



A. Sanger sequencing of cDNA synthesized from RNA of sorted GC B-cells (GCB), plasma cells (PC) and naive B-cells (NB) of $Ezh2(Y641F)^{flWT}$; $C\gamma1$ -cre and WT Ezh2; $C\gamma1$ -cre mice reveals the expression of Ezh2Y641F point mutation (TAC: tyrosine -> TTC: phenylalanine) upon recombination in mice carrying the mutant allele. Note that NB sequences are poor quality due to the extremely low levels of expression of Ezh2.

B. Fraction of reads of RNA-seq displaying WT (TAC) or mutant (TTC) Ezh2 allele in sorted centroblasts

(CB), centrocytes (CC), plasma cells (PC) and memory B-cells (MBC). **C**. Splenocytes from immunized $Ezh2(Y641F)^{flWT}$; $C\gamma1$ -cre and WT Ezh2; $C\gamma1$ -cre mice were stained to identify NB (FAS⁻CD38⁺IgD⁺), GCB (FAS⁺CD38⁺) within B-cells (B220⁺) and PC (B220⁻CD138⁺IgC1⁺), cells were permeabilized and stained for H3K27me3 and EZH2 using fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies. D. Mean fluorescence intensity of H3K27me3 (average of 5 control and 5 mutant Ezh2 mice).







Figure S2. Related to Figure 2.

A. Immunofluorescence confocal microscopy images of Cy1-cre GCs (top panels) and Ezh2(Y641F)^{#/WT}; Cy1-cre (bottom panels) in spleen sections stained with the indicated antibodies.

B-D. Immunofluorescence confocal microscopy images of GCs in spleen sections of *Rosa26-lox-stop-lox-YFP* reporter strain crossed with $C\gamma$ 1-cre (top panels) or *Ezh*2(Y641F)^{fl/WT}; $C\gamma$ 1-cre (bottom panels). Mice were injected with 2 µg anti CD35-BV421 16 hours before euthanasia. YFP cells represent GC B-cells and CD35 (blue) is a marker of FDC, showing the light zone. **E.** Four *Ezh2*^{Y641F} and 4 WT mice were immunized with NP-OVA and euthanized 12 days later. Splenocytes were

collected for ELISPOT and FACS experiments. Two non-immunized mice were included to evaluate basal levels.

F. For ELISPOT assays, one million splenocytes were incubated per well of 96-well plate with NP(4)-BSA or NP(30)-BSA. The number of spots per well were counted and plotted as average per spleen. Each dot represents a mouse and horizontal black lines are means ± SEM; unpaired t test, *p<0.05. The number of spots in plates coated with NP(4) were divided by the number of spots in plates with NP(30) to determine the production of high affinity antibodies. Each dot represents the mean value for the group of mice.

G, I, P. Gating strategy of splenocytes of one representative sample per group.

H, J, Q. Analysis of flow cytometry data of splenocytes gated as shown in G, I and P, respectively. Each dot represents a mouse. Horizontal black bars represent means ± SEM; paired t test.

K-M. Mixed chimera experiments were performed as shown in Figure 1A and splenocytes were collected 8 or 20 days post immunization with SRBC for flow cytometry analysis. Gating strategy of splenocytes of one representative sample is shown in K. Data in L was analyzed by normalizing the percentage of CD45.1⁺ memory B-cells (MBC, IgG1⁺IgD) to their parental non-GC B-cells (CD38⁺FAS), and equivalent normalization with CD45.2⁺ populations (n=4 per group). MBC normalized to GC B-cells (M). Each pair of connected dots represents a mouse; p values paired t tests.

N. Four Ezh2^{Y641F} and 4 WT mice were immunized with NP-KLH, followed by a booster immunization 22 days later with NP-CGG, and then sacrificed 54 days later. Bone marrow was collected for ELISPOT and flow cytometry experiments. Two non-immunized mice were included to evaluate basal levels.

O. For ELISPOT assays, one million bone marrow cells were incubated per well of 96-well plate with NP(4)-BSA or NP(30)-BSA. Analysis was done as in F.

R-S. For ELISA assays, sera dilutions of 1:1000 were tested for the indicated antibodies. The lower panels show the ratio of absorbance of plates coated with NP(4)-BSA divided by the absorbance of plates coated with NP(30)-BSA. Each dot represents the mean value for the group of mice.

T-W. Four R26-lox-stop-lox-YFP;Ezh2^{Y641F} and 5 R26-lox-stop-lox-YFP;Cy1-cre control mice were immunized with SRBC and euthanized 8 days later. Splenocytes were analyzed by flow cytometry, gating first on YFP⁺ cells. Gating strategy of splenocytes of one representative sample per group is shown in T. As a reference, sub-gating in YFP negative cells is also shown. Each dot in **U-W** represents a mouse. Horizontal black bars represent means ± SD: p values unpaired t test. MBC: memory B-cell; PC: plasma cell; PB: plasmablast; LLPC: long-lived plasma cell.





Figure S3. Related to Figure 3.

A-**B**. Gating strategy of lymph nodes of one representative sample. Flow cytometry data was analyzed by normalizing the percentage of CD45.1⁺ Ki67⁺ to their parental CD45.1⁺ centroblasts (CB, CXCR4^{hi}CD86^{hi}) or centrocytes (CC, CXCR4^{lo}CD86^{hi}), and equivalent normalization with CD45.2⁺ populations (n=4 per group). Each pair of connected dots represents a mouse; p values paired t tests.

C. Gating strategy of splenocytes of one representative *R*26-*Fucci*2a*R*;*C*y1-cre sample.

D. Flow cytometry analysis of Fucci2a splenocytes from mice immunized with SRBC for 8 days.

E. The gating strategy of Fucci2a was validated by evaluating DNA content using DAPI staining in fixed *R26-Fucci2aR* splenocytes.

F. Quantification of GC B-cells and non-GC B-cells according to the gating shown in **D**. Each dot represents a mouse and horizontal black lines are means \pm SEM; p values unpaired t tests.

G-I. Gating strategy and quantification of Fucci2a centroblasts. Values in I shown as in F.

J. Immunofluorescence confocal microscopy images of GCs in spleen sections of R26-Fucci2aR; Ezh2^{Y641F} and R26-Fucci2aR; C γ 1-cre mice. Mice were injected with 2 μ g anti CD35-BV421 16 hours before euthanasia.

K-L. Gating strategy of lymph nodes of one representative sample and quantification, analyzed as in B.



Figure S4. Related to Figure 4.

A-E. YFP⁺IgD⁻ splenocytes were sorted from 3 *R26-lox-stop-lox-YFP;Ezh2*^{Y641F} and 3 *R26-lox-stop-lox-YFP;Cy1-cre* mice 8 days after SRBC immunization and were subjected to single cell RNA-seq using 10X Genomics Chromium platform. Quality control metrics for sequencing is shown in **A**. Dimensionality reduction with UMAP on normalized gene expression values was performed using K nearest neighbor analysis and graph based clustering to assign cells to clusters with distinct expression profiles (**B**). Plasma cell signature (**C**) and specific gene expression (**D**) were projected on clusters. After normalization for total number of analyzed cells, the abundance of *Ezh2*^{Y641F} and WT in plasma cells was calculated (**E**). Data is shown as means ± SE for n=3 mice per group.

F. Gating strategy of splenocytes of one representative *GFP-Myc*; $C\gamma 1$ -cre sample. See also **Table S1**.







Figure S5. Related to Figure 5.

A-B. Relative abundance of H3K27 modifications was assessed by liquid chromatography separation and mass spectrometry of histone tryptic peptides from sorted GC B cells (A) and naive B cells (B) of 5 Ezh2^{Y641F} and 5 Cy1-cre control mice immunized with SRBC and euthanized 8 days later. Data is shown as means ± SEM; p value unpaired t test.

C. Hierarchical clustering was performed with normalized H3K27me3 reads within promoters (TSS±5kb) of WT Ezh2 and Ezh2^{Y641F} centroblasts and centrocytes. Clustering was performed using correlation distance (1correlation coefficient) of 95th percentile variable promoters and Ward's method. **D**. Boxplot showing H3K27me3 density between *Ezh2*^{Y641F} de novo H3K27me3 and nearest adjacent promoter.

E. Hierarchical clustering was performed using Ward's method with Euclidean distance on TPM (transcripts per million) values for the highly variable genes (variance in the top 10 percentile).

F. H3K27me3 normalized read density heat maps at promoters associated with differentially expressed genes in centroblasts (top). Bottom plot shows mean H3K27me3 profile across loci interval.

G. GSEA of Ezh2^{Y641F}-specific, WT-specific, and shared H3K27me3 marked promoters in Ezh2^{Y641F} vs. WT GC B-cells.

 H. GSEA of PAGE gene signatures in *Ezh2^{Y641F}* centrocytes vs. WT. See also **Table S2**.
I. GSEA enrichment of genes down-regulated in murine *Ezh2^{Y641F}* centrocytes against the gene expression profile of EZH2 mutant FL cases vs. WT human tonsilar centrocytes (Green et al., 2015).

J. mRNA expression levels of indicated transcripts as normalized counts per million (CPM) from naive B-cells, centroblasts and centrocytes RNA-seq. **K**. Four *Ezh2*^{Y641F} and 4 *C* γ 1-*cre* mice were immunized with SRBC and euthanized 8 days later. Naive B-cells

(NB), centroblasts (CB) and centrocytes (CC) were sorted and subjected to RT-gPCR using primers for the shown transcripts. Each dot represents a mouse and horizontal black lines represent mean fold change mRNA levels normalized to Hprt1 (for Ptgr1, Ccr6), Gapdh (for Cbx8, Lgmn, Serinc5, Cd79a, Itgam, Ptger4) or Rpl13 (for Pde3b, Cd69, Eqr2, Icosl, Icam1, Tnf) ± SEM.

L. H3K27me3 ChIP-seq tracks and corresponding qChIP validation. Data collected for qChIP was an independent experiment performed with a different cohort of 3 mice per group. Each dot represents a mouse and horizontal black lines represent mean ± SEM.





Figure S6. Related to Figure 6.

A. Five *R26-lox-stop-lox-YFP;Ezh2*^{Y641F}, 5 *R26-lox-stop-lox-YFP;Cy1-cre* control, and 4 mixed chimera (50%WT+50%*Ezh2*^{Y641F}) were immunized with NP-OVA and euthanized 8 days later. Splenocytes and popliteal lymph node cells were collected for FACS. gMFI for each protein was assessed in naive B-cells (CD38^{hi}FAS^IgD⁺ fraction of B cells), centroblasts (CXCR4^{hi}CD86^{low} fraction of YFP⁺ GC B-cells) and centrocytes (CXCR4^{low}CD86^{hi} fraction of YFP⁺ GC B-cells). Each dot represents a mouse. Horizontal black bars represent means ± SEM, p values unpaired (in non-chimera) and paired (in chimera) t tests.

B. Gating strategy of lymph nodes of one representative sample and quantification of total GC B-cells in lymph nodes and spleen, to show the effect of anti CD40L antibody in GC formation. Each dot represents a mouse and horizontal black lines are means \pm SEM; p values unpaired t tests.

C. Flow cytometry data was analyzed by normalizing the percentage of CD45.1⁺ non-GC B-cells (CD38⁺FAS) to their parental CD45.1⁺ B-cells (B220⁺DAPI), and equivalent normalization with CD45.2⁺ populations (n=4 per group).

D-H. Four mixed chimera mice were immunized with NP-OVA and 3 days later they received 100 μ g anti CD40L antibody or control IgG antibody i.v. Mice received a second dose of antibody on day 5 and a third dose on day 7, and were euthanized the next day (**D**). Percentage of GC B-cells in spleen and popliteal and inguinal lymph nodes was analyzed by flow cytometry (**E**). Data was analyzed by normalizing the percentage of CD45.1⁺ GC B-cells (CD38⁺FAS⁺) (**F**) and non GC B-cells (CD38⁺FAS⁻) (**H**) to their parental CD45.1⁺ B-cells (B220⁺DAPI⁻), and equivalent normalization with CD45.2⁺ populations (n=4 per group). Each pair of connected dots represents a mouse; p values from paired t tests. Proportions of *Ezh2^{V641F}* GC B-cells were divided by WT GC B-cells (numbers shown in **F**) to calculate the ratio (**G**); p values from unpaired t tests.

I-L. Chimera mice were treated as described in **Figure 6J**. Percentages of B-cells (I) and GC B-cells (J) were analyzed by flow cytometry. Protein levels of ICAM-1 were evaluated by flow cytometry to confirm effectiveness of anti ICAM-1 antibody treatment (\mathbf{K}). Flow cytometry data shown in L was analyzed as in H.





Figure S7. Related to Figure 7.

A. Flow chart of single cell genomic clonality assays. Three *R26-lox-stop-lox-YFP;Cy1-cre* and 3 *R26-lox-stop-lox-YFP;Ezh2(Y641F)*^{*IWT*};*Cy1-cre* fresh spleens from mice immunized with NP-OVA for 10 days were vibratome cut. Individual YFP GCs were identified by fluorescence microscopy, 5 GCs per mouse were extracted, single cell suspension prepared, stained with CB/CC markers, and single cell index sorted. IgG1 and IgM loci were amplified and sequenced (Tiller et al., 2009).

B. Different possible outputs in *R26R-Confetti* in homozygosis.

C. Representative confocal image of GCs in spleens 5 days after immunization with SRBC.

D. Representative confocal images and their corresponding binary images after image processing for both WT *Ezh2;Cy1-cre* and *Ezh2(Y641F)^{IWVT};Cy1-cre* mice. Top row represent the raw images, and the bottom row illustrating the pseudo-colored binary images.

E. Sequence of image processing pipeline, raw images are binarized, boundaries are delineated and the abundance of each clone within the spatially defined GC is enumerated.

F. Example linage trees of Confetti clones.

G. Overlap of unique sequence and barcode combinations shared between different YFP and Confetti mice. Diagonal elements represent the total number of unique sequence + barcode combinations observed within a mouse, while off-diagonal elements represent the number and percentage (Jaccard index) of unique sequence + barcode combinations shared among two mice. Sequences shared between two mice (upper left triangle) were used to calibrate minimum sequence read cutoffs for YFP and Confetti mice, leading to the final set of sequences for analysis (lower right triangle).

H. Gating strategy for centroblast and centrocyte identity based on CXCR4 and CD86 fluorescence. Each dot represents a sequence (which had undergone all processing steps detailed in *Sequence processing*) from one of six YFP mice.

Table S1. Related to STAR Methods. Quality control of single cell RNA-seq using 10X Genomics Chromium.

Sample	WT_1	WT_2	WT_3	EZH2_1	EZH2_2	EZH2_3
Estimated number of cells	2,413	2,294	2,075	4,953	3,596	1,785
Total number of reads	243,772,949	284,179,979	239,709,808	231,817,568	246,711,689	250,412,306
Fraction reads in cells	54.40%	46%	46.90%	74.30%	53.50%	44.30%
Mean reads per cell	101,024	123,879	115,522	46,803	68,607	140,287
Median genes per cell	1,431	1,395	1,251	1,620	1,416	1,575
Total genes detected	14,355	14,554	14,492	14,909	14,962	14,477
Median UMI counts per cell	3,475	3,555	3,090	4,131	3,606	4,068

YFP⁺IgD⁻ splenocytes were sorted from 3 *R26-lox-stop-lox-YFP;Ezh2*^{Y641F} and 3 *R26-lox-stop-lox-YFP;Cy1-cre* mice 8 days after SRBC immunization and were subjected to single cell RNA-seq using 10X Genomics Chromium platform. Seurat package was used to identify genes and cells suitable for inclusion in the analysis.

Table S3. Related to STAR Methods. Enriched pathways in upregulated and downregulated genes in WT vs *EZH2*^{Y641F} centrocytes.

Pathway name	Published pathway name	p value up	p value down	Overlapping gene orthologs in up	Overlapping gene orthologs in down
EZH2 GC- specific targets	GCB_deNovo_biv alent	0.363	4.24E-10	PRKCZ, RPL22, CLSTN1, I D3, OMA1, ATP1A1, PROX 1, DNMT3A, MAPKAPK3, B DH1, SCARB2, RPL37, HEX B, WDR41, RP9, CLN8, ASA H1, PDL1M2, SUSD1, RSU1 , PDCD4, RNH1, CD44, GDP D5, CADM1, ST14, BLOC1S 1, PLXNC1, LAMP1, FNTB, NPC2, IMP3, IFT140, RARA , SCPEP1, MBP, ATP5D, GA MT, BLVRB, LENG9, SLCO 4A1, IL10RB, PDXK, POLR2 F	C1orf159,ATG4C,BTBD8,GSTM4,KCNA3, ARHGEF11,POLR3GL,GLUL,CAPN2,EHB P1,ALMS1,KLF7,UBE2E3,ABI2,ACSL3,G PC1,FILIP1L,RYK,CEP70,GNB4,ATP11B, UCHL1,ANTXR2,TRIO,IL6ST,ADAMTS6,E LL2,CCDC112,RNF130,DUSP22,LCA5,TU BE1,TMEM170B,TNS3,FIGNL1,CLDN12,A BCB4,SRPK2,STAG3,UBN2,ZDHHC2,SG K3,FAM84B,NDRG1,MOB3B,GLIPR2,TM EM2,CDC14B,SLC44A1,WDR31,PBX3,RA LGPS1,ABCA2,NMT2,VIM,EGR2,UBE2D1 ,SORBS1,FRAT1,FRAT2,CHST15,HSPA1 2A,USP47,AMPD3,CLCF1,NRGN,PTMS,B HLHE41,PPFIBP1,CHPT1,CHST11,SLC3 8A2,SCN8A,DRAM1,ATP11A,ZFHX2,ARH GAP5,GPHN,PPP1R13B,CEP152,SMAD3 ,CRTC3,MAZ,ZDHHC1,CAMKK1,CENPV, DYNLL2,WIP1,CASKIN2,RFX2,ANO8,AT RN,C200rf194,RNF24,RTEL1,NRIP1,RSP H1,RPS6KA3
MYC targets upreg	YU_MYC_TARG ETS_UP	0.884	1.42E-08	CLIC4	ASPM,DTL,BUB1,ECT2,CCNB1,KIF20A,A NLN,MKI67,E2F8,RACGAP1,BRCA2,TFD P1,KIAA0101,TOP2A,BIRC5
MYC targets downreg	YU_MYC_TARG ETS_DN	4.90E-08	0.672	CD2,CD74,HLA- DMA,HLA-DQA1,HLA- DQB1,HLA- DRB1,LTB,BLK,UNC93B1 ,IL10RA,GNS,EVL,PLD4, CTSH,ACP5	GBP4,PYGM,SSPN
Genes regulated by MYC downreg	DANG_REGULA TED_BY_MYC_D N	0.000823	0.1	ID3,CD48,ARPC4,VHL,LX N,GM2A,CTSB,IRF7,FTH 1,THY1,ITM2B,LAMP1,NP C2,IFI35,RARA,SCPEP1, GAA,ACP5,MAN2B1,AKT 2,FCGRT,FTL,CSTB,TSP O,TMSB4X	PEA15,KIF14,CKAP2L,KLF7,GPC1,GLT8 D1,ACSL1,HNRNPH1,PIM1,AKAP12,MAG I2,NDRG1,GADD45G,ITGB1,FADS2,MDM 2,RB1,DLEU2,NXN,PMAIP1,PLS3
Antigen processing and presentation	KEGG_ANTIGEN _PROCESSING_ AND_PRESENTA TION	1.94E-03	0.974	CTSS,CD74,HLA- DMA,HLA-DOA,HLA- DQA1,HLA-DQB1,HLA- DRB1,CTSB,LGMN	NFYA
MHC class II antigen presentation	REACTOME_MH C_CLASS_II_AN TIGEN_PRESEN TATION	3.56E-08	0.017	CTSS,RAB7A,CD74,HLA- DMA,HLA-DOA,HLA- DQA1,HLA- DRB1,CTSB,DCTN6,DCT N3,CTSD,DCTN2,LGMN, CTSH,AP1M2,KLC3,AP2S 1,CTSA,AP1B1	KIF2C,KIFAP3,KIF15,KIF2A,KIF20A,KIF11 ,RACGAP1,KIF23,DYNLL2,AP1S2,KIF4A
CD40 signaling downreg	BASSO_CD40_SI GNALING_DN	0.00136	0.00643	ID3,PTPN22,PTPN18,HS D17B8,LTB,CDH17,ISG20 ,CSF2RB,NCF4,TSP0	RERE,CRYZ,TRIB2,RAPGEF2,UBE2J1,H DAC9,PRKAR2B,GCNT1,BICD2,POU2AF 1
EZH2mut FL downreg	EZH2_mut_down _347_Ash_FL	0.0197	1.58E-07	PGM1,CTSS,TXNIP,ADI1, KIDINS220,SUCLG1,ARH GAP15,SCARB2,ANKMY2, BLVRA,PTPRCAP,PRCP, MAML2,DERA,RNF41,UN C119B,ITM2B,TM0D3,IS G20,CD79B,MRPL34,TSP O,ZCCHC18,ARHGEF6	CDKN2C, SSX2IP,GCLM,KCNA3,ACP6,G LUL,NUCKS1,AKT3,SOCS5,NCK2,GTDC 1,GCA,UBE2E3,HIBCH,ABI2,IFT57,PRKC I,TAPT1,RBPJ,HADH,PDLIM5,GPM6A,TR IO,MAST4,PIM1,BACH2,GLCCI1,TNS3,P TPN12,NAPEPLD,TJP2,VIM,DNAJC1,ARL 3,PCGF5,SLK,CHST11,TM7SF3,USP44,M LXIP,MKL2,PCTP,FAM117A,FMR1

Used for	Gene		Sequence (5'-3')		
	Trefreef 1 2 a	Fwd	TCTGGTGAGAAACTGCGTGTC		
	THISTISC	Rev	GTCAGCGCCAGTATCAGTCC		
	Cbx8	Fwd	ATTCGCAAAGGACGCATGGAA		
		Rev	CCTCGCTTTTTGGGGCCATA		
	Lgmn	Fwd	TGGACGATCCCGAGGATGG		
		Rev	GTGGATGATCTGGTAGGCGT		
	Lgr5	Fwd	ACCTGTGGCTAGATGACAATGC		
		Rev	TCCAAAGGCGTAGTCTGCTAT		
	CorinoE	Fwd	GGCTGCTGCCCTAAGTTCC		
	Serinco	Rev	CCGGCTTGAGTCTTTTTACAGA		
	Ddalah	Fwd	AAAGCGCAGCCGGTTACTAT		
	Pae3b	Rev	CACCACTGCTTCAAGTCCCAG		
	Tofrof14	Fwd	CAGGCCCCTACAGACAACAC		
	111115114	Rev	ACTCGTCTCCCACAAGGAACT		
	100001	Fwd	GTGATGCTCAGGTATCCATCCA		
	ICami	Rev	CACAGTTCTCAAAGCACAGCG		
	ltaam	Fwd	ATGGACGCTGATGGCAATACC		
	ngam	Rev	TCCCCATTCACGTCTCCCA		
	Diacrd	Fwd	ACCATTCCTAGATCGAACCGT		
	Ptger4	Rev	CACCACCCCGAAGATGAACAT		
	Ptgr1	Fwd	GAAGGCTTCCCTACGGACG		
		Rev	GGCTGCAACTCTCATGTAAGGA		
CDNA	46:0	Fwd	GCTCTCTTCGACAGCTACACG		
	ADIZ	Rev	ACACTTGCTAAGGATTGAGTGG		
	Egr2 Cd69	Fwd	GCCAAGGCCGTAGACAAAATC		
		Rev	CCACTCCGTTCATCTGGTCA		
		Fwd	CCCTTGGGCTGTGTTAATAGTG		
		Rev	AACTTCTCGTACAAGCCTGGG		
	lcosl	Fwd	ACGCCATTTCAACTTGAGTGG		
		Rev	TCCCTGGAGACTTGTAAGGCA		
	Cd79a	Fwd	TCTTCTTGTCATACGCCTGTTTG		
		Rev	GATGTTAGACTGAAGGCTGAACC		
	Corf	Fwd	CCTGGGCAACATTATGGTGGT		
	CCID	Rev	CAGAACGGTAGGGTGAGGACA		
	Hprt1	Fwd	TATGCCGAGGATTTGGAAAA		
		Rev	AATCCAGCAGGTCAGCAAAG		
	Gapdh	Fwd	CTGCACCACCAACTGCTTAG		
		Rev	GGATGCAGGGATGATGTTCT		
	Rpl13	Fwd	ATCGTGAGGTGCCCTACAGT		
		Rev	GACTCCGTGGACTTGTTTCG		
	l th	Fwd	TGGCAGGAGCTACTTCCCT		
		Rev	TCCAGTCTTTTCTGAGCCTGT		
	Tnf	Fwd	CCCTCACACTCAGATCATCTTCT		
	1111	Rev	GCTACGACGTGGGCTACAG		

Used for	Gene		Sequence (5'-3')		
		Fwd	AGGGCAGGGGAGGAAATTAC		
	Icam1_prom	Rev	GTCCTTCGCTGAGTGGAGAT		
	0.100	Fwd	CATGCTGCTGTTCATCACCA		
	Cab9_prom	Rev	GGCTGGTAGTCTTGGGTTCT		
	Diard oxE	Fwd	GCCGCTACAATCGTTCCTTT		
	Pigr1_ex5	Rev	ACCCCTGACTCCCATGAATG		
	Ddoob TSS	Fwd	GACCACTTCTTTCACGTCCG		
	Paeso_100	Rev	AACGACGGTCTCCTGAACAT		
	D-lo 2h int1	Fwd	TCCTCCTGTCTGCTTTGTCA		
	Paeso_inti	Rev	GGCTAGGAAGATGGCTCAGT		
	11	Fwd	TTGCAGGGTTCAAAGCTGAC		
	<i>Itgam_</i> prom	Rev	CAAACCCCACCACAGACATG		
	linem int?	Fwd	TACAGTGTGGTTGTTGCAGC		
	<i>ltgam_</i> int∠	Rev	CCAGGGGTGTTCACAGAAGA		
	44:0	Fwd	TGTACTTTCCCTGCCCAGTT		
	Abiz_prom	Rev	TTGCACAGGGTAGAGGAGTC		
ChIP	46:0 :-+1	Fwd	GGTCTAGGGTTTGTGGGTCA		
		Rev	AATCTCTGCCTGCCATGGTA		
	Core Tee	Fwd	CCTTGCCTACCAGAAATCGC		
	CCI0_133	Rev	GCTACTGGAGGAAGGAAGTGT		
	Core int?	Fwd	TGCAGCCAGTGAATTCCCTA		
		Rev	TCATTCTTCGCTCTGCTCCA		
	l arE prom	Fwd	CATCTCCCCTGTCCCTGTTT		
		Rev	CATATGCAGCAGAGGATGGC		
	LarE avE	Fwd	TCACCAGCTCCATCTCAGAC		
	Lgro_exo	Rev	CTTTGAACCCTAAGCGGCTG		
	SarinaE TSS	Fwd	GTTTCCCGAACGTGGATCTG		
		Rev	GATCCGCTTGCCTTTGGTAG		
	Sorino5 int1	Fwd	CGTGGGAGCTGGAATGTAGA		
	Sennes_Intr	Rev	ACCTCCCTATCTTCACCCCT		
	Tnfrsf14_pro	Fwd	CCCCACAAGACCTCCACTAG		
	m	Rev	TAACTCCAGCGGTGTCAAGT		
	Trafradd 20 int2	Fwd	CCTCCGTCCCAAACATCTCT		
	Thirst 13C_Int2	Rev	GACACAGGACTGAGGGAGAC		

Table S5. Related to STAR Methods. Primers used for qPCR.

Table S7. Related to STAR Methods. Assessing reliability of Confetti color combinations in separating sequence-defined B cell clonal clusters.

Germinal Center	Sequences	Clones	p(same clone)	p(same clone same color)
Mut_173_GC2.4	41	11	0.24	0.62
Mut_173_GC2.5	18	5	0.37	0.45
Mut_176_GC3.1	7	3	0.55	0.68
Mut_177_GC4.1	25	8	0.53	0.80
Mut_177_GC4.2	63	9	0.59	0.72
Mut_177_GC4.3	26	19	0.08	0.21
Mut_177_GC4.4	34	11	0.47	0.74
Mut_177_GC4.6	34	6	0.56	0.95
Mut_190_GC3i-S3	69	3	0.94	1.00
Mut_190_GC3i-S8-1	54	4	0.47	0.54
Mut_190_GC3i-S8-2	5	2	0.68	0.68
WT_154_GC3.3	14	8	0.16	0.62
WT_172_GC1.6	14	7	0.30	0.68
WT_174_GC1.2	27	15	0.13	0.32
WT_174_GC1.3	34	4	0.54	0.62
WT_182_GC2.2	1	1	1.00	1.00
WT_tx2_GC2.2	34	14	0.25	0.46
WT_tx2_GC2.3	6	4	0.33	1.00
WT_tx2_GC2.4	17	7	0.33	0.73
WT_tx2_GC2.5	31	9	0.40	0.86
Mean	29.11	7.84	0.42	0.67

Each row represents a germinal center (GC); p(same clone) is the probability that two random sequences (with replacement) within the GC are from the same clone; p(same clone | same color) is the probability that two random sequences of the same color combination are from the same clone. The row **Mean** contains mean values for all germinal centers excluding WT_182_GC2.2, which had only a single sequence.