**Appendix 2: Barriers to Routine HIV Screening** 

Barrier Title	Socioecological	Examples	
Costs/ Reimbursement	Level Public Policy	<ul> <li>concern about reimbursement</li> <li>concern about insurance coverage/out of pocket costs to patients</li> <li>cost of the screen for undocumented immigrants</li> <li>fear that patients would refuse based on cost</li> <li>inadequate consideration and reimbursement for all costs involved in routine HIV testing</li> <li>lack of American Dental Association (ADA) reimbursement code for dentists to test</li> <li>lack of knowledge about appropriate reimbursement procedures (inability to bill for services)</li> <li>limited number of free/reduced cost tests available</li> <li>Medicaid will not reimburse</li> <li>missed opportunities to obtain external federal funding to expand programs</li> <li>patient cannot afford the test</li> <li>rapid tests cost too much</li> <li>additional financial burden due to increased HIV testing and diagnosis</li> <li>competing state priorities and health needs for distribution of available funds</li> <li>complexity and limitations of separate funding streams</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>concerns about sustainability of ED-based HIV</li> <li>few programs receive special funding to test highrisk individuals due to lack of awareness</li> <li>lack of knowledge on how to obtain funds</li> <li>do not meet eligibility requirements to receive funding</li> <li>increased cost of medications</li> <li>insurance barriers for those who test positive</li> <li>lack of financial compensation to attend trainings</li> <li>concerns of cost effectiveness of routine testing</li> <li>need for compensation of staff time to administer rapid test</li> <li>fear that charging for HIV testing would been seen as "money making gimmick" for dentist</li> <li>Medicare will not reimburse</li> <li>decreasing testing at, and taking funds from, other health care settings</li> </ul>

		for HIV testing and treatment  • lack of sustainable funding	insurance barriers for those who test positive
Incompatibility of Guidelines with State/Local Policies	Public Policy	<ul> <li>concerns about incompatibility with state HIV testing policies (state requirement of separate written consent for testing and specified procedures required for testing)</li> <li>need for written consent</li> <li>requirement for consent in Belgium perpetuates HIV exceptionalism</li> <li>separate consent necessary to test for HIV</li> <li>state and federal regulations</li> <li>belief that local policy or General Medical Council guidance discouraged testing</li> <li>differences between county health department and infectious disease practice regarding delivery of test results (e.g., via telephone or in-person)</li> <li>too many state and federal regulations</li> </ul>	features of larger state environment in which substance abuse and HIV testing delivery system exist state requirements to provide signed consent and pretest counseling differing definitions of routine testing lack of support of testing from health insurance informed consent requirements based on state and local regulations regulations for test use are hard to understand regulations related to post-test counseling for those who test positive
Legal Issues	Public Policy	<ul> <li>concern with name-based reporting requirement associated with HIV testing</li> <li>legal implications for partner notification</li> <li>there is a lack of understanding of the legal implications of HIV testing</li> <li>lack of understanding about legal procedures and implications for HIV testing</li> <li>legal concerns (need to document outcomes for every patient tested, regardless of test result)</li> </ul>	need for provider education on regulations and requirements concern about ED liability lack of awareness of local or institutional policies (notification and linkage to care) lack of knowledge about Mississippi laws regarding informed consent for screening

Provider Time	Institutional	• constraints on provider's	• individual provider
Provider Time Constraints	Institutional	<ul> <li>constraints on provider's time</li> <li>lack of time</li> <li>lack of time to attend trainings</li> <li>rapid pace of primary care office visits</li> <li>time constraints due to unpredictability of ED patient volume</li> <li>time constraints, especially when trying to justify routinely taking sexual histories and conducting HIV/STD testing efforts to health care administrators</li> <li>time required for nurse to document HIV testing in the medical record</li> <li>crowding of ED</li> <li>overcrowding of wards</li> <li>difficult to fit rapid test counseling into one session</li> <li>difficulty of integrating the rapid testing into consultation</li> <li>limited time with patients, especially in managed care settings</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>individual provider having the responsibility for each step in the testing process</li> <li>takes too long to run the test</li> <li>insufficient time to conduct and interpret test</li> <li>ED crowding with limited resources</li> <li>ED too busy</li> <li>challenge presented by a subset of ED patients who are high-frequency repeat HIV testers</li> <li>perception of difficulty performing testing in the ED</li> <li>too much paperwork</li> <li>there are too many administrative hassles</li> <li>rapid pace of primary care office</li> </ul>
Managing Care of Patients Who Test Positive	Institutional	<ul> <li>concern about how to manage care of an HIV positive patient</li> <li>concern over linking patient to care</li> <li>difficult to arrange follow-up for patients with positive result</li> <li>health service system silos (no infrastructure for coordinating services, no incentives for coordination, no central referral system)</li> <li>lack of contacts for follow-up referrals</li> </ul>	visit  Ilimited intra- and inter-agency communication (no shared responsibility, no shared patient information, no shared data collection, little shared knowledge and training)  difficulty obtaining treatment medications  poor primary and secondary care

		<ul> <li>lack of coordination across settings (e.g., "attending one hospital for one thing and another" for something else)</li> <li>barriers with linkage to car (few HIV care providers available, patients have to travel long distances for treatment and care)</li> <li>lack of HIV treatment resources in the community</li> <li>lack of HIV-related referranetworks</li> <li>lack of medical/social services linkages</li> <li>lack of data to identify appropriate facilities</li> <li>lack of resources in rural areas for referring patients for HIV care (closest specialist over 100 miles away)</li> <li>unsure how to provide follow-up for a positive testresult</li> </ul>	models of shared care, missed opportunities for shared care  e lack of external partnerships  few HIV care providers available  lack of feedback from hospitals about patients who test positive  unwillingness of infectious disease practice to follow-up with patients who tested positive in the ED  lack of knowledge where to refer HIV-infected patients  lack of follow up care
Concerns about Confidentiality	Institutional	<ul> <li>concern about confidentiality with HIV testing</li> <li>confidentiality cannot be guaranteed in small communities</li> <li>lack of privacy for conducting HIV testing</li> <li>fears that confidentiality is easily breached, especially in overcrowded environments</li> <li>fears of confidentiality with billing</li> <li>lack of anonymity in rural areas</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>patient fear of lack of confidentiality</li> <li>interruptions by other staff during testing</li> <li>patients         uncomfortable         being asked HIV         status at triage</li> <li>patients do not feel that screening at triage is private</li> <li>belief that patients prefer anonymous testing and would not want dentist to know of status</li> </ul>

		<ul> <li>lack of private space in jail setting</li> <li>lack of clinical space to conduct confidential screening</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>logistics of test performance and counseling on the ward (issue of patient privacy)</li> </ul>
Staffing Shortage	Institutional	<ul> <li>personnel needed to administer tests and follow-up with test result</li> <li>poor organizational structure</li> <li>resource constraints (inadequate staff for testing, follow-up, and support)</li> <li>staffing shortages</li> <li>strain on staff when others are absent to attend trainings</li> <li>department does not have sufficient number of staff</li> <li>fear of a "surge" in patients coming to the ED only for HIV testing</li> <li>lack of male counselors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>not having a health care team of support</li> <li>potential for increase in appointments for delivery of inperson test results</li> <li>high staff turnover</li> <li>staffing constraints</li> <li>lack of sufficient staff to give rapid test</li> <li>non-laboratory staff are not qualified to run rapid test</li> <li>department does not have sufficient number of staff</li> <li>organization unable to employ dedicated staff to perform test</li> </ul>
Difficulty Following-up on Test Results	Institutional	<ul> <li>difficulty contacting patients for results</li> <li>no system in place for follow-up on results</li> <li>patients not waiting or returning for test results</li> <li>short-stay treatment clients may be discharged before receiving test results</li> <li>concern with follow-up</li> <li>transient population treated in ED</li> <li>wait time for receipt of test results</li> <li>failure to follow up on result</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>process concerns -         obtaining         confirmatory testing</li> <li>patient         unwillingness to         wait 2 weeks for         test results</li> <li>difficulty obtaining         test results</li> <li>rapid testing does         not allow more         people to know         their HIV status         (need for         confirmatory test)</li> <li>lack of resources to         follow-up with</li> </ul>

		<ul> <li>lack of confidence that patients will return for results</li> <li>system of scheduling patient follow-up is lacking</li> <li>patient failure to return for results</li> <li>patients tend to follow-up at another center</li> <li>need for second test if rapid test is positive</li> </ul>	patients who tested negative  • lack of feedback from hospitals about patients who receive a positive result  • follow up difficulty is a key barrier to STD and HIV screening in EDs, especially for HIV
Materials Needed for Testing	Institutional	<ul> <li>lack of availability of HIV testing on site</li> <li>lack of lab access or services</li> <li>lack of test kits</li> <li>test kits out of stock</li> <li>rapid testing not available</li> <li>delayed delivery of products</li> <li>hard to find written HIV test consent form</li> <li>lack of HIV consent forms</li> <li>non-rapid testing not available</li> <li>unavailability of personal protective equipment (masks, goggles, and aprons)</li> <li>difficulty to screen for other STIs with a rapid diagnostic test</li> <li>resources and acceptability differ according to the type of test available</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>shortage of resources</li> <li>rapid test supply shortage</li> <li>lack of resources for prevention and testing</li> <li>resource constraints</li> <li>lack of facilities</li> <li>lack of open access to testing services or community support resources</li> <li>unavailability of same-day testing</li> <li>inadequate resources</li> <li>insufficient resources for rapid testing</li> <li>on-site testing not universally available through Mississippi substance abuse treatment programs</li> </ul>
Clinical Inertia	Institutional	<ul> <li>inertia of previous practice</li> <li>lack of habit of testing</li> <li>clinical inertia</li> <li>lack of staff initiative</li> <li>clinic does not have a routine HIV screening system</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>lack of integration of screening into practice</li> <li>lack of models operationalizing HIV testing</li> </ul>

difficult to design a testing	• intermittent office
protocol for the	staff compliance
organization	with the new
doctors do not screen for	routine sexual
diseases they rarely see	history procedures
health provider resistance	• clinical reminders
historical delineation     hattered a fully testing	overload
between sites of HIV testing and clinical care (providers	<ul> <li>delayed integration of new</li> </ul>
not used to offering HIV	responsibilities with
testing unless the patient	existing
requested)	responsibilities
internal processes and	<ul> <li>no uniformity in</li> </ul>
decision-making	collecting data
Lack of Institutional • health care facility	• lack of participation
Administrative resistance	of other
Support • hesitation of hospital administration	professionals in training
HIV testing prohibited in	<ul><li>difficulty justifying</li></ul>
practice	to administrators
<ul> <li>hospital administration does</li> </ul>	the importance of
not support use	taking sexual
no shared goals or	history and provide
leadership	HIV/STD testing
• lack of a clinical champion	• hospital
attendance at trainings	administration does
deemed optional by	not support use of rapid HIV test
administrators	<ul><li>leadership</li></ul>
• lack of institutional guidelines	resistance
<ul><li>program culture</li></ul>	<ul> <li>culture changes</li> </ul>
<ul><li>barriers are clinic-setting</li></ul>	required of inpatient
specific and not amenable	caregivers and
to a general approach (rural	hospital
vs. urban, ethnic mix, HIV	administrators
risk and incidence in	<ul> <li>practice policies not consistent with</li> </ul>
community)	recommendations

Logistical	Institutional	• logistical difficulties caused •	the difficulty of
Logistical Difficulties	Institutional	<ul> <li>logistical difficulties caused by adding screening to the visit and negative impact of work-flow</li> <li>rapid tests are difficult to integrate into the laboratory</li> <li>delay between training and the start of testing activities hindered or prevented nurses and physicians from providing HIV rapid test</li> <li>delayed integration of new responsibilities with existing responsibilities with introduction of rapid testing</li> <li>different locations for HIV testing and primary care</li> <li>patients accustomed to having blood tests performed in a lab as impediment to rapid testing</li> <li>inconvenient off-site referrals for HIV testing</li> <li>health system silos</li> <li>difficulties navigating through health care system</li> <li>the difficulty of integrating the rapid diagnostics test</li> <li>longer wait times for patients</li> <li>lack of coordination across settings (e.g., attending one</li> </ul>	the difficulty of integrating the rapid diagnostic test clinical reminders overload difficult to design protocols for the organization poor primary and secondary care interface (lack of model of shared care, missed opportunities for shared care chaotic commissioning model lack of support resources and language services for newcomers lack of political will and funding for immigrant health services failure to integrate care with support organizations different locations for HIV testing and primary care lack of reminder for
		hospital for one thing and another for something else)	HIV testing in EMR
Need for Patient- Friendly Educational Materials	Institutional	<ul> <li>absence of patient-friendly literature</li> <li>pamphlets not addressing older adults</li> <li>lack of health education for patients</li> <li>pamphlets not addressing older adults</li> </ul>	lack of awareness of information available to patients ignorance of rapid testing among patients

Quality Assurance Concerns	Institutional	<ul> <li>ensuring quality control and traceability of results adding to time and expense</li> <li>quality assurance procedures are too complex</li> <li>HIV screening not included in performance measures</li> <li>lack of institutional benchmarks for HIV testing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>no uniformity in collecting data</li> <li>requirements for testing site quality assurance and policies</li> <li>complexity of quality assurance procedures for rapid testing</li> </ul>
Administrative Burden	Institutional	<ul> <li>administrative burden</li> <li>managing data and paperwork associated with screening</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>paperwork is too cumbersome</li> <li>there are too many administrative hassles</li> </ul>
Stigma	Interpersonal	<ul> <li>stigma</li> <li>difficulty normalizing a highly stigmatized disease</li> <li>fear that positive screen result would cause stigma for patient</li> <li>HIV exceptionalized as a condition due to stigma has led to screening in specialist settings</li> <li>stigma (do not want to offer screen without clinical reason to do so)</li> <li>stigma associated with being seen as a provider who offers HIV testing (patients might assume that there are substantial numbers of at-risk individuals being seen at the practice)</li> <li>refusal of testing at local health departments due to stigma</li> <li>community perceptions regarding HIV testing in ED</li> <li>community resistance</li> <li>concerns about judging patients' sexual behavior and raising stigma</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>patient would perceive the proposition to test as an accusation of sexual promiscuity</li> <li>perception that recommending a screen would suggest judgment of patient's fidelity to relationship</li> <li>conservative nature of communities</li> <li>Arkansas is part of the Bible Belt with conservative moral values and politics</li> <li>HIV-related stigma</li> <li>HIV and substance abuse associated with moral weakness and shameful behavior</li> <li>belief that patients prefer anonymous testing and would not want dentist to know of status</li> <li>community and patient attitudes of denial, fear, stigma,</li> </ul>

		<ul> <li>patient may view recommendation as accusatory or judgmental</li> <li>lack of public acceptance</li> <li>lack of community acceptance of HIV testing</li> <li>fear related to the effect of positive status would have on life insurance/immigration/work</li> <li>patient's fear of including HIV positive status on medical records</li> <li>patient afraid to disclose sexual risk behaviors to provider</li> <li>fear that patients would accuse provider of xenophobia and would perceive the proposition to test as an accusation of sexual promiscuity</li> <li>patient may view recommendation as accusatory or judgmental</li> </ul>	and discrimination associated with HIV  patient would not want to be identified as HIV positive  afraid others will find out  providers do not want to offend anyone  fear of uncomfortable interactions with patients  HIV-related stigma might deter patients from dental care  difficulty normalizing a highly stigmatized disease  patients with a positive test would be rejected by community
Culture/ Language/ Sexual Orientation/ Gender/Race/ Age	Interpersonal	<ul> <li>cultural barriers (Latinas - lack of assertiveness in sexual relationships)</li> <li>cultural differences between provider and patient</li> <li>religious factors impede discussions about HIV</li> <li>language barrier</li> <li>differences in sexual orientation between provider and patient</li> <li>difficult to explain rapid tests to patients with low literacy skills</li> <li>perception that males less likely to get tested</li> <li>cultural/social/religious factors impede discussions about HIV</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>uncomfortable due to religious, spiritual or cultural beliefs</li> <li>difference in age between patient and provider</li> <li>difference in culture</li> <li>difference in language</li> <li>gender differences between patient and provider</li> <li>differences in sexual orientation between patient and provider</li> <li>perception that men are reluctant to go</li> </ul>

		T	
		<ul> <li>difficulty with cultural competency in communication with immigrant patients</li> <li>patients' young age</li> <li>patient's sexual orientation</li> <li>lack of sensitive culturally appropriate health care, including HIV testing services</li> </ul>	for testing (and to seek healthcare in general)  discomfort due to religious, spiritual, or cultural beliefs difficulty talking about HIV or sex in the South ("Bible Belt")
Difficulty Testing Adolescents	Interpersonal	<ul> <li>discomfort taking a sexual history when parents present</li> <li>parental consent needed for children and adolescents</li> <li>consent should be obtained from parent or guardian</li> <li>staff thought that parents and guardians would refuse screening</li> <li>concerns about ability of children to give consent</li> <li>difficulty testing minors when parents are present</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>fears of how child would be treated if tested positive</li> <li>guardian deemed HIV testing to be inappropriate</li> <li>laws requiring providers to inform parents of teens younger than 14 if they test positive</li> <li>inability to identify which adolescents should be screened</li> </ul>
Lack of Established Patient-Provider Relationship	Interpersonal	<ul> <li>lack of established patient-provider relationship</li> <li>lack of patient-provider rapport with new patients</li> <li>patient being seen by someone other than regular provider</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>lack of trust with patient</li> <li>provider-initiated testing and counseling may affect the trust between patient and professional</li> </ul>
Patient Discomfort Discussing HIV and Risk Factors	Interpersonal	<ul> <li>patient uncomfortable discussing high risk behaviors</li> <li>patients may feel that requesting an HIV equates to admission of having done something "naughty"</li> <li>patients unwilling to disclose risk behaviors</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>patients would not feel comfortable discussing HIV, sexual behavior, or drug us with provider</li> <li>personal relationship with patient (feeling that patient would not want them to know)</li> </ul>
Family and Partner Dynamics	Interpersonal	patients in need of comforting when children	concerns about disease or death and

		<ul> <li>were brought along to the consultation</li> <li>partner of the patient being present</li> <li>mother or other relative being present at the time of a patient visit</li> <li>lack of childcare facilities at the clinic</li> <li>difficulty figuring out family dynamics</li> </ul>	effect of positive status on family or fear of having children  • unwillingness or inability to contemplate the potential impact on an HIV diagnosis on a long-standing relationship
Pre-/Post-Test Counseling and Consent Process	Intrapersonal	<ul> <li>concerns about the pre-test discussion</li> <li>increased time requirements due to pretest and posttest counseling</li> <li>time constraints (concerns about time for counseling and education, completing necessary paperwork to obtain consent)</li> <li>time management (pre/post-test counseling adds to consultation time)</li> <li>time needed to discuss sexual practices and disorders</li> <li>time required to obtain testing consent and provide counseling (lack of awareness of California state legislature removal of requirement for signed consent and counseling)</li> <li>time necessary to complete separate written consent</li> <li>unsure how to perform consent procedures</li> <li>consent process too time-consuming/burdensome</li> <li>onerous consent process</li> <li>overly burdensome state pretest consent and counseling guidelines</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>lack of experience providing pre-test or risk reduction counseling</li> <li>need for pre-test counseling</li> <li>need to have a conversation with the patient about HIV testing (not just "checking off a box on a lab slip and handing it to a patient")</li> <li>not trained to perform pre-test counseling</li> <li>counseling is hard to integrate with other client services</li> <li>additional time needed to assess who needs testing</li> <li>difficulty of accurately identifying those ED patients who are at greatest risk for HIV and most likely to have an undiagnosed infection</li> <li>inability to identify which adolescents should be screened</li> </ul>

		<ul> <li>concern that pre-test counseling fails to adequately prepare patients for a positive results</li> <li>inadequate counseling</li> <li>inadequate support staff for counseling</li> <li>inadequate training in pre-test counseling</li> <li>unsure how to perform counseling</li> <li>separate consent necessary for HIV test</li> <li>regulations related to counseling (post-test for those who test positive and perception that pre-test counseling is also required)</li> <li>inadequate counseling for repeated testers</li> <li>counseling session is difficult to integrate with other patient services</li> <li>informed consent is hard to obtain</li> <li>difficult to fit rapid test counseling into one session</li> <li>concern that condensing pre-test counseling fails to adequately prepare patients for a positive result</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>lack of training to assess risk behaviors</li> <li>belief that many components of pretest counseling are inappropriate for the majority of women</li> <li>if HIV testing increased there might be weakened possibilities to give pre-test counseling properly</li> <li>lack of HIV consent forms</li> <li>need for prescreen counseling</li> <li>requirements for written informed consent</li> <li>parental consent needed for children/adolescents</li> <li>too many state and federal regulations for rapid test and consent requirements for parents' consent</li> </ul>
Competing Clinical Priorities	Intrapersonal	<ul> <li>competing clinical priorities</li> <li>guidelines recommend 1- time HIV screening for most patients within broad age range reduces the urgency for performing screening in any given clinic visit</li> <li>prioritizing substance abuse treatment over HIV testing in substance abuse clinics</li> <li>not feasible or desirable to adopt provider-initiated counseling and testing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>risk of fatigue among staff with responsibilities to perform related tasks (offering, charting, education, and following-up)</li> <li>staff resistance (one more task to undertake, outside scope of practice)</li> <li>wish to focus on primary complaint</li> </ul>

Perception of Low	Intrapersonal	during all types of consultations  not thinking of HIV screening in encounter  organization unable to employ dedicated staff to perform testing  other health screenings (e.g., medical history, vital signs, BMI, substance abuse, and violence) seen as higher priority  potential staff burnout with introduction of rapid testing providing rapid HIV testing gets in the way of ability to take care of patients  few injection drug users and	<ul> <li>ED too short-staffed to incorporate HIV testing</li> <li>prioritizing other preventive screens when time is short</li> <li>would result in neglect of other clinical responsibilities</li> <li>HIV screening not relevant to the visit</li> <li>HIV is not the reason for the patient's visit</li> <li>visit is for palliative care</li> <li>no blood test planned</li> <li>lack of</li> </ul>
HIV Prevalence or Patient Risk		low HIV prevalence translates to low prioritization of HIV testing  HIV disease prevalence is too low  HIV is too rare to warrant routine testing  belief of low risk of HIV among patients  perception that patients do not engage in risk behaviors  lack of awareness of HIV as a problem  patient and staff perception of low risk for HIV  usual patients seen in family practice are seen as low risk for HIV  lack of epidemiological risk factors  belief of low risk of HIV among patients  low risk in established patients	acknowledgment that older adults can be at risk for HIV  assumptions of patient risk based on age and marital status  misperceptions of patients' risks for HIV  low risk based on patient's age  low risk due to patient's sexual orientation  perception regarding ED patients' risk for HIV  population seen as elderly and monogamous and therefore not at risk for HIV

		prevalence of HIV below CDC threshold for universal testing	• incorrect assumptions about patients' risk status
Lack of Awareness of Guidelines	Intrapersonal	<ul> <li>confusion about testing guidelines and regulations</li> <li>lack of awareness of testing recommendations (CDC or other national organizations)</li> <li>lack of awareness of recommendations on screening for veterans</li> <li>lack of awareness of the New York HIV testing law</li> <li>lack of awareness on recommended frequency of HIV screening</li> <li>lack of awareness that effectiveness of testing on basis of patient risk factors has diminished</li> <li>small practice not up to date on recommendations</li> <li>unsure of when to repeat an HIV test</li> <li>lack of consistent guidelines</li> <li>need for guidelines to normalize testing</li> <li>lack of data to support or justify routine HIV testing</li> <li>lack of awareness of local/institutional policies relating to notification and linkage to care</li> <li>did not receive information about the recommendation for increased uptake of HIV screening</li> <li>routine HIV testing is not currently recommended</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>belief that local policy or general medical council guidance discouraged testing</li> <li>physicians not trained in HIV and/or infectious disease are still not aware of the testing guidelines and still think that testing requires extensive pre-test counseling by HIV-trained physicians or certified nursing assistants</li> <li>lack of awareness of provider-initiated testing and counseling policy</li> <li>perception of lack of cost-efficiency in testing low-risk established patients</li> <li>lack of clinical HIV factors to warrant testing</li> <li>lack of medical indication to test</li> <li>policy to order HIV testing only when clinically warranted (e.g., not at patient request)</li> <li>no medical indication for testing</li> <li>lack of HIV symptom presentation</li> </ul>

Perception of Patient Discomfort with/Reluctance to Test	Intrapersonal	<ul> <li>belief that patients would refuse testing</li> <li>older people more likely to refuse testing</li> <li>perception of patient fear of positive result</li> <li>patient embarrassment</li> <li>patient discomfort discussing HIV testing</li> <li>patient refusal</li> <li>putting people off visiting health care for fear of a positive HIV diagnosis</li> <li>rapid testing increases patients' anxiety about HIV</li> <li>the new guidelines increase patient fear</li> <li>perceived patient reluctance to be tested</li> <li>patient may feel that requesting an HIV test equates to admission of having done something "naughty"</li> <li>dental faculty expressed concerns that patients react negatively to HIV screening and/or offers of HIV screening</li> <li>anticipation of low acceptance of HIV testing in dental setting</li> <li>patients not motivated to screen</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>anticipation of low acceptance of HIV testing dental settings</li> <li>patients would react negatively to HIV screening or offers of HIV screening in dental practices</li> <li>patients accustomed to having blood test performed in a lab as impediment to rapid testing</li> <li>patients' assumption that they were already being tested/Patients thinking that they didn't need to be tested until symptoms appear</li> <li>fear of a positive result</li> <li>mistrust of health care services by aboriginal populations</li> <li>patient attitudes towards HIV screening</li> <li>lack of patient support for oral rapid HIV test</li> </ul>
Provider Discomfort Discussing HIV/Risk Behaviors	Intrapersonal	<ul> <li>discomfort in raising the issue of HIV screening with patients</li> <li>discomfort on the part of the physician or patient to discuss risk behaviors</li> <li>discomfort talking to older adults about their sexual practices</li> <li>discomfort discussing a sensitive topic</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>discomfort         discussing high risk         behaviors with         patients</li> <li>discomfort         discussing modes of         HIV transmission</li> <li>provider, patient, or         community         discomfort         (including</li> </ul>

		<ul> <li>female general practitioners report more difficulty discussing sexual health with men</li> <li>hard to find the right question and time to discuss sexual health (phrasing questions so as not to be offensive)</li> <li>inadequate training on taking sexual history</li> <li>difficulty initiating discussions about sexual behaviors (particularly with men - women often discuss needs for contraception, Partests, and pregnancy, which more readily lead into discussions about sexual health)</li> <li>discomfort asking patients about sexual behaviors</li> <li>discomfort counseling about sex and sexuality</li> <li>embarrassment on the part of the family practitioner</li> <li>unsure how to initiate topic</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>discomfort introducing an HIV test when there is no link with the patients' request</li> <li>available continuing medical education courses do not adequately address sexual health</li> <li>discomfort talking to older adults about their sexual practices</li> <li>registered nurses</li> </ul>
Lack of Self- Efficacy Providing Positive Test Result	Intrapersonal	<ul> <li>anxiety about managing a positive diagnosis</li> <li>fear of negative patient reaction to positive test result</li> <li>inability to provide support to patients who may have intense emotional reaction to a positive HIV test</li> <li>discomfort delivering the test results</li> <li>not trained to handle positive HIV results</li> <li>physicians ill-equipped to deal with emotional reactions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>uncertainty with knowing how to deal with emotional response if patient tests positive</li> <li>uncomfortable giving a positive HIV test result</li> <li>difficulty breaking news of positive HIV diagnoses</li> <li>difficulty in delivering a positive test obtained through a rapid test result</li> <li>lack of provider emotional preparedness to</li> </ul>

		<ul> <li>inability to provide clients necessary psychological support</li> <li>psychological impact of having to give a positive test result</li> <li>self-efficacy concerns - feeling inadequately trained to give positive results</li> <li>handle the number of positive results found</li> <li>challenges presenting the test results (patient's feeling in case of a positive result)</li> </ul>
Lack of Provider Knowledge about HIV	Intrapersonal	<ul> <li>lack of education about HIV</li> <li>lack of HIV-specific training</li> <li>lack of knowledge and skills to offer screening and communicate the benefits of screening</li> <li>lack of knowledge of benefits of treatment</li> <li>lack of knowledge of HIV epidemiology (most common mode of transmission, percentage infected who are unaware, treatment as prevention, overall prevalence in Chicago)</li> <li>lack of knowledge of presenting symptoms</li> <li>lack of knowledge of value of screening</li> <li>lack of medical education on HIV and aging</li> <li>missing red flags for HIV</li> <li>insufficient knowledge to provide HIV counseling and testing</li> <li>staff members lack knowledge about HIV</li> <li>concern about ability to answer patients' questions from family</li> <li>patients may have questions staff cannot answer</li> <li>lack of awareness of HIV symptom presentation</li> <li>lack of training on HIV epidemiology and management of diagnosis</li> <li>lack of training on HIV testing</li> <li>lack of education materials for implementing HIV testing</li> <li>lack of consistent HIV training</li> <li>lack of consistent HIV training</li> <li>lack of training to assess risk behaviors</li> <li>difficulty of accurately identifying those</li> <li>ED patients who are at greatest risk for HIV and most likely to have an undiagnosed infection</li> <li>not conducting HIV risk assessment or</li> </ul>

		<ul> <li>family practitioners unfamiliar with HIV</li> <li>expertise not cultivated among general practitioners</li> <li>failure to recognize symptoms of HIV</li> </ul>	providing sexual risk reduction education  • providers relying on the use of pamphlets for education and focusing on reproductive health instead of HIV prevention
Outside Scope of Practice	Intrapersonal	<ul> <li>it is not my job</li> <li>it is not appropriate to involve registered nurses in HIV screening</li> <li>out of scope of practice</li> <li>it is not considered part of professional role</li> <li>perception that the health department is responsible for all HIV testing</li> <li>providers see role as diagnostic, not preventive</li> <li>routine HIV testing not the responsibility of ED staff</li> <li>scope of practice constraints (HIV testing inappropriate in dentistry)</li> <li>seeing HIV testing as only a diagnostic tool, not prevention</li> <li>view that primary care is not the appropriate site for screening</li> <li>counselors refused to test</li> <li>physician refusal to perform testing</li> <li>staff unwilling</li> <li>HIV screening should be performed by primary care physicians rather than EDs</li> <li>HIV testing as outside scope of dental practice (need to refer patients who test positive to a physician</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>burden of responsibility - provider uncertainty about extent of responsibility for HIV testing</li> <li>difficulty treating chronic health conditions in the ED</li> <li>providers do not believe it is their responsibility to offer HIV testing</li> <li>fear that charging for HIV testing would be seen as "money making gimmick" for the dentist</li> <li>not seen as physicians' responsibility</li> <li>HIV as medical matter best addressed by physicians (not dentists)</li> <li>detract from primary role of ED//PITC not seen as a nurse role</li> <li>mission of ED is to treat acutely ill individuals (not</li> </ul>

		anyway, so it is better for a physician to do the testing)  • HIV testing would be taking funds from other health care settings  • testing in ED may be seen as conflicting with interests of other community-based programs (which see HIV testing as their domain)  • HIV testing would be taking interests)  • providers see role as diagnostic, not preventive  • detracts from the primary role of ED  • ED is for conditions that can be treated  • HIV as a medical matter best addressed by physicians (not dentists)
Fear of Offending Patients	Intrapersonal	<ul> <li>afraid that broaching the topic would offend PTs</li> <li>concern about upsetting patients and harming the patient-provider relationship</li> <li>patient may be offended if offered HIV testing</li> <li>patient likely to react to recommendation with denial or anger (I'm not gay, I don't feel sick)</li> <li>personal relationship with patient (feeling that patient would not want them to know)</li> <li>provider initiated testing and counseling may affect the trust between patient and professional</li> <li>fear of appearing judgmental or racist</li> <li>fear that patients would accuse them of xenophobia</li> <li>application of provider-initiated testing and counseling to sub-Saharan African migrants seen as discriminatory</li> <li>fear of disrupting patient-provider relationship</li> <li>belief that African-American patients are more likely to react to recommendations with denial, offense, or anger (I am not gay; I don't feel sick, I don't like needles or giving blood)</li> </ul>
Patient Perception of Low-Risk	Intrapersonal	<ul> <li>patient reluctance due to low perceived risk</li> <li>patients may not accurately assess or convey their own risks</li> <li>patient felt themselves to be at low risk</li> <li>patients do not feel screening is appropriate</li> </ul>

	1	
Lack of Familiarity with Test Procedures	Intrapersonal	<ul> <li>lack of experience with HIV screening</li> <li>lack of familiarity with mechanics of performing rapid test</li> <li>lack of knowledge of test availability</li> <li>more education and training are needed to perform role in the rapid HIV testing program</li> <li>lack of risk assessment skills</li> <li>lack of self-confidence in ability to implement an evidence-based practice at their work</li> <li>lack of self-efficacy in performing HIV testing</li> <li>procedures for running the tests are difficult to learn</li> <li>complexity of ordering an HIV test</li> <li>difficulty of procedures in ordering an HIV test</li> <li>lack of awareness of the types of tests available and their specificity and sensitivity</li> <li>unsure how to perform the test</li> <li>no experience offering HIV testing prior to policy</li> </ul>
HIV Relegated to Specialists	Intrapersonal	<ul> <li>assumption that HIV care is provided only by specialists</li> <li>belief that specialists are adequately meeting needs</li> <li>staff not qualified</li> <li>uncertainty about counseling patients (need to have someone with experience present)</li> <li>belief that a HIV screen requires pre-screen counseling from a specialist</li> <li>providers need special qualifications to inform patients of a positive test result</li> <li>providers need special qualifications to suggest an HIV test</li> <li>belief that testing requires extensive pretest counseling</li> <li>non-laboratory staff are not qualified to run rapid tests</li> <li>pregnancy diagnosed (testing deferred to prenatal visit)</li> <li>HIV testing "not an ordinary prescription"</li> <li>inability to screen concomitantly for other STIs</li> <li>"scattershot approach" – it is up to each local program to make referral arrangements for HIV testing among substance abuse clients</li> </ul>

		by HIV-trained physicians or clinical nurse specialists  • limited access to testing for substance abusers  • provider-deferred testing to an upcoming prenatal appointment
Concerns about Cost-Effectiveness	Intrapersonal	<ul> <li>concerns about cost effectiveness</li> <li>lack of cost-effectiveness of universal ED-based HIV screening</li> <li>difference in opinion regarding efficacy of routine HIV testing</li> <li>already high rate of HIV testing (little added benefit to testing all patients)</li> <li>belief that sufficient infrastructure is in place (no added benefit to expanded screening)</li> <li>belief in importance of risk-based testing (due to limited resources)</li> <li>HIV testing should be offered only to those with risk factors</li> <li>believing that it is not efficient to test every person</li> <li>decreased clinic efficiency with introduction of rapid testing</li> <li>lack of outcome expectancy</li> <li>it is not feasible or desirable to adopt provider-initiated testing and counseling during all types of consultations</li> </ul>
Additional Training Needed	Intrapersonal	<ul> <li>additional training necessary to be able to offer HIV testing</li> <li>lack of training on how to perform rapid test</li> <li>staff reluctant to attend new trainings</li> <li>unsure how to perform the test</li> <li>inadequate staff training</li> <li>lack of self-confidence in their ability to implement an evidence-based practice at their work</li> <li>concern about ability to answer patients' questions</li> <li>general practitioners' expertise not cultivated</li> <li>lack of training in how to offer routine testing</li> <li>procedures are too difficult to learn</li> <li>lack of participation of other professional training</li> <li>lack of training on provider-initiated testing and counseling</li> </ul>

Patient Acuity	Intrapersonal	• patient acuity	• client fragility -
		<ul> <li>patient ineligibility         (illness/inability to         consent/age &gt; 5 years)</li> <li>patient felt too ill to test</li> <li>visit acuity</li> <li>client too anxious, angry, or         confused</li> <li>cognitive difficulties among         cancer patients</li> <li>belief among some staff tha         HIV testing should be done         1-3 days after intake and         not during the intake itself         (inmates may refuse testing         at intake because they are         tired, hungry, or in         alcohol/drug withdrawal)</li> <li>focus on palliative care         during visit</li> <li>no blood test planned for         cancer patients</li> </ul>	introducing HIV testing could threaten clients' substance abuse recovery  may jeopardize client's sobriety dealing with difficult patient
Lack of Support for HIV as Public Health Issue	Intrapersonal	<ul> <li>opposition to the increasing number of "public health" or "primary care" services being taken on by EDs</li> <li>assuming that providers do not care about prevention and just want to treat disease</li> <li>belief that being HIV positive is not deserving of "special status" to morally obligate a provider to offer free testing</li> <li>belief that services offered should reflect needs of population being served (other public health needs more prevalent)</li> <li>HIV competes with other state public health priorities</li> <li>lack of integration between public health and ED department</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>need for strong and committed advocate or spokesperson at top levels of government to heighten awareness of HIV as public health issue</li> <li>patients may not test if focus too much on public health (versus individual) benefit</li> <li>lack of HIV awareness and activism within community</li> <li>lack of public health infrastructure</li> <li>competing public health interest in ED</li> </ul>

		<ul> <li>difficulty identifying and testing hard to reach populations (e.g., domestic violence victims, sex workers, undocumented immigrants)</li> <li>lack of dissemination and implementation strategies for HIV testing programs</li> <li>patients at risk do not present to general practice</li> </ul>
False Positive Results	Intrapersonal	<ul> <li>concern about high false positive in low risk group</li> <li>unnecessary fear on the part of patient who receives a false positive result</li> <li>greater confidence in standard HIV testing with serology than rapid testing</li> <li>unnecessarily raising alarm in patient</li> <li>preference to order a conventional (blood) test simultaneously rather than offer a rapid test</li> <li>concern about liability for inaccurate test results</li> </ul>
Forgetting	Intrapersonal	<ul> <li>forgetting to test</li> <li>lack of reminder for HIV testing in EMR</li> <li>forgot to offer</li> <li>staff forgetting to implement HIV screening</li> </ul>
Disagree with Recommendations	Intrapersonal	<ul> <li>disagree with recommendations</li> <li>distrust of CDC over professional society recommendations</li> <li>doubts about the guidelines (belief that data do not support the need for testing)</li> <li>evidence for recommendations insufficient</li> <li>questions of ethics or morality of testing patients as young as 13</li> <li>uncertainty about organization issuing guidelines</li> <li>lack of provider buy-in nursing resistance</li> <li>intermittent staff compliance with guidelines</li> <li>being HIV positive is not deserving of "special status" to morally obligate a provider to offer free testing</li> <li>lack of endorsement of recommendations among residents</li> <li>not all nurses were convinced about provider-initiated testing and counseling</li> </ul>

		<ul> <li>belief in importance of risk-based testing (due to limited resources)</li> <li>guidelines do not address patient preferences, needs, and abilities</li> <li>guidance to minimize</li> <li>perception that HIV testing should be offered only to those with risk factors</li> </ul>
Testing Seen as Coercive	Intrapersonal	<ul> <li>belief that opt-out testing may be seen as coercive to patients</li> <li>potential for providerinitiated testing and counseling to breach human rights (patients may feel pressurized or coerced into having a test)</li> <li>concerns about opt-out testing</li> <li>patients need to be able to make an informed decision</li> <li>patients may not be able to fully participate in consent process during ED visits or understand meaning of test results</li> <li>immediacy of rapid test seen as too intrusive</li> <li>involuntary commitment to treatment</li> <li>screening for HIV among undocumented immigrants seen as unethical if patients are deported and no longer have access to care</li> <li>patients may feel pressure or coerced into having a test</li> </ul>
Testing Not a Priority to Patients	Intrapersonal	<ul> <li>HIV testing not a priority to most patients (most patients are low income - concerned more about where they will sleep and where they will get their next meal)</li> <li>ignorance of rapid testing among patients</li> <li>patient focus on immediate needs (what brought them to the ED)</li> <li>patients not motivated to screen</li> <li>test is offered in a manner that patients more likely to refuse (offered at the end of the ED visit)</li> <li>unsure if patients want to be tested</li> </ul>
Patients Should Request Screening	Intrapersonal	<ul> <li>belief that patients should request test</li> <li>patient should raise topic</li> <li>patients do not request testing</li> <li>fear of offering unneeded tests</li> <li>reliance on patient request for screening</li> </ul>

		•	failure to truly perform universal testing may give patients a false sense of security that they have been tested and are negative	•	instead of providers bringing up HIV to their patient, the patient should bring up the topic
Patient Fear of Needles	Intrapersonal	•	not ordering HIV testing for patients who do not like needle sticks patient fear of needles	•	patient discomfort with finger stick of venipuncture
Lack of Efficacy of Test to Change Patient Behavior	Intrapersonal	•	perceptions regarding testing's ability to cause patients to change their risk behaviors and lower transmission risk	•	provider belief that patients are not likely to change behaviors even if risks identified and testing and counseling is offered