	Nijmegen cohort, n=23				
Subject	Age, gender	Diagnosis			
1	43, female	Recurrent FSGS, Tip variant			
2	20, male	MCD, Tip lesions			
3	35, male	FSGS, Tip variant			
4	37, male	FSGS, Tip variant			
5	67, male	FSGS, NOS variant			
6	60, female	FSGS, Tip variant			
7	64, male	FSGS, Tip variant			
8	56, male	FSGS, Tip variant			
9	68, male	FSGS, NOS variant			
10	16, female	FSGS, Tip variant			
11	41, male	FSGS, Tip variant			
12	67, male	Secondary FSGS, Tip variant and perihilar lesions			
13	60, male	FSGS, Tip variant			
14	63, male	FSGS, Tip variant			
15	21, male	FSGS, Tip variant			
16	40, male	FSGS, Tip variant			
17	69, female	FSGS, Tip variant			
18	61, male	FSGS, Tip variant			
19	62, male	FSGS, Tip variant			
20	16, male	FSGS, Tip variant			
21	49, male	FSGS, NOS variant			
22	63, female	FSGS, Tip variant			
23	62, male	FSGS, Tip variant			
		Vanderbilt cohort, n=12			
24	28, female	FSGS, Tip variant			
25	66, male	FSGS, Tip variant			
26	84, male	FSGS, Tip variant			
27	27, female	FSGS, collapsing variant			
28	88, female	FSGS, collapsing variant			
29	57, male	FSGS, collapsing variant			
30	25, male	FSGS, perihilar variant			
	20, maio				

Supplementary Table 1. Demographics of patients classified with FSGS

total	Mean age years 51.34 , female n= 10, male n= 25		
35	56, male	Pauci-Immune crescentic GN	
34	78, female	Pauci-Immune crescentic GN	
33	41, male	Pauci-Immune crescentic GN	
32	19, female	FSGS, perihilar variant	
31	69, male	FSGS, perihilar variant	

FSGS: focal segmental glomerulosclerosis