Supplementary Information

High-efficiency enrichment enables identification of aptamers to circulating *Plasmodium* falciparum-infected erythrocytes

Eugene K. Oteng^{1*}, Wenjuan Gu², Maureen McKeague^{3,4}

¹Laboratory of Malaria and Vector Research, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Rockville, Maryland, 20852 USA.

² Clinical Research Directorate/Clinical Monitoring Research Program, Leidos Biomedical Research, Inc., Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research, Frederick, Maryland, 21702 USA.

³ Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, McGill University, 3655 Prom. Sir-William-Osler, Montreal, Quebec H3G 1Y6, Canada

⁴ Department of Chemistry, McGill University, 801 Sherbrooke Street West, Montreal, Quebec H3A 0B8, Canada

p. 2 Table S1. Sequences of selected aptamers.

- p. 3 Table S2. Profile of literature analyzed.
- p. 4 Table S3. Univariate comparison of methods used in 43 SELEX publications by number of rounds.
- p. 5 Figure S1. Box plot comparing the estimated number of sequences in the initial library (i.e. library size) for selection experiments incorporating alkaline treatment or other single-strand generating methods.
- p. 6 Figure S2. Box plot comparing size of the random region in the library used for selection experiments incorporating alkaline treatment or other single-strand generating methods.
- Note: Supplementary files with the reads from alkaline and lambda SELEX are available online.

Supplementary Table S4 contains curated data from the Aptamer Base and from the literature as described in Table S2. Available online as a downloadable .xlsx spreadsheet.

	Grouping	Sequences of random region	Read Count*
Lambda	Moloria 1		40640
	Malaria 2		40040
	Malaria 2		028
	Malaria.3		938
			444
		ATAGCCCGACTATATCGGCAATACACTGTGCTGGATCTTCAGGGT	326
		TAGCTGAGTTGTACTCGGATGTGTAGGTTTTTAGGCTAGTAATCA	271
		ATAAAAAGCAGGTGGCCTTGTGATTGGATTTATATTGAAAGTACA	261
		GTGCAGACAGCTAGTAGTGGTGACTTTCTGTCCAAATCAAGATGA	243
		GTGCGGACAGCTAGTAGTGGTGACTTTCTGTCCAAATCAAGATAA	228
		CCGCTGCAGACGCGCAAGTGAGATCTGTTCTTTATTGTATG	227
Alkaline			
	Malaria.3	CACTAGGATCTAGGGAAAAATCTCGTAAATACTATACTCCTGAGC	37
		TAATGGTGTATTGTTGACTGTTCGCATCGCCTTTAGCTCCGAGCC	16
		CAAGTAGAACGATCAAAATAAGATAAAAAGCCTTTTTAAAGCTTA	15
		AAGATGAGGCATTTGTATCGATAAAACCGCGTATGACGGTTCCTA	15
		TTAGCCTGGCCAAGTTTGTTGTAAATGTGATAATTTCGTTCG	11
		CGCGGAAATATCGAATAATGAGGATGAGTGAGTTAAGATGGTGAA	11
		GCAGGGTGCTTTAACGTGCCTATACGTTCGTATCGCCTTATCTTT	11
		AGGTAACTTGCGGTCAATAGAAGGGTGGATTAATGTACGATCTCA	11
		TGCGTGGTTGCCTAGGCTCTAGGGAACCCTAGTCTCATTATATGC	11
		TTCGCTCACGGGGTCTACGCGTACCGGACAATTTTGCTTCACATG	10

*Total reads analyzed from lambda and alkaline SELEX were 378,439 and 965,748 respectively **Table S1. Sequences of selected aptamers.**

Table S2. Profile of literature analyzed.

No. of	Condition					
Papers						
492	All SELEX experiments from 1990 to 2013 reporting K _d values (Ref:					
	http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26530075)					
	325 papers performing SELEX with RNA, dsDNA, or modified nucleic acids					
	23 papers with incomplete data (e.g. did not report the number of rounds or single-strand recovery method)					
	41 papers using Capillary Electrophoresis-SELEX, Automated SELEX, Non-SELEX or Microfluidic SELEX					
42 papers that selected for small molecule targets						
	1 paper retracted					
	17 papers describing SELEX experiments of intermediate length (11-14 rounds)					
43	Analyzed					

Table S3. Univariate comparison of methods used in 43 SELEX publications by number of rounds.

Characteristics	Efficient (≤10 rounds) N=30	Inefficient (≥15 rounds) N=13	p-value*
Alkaline use	9 (30.0%)	12 (92.3%)	0.0002
Average K. (M) : mean (range)	3.4e-07	4.3e-07	0.6061
	(2.1e-11 – 5.5e-06)	(1.3e-08 - 2.9e-06)	
Target type			
Cells	2 (6.7%)	5 (38.5%)	0.0188
Protein	22 (73.3%)	8 (61.5%)	
Other	6 (20.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Partitioning method			
Affinity chromatography	21 (70%)	5 (38.5%)	0.0053
Centrifugation and cell wash	1 (3.3%)	6 (46.2%)	
Filtration	6 (20.0%)	2 (15.4%)	
Year (range)	2007 (1992-2001)	2006 (1990-2011)	0.9468

* Fisher's exact test for categorical variables; Wilcoxon rank sum test for numerical variables



Use of alkaline treatment

Figure S1. Box plot comparing the estimated number of sequences in the initial library (i.e. library size) for selection experiments incorporating alkaline treatment or other single-strand generating methods. Data was curated from the literature as described in Table S2. Values for each experiment are available in Table S4. Most publications did not provide the estimates, so we calculated the number of sequences based on the experimental conditions. No significant difference was observed.



Figure S2. Box plot comparing size of the random region in the library used for selection experiments incorporating alkaline treatment or other single-strand generating methods. Data was curated from the literature as described in Table S2. Values for each experiment are available in Table S4. No significant difference was observed.