Supplemental Material

Microthrombi Correlates with Infarction and Delayed Neurological Deficits After Subarachnoid Hemorrhage in Mice

Ari Dienel, Ph.D.,¹ Remya Veettil, Ph.D.,¹ Sung-Ha Hong, Ph.D.,² Kanako Matsumura, B.S.,¹ Peeyush Kumar T., Ph.D.,¹ Yuanqing Yan, Ph.D.,¹ Spiros Blackburn, M.D.,¹ Leomar Y. Ballester, M.D., Ph.D.^{1,3} Sean P. Marrelli, Ph.D.,² Louise D. McCullough, M.D., Ph.D.,² Devin W. M^cBride, Ph.D.¹

¹The Vivian L. Smith Department of Neurosurgery, ²Department of Neurology, McGovern Medical School, ³Department pf Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, Texas, USA

Running title: Delayed deficits after SAH in mice

Address correspondence to: Devin W. M°Bride, Ph.D. The Vivian L. Smith Department of Neurosurgery The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston Houston, Texas, USA 77030 *Phone:* (713) 500-6169 *Fax:* (713) 500-5590 *Email:* devin.w.mcbride@uth.tmc.edu

Supplemental Methods

Adult C57BL/6J mice (4-6 months old) were used in all experiments. Twenty-four male and 22 female mice were used in preliminary experiments. Based on our preliminary findings, sample size calculation suggested that 38 male (8 sham, 30 SAH) and 28 female (8 sham, 20 SAH) mice were needed to validate our preliminary findings. Animals were housed in a humidity- and temperature-controlled room with a 12 hour light-dark cycle, and given ad libitum access to food and water. Mice were electronically randomized into either the sham or SAH group according to sample size calculations. The same surgeon performed SAH and sham surgeries and all animals were treated with the same amount of buprenorphine and saline (on the day of surgery). All investigators responsible for functional assessment, measurement of outcomes, and data analysis were blinded to sex and experimental groups.

Subarachnoid hemorrhage was induced via endovascular perforation in mice as previously described.¹ Briefly, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (5% induction, 1.5-2.5% maintained). Buprenorphine was subcutaneously injected (0.05-0.1 mg/kg). The animal was placed supine, then the surgical site was shaved, bupivacaine (2 mg/kg, subcutaneous) was given, and the surgical site was sterilized with betadine and 70% ethanol. A vertical midline incision was made in the neck and the external carotid and common carotid arteries were isolated. The external carotid artery was ligated, leaving a stump, and then the internal carotid artery was isolated. Mice were then endotracheally intubated using the plastic cannula of an intravenous 20 Gauge catheter. Vessel clips were placed on the common carotid and internal carotid arteries to

momentarily stop blood flow. A cut was made in the external carotid artery stump and a 5-0 monofilament nylon suture was inserted. The vessel clip on the internal carotid artery was removed and the suture was advanced until vessel perforation (approximately 11 mm). The suture was not inserted more than 12 mm to prevent advancing the suture too far. The suture was withdrawn and the neck incision was sutured. Immediately following perforation, breathing rate becomes irregular and typically ceases for 5-30 seconds²⁻⁴ (Table I). Mice which were not breathing 1.5 minutes after perforation were then connected to a mechanical ventilator and ventilated for 5-10 minutes (RoVent Jr., Kent Scientific). When the animal was able to breath without mechanical support, it was allowed to recover. Afterwards, mice were placed back into their home cages and housed in groups of 1-5 mice per cage. Analgesic and saline were given BID for 3 days as necessary for mice subjected to SAH. Animals allocated into the sham group underwent all the same surgical procedures.

Mice were allowed to survive for up to 7 days after SAH. Animals were assessed a minimum of three times per day for health status by our lab and UTHealth Vet staff. Mice which were determined unable to survive overnight were euthanized and brains were collected for post-mortem analysis of vasospasm and microthrombi.

Behavioral performance was assessed every day from days 1-7 post-SAH using an 8-test neuroscore which evaluates functional performance in exploration, climbing, forelimb and hindlimb use, whisker and side sensation, balance, and visual reflex.¹ Table II shows the specifics of the scoring criteria for the neuroscore.

Five minutes before euthanasia, mice received a subcutaneous injection of heparin (5,000 Units/kg) to minimize the development of new thrombi which may occur during perfusion.^{5, 6} At the time of euthanasia or 7 days post-SAH, mice (n=4-5/group) underwent transcardial perfusion of PBS, then Dil (12µg/mL, 1,1'-dioctadecyl-3,3,3',3'-tetramethylindocarbocyanine perchlorate), followed by 4% PFA at 80-100 mmHg.⁶ The remaining mice in each group did not have Dil perfused. Brains were removed and stored in 4% PFA overnight, then PBS until vibratome sectioning. Prior to vibratome section, the circle of Willis was imaged in Dil stained brains using Leica M205FA stereo-fluorescence microscope. These images were used to quantify large artery diameters (the surrogate marker for vasospasm). Vessel painting has been previously shown to yield vessel diameters similar to those measured using the gelatin-India ink method.⁵ Figure I is a schematic of mouse usage and allocation into the various endpoints.

We allocated 5 mice per group for vessel painting with Dil to quantify the large arter diameters to assess vasospasm. Our sample size calculation used the data provided by Aum *et al.*⁵ which had a mean difference of 25 and a SD of 8 (Figure 4 of the article by Aum *et al.*⁵). Using this mean difference and SD, for a power of 0.8 and an alpha of 0.05, Sigmaplot estimates that 4 mice per group is sufficient to test for a statistical difference among 3 groups. We allocated 5 mice per group so account for any potential mortalities (of which we had 1 Male SAH mouse) (Figure I).

Table I. Respiration Changes Observed from Suture Insertion until Suture Removal. No sham mouse showed any respiratory changes or distress. SAH mice which developed delayed deficits are highlighted in grey.

	SAH Males		SAH Females
Mouse	Respiration Changes	Mouse	Respiration Changes
1	Irregular for ~5sec	1	Apnea for ~45sec
2	Apnea for ~30sec	2	Apnea for ~40sec
3	Apnea for ~20sec	3	Irregular for ~30sec
4	Irregular for ~10sec	4	Irregular for ~15sec
5	Irregular for ~15sec	5	Apnea for ~30sec
6	Apnea for ~60sec	6	Apnea for ~15sec
7	Apnea for ~10sec	7	Apnea for 90sec
8	Apnea for ~15sec	8	Irregular for ~5sec
9	Apnea for ~15sec	9	Apnea for ~30sec
10	Irregular for ~30sec	10	Irregular for ~40sec
11	Irregular for ~10sec	11	Apnea for 90sec
12	Irregular for ~10sec	12	Apnea for ~30sec
13	Irregular for ~60sec	13	Apnea for ~30sec
14	Irregular for ~5sec	14	Irregular for ~10sec
15	Irregular for ~30sec	15	Irregular for ~60sec
16	Apnea for ~45sec	16	Irregular for ~15sec
17	Apnea for 90sec	17	Normal Respiration
18	Irregular for ~10sec	18	Apnea for ~10sec
19	Died from apnea	19	Irregular for ~10sec
20	Apnea for ~40sec	20	Irregular for ~30sec
21	Irregular for ~15sec		
22	Irregular for ~40sec		
23	Irregular for ~15sec		
24	Irregular for ~5sec		
25	Apnea for ~60sec		
26	Apnea for ~45sec		
27	Normal Respiration		
28	Apnea for ~10sec		
29	Irregular for ~5sec		
30	Normal Respiration		

Table II. Neuroscore for Evaluating Functional Deficits after Subarachnoid Hemorrhage in Mice. Score range is from 0 (maximum deficits) to 24 (no deficits).

Sub-Test	0	1	2	3
Spontaneous Activity (3 min)	No Movement	Minimal Movement	Touches 1-2 Walls	Touches 3-4 Walls While Standing on Hind-Limbs
Climbing (1 min)	Weak Grip and Fall Down	Climbs but Doesn't Reach Top and Weak Grip	Climbs to Top and Weak Grip or Doesn't Climb to Top and Good Grip	Climbs to Top and Strong Grip
Balance	Tumbles	Stands, Sways	Sways as Walking	Changes Position Easily
Side Stroking	No Response	Unilateral Response	Bilateral Weak Response or Strong Ipsilateral Response	Strong Bilateral Response
Vibrissae Touch (stroke whiskers)	No Response	Unilateral Response	Weak Bilateral Response	Strong Bilateral Response
Visual (tip toward each eye)	No Response	Unilateral Response	Weak Bilateral Response	Strong/Rapid Bilateral Response
Forelimb Use	Severe Bilateral Deficits	Severe Unilateral Deficits	Slight Deficits (Unilateral or Bilateral)	None
Hindlimb Use	Severe Bilateral Deficits	Severe Unilateral Deficits	Slight Deficits (Unilateral or Bilateral)	None



any Sham get delayed deficits. Four males (one died immediately after perforation, three died overnight after surgery) and one female (died 6 hours post-SAH) were excluded. For the microthrombi counts and H&E vasospasm analysis, 10 male and 10 female mice which did not develop DND were used, and all mice which developed DND were used (n=6 males and n=9 females). EBI: early brain injury.

The ACA and MCA territories were sectioned into 40 μ m thick brain slices following the method of Wang *et al.*⁴ After removal of the brain post-perfusion, we used a brain matrix (Kent Scientific) to separate the brain into two pieces at bregma. The first piece was the anterior portion of the brain which had the anterior and middle cerebral arteries. The second piece was the remaining portion of the brain which was coronally-sectioned into 40 μ m thick slices. The first piece was embedded into the agar mold, and sagittal sections (sixty 40 μ m thick slices were taken to visualize the middle cerebral artery) were taken from one side. The brain was then re-positioned so sagittal sections (sixty 40 μ m thick slices were taken to visualize the middle cerebral artery) were taken to visualize the middle cerebral artery) were taken from one side. The brain was then re-positioned so sagittal sections (sixty 40 μ m thick slices were taken to visualize the middle cerebral artery) were taken from one side. The brain was then re-positioned so the taken from the other side of the brain. After saggitally-sectioning each side of the anterior piece of the brain, the brain was re-positioned so the remaining piece could be coronally-sectioned (40 μ m thick slices to visualize the anterior cerebral arteries). See Figure II for anatomical details.

Brain sections were used to assess vasospasm (a secondary method for measuring large artery diameters) and microthrombi. Mice which underwent Dil staining for vessel diameters also were used to assess microthrombi and large artery diameters (using H&E). Vasospasm was assessed using H&E stained sagittal sections for MCA measurement, and coronal sections were used for measurement of the ACA (+2.5 to 0 mm from bregma), ICA (0 to -3 from bregma), and BA (-5.5 to -8 from bregma).



Microthrombi counting was performed in coronal sections at -2 from bregma⁴ using two staining methods: H&E staining and Martius, Scarlet, and Blue (MSB) staining. Both staining methods are conventional methods for assessing thrombi.⁷⁻¹⁰ The mice which were used for vasospasm analysis were also used for microthrombi counts and infarction.

All tests were two sided. Data is presented as individual data points or mean with standard deviation. Mice dying from early brain injury (0-48 hours post-SAH) were excluded from analysis. Nonparametric analysis of longitudinal neurobehavior data was performed using a F1-LD-F1 design in nparLD R software package. Vasospasm and microthrombi count were analyzed using one-way ANOVAs with Tukey's HSD post-hoc test. Survival analysis was performed to analyze the time to DND event data. The survival time was calculated from the day of perforation to the date of DND or the last follow up (*i.e.* day 7). A Kaplan-Meier survival curve was used to plot the time to DND and the statistical significance was analyzed by log-rank test. Correlation was computed using a point-biserial correlation coefficient. GraphPad Prism 6 (La Jolla, CA, USA) was used for analysis. We confirmed all statistical analyses with SigmaPlot v11.0.

Microthrombi were counted for the entire coronal section at -2 from bregma⁴ using two staining methods: H&E staining and Martius, Scarlet, and Blue (MSB) staining. Both staining methods are conventional methods for assessing thrombi.⁷⁻¹⁰ Microthrombi counting was performed by the same individual for all brains. MSB stained slices were observed at 20x and every microthrombi (identified by red staining within the vasculature) was counted throughout the entire slice (-2 from bregma). The lengths of the microthrombi were not quantified; indeed some microthrombi are longer than others. While longer microthrombi may be more deleterious to the tissue, the reason count was used rather than length is because some vessels were in-plane, while others were perpendicular to the plane. Thus, we thought that assessing length would introduce artifacts if one brain slice had more in-plane vessels versus another slice.

Infarct volume was assessed in MSB-stained brain slices every mm from +2 to -3 from Bregma. For each slice, an investigator blinded to experimental group and sex identified the infarct area(s) (mm²). After all six slices was assessed, the areas within each slice were summed and multiplied by the distance between the slices to obtain the infarct volume.

All tests were two sided. Data is presented as individual data points or mean with standard deviation. Mice dying from early brain injury (0-48 hours post-SAH) were excluded from analysis. Nonparametric analysis of longitudinal neurobehavior data was performed using a F1-LD-F1 design in nparLD R software package. Vasospasm and microthrombi count were analyzed using one-way ANOVAs with Tukey's HSD post-hoc test. Survival analysis was performed to analyze the time to DND event data. The survival time was calculated from the day of perforation to the date of DND or the last follow up (*i.e.* day 7). A Kaplan-Meier survival curve was used to plot the time to DND and the statistical significance was analyzed by log-rank test. Correlations were computed using point-biserial correlation coefficient (for correlation with DND) or Pearson correlation coefficients (for correlations among microthrombi count, large artery diameters, and infarct volume). GraphPad Prism 6 (La Jolla, CA, USA) was used for analysis. We confirmed all statistical analyses with SigmaPlot v11.0.

Supplemental Results and Discussion

Preliminary Findings on Delayed Deficits

In our preliminary studies, we observed that mice with SAH recovered from their day 1 functional deficits (as expected), but a small subset of mice would experience a drastic decline in functional performance during days 3-5 post-SAH. Indeed, a number of these mice would die during this delayed time period despite having near-perfect functional performances the day before. In our preliminary study, we observed 4/24 males (17%) and 8/22 females (36%) developed delayed deficits 3-5 days post-SAH. Although at the time we did were not sure if a sex difference was real (odds ratio was 2.18), we realized that what we were observing was similar to the delayed neurological decline described in humans suffering from SAH. Thus, the current study was designed to validate our preliminary findings. Before doing so, we had to define delayed deficits in mice. We used a composite neuroscoring system which is sensitive to SAH injury.¹ Using the data from the preliminary dataset of 24 males and 22 females, we examined the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for each subtest of the composite neuroscore (Table III). The motor subtests (spontaneous activity, climbing, balance, forelimb use, and hindlimb use) are able to identify mice with DND from mice which do not develop DND; the three reflex subtests (side stroking vibrissae touch, and visual reflex) were unable to distinguish DND mice from mice without DND. We confirmed this analysis using the cohorts described within this manuscript and got results which had the same statistical meaning. Based on the ROC analysis, we defined delayed neurological deficits as a drop of 5 or more points within the motor subtests (spontaneous activity, climbing, balance, forelimb use, and hindlimb use) after a recovery of some function from post-SAH day 1. Mice must have some functional recovery prior to declining to be classified as DND. If no recovery occurs, then the mouse may have just declined due to early brain injury or some other cause.

Table III. Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Curve Analysis for the Individual Sub-Tests for SAH Mice With and Without DND. Bold fond indicates statistical significance after correcting for multiple comparisons with the Bonferroni method (*i.e.* p<0.00625 is statistically significant). AUC: area under the curve.

	AUC (95% Confidence Interval)	p-Value
Spontaneous Activity	0.9643 (0.8843 to 1.044)	<0.0001
Climbing	0.9578 (0.8750 to 1.041)	<0.0001
Balance	0.8929 (0.7605 to 1.025)	<0.0001
Forelimb Use	0.9286 (0.8179 to 1.039)	<0.0001
Hindlimb Use	0.9643 (0.8843 to 1.044)	<0.0001
Side Stroking	0.6948 (0.5121 to 0.8775)	0.0516
Vibrissae Touch	0.6883 (0.4993 to 0.8773)	0.0599
Visual Reflex	0.6948 (0.5121 to 0.8775)	0.0516

Current Findings

Of mice receiving SAH, one male and one female were excluded due to hemiparesis which was observed upon recovery from anesthesia following SAH surgery. These mice were replaced.

Six of twenty-six (23%) male mice subjected to SAH developed DND on days 3 (n=1), 5 (n=3), and 6 (n=2) post-SAH. Nine of nineteen (47%) female SAH mice got DND on days 3-6 following SAH; three on day 3, one on day 4, six on day 5, and one on day 6. Two of the females which developed DND on day 3 recovered before deteriorating again (Figure 1, Tables IV and V).

Since in both of our cohorts for delayed deficits showed that females experienced delayed deficits at higher odds ratios, we tested the time to delayed deficits for statistical differences between males and females. Female mice have a statistically significant faster time to delayed deficits than male mice (Figure 1C), suggesting that female sex may be a risk factor for experiencing DND. This finding in mice is also observed in human SAH patients.¹¹

When testing for the group effect in neurobehavioral performance, there are significant differences in functional performance between sham and SAH mice on days 1-3, but due to the ability of mice to spontaneously recover,¹² no significance is observed at later time-points (days 4-7 for males, days 6 and 7 for females) (Figure III). Overall injury effect (*i.e.* sham vs SAH performance) is statistically significant for both sexes (p<0.0001 for males, p<0.0001 for females).

To confirm our microthrombi counts, we performed 4 separate methods for viewing microthrombi. First, we used a specific staining method which is able to differentiate red blood cells from a thrombi; the MSB staining method (Figure 2) stains red blood cells yellow while fibrin stains red (Figure IV).⁷⁻¹⁰ Second, we used H&E staining which has been widely used for microthrombi assessment.⁴ Our counts from the MSB and H&E stained brains is presented in Tables VI-VIII. Our counts from H&E stained brain sections and MSB stained sections were similar with MSB staining counts being slightly higher in SAH mice with DND and slightly lower in sham mice (Table VI-VIII). In fact, it seems that MSB staining may be a better method than H&E since microthrombi are more clearly distinguished from the surrounding tissue (see Figures 2, IV and V). Thus, the microthrombi counts from MSB stained brain slices are reported in Figure 2 and were used for statistical and correlation analysis.

One concern with detecting microthrombi using H&E staining is whether or not the Eosin stain is showing a thrombi or erythrocytes. While perfusion should remove all erythrocytes from the vasculature, there is the possibility of poor/incomplete perfusion, especially within the microvasculature. Since the MSB stain can differentiate erythrocytes from thrombi (Figure IV), it seems to be a better stain than H&E for thrombi within the brain; the MSB stain provides more confidence in microthrombi counts and removes potential artifacts from poorly/incompletely perfused blood vessels. See Figures IV and V for representative images for each of the two staining methods.

No statistical differences were observed for the microthrombi counts of male versus female shams (p=0.8424), male versus female SAH mice without DND (p=0.5419), or male versus female SAH mice with DND (p=0.2870).



Figure III. Neuroscore Performance of Mice after SAH. **A.** Male Mice (Sham: n=8 on all days; SAH: n=26 Days 1-2, n=25 Day 3, n=23 Days 4-5, n=22 Day6, and n=20 Day 7). **B.** Female Mice (Sham: n=8 on all days; SAH: n=19 Days 1-4, n=18 Day 5, n=16 Day 6, n=14 Day 7). Left graphs show the mean with standard deviation. Right graphs show the Box and Whiskers plots (line: median, Box is 25% and 75%, Ears: Minimum and Maximum). Analyzed using nonparametric two-way ANOVA (F1-LD-F1 design). * p<0.05 Sham vs SAH at the indicated time-point.



Figure IV. Representative Images of MSB staining. Red stains fibrin(ogen) (*e.g.* thrombi). Yellow stains erythrocytes and can stain new fibrin(ogen). Blue stains collagen. Cell nuclei are stained black. **A.** Several different microthrombi sizes can easily be identified. Thrombi (red) is easily differentiated from erythrocytes within blood vessels (**B**) and/or extravasated blood (**C-D**) (yellow). Poor/incomplete perfusion or lack of perfusion are possible reasons for erythrocytes to remain in blood vessels. Another possibility is erythrocytes were either trapped within a thrombus or trapped within the blood vessel immediately preceding a thrombi (as seen in **B**).



Table IV. Individual Mouse Performances on Neurobehavior and Death/Euthanasia Date from the 30 Males Experiencing SAH. Overall, 6/26 males (23%) surviving for more than 2 days had delayed neurological deficits. Day euthanized is the day the mouse was sacrificed for tissue collection. All mice with a value in the "Day of Histological Analysis" were used to assess vasospasm and microthrombi at the indicated day; mice with (Dil) were also used for vasospasm analysis via vessel painting. For SAH mice which did not develop DND, vasospasm and microthrombi were assessed on day 7. For SAH mice developed DND, vasospasm and microthrombi were assessed on day 5.7 (SD=1.37). Grey highlight shows mice which exhibited DND. Bold font indicates the day of delayed deficits. Mice 3, 26, and 28 died overnight before behavioral performance could be assessed on day 1. Mouse 19 died immediately following perforation despite resuscitation attempts. Mice 3, 19, 26 and 28 were excluded from analysis in this study.

			Ν	eurosco	re			Day of	Day of Histological
	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 6	Day 7	Death/Euthanasia	Analysis
1	18	23	24	24	23	24	24	7	7
2	17	22	19	24	24	24	24	7	7
3								0	
4	21	23	20	24	24	24	24	7	
5	20	24	24	22	19	24	24	7	7 (Dil)
6	13	9	7					3	
7	19	22	22	23	24	24	24	7	7 (Dil)
8	10	16	9					3	3
9	17	23	24	23	24	24	24	7	
10	18	24	24	24	23	24	24	7	
11	17	19	21	17	16	24	24	7	7 (Dil)
12	17	17	23	21	23	24	24	7	7 (Dil)
13	12	13	14	12	9			5	5 (Dil)
14	20	23	24	21	24	24	24	7	
15	14	10	10	13	11	13	12	7	7
16	11	10	17	18	19	20	19	7	7
17	14	17	19	19	19	20	21	7	
18	12	11	15	16	18	18	21	7	7 (Dil)
19								0	
20	19	22	24	23	24	24	24	7	
21	13	21	18	19	19	20	21	7	
22	17	18	20	23	22	23	24	7	7 (Dil)
23	8	7						2	
24	17	21	24	24	24	24	24	7	7
25	20	21	20	23	21	3		6	6 (Dil)
26								0	
27	19	22	22	24	24	24	24	7	
28								0	
29	13	16	16	17	13	8		6	6
30	19	22	23	23	24	24	23	7	7 (Dil)

Table V. Individual Mouse Performances on Neurobehavior and Death/Euthanasia Date from the 20 Females Experiencing SAH. Overall, 9/19 females (47%) surviving for more than 2 days had delayed neurological deficits. Day euthanized is the day the mouse was sacrificed for tissue collection. All mice with a value in the "Day of Histological Analysis" were used to assess vasospasm and microthrombi at the indicated day; mice with (Dil) were also used for vasospasm analysis via vessel painting. For SAH mice which did not develop DND, vasospasm and microthrombi were assessed on day 7. For SAH mice developed DND, vasospasm and microthrombi were assessed on day 6 (SD=1.05). Grey highlight shows mice which exhibited DND. Bold font indicates the day of delayed deficits. Mouse 3 died 6 hours after SAH and was excluded from analysis in this study.

	Neuroscore						Day of	Day of	
	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 6	Day 7	Death/Euthanasia	Histological Analysis
1	20	23	24	24	19	24	24	7	7 (Dil)
2	18	24	23	23	24	24	24	7	7
3								0	
4	17	20	13	24	24	2		6	6
5	18	22	24	23	24	24	24	7	7
6	13	15	16	6				4	4 (Dil)
7	13	19	14	23	12	6		6	6 (Dil)
8	17	23	23	23	17	23	22	7	7 (Dil)
9	19	23	23	24	24	24	24	7	7 (Dil)
10	20	22	23	23	23	24	23	7	7 (Dil)
11	20	22	17	15	12			5	5 (Dil)
12	20	21	23	24	16	16	15	7	7
13	18	20	22	24	24	24	23	7	7
14	17	23	20	23	23	24	20	7	7 (Dil)
15	21	20	21	23	8			5	5
16	17	23	23	24	18	20	23	7	7
17	15	20	22	23	23	23	23	7	7
18	13	20	23	20	22	23	24	7	7
19	15	16	14	21	22	23	23	7	7 (Dil)
20	17	18	19	23	22	24	23	7	7 (Dil)

	Mean (95% Confidence Interval)	p-Value
MSB Staining		
Male		
Sham	3.375 (-0.1983 to 6.948)	N/A
SAH without DND	109.5 (71.15 to 149.9)	0.0469 vs Sham
SAH with DND	491.2 (276.2 to 706.1)	<0.0001 vs Sham <0.0001 vs SAH without DND
Female		
Sham	3.0 (0.4721 to 5.528)	N/A
SAH without DND	94.90 (58.15 to 131.7)	0.0485 vs Sham
SAH with DND	388.0 (268.2 to 507.8)	<0.0001 vs Sham
		0.0276 vs SAH without DND
H&E Staining		
Male		
Sham	2.625 (0.6803 to 4.570)	N/A
SAH without DND	42.40 (34.86 to 49.94)	0.0219 vs Sham
	173 7 (62 17 to 285 2)	<0.0001 vs Sham
	173.7 (02.17 10 203.2)	0.0853 vs SAH without DND
Female		
Sham	2.250 (0.3673 to 4.133)	N/A
SAH without DND	59.20 (34.02 to 84.38)	0.0405 vs Sham
SAH with DND	220.8 (130.2 to 311.3)	<0.0001 vs Sham 0.0451 vs SAH without DND

Table VI. Microthrombi Statistical Analysis for Each Experimental Group for Each Sex. Shamn=8/sex, SAH without DND n=10/sex, SAH with DND n=6 males n=9 females. Microthrombi countis number per brain slice. Bold font denotes statistical significance.

	Mean (95% Confidence Interval)	p-Value
MSB Staining		
Sham		
Male	3.375 (-0.1983 to 6.948)	N/A
Female	3.0 (0.4721 to 5.528)	0.8424 vs Male
SAH without DND		
Male	109.5 (71.15 to 149.9)	N/A
Female	94.90 (58.15 to 131.7)	0.5419 vs Male
SAH with DND		
Male	491.2 (276.2 to 706.1)	N/A
Female	388.0 (268.2 to 507.8)	0.2870 vs Male
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
H&E Staining		
Sham		
Male	2.625 (0.6803 to 4.570)	N/A
Female	2.250 (0.3673 to 4.133)	0.7481 vs Male
SAH without DND		
Male	42.40 (34.86 to 49.94)	N/A
Female	59.20 (34.02 to 84.38)	0.1655 vs Male
SAH with DND		
Male	173.7 (62.17 to 285.2)	N/A
Female	220.8 (130.2 to 311.3)	0.4451 vs Male
H&E Staining Sham Male Female SAH without DND Male Female SAH with DND Male Female	2.625 (0.6803 to 4.570) 2.250 (0.3673 to 4.133) 42.40 (34.86 to 49.94) 59.20 (34.02 to 84.38) 173.7 (62.17 to 285.2) 220.8 (130.2 to 311.3)	N/A 0.7481 vs Male N/A 0.1655 vs Male N/A 0.4451 vs Male

Table VII. Microthrombi Statistical Analysis between Male and Female Mice. Sham n=8/sex, SAH without DND n=10/sex, SAH with DND n=6 males n=9 females. Microthrombi count is number per brain slice. Bold font denotes statistical significance.

Table VIII. Microthrombi Statistical Analysis between Groups when Male and Female Data is Combined. Microthrombi count is number per brain slice. Sham n=8/sex, SAH without DND n=10/sex, SAH with DND n=6 males n=9 females. Bold font denotes statistical significance.

	Mean (95% Confidence Interval)	p-Value
MSB Staining		
Sham	3.188 (1.279 to 5.096)	N/A
SAH without DND	102.2 (78.03 to 126.4)	0.0148 vs Sham
SAH with DND	429.3 (330.8 to 527.7)	<0.0001 vs Sham <0.0001 vs SAH without DND
H&E Staining		
Sham	2.438 (1.254 to 3.621)	N/A
SAH without DND	50.80 (38.29 to 61.31)	0.0658 vs Sham
SAH with DND	201.9 (139.9 to 263.9)	<0.0001 vs Sham <0.0001 vs SAH without DND

Table IX. Correlations Between DND and Microthrombi, Vasospasm, or Infarction. The pointbiserial correlation coefficients were computed to test for correlations between DND and microthrombi count, or large artery diameters, or infarct volume in SAH mice. Bold font denotes statistical significance.

	Correlation Coefficient, r	p-Value
Mionothuonohi	(95% Confidence fillerval)	
<u>IVIICIOTITIONDI</u>	0.0050 (0.5000 to 0.0445)	.0.0004
	0.8353 (0.5806 to 0.9415)	<0.0001
Female	0.8069 (0.5567 to 0.9229)	<0.0001
Lorgo Artony		
<u>Large Artery</u> Diamotor		
<u>L-ACA</u> Mala	0.4450 (0.9500 to 0.0440)	0.0000
	-0.4450(-0.8562(0)0.3112)	0.2300
	0.1582 (-0.5237 10 0.7 166)	0.0024
<u>R-ACA</u>		0.0450
	-0.4325 (-0.8520 to 0.3252)	0.2450
Female	-0.3511 (-0.8032 to 0.3578)	0.3199
<u>L-MCA</u>		
Male	-0.06169 (-0.6973 to 0.6283)	0.8747
Female	-0.2658 (-0.7671 to 0.4371)	0.4579
<u>R-MCA</u>		
Male	0.1652 (-0.5606 to 0.7474)	0.6710
Female	-0.0064 (-0.6336 to 0.6259)	0.9860
<u>L-ICA</u>		
Male	-0.1984 (-0.7622 to 0.5366)	0.6089
Female	-0.5198 (-0.8661 to 0.1634)	0.1235
<u>R-ICA</u>		
Male	-0.5327 (-0.8841 to 0.2035)	0.1398
Female	-0.3774 (-0.8138 to 0.3310)	0.2823
BA		
Male	0.0334 (-0.6452 to 0.6825)	0.9321
	-0.4977 (-0.8584 to 0.1923)	0.1432
Infarct Volume		
Male	0.7562 (0.4031 to 0.9133)	0.0017
Female	0.6351 (0.2405 to 0.8495)	0.0035

Table X. Infarct Volume Statistical Analyses. Sham n=8/sex, SAH without DND n=10/sex, SAH with DND n=6 males n=9 females. Infarct areas were calculated every mm from +2 to -3 from Bregma in MSB stained slices and summed to obtain the volumes. Bold font denotes statistical significance.

•	Mean (95% Confidence	p-Value		
	Interval)	Group Comparison	Male vs Female	
Male				
Sham	0.43 (0.120 to 0.740)	N/A	N/A	
SAH without DND	3.90 (2.47 to 5.34)	0.0754 vs Sham	N/A	
SAH with DND	10.4 (4.25 to 16.6)	<0.0001 vs Sham 0.0018 vs SAH without DND	N/A	
Female				
Sham	0.41 (0.261 to 0.564)	N/A	0.9062 vs Male Sham	
SAH without DND	3.12 (1.69 to 4.55)	0.6402 vs Sham	0.3957 vs Male SAH without DND	
SAH with DND	11.3 (3.10 to 19.5)	0.0043 vs Sham 0.0240 vs SAH without DND	0.8546 vs Male SAH with DND	
Data Combined				
Sham	0.42 (0.271 to 0.572)	N/A	N/A	
SAH without DND	3.51 (2.58 to 4.45)	0.1583 vs Sham	N/A	
SAH with DND	11.0 (6.07 to 15.8)	<0.0001 vs Sham 0.0002 vs SAH without DND	N/A	

Table XI. Correlations Between Infarction and Microthrombi, Vasospasm, or Sex. The pointbiserial correlation coefficients were computed to test for correlations between infarct volumes and microthrombi count, or large artery diameters, or sex in SAH mice. Bold font denotes statistical significance.

	Correlation Coefficient, r	p-Value
Mionothuonohi	(95% Confidence fillerval)	
<u>IVIICIOTITIONDI</u>	0.0050 (0.5000 to 0.0445)	.0.0004
	0.8353 (0.5806 to 0.9415)	<0.0001
Female	0.8069 (0.5567 to 0.9229)	<0.0001
Lorgo Artony		
<u>Large Artery</u> Diamotor		
<u>L-ACA</u> Mala	0.4450 (0.9500 to 0.0440)	0.0000
	-0.4450(-0.8562(0)0.3112)	0.2300
	0.1582 (-0.5237 10 0.7 166)	0.0024
<u>R-ACA</u>		0.0450
	-0.4325 (-0.8520 to 0.3252)	0.2450
Female	-0.3511 (-0.8032 to 0.3578)	0.3199
<u>L-MCA</u>		
Male	-0.06169 (-0.6973 to 0.6283)	0.8747
Female	-0.2658 (-0.7671 to 0.4371)	0.4579
<u>R-MCA</u>		
Male	0.1652 (-0.5606 to 0.7474)	0.6710
Female	-0.0064 (-0.6336 to 0.6259)	0.9860
<u>L-ICA</u>		
Male	-0.1984 (-0.7622 to 0.5366)	0.6089
Female	-0.5198 (-0.8661 to 0.1634)	0.1235
<u>R-ICA</u>		
Male	-0.5327 (-0.8841 to 0.2035)	0.1398
Female	-0.3774 (-0.8138 to 0.3310)	0.2823
BA		
Male	0.0334 (-0.6452 to 0.6825)	0.9321
	-0.4977 (-0.8584 to 0.1923)	0.1432
Infarct Volume		
Male	0.7562 (0.4031 to 0.9133)	0.0017
Female	0.6351 (0.2405 to 0.8495)	0.0035

Table XII. Large Artery Diameter Statistical Analysis for Male Mice. Diameters (μ m) were determined using vessel painted (Dil) brains. Sham n=5, SAH without DND n=5, SAH with DND n=4. L: left, R: right, ACA: anterior cerebral artery, MCA: middle cerebral artery. Bold font denotes statistical significance.

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Mean (95% Confidence Interval)	p-Value
Sham 127.6 (122.7 to 132.5) N/A SAH without DND 12.8 (116.3 to 131.3) 0.8173 vs Sham 0.1200 vs Sham 0.2869 vs SAH without DND R-ACA Sham 12704 (122.0 to 132.8) N/A 0.0689 vs Sham 0.0689 vs Sham 0.0063 vs Sham 0.0063 vs Sham 0.3109 vs SAH without DND 100.0 (85.02 to 115.0) 0.3109 vs SAH without DND 0.0063 vs Sham 0.3109 vs SAH without DND 112.4 (91.41 to 133.4) 0.0032 vs Sham 0.3109 vs SAH without DND 111.3 vs Sham 0.11133 vs Sham 0.11133 vs Sham 0.1133 vs Sham 0.3109 vs SAH without DND 110.5 (82.08 to 138.9) >0.9999 vs SAH without DND 110.5 (82.08 to 138.9) >0.9999 vs SAH without DND 0.0122 vs Sham 0.0122 vs Sham 0.0122 vs Sham 0.00300 vs Sham 0.6052 vs SAH without DND 0.1133 vs Sham 0.6052 vs SAH without DND 0.1228 vs Sham 0.02699 vs SAH 0.1128 vs Sham 0.1228 vs	L-ACA		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Sham	127.6 (122.7 to 132.5)	N/A
SAH with DND 113.3 (86.39 to 140.1) 0.1200 vs Sham 0.2869 vs SAH without DND R-ACA Sham 12704 (122.0 to 132.8) N/A SAH without DND 110.8 (92.59 to 129.0) 0.0689 vs Sham 0.3109 vs SAH without DND SAH with DND 100.0 (85.02 to 115.0) 0.0063 vs Sham 0.3109 vs SAH without DND L-MCA N/A Sham 128.4 (120.3 to 136.5) N/A SAH without DND 112.4 (91.41 to 133.4) 0.0932 vs Sham 0.1133 vs Sham SAH with DND 110.5 (82.08 to 138.9) >0.9999 vs SAH without DND R-MCA N/A 0.0030 vs Sham 0.6052 vs Sham SAH without DND 107.3 (95.90 to 118.6) 0.6052 vs SAH without DND L-ICA N/A 0.1128 vs Sham 0.6052 vs SAH without DND SAH with DND 107.3 (95.90 to 118.6) 0.1228 vs Sham 0.6052 vs SAH SAH without DND 135.8 (122.3 to 149.3) 0.1118 vs Sham 0.1228 vs Sham SAH without DND 135.0 (142.0 to 164.0) N/A SAH without DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.4) 0.9216 vs Sham 0.2000 vs SAH without DND SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.1131 vs Sham 0.2000 vs SAH without DND <	SAH without DND	12.8 (116.3 to 131.3)	0.8173 vs Sham
R-ACA Sham 12704 (122.0 to 132.8) SAH without DND N/A SAH without DND 110.8 (92.59 to 129.0) 0.0689 vs Sham 0.3109 vs SAH SAH with DND 100.0 (85.02 to 115.0) 0.0063 vs Sham 0.3109 vs SAH without DND L-MCA	SAH with DND	113.3 (86.39 to 140.1)	0.1200 vs Sham 0.2869 vs SAH without DND
Sham 12704 (122.0 to 132.8) N/A SAH without DND 110.8 (92.59 to 129.0) 0.0689 vs Sham SAH with DND 100.0 (85.02 to 115.0) 0.0063 vs Sham L-MCA 0.3109 vs SAH without DND Sham 128.4 (120.3 to 136.5) N/A Sham 128.4 (120.3 to 136.5) N/A SAH without DND 112.4 (91.41 to 133.4) 0.0932 vs Sham SAH with DND 110.5 (82.08 to 138.9) >0.9999 vs SAH without DND R-MCA 0.1133 vs Sham >0.9999 vs SAH without DND R-MCA 0.00300 vs Sham 0.6052 vs Sham SAH with DND 107.3 (95.90 to 118.6) 0.00300 vs Sham 0.6052 vs SAH without DND 107.3 (95.90 to 118.6) 0.6052 vs SAH without DND L-ICA Sham 147.2 (141.8 to 152.6) N/A SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) 0.1118 vs Sham 0.1228 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) >0.9999 vs SAH without DND R-ICA 0.1118 vs Sham 0.1128 vs Sham 0.1128 vs Sham SAH with DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.4) 0.9216 vs Sham	<u>R-ACA</u>		
SAH without DND 110.8 (92.59 to 129.0) 0.0689 vs Sham SAH with DND 100.0 (85.02 to 115.0) 0.0063 vs Sham L-MCA N/A Sham 128.4 (120.3 to 136.5) N/A SAH without DND 112.4 (91.41 to 133.4) 0.0932 vs Sham SAH without DND 110.5 (82.08 to 138.9) 0.1133 vs Sham SAH with DND 110.5 (82.08 to 138.9) >0.9999 vs SAH without DND R-MCA N/A 0.00300 vs Sham SAH with DND 104.0 (87.76 to 120.2) 0.0122 vs Sham SAH with DND 107.3 (95.90 to 118.6) 0.6052 vs SAH without DND L-ICA N/A 0.1118 vs Sham SAH with DND 135.8 (122.3 to 149.3) 0.1118 vs Sham 0.6052 vs SAH without DND 135.8 (122.3 to 149.3) 0.1228 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) >0.9999 vs SAH without DND SAH with DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.0) N/A SAH without DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.4) 0.9216 vs Sham SAH without DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.2000 vs SAH without DND SAH with DND 1	Sham	12704 (122.0 to 132.8)	N/A
SAH with DND 100.0 (85.02 to 115.0) 0.0063 vs Sham 0.3109 vs SAH without DND L-MCA Sham 128.4 (120.3 to 136.5) N/A SAH without DND 112.4 (91.41 to 133.4) 0.0932 vs Sham 0.1133 vs Sham >0.9999 vs SAH without DND SAH with DND 110.5 (82.08 to 138.9) >0.9999 vs SAH without DND R-MCA Sham 124.8 (119.4 to 130.2) N/A SAH without DND 104.0 (87.76 to 120.2) 0.0122 vs Sham 0.6052 vs SAH without DND SAH with DND 107.3 (95.90 to 118.6) 0.6052 vs SAH without DND L-ICA Sham 147.2 (141.8 to 152.6) N/A SAH with DND 135.8 (122.3 to 149.3) 0.1118 vs Sham 0.6052 vs SAH without DND SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) >0.9999 vs SAH without DND SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) >0.1228 vs Sham 0.1228 vs Sham SAH with DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.0) N/A SAH without DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.4) 0.9216 vs Sham 0.2000 vs SAH without DND SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.1131 vs Sham 0.2000 vs SAH without DND BA Sham 172.4 (154.9 to 189.9) N/A SAH without DND 166.2 (15	SAH without DND	110.8 (92.59 to 129.0)	0.0689 vs Sham
L-MCA Sham 128.4 (120.3 to 136.5) N/A SAH without DND 112.4 (91.41 to 133.4) 0.0932 vs Sham SAH with DND 110.5 (82.08 to 138.9) 0.1133 vs Sham SAH with DND 110.5 (82.08 to 138.9) >0.9999 vs SAH without DND R-MCA Sham 124.8 (119.4 to 130.2) N/A SAH without DND 104.0 (87.76 to 120.2) 0.0122 vs Sham SAH with DND 107.3 (95.90 to 118.6) 0.6052 vs SAH without DND L-ICA Sham 147.2 (141.8 to 152.6) N/A SAH without DND 135.8 (122.3 to 149.3) 0.1118 vs Sham SAH without DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) >0.9999 vs SAH without DND R-ICA Sham 153.0 (142.0 to 164.0) N/A SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.2000 vs Sham >0.9999 vs SAH without DND SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.2000 vs Sham >0.2000 vs SAH without DND SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.2000 vs SAH without DND 0.2000 vs SAH without DND BA Sham 172.4 (154.9 to 189.9) N/A SAH without DND 1	SAH with DND	100 0 (85 02 to 115 0)	0.0063 vs Sham
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		100.0 (00.02 to 110.0)	0.3109 vs SAH without DND
Sham 128.4 (120.3 to 136.5) N/A SAH without DND 112.4 (91.41 to 133.4) 0.0932 vs Sham SAH with DND 110.5 (82.08 to 138.9) 0.1133 vs Sham SAH with DND 110.5 (82.08 to 138.9) 0.09999 vs SAH without DND R-MCA	L-MCA		
SAH without DND 112.4 (91.41 to 133.4) 0.0932 vs Sham SAH with DND 110.5 (82.08 to 138.9) 0.1133 vs Sham SAH with DND 110.5 (82.08 to 138.9) >0.9999 vs SAH without DND R-MCA N/A 0.0122 vs Sham SAH without DND 104.0 (87.76 to 120.2) 0.0122 vs Sham SAH with DND 107.3 (95.90 to 118.6) 0.6052 vs SAH without DND L-ICA N/A 0.6052 vs SAH without DND SAH without DND 135.8 (122.3 to 149.3) 0.1118 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) 0.9999 vs SAH without DND R-ICA N/A 0.9999 vs SAH without DND SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) 0.1118 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) 0.9999 vs SAH without DND R-ICA NA 0.9999 vs SAH without DND SAH without DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.0) N/A SAH without DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.1131 vs Sham 0.2000 vs SAH without DND 0.2000 vs SAH without DND 0.2000 vs SAH without DND BA Sham 172.4 (154.9 to 189.9) N/A SAH without DND 166.2 (152.9 to 179.5) <td>Sham</td> <td>128.4 (120.3 to 136.5)</td> <td>N/A</td>	Sham	128.4 (120.3 to 136.5)	N/A
SAH with DND 110.5 (82.08 to 138.9) 0.1133 vs Sham R-MCA >0.9999 vs SAH without DND Sham 124.8 (119.4 to 130.2) N/A SAH without DND 104.0 (87.76 to 120.2) 0.0122 vs Sham SAH with DND 107.3 (95.90 to 118.6) 0.0300 vs Sham SAH with DND 107.3 (95.90 to 118.6) 0.6052 vs SAH without DND L-ICA Sham 147.2 (141.8 to 152.6) N/A SAH without DND 135.8 (122.3 to 149.3) 0.1118 vs Sham SAH without DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) >0.9999 vs SAH without DND R-ICA Sham 153.0 (142.0 to 164.0) N/A SAH without DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.4) 0.9216 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.1131 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.1131 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.2000 vs SAH without DND BA Sham 172.4 (154.9 to 189.9) N/A SAH without DND 166.2 (152.9 to 179.5) 0.6697 vs Sham	SAH without DND	112.4 (91.41 to 133.4)	0.0932 vs Sham
R-MCA Sham 124.8 (119.4 to 130.2) N/A SAH without DND 104.0 (87.76 to 120.2) 0.0122 vs Sham 0.0300 vs Sham SAH with DND 107.3 (95.90 to 118.6) 0.6052 vs SAH without DND L-ICA Sham 147.2 (141.8 to 152.6) N/A SAH without DND 135.8 (122.3 to 149.3) 0.1118 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) >0.9999 vs SAH without DND R-ICA 0.1228 vs Sham 0.1228 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) >0.9999 vs SAH without DND R-ICA 0.1118 vs Sham 0.1228 vs Sham Sham 153.0 (142.0 to 164.0) N/A SAH without DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.4) 0.9216 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.1131 vs Sham 0.2000 vs SAH without DND 0.2000 vs SAH without DND 0.2000 vs SAH without DND BA Sham 172.4 (154.9 to 189.9) N/A SAH without DND 166.2 (152.9 to 179.5) 0.6697 vs Sham	SAH with DND	110.5 (82.08 to 138.9)	0.1133 vs Sham
K-IMCA N/A Sham 124.8 (119.4 to 130.2) N/A SAH without DND 104.0 (87.76 to 120.2) 0.0122 vs Sham SAH with DND 107.3 (95.90 to 118.6) 0.0300 vs Sham SAH with DND 107.3 (95.90 to 118.6) 0.6052 vs SAH without DND L-ICA N/A 0.6052 vs SAH without DND SAH without DND 135.8 (122.3 to 149.3) 0.1118 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) 0.9999 vs SAH without DND R-ICA Sham 153.0 (142.0 to 164.0) N/A SAH without DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.4) 0.9216 vs Sham 0.1131 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.2000 vs SAH without DND BA Sham 172.4 (154.9 to 189.9) N/A SAH without DND 166.2 (152.9 to 179.5) 0.6697 vs Sham	D MOA	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	>0.9999 vs SAH without DND
Sham 124.8 (119.4 to 130.2) N/A SAH without DND 104.0 (87.76 to 120.2) 0.0122 vs Sham SAH with DND 107.3 (95.90 to 118.6) 0.6052 vs SAH without DND L-ICA Sham 147.2 (141.8 to 152.6) N/A SAH without DND 135.8 (122.3 to 149.3) 0.1118 vs Sham SAH without DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) 0.1228 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) 0.1228 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) 0.1228 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.0 (142.0 to 164.0) N/A SAH without DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.4) 0.9216 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.2000 vs SAH without DND SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.2000 vs SAH without DND BA Sham 172.4 (154.9 to 189.9) N/A SAH without DND 166.2 (152.9 to 179.5) 0.6697 vs Sham	<u>R-MCA</u>	124.9(110.4 + 120.2)	N1/A
SAH without DND 104.0 (87.78 to 120.2) 0.0122 vs sham SAH with DND 107.3 (95.90 to 118.6) 0.0300 vs Sham L-ICA 0.6052 vs SAH without DND Sham 147.2 (141.8 to 152.6) N/A SAH without DND 135.8 (122.3 to 149.3) 0.1118 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) 0.1228 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) 0.1228 vs Sham SAH without DND 129.8 (142.0 to 164.0) 0.1228 vs Sham SAH without DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.4) 0.9216 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.1131 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.2000 vs SAH without DND BA Sham 172.4 (154.9 to 189.9) N/A SAH without DND 166.2 (152.9 to 179.5) 0.6697 vs Sham		124.6 (119.4 10 130.2)	
SAH with DND 107.3 (95.90 to 118.6) 0.6050 vs Sham L-ICA 0.6052 vs SAH without DND Sham 147.2 (141.8 to 152.6) N/A SAH without DND 135.8 (122.3 to 149.3) 0.1118 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) 0.1228 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) 0.1228 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.8 (142.0 to 164.0) 0.9999 vs SAH without DND R-ICA Sham 153.0 (142.0 to 164.0) N/A SAH without DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.4) 0.9216 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.1131 vs Sham 0.2000 vs SAH without DND 0.2000 vs SAH without DND BA Sham 172.4 (154.9 to 189.9) N/A SAH without DND 166.2 (152.9 to 179.5) 0.6697 vs Sham	SAH WILHOUL DIND	104.0 (87.76 to 120.2)	0.0122 VS Sham
L-ICA N/A Sham 147.2 (141.8 to 152.6) N/A SAH without DND 135.8 (122.3 to 149.3) 0.1118 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) 0.1228 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) 0.1228 vs Sham R-ICA 0.153.0 (142.0 to 164.0) N/A SAH without DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.4) 0.9216 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.11131 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.2000 vs SAH without DND BA Sham 172.4 (154.9 to 189.9) N/A SAH without DND 166.2 (152.9 to 179.5) 0.6697 vs Sham	SAH with DND	107.3 (95.90 to 118.6)	0.0500 vs Shan
Sham 147.2 (141.8 to 152.6) N/A SAH without DND 135.8 (122.3 to 149.3) 0.1118 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) 0.1228 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) 0.1228 vs Sham Price 0.1228 vs Sham 0.1228 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) 0.9999 vs SAH without DND R-ICA N/A 0.9216 vs Sham Sham 153.0 (142.0 to 164.0) N/A SAH without DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.4) 0.9216 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.1131 vs Sham 0.2000 vs SAH without DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.2000 vs SAH without DND BA Sham 172.4 (154.9 to 189.9) N/A SAH without DND 166.2 (152.9 to 179.5) 0.6697 vs Sham			0.0002 VS SAIT WITHOUT DIVD
SAH without DND 135.8 (122.3 to 149.3) 0.1118 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) 0.1228 vs Sham SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) >0.9999 vs SAH without DND R-ICA N/A SAH without DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.0) SAH without DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.4) 0.9216 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.1131 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.2000 vs SAH without DND BA Sham 172.4 (154.9 to 189.9) N/A SAH without DND 166.2 (152.9 to 179.5) 0.6697 vs Sham	Sham	147 2 (141 8 to 152 6)	N/A
SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) 0.1228 vs Sham R-ICA 0.153.0 (142.0 to 164.0) N/A Sham 153.0 (142.0 to 164.0) N/A SAH without DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.4) 0.9216 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.1131 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.2000 vs SAH without DND BA Sham 172.4 (154.9 to 189.9) N/A SAH without DND 166.2 (152.9 to 179.5) 0.6697 vs Sham	SAH without DND	135.8 (122.3 to 149.3)	0.1118 vs Sham
SAH with DND 129.8 (93.99 to 165.5) >0.9999 vs SAH without DND R-ICA Sham 153.0 (142.0 to 164.0) N/A SAH without DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.4) 0.9216 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.1131 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.2000 vs SAH without DND BA Sham 172.4 (154.9 to 189.9) N/A SAH without DND 166.2 (152.9 to 179.5) 0.6697 vs Sham			0.1228 vs Sham
R-ICA N/A Sham 153.0 (142.0 to 164.0) N/A SAH without DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.4) 0.9216 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.1131 vs Sham BA 172.4 (154.9 to 189.9) N/A SAH without DND 166.2 (152.9 to 179.5) 0.6697 vs Sham	SAH with DND	129.8 (93.99 to 165.5)	>0.9999 vs SAH without DND
Sham 153.0 (142.0 to 164.0) N/A SAH without DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.4) 0.9216 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.1131 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.2000 vs SAH without DND BA 5ham 172.4 (154.9 to 189.9) N/A SAH without DND 166.2 (152.9 to 179.5) 0.6697 vs Sham	R-ICA		
SAH without DND 150.2 (136.0 to 164.4) 0.9216 vs Sham SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.1131 vs Sham BA 0.2000 vs SAH without DND Sham 172.4 (154.9 to 189.9) N/A SAH without DND 166.2 (152.9 to 179.5) 0.6697 vs Sham	Sham	153.0 (142.0 to 164.0)	N/A
SAH with DND 136.0 (113.4 to 158.6) 0.1131 vs Sham 0.2000 vs SAH without DND BA Sham 172.4 (154.9 to 189.9) N/A SAH without DND 166.2 (152.9 to 179.5) 0.6697 vs Sham	SAH without DND	150.2 (136.0 to 164.4)	0.9216 vs Sham
BA 0.2000 vs SAH without DND BA Sham 172.4 (154.9 to 189.9) N/A SAH without DND 166.2 (152.9 to 179.5) 0.6697 vs Sham		136 0 (113 / to 158 6)	0.1131 vs Sham
BA N/A Sham 172.4 (154.9 to 189.9) N/A SAH without DND 166.2 (152.9 to 179.5) 0.6697 vs Sham		150.0 (115.4 10 150.0)	0.2000 vs SAH without DND
Sham 172.4 (154.9 to 189.9) N/A SAH without DND 166.2 (152.9 to 179.5) 0.6697 vs Sham	BA		
SAH without DND 166.2 (152.9 to 179.5) 0.6697 vs Sham	Sham	172.4 (154.9 to 189.9)	N/A
	SAH without DND	166.2 (152.9 to 179.5)	0.6697 vs Sham
SAH with DND 166.8 (155.7 to 177.8) 0.7416 vs Sham	SAH with DND	166.8 (155.7 to 177.8)	0.7416 vs Sham

Table XIII. Large Artery Diameter Statistical Analysis for Female Mice. Diameters (μ m) were determined using vessel painted (Dil) brains. Sham n=5, SAH without DND n=5, SAH with DND n=5. L: left, R: right, ACA: anterior cerebral artery, MCA: middle cerebral artery. Bold font denotes statistical significance.

	Mean (95% Confidence Interval)	p-Value
L-ACA		
Sham	122.2 (114.8 to 129.6)	N/A
SAH without DND	96.20 (57.47 to 134.9)	0.1604 vs Sham
SAH with DND	103.4 (82.30 to 124.5)	0.3575 vs Sham 0.8497 vs SAH without DND
<u>R-ACA</u>		
Sham	119.8 (112.6 to 127.0)	N/A
SAH without DND	106.6 (96.49 to 116.7)	0.4067 vs Sham
SAH with DND	94 00 (62 60 to 125 4)	0.0565 vs Sham
	34.00 (02.00 to 120.4)	0.4381 vs SAH without DND
<u>L-MCA</u>		
Sham	122.0 (112.0 to 132.0)	N/A
SAH without DND	109.4 (92.29 to 126.5)	0.3624 vs Sham
SAH with DND	101.4 (78.63 to 124.2)	0.0912 vs Sham
	,	0.6498 vs SAH without DND
<u>R-MCA</u>		N 1/A
Sham	127.6 (121.5 to 133.7)	N/A
SAH without DND	100.2 (90.93 to 109.5)	0.0292 vs Sham
SAH with DND	100.0 (70.66 to 129.3)	0.0281 vs Sham
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0.9997 vs SAH without DND
<u>L-ICA</u>	425.2(422.5 to 140.0)	N1/A
	135.2 (123.5 l0 146.9)	
SAH WILHOUL DIND	119.4 (102.3 (0 136.5)	0.2690 VS Sham
SAH with DND	100.6 (75.57 to 125.6)	0.7726 vo SAH without DND
		0.7720 VS SALL WILLOUT DID
Sham	140 0 (133 0 to 147 0)	Ν/Δ
SAH without DND	129.6 (105.8 to 153.4)	0.9145 vs Sham
	123.0 (103.0 10 103.4)	0.0398 vs Sham
SAH with DND	113.6 (83.27 to 143.9)	0 4406 vs SAH without DND
BA		
Sham	158.6 (150.4 to 166.8)	N/A
SAH without DND	157.8 (140.0 to 175.6)	0.9912 vs Sham
		0.1635 vs Sham
SAH with DND	146.2 (137.4 to 155.0)	0.1992 vs SAH without DND

Table XIV. Large Artery Diameter Statistical Analysis between Male and Female Mice. Diameters (μ m) were determined using vessel painted (Dil) brains. Sham n=5/sex, SAH without DND n=5/sex, SAH with DND n=4 males n=5 females. L: left, R: right, ACA: anterior cerebral artery, MCA: middle cerebral artery. Bold font denotes statistical significance.

	Mean (95% Confidence Interval)		p-Value for
	Male	Female	Male vs Female
L-ACA			
Sham	127.6 (122.7 to 132.5)	122.2 (114.8 to 129.6)	0.1310
SAH without DND	12.8 (116.3 to 131.3)	96.20 (57.47 to 134.9)	0.0880
SAH with DND	113.3 (86.39 to 140.1)	103.4 (82.30 to 124.5)	0.4149
<u>R-ACA</u>			
Sham	12704 (122.0 to 132.8)	119.8 (112.6 to 127.0)	0.0470
SAH without DND	110.8 (92.59 to 129.0)	106.6 (96.49 to 116.7)	0.5910
SAH with DND	100.0 (85.02 to 115.0)	94.00 (62.60 to 125.4)	0.6695
<u>L-MCA</u>			
Sham	128.4 (120.3 to 136.5)	122.0 (112.0 to 132.0)	0.2045
SAH without DND	112.4 (91.41 to 133.4)	109.4 (92.29 to 126.5)	0.7662
SAH with DND	110.5 (82.08 to 138.9)	101.4 (78.63 to 124.2)	0.4788
<u>R-MCA</u>			
Sham	124.8 (119.4 to 130.2)	127.6 (121.5 to 133.7)	0.3650
SAH without DND	104.0 (87.76 to 120.2)	100.2 (90.93 to 109.5)	0.5880
SAH with DND	107.3 (95.90 to 118.6)	100.0 (70.66 to 129.3)	0.5767
<u>L-ICA</u>			
Sham	147.2 (141.8 to 152.6)	135.2 (123.5 to 146.9)	0.0325
SAH without DND	135.8 (122.3 to 149.3)	119.4 (102.3 to 136.5)	0.0701
SAH with DND	129.8 (93.99 to 165.5)	100.6 (75.57 to 125.6)	0.0793
<u>R-ICA</u>			
Sham	153.0 (142.0 to 164.0)	140.0 (133.0 to 147.0)	0.0242
SAH without DND	150.2 (136.0 to 164.4)	129.6 (105.8 to 153.4)	0.0728
SAH with DND	136.0 (113.4 to 158.6)	113.6 (83.27 to 143.9)	0.1504
<u>BA</u>			
Sham	172.4 (154.9 to 189.9)	158.6 (150.4 to 166.8)	0.0826
SAH without DND	166.2 (152.9 to 179.5)	157.8 (140.0 to 175.6)	0.3247
SAH with DND	166.8 (155.7 to 177.8)	146.2 (137.4 to 155.0)	0.0033

Table XV. Large Artery Diameter Statistical Analysis between Groups when Male and Female Data is Combined. Diameters (μ m) were determined using vessel painted (Dil) brains. Sham n=5/sex, SAH without DND n=5/sex, SAH with DND n=4 males n=5 females. L: left, R: right, ACA: anterior cerebral artery, MCA: middle cerebral artery. Bold font denotes statistical significance.

	Mean (95% Confidence Interval)	p-Value
L-ACA		
Sham	124.9 (120.9 to 128.9)	N/A
SAH without DND	110.0 (91.62 to 128.4)	0.1740 vs Sham
SAH with DND	107.8 (94.96 to 120.6)	0.1164 vs Sham 0.9611 vs SAH without DND
<u>R-ACA</u>		
Sham	123.6 (119.1 to 128.1)	N/A
SAH without DND	108.7 (100.5 to 116.9)	0.0432 vs Sham
SAH with DND	96 67 (82 02 to 111 3)	0.0004 vs Sham
		0.1306 vs SAH without DND
L-MCA		
Sham	125.2 (119.7 to 130.7)	
SAH without DND	110.9 (100.4 to 121.4)	0.0579 vs Sham
SAH with DND	105.4 (91.89 to 119.0)	U.U140 VS Snam
		>0.9999 VS SAH WILLIOUL DIND
Sham	126 2 (122 9 to 129 5)	N/A
SAH without DND	102 1 (94 78 to 109 4)	0.0003 vs Sham
		0.0005 vs Sham
SAH with DND	103.2 (89.62 to 116.8)	0.8380 vs SAH without DND
<u>L-ICA</u>		
Sham	141.2 (124.5 to 147.9)	N/A
SAH without DND	127.6 (117.2 to 138.0)	0.1171 vs Sham
SAH with DND	113 6 (94 28 to 132 8)	0.0119 vs Sham
	113.0 (34.2010 132.0)	>0.9999 vs SAH without DND
<u>R-ICA</u>		
Sham	146.5 (139.5 to 153.5)	N/A
SAH without DND	139.9 (126.7 to 153.1)	0.6819 vs Sham
SAH with DND	123.6 (106.1 to 141.0)	0.0226 vs Sham
DA.		0.1262 VS SAH WITHOUT DIND
<u>Nu</u> Sham	165 5 (156 4 to 174 6)	N/A
SAH without DND	162 (152 9 to 171 1)	0.8122 vs Sham
		0.2083 vs Sham
SAH with DND	155.3 (145.6 to 165.1)	0.4966 vs SAH without DND

Supplemental References

- 1. Matsumura K, Kumar TP, Guddanti T, Yan Y, Blackburn SL, McBride DW. Neurobehavioral deficits after subarachnoid hemorrhage in mice: Sensitivity analysis and development of a new composite score. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2019;8:e011699
- 2. Kamii H, Tominaga T. Filament perforation subarachnoid hemorrhage mouse model. In: Chen J, Xu ZC, Xu XM, Zhang JH, eds. <u>Animal Models of Acute Neurological Injury.</u> Switerland: Springer; 2019.
- 3. Lin CL, Calisaneller T, Ukita N, Dumont AS, Kassell NF, Lee KS. A murine model of subarachnoid hemorrhage-induced cerebral vasospasm. *J Neurosci Methods*. 2003;123:89-97
- 4. Wang Z, Chen G, Zhu WW, Bian JY, Shen XO, Zhou D. Influence of simvastatin on microthrombosis in the brain after subarachnoid hemorrhage in rats: A preliminary study. *Ann Clin Lab Sci.* 2010;40:32-42
- 5. Aum DJ, Vellimana AK, Singh I, Milner E, Nelson JW, Han BH, et al. A novel fluorescent imaging technique for assessment of cerebral vasospasm after experimental subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7:9126
- 6. Hughes S, Dashkin O, Defazio RA. Vessel painting technique for visualizing the cerebral vascular architecture of the mouse. <u>Methods in molecular biology</u> (*Clifton, N.J.*). 2014;1135:127-138
- 7. Suvarna SK, Layton C, Bancroft JD. <u>Bancroft's Theory and Practice of Histological</u> <u>Techniques.</u> Elsevier; 2019.
- 8. Gajda M, Jasztal A, Banasik T, Jasek-Gajda E, Chlopicki S. Combined orcein and martius scarlet blue (omsb) staining for qualitative and quantitative analyses of atherosclerotic plaques in brachiocephalic arteries in apoe/ldlr(-/-) mice. *Histochem Cell Biol.* 2017;147:671-681
- 9. Culling CFA. <u>Handbook of Histopathological and Histochemical Techniques.</u> London, UK: Butterworth-Heinemann; 1974.
- 10. Carleton HM. <u>Carleton's Histological Technique.</u> Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press; 1980.
- 11. Germans MR, Jaja BNR, de Oliviera Manoel AL, Cohen AH, Macdonald RL. Sex differences in delayed cerebral ischemia after subarachnoid hemorrhage. *J Neurosurg*. 2018;129:458-464
- 12. Yao Y, Miao W, Liu Z, Han W, Shi K, Shen Y, et al. Dimethyl fumarate and monomethyl fumarate promote post-ischemic recovery in mice. *Trans Stroke Res.* 2016;7:535-547

* Preclinical Checklist Preclinical Checklist: Prevention of bias is important for experimental cardiovascular research. This short checklist must be completed, and the answers should be clearly presented in the manuscript. The checklist will be used by reviewers and editors and it will be published. See <u>"Reporting Standard for Preclinical Studies of Stroke Therapy"</u> and <u>"Good Laboratory Practice: Preventing Introduction of Bias at the Bench"</u> for more information.				
This study invovles animal models: Yes				
Experimental groups and study timeline				
The experimental group(s) have been clearly defined in the article, including number of animals in each experimental arm of the study:	Yes			
An account of the control group is provided, and number of animals in the control group has been reported. If no controls were used, the rationale has been stated:				
An overall study timeline is provided:	Yes			
Inclusion and exclusion criteria				
A priori inclusion and exclusion criteria for tested animals were defined and have been reported in the article:	Yes			
Randomization				
Animals were randomly assigned to the experimental groups. If the work being submitted does not contain multiple experimental groups, or if random assignment was not used, adequate explanations have been provided:	Yes			
Type and methods of randomization have been described:	Yes			
Methods used for allocation concealment have been reported:	Yes			
Blinding				
Blinding procedures have been described with regard to masking of group/treatment assignment from the experimenter. The rationale for nonblinding of the experimenter has been provided, if such was not feasible:	Yes			
Blinding procedures have been described with regard to masking of group assignment during outcome assessment:	Yes			
Sample size and power calculations				
Formal sample size and power calculations were conducted based on a priori determined outcome(s) and treatment effect, and the data have been reported. A formal size assessment was not conducted and a rationale has been provided:	Yes			
Data reporting and statistical methods				
Number of animals in each group: randomized, tested, lost to follow-up, or died have been reported. If the experimentation involves repeated measurements, the number of animals assessed at each time point is provided, for all experimental groups:	Yes			
Baseline data on assessed outcome(s) for all experimental groups have been reported:	Yes			
Details on important adverse events and death of animals during the course of experimentation have been provided, for all experimental arms:	Yes			
Statistical methods used have been reported:	Yes			
Numeric data on outcomes have been provided in text, or in a tabular format with the main article or as supplementary tables, in addition to the figures:	Yes			
Experimental details, ethics, and funding statements				
Details on experimentation including stroke model, formulation and dosage of therapeutic agent, site and route of administration, use of anesthesia and analgesia, temperature control during experimentation, and postprocedural monitoring have been described:	Yes			

Different sex animals have been used. If not, the reason/justification is provided:

Statements on approval by ethics boards and ethical conduct of studies have been provided:	Yes
Statements on funding and conflicts of interests have been provided:	Yes

Date completed: 03/10/2020 13:46:12 User pid: 225586