## Appendix 3

## Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale with modifications and assessment criteria described

Question	Risk of bias assessment	Criteria for risk of bias assessment
Selection		
1. Representativeness of	Low	Representative of members of the
the exposed sample		respective ethnic minority group (census-
		based whole population sample or cross-
		sectional/cohort study with high participation
	Llink	rates)
	High Unclear	Selected group such as nurses, volunteers No description of derivation of exposed
	Unclear	sample
2. Selection of non-	Low	Drawn from the same community as the
exposed sample		exposed sample/similar participation rates
		as the exposed sample
	High	Drawn from a different source to the
		exposed sample
	Unclear	No description of derivation of exposed
0 Assertsions and of	1	sample
3. Ascertainment of	Low	Self-reported ethnicity. Proxy measures of ethnicity (country of birth, country of birth of
exposure		parents, ancestry) if evidence they are
		accurate measures of ethnicity
	High	Proxy measures of ethnicity that are not
		accurate measures of self-reported ethnicity
	Unclear	Method of exposure ascertainment not
		described OR proxy measure used and no
		data available on accuracy as a measure of
		self-reported ethnicity
4. Demonstration that	Low	Outcome not present at start of study
outcome of interest was no present at start of study*		
	High	Outcome present at start of study
	Unclear	Unable to determine if outcome present at
	enered.	start of study
Comparability	-	
1. Comparability of cohorts	Low	Effect measures are adjusted/stratified by
on the basis of the design		age and sex
or analysis	High	Effect measures are not adjusted/stratified
	riigii	by age and sex
	Unclear	Unclear if effect measures have been
		adjusted for age/sex
Outcome		
1. Assessment of outcome	Low	Independent blind assessment of outcome or record linkage
	High	Self-report
	Unclear	Method of outcome assessment not
		described

2. Was follow-up long	Low	At least 24 months of follow-up or shorter
enough for outcomes to		period with high event rates (older
occur*		population)
	High	Less than 24 months of follow-up and low
		event rates
	Unclear	Length of follow up not reported
3. Adequacy of follow up*	Low	No or small loss to follow up, losses even
of Audquacy of Tonon up	2011	between comparison groups
	High	Large loss to follow up that has potential to
	. iigii	change estimate of effect (determined by
		worst-case best-case analysis) OR losses
		uneven between comparison groups
	Unclear	Losses not reported
Other bias		
1. Numerator-denominator	Low	Low proportion of migrants in ethnic group
bias/linkage rates		<20% OR evidence that missed overseas
-		deaths are low OR high rates of data linkage
		that are equal between comparison groups
	High	High proportion of migrants in ethnic group
		AND evidence that likelihood of missed
		overseas deaths is high and likely to change
		reported estimates, OR high rates of
		unlinked participants that differs by ethnic
		group
	Unclear	No information on proportion of migrants in
		ethnic group, likelihood of missed overseas
		deaths, or rates of data linkage

\*Not assessed in cross-sectional studies