

## Appendix 5 Number of conservation projects in each of the 4 groups of CEPA projects identified by the hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA).

Variable and items <sup>a</sup>	Environmental management without society engagement (n=24)	Environmental awareness (n=32)	Integrated participation for fostering sustainable livelihoods (n=49)	Small conservation actions with local communities (n=77)	Chi-square test <sup>b</sup>	df
<b>ES category</b>					12.59**	6
provisioning	6	0*	22*	24		
regulating	12	0*	25*	30		
cultural	6	32*	2*	23		
<b>Purpose of CEPA actions</b>					12.59**	6
without CEPA actions	24*	0*	0*	0*		
CEPA as a strategy	0*	0*	49*	76*		
pure CEPA	0*	32*	0*	1*		
<b>E. communication</b>					7.81**	3
without EE	24*	5*	9*	36		
EC	0*	27*	40*	41		
<b>E. education</b>					7.81**	3
without EE	24*	7	0*	27		
EE	0*	25	49*	50		
<b>E. participation</b>					7.81**	3
without EP	24*	21	5*	52*		
EP	0*	11	44*	25*		
<b>Integration of type CEPA actions</b>					16.91**	9
none integration	24*	0*	0*	0*		
low	0*	11	0*	38*		
medium	0*	11	14	39*		
high	0*	10	35*	0*		
<b>Main conservation action</b>					16.91**	9
land/water management	18*	0*	29	46		
sps. management	1	0*	0*	6*		
education&awarenes	0*	32*	0*	2*		
livelihood	5	0*	20*	23		
<b>Main stakeholders</b>					24.96**	15
without stakehold.	24*	0*	0*	0*		
local communities	0*	13	22	39*		
small-scale	0*	1*	17*	14		
school children	0*	5*	2	2		
env. gov. Staff & decision makers	0	1	5	7		
env.people	0	12*	3*	15		
<b>Spatial scale</b>					7.81**	3
regional	16	30*	42	51*		
local	8	2*	7*	26*		
<b>Budget</b>					16.91**	9
unspecified	6	4	7	8		
low budget	9	16	9*	40*		
medium budget	4	8	14	18		
high budget	5	4	23*	11*		
<b>Duration</b>					12.59**	6
one year	14*	15	3*	34		
2-4 years	7	14	28*	25*		
>4 years	3	3*	18*	18		

<sup>a</sup> Only variables/items whose p-value for chi-squares test was less than 0.1 are compiled in this table

<sup>b</sup> Significance value: \*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.001