly basis. Data shown as means \pm SD. Unpaired two-tailed t-test. *p < 0.01. (E) *In vivo* target engagement of YKL-5-124 by competitive pulldown assay. Tumor-bearing mice were administered with three dosages of YKL-5-124 (10 mg/kg) and tumor tissues were harvested after the last dose at indicated time points. Western blotting showing the pulldown (PD) or input (total lysate) of Cyclin H and Cyclin K.



Figure S6, related to Figure 4 and Figure 5. YKL-5-124 inhibits tumor growth and enhances tumor response to anti-PD-1 immunotherapy in multiple murine SCLC models.

(A) Representative MRI images show mouse lung tumors before and after the treatment at indicated time points in the RP orthotopic model. Circled areas, heart. (B) Quantification of baseline tumor volumes by MRI scan of RPP-MYC mice. Control group (n= 5), YKL-5-124 (n= 5), Combo (n= 7). (C) Quantification of tumor volume changes by MRI scan of RPP-MYC mice. Each dot represents one mouse, comparing to baseline MRI measurement. (D) Representative MRI images show mouse lung tumors before and after the treatment at indicated time points in the RPP-MYC model. Circled areas, heart. (E) Quantification of baseline tumor volumes by MRI scan of RPP GEMMs. Control group (n= 8), anti-PD-1 (n= 6), YKL-5-124 (n= 6), Combo (n= 9). Each dot represents one mouse. NS, not significant. (F) Quantification of tumor volume changes by MRI scan of RPP GEMMs after treatment with Control, anti-PD-1, YKL-5-124 and Combo. Waterfall plot shows tumor volumes response to the treatment after week 3. Each column represents one mouse, comparing to baseline MRI measurement. (G) Representative MRI images show mouse lung tumors before and after the treatment at indicated time points in the RPP GEMMs. Circled areas, heart. (H) Kaplan-Meier survival curve of RPP GEMMs after indicated treatment. Log-rank test *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01. (I-L) In vivo examination of Combo and Chemo + Combo treatment toxicities (n= 5). (I) Relative body weight and blood cell counts including (J) platelet, (K) WBC, (L) RBC were monitored as shown. (M-P) The expression of CD44, CD62L, Ki67 and ICOS in infiltrating CD4⁺ T cells after indicated treatment in the RPP GEMMs was analyzed by flow cytometry. MFI of (M) CD44, (N) CD62L and (O) frequency of ICOS⁺ CD4⁺ T cells were plotted. (P) The expression of GzmB in infiltrating CD8⁺ T cells after indicated treatment was analyzed. Frequencies of GzmB⁺ CD8⁺ T cells were presented. (B, C, E) Data shown as means ± SEM. (I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P) Data shown as means ± SD. (B, C, E, F, M, N, O, P) Unpaired two-tailed t-test. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001. NS, not significant.







. 10⁴

10⁴

10⁵

10⁵

В



Figure S7, related to Figure 6 and 7. Single-cell analysis identifies intratumoral cell populations and confirms connection of CDK7 inhibition in tumor intrinsic signaling to immunity.

(A) Violin plots showing the gene expression distribution of representative markers in each identified cell type. (B) A 3D cell cycle trajectory showing eight clusters of cells at different cell-cycle state based on calculated scores for genes specific to the G1, S and G2/M phase. (C and D) Flow cytometry was performed using splenocytes from mice under α CD4 or α CD8 treatment. (C) Representative density plot showing CD4⁺T cell population in control (left) and α CD4 (right) group. (D) Representative density plot showing CD8⁺T cell population in control (left) and α CD8 (right) group.