

#### ClinicalTrials.gov Protocol Registration and Results System (PRS) Receipt

Release Date: May 27, 2020

ClinicalTrials.gov ID: NCT04027543

## **Study Identification**

Unique Protocol ID: SYSEC-KY-KS-2019-068

Brief Title: Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy or Chemoradiotherapy in Resectable Oesophageal

Carcinoma#NewEC Study#

Official Title: Clinical Evidence for Association of Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy or

Chemoradiotherapy With Efficacy and Safety in Patients With Resectable

Esophageal Carcinoma (NewEC Study)

Secondary IDs:

## **Study Status**

Record Verification: May 2020

Overall Status: Completed

Study Start: November 14, 2018 [Actual]
Primary Completion: December 1, 2019 [Actual]
Study Completion: December 1, 2019 [Actual]

### Sponsor/Collaborators

Sponsor: Sun Yat-Sen Memorial Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University

Responsible Party: Principal Investigator

Investigator: Herui Yao [hyao]
Official Title: Principal Investigator

Affiliation: Sun Yat-Sen Memorial Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University

Collaborators: Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital

Massachusetts General Hospital

## **Oversight**

U.S. FDA-regulated Drug: Yes U.S. FDA-regulated Device: No

U.S. FDA IND/IDE: No

Human Subjects Review: Board Status: Exempt

Data Monitoring: Yes

FDA Regulated Intervention: No

### **Study Description**

Brief Summary: To provide comprehensive efficacy and safety profiles of neoadjuvant

chemoradiotherapy (NCRT) versus neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NCT) versus

surgery alone in resectable oesophageal carcinoma.

Detailed Description: Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NCT) or neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy (NCRT)

has been shown to be better than surgery alone in patients with resectable oesophageal carcinoma, but higher quality evidence is needed as new findings have emerged regarding this issue.Previous evidence-based findings and the current guidelines have not established a survival advantage of NCRT over NCT or an acceptable safety profile of the addition of radiotherapy to NCT; whether NCRT or NCT is more effective for the treatment of adenocarcinoma or squamous cell carcinoma of the oesophagus is unclear.This study aims to provide comprehensive efficacy and safety profiles of NCRT versus NCT versus

surgery alone in resectable oesophageal carcinoma.

### **Conditions**

Conditions: Radiotherapy Side Effect

Chemotherapy Effect Oesophageal Carcinoma

Effect of Drugs Safety Issues

Keywords: Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy

Resectable oesophageal carcinoma

Effective Safety

## **Study Design**

Study Type: Observational

Observational Study Model: Cohort

Time Perspective: Retrospective Biospecimen Retention: None Retained

Biospecimen Description:

Enrollment: 423 [Actual]

Number of Groups/Cohorts: 3

## **Groups and Interventions**

Groups/Cohorts	Interventions			
Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy	Combination Product: Neoadjuvant			
Patients who had chemoradiotherapy before surgery.	chemoradiotherapy			
	In most patients, the chemotherapy			
	regimens before surgery were			
	consisted of cisplatin combined with			
	either fluorouracil or taxanes.			
Neoadjuvant chemotherapy	Drug: Neoadjuvant chemotherapy			
Patients who had chemotherapy before surgery.	In most patients, the chemotherapy			
	regimens before surgery were			

Groups/Cohorts	Interventions		
	consisted of cisplatin combined with		
	either fluorouracil or taxanes.		
Surgery alone	Procedure/Surgery: Oesophagectomy		
Patients who only had oesophagectomy. Various surgical	Various surgical oesophagectomy		
oesophagectomy methods were used, such as Ivor Lewis, transthoracic,	methods were used, such		
three-hole, transhiatal, and left transthoracic. The appropriate surgical	as Ivor Lewis, transthoracic,		
approach for each patient was chosen according to the tumour location,	three-hole, transhiatal, and left		
size, and depth.	transthoracic,and the appropriate		
	surgical approach for each patient		
	was chosen according to the		
	tumour location, size, and depth.		

### **Outcome Measures**

Primary Outcome Measure:

1. Overall survival (OS)

The OS was calculated as the time from the date of the histologically documented diagnosis to the date of death or the final follow-up.

[Time Frame: 5 years]

#### Secondary Outcome Measure:

2. Disease-free survival (DFS)

DFS was calculated from the date of R0 resection to the date of disease recurrence or death from any cause

[Time Frame: 5 years]

3. R0 resection rate

R0 resection was defined as gross disease removed with negative margins (tumour-free resection margin).

[Time Frame: Baseline]

4. Pathologic complete response (pCR)

pCR was defined as no evidence of residual tumour cells in the primary site and resected lymph nodes of the operative specimens.

[Time Frame: Baseline]

5. 30-day postoperative or in-hospital mortality

[Time Frame: 30 days]

## **Eligibility**

Study Population: Patients with histologically documented untreated SCC or adenocarcinoma of

the oesophagus or gastro-oesophageal junction that was clinically staged as stage I-III (T1-3, N0-1 and M0) as assessed by a contrast-enhanced multislice computed tomography (CT) scan, positron emission tomography, or endoscopic

ultrasonography were eligible.

Sampling Method: Non-Probability Sample

Minimum Age: 18 Years

Maximum Age: 80 Years

Sex: All

Gender Based: No

Accepts Healthy Volunteers: No

Criteria: Inclusion Criteria:

- Patients with histologically documented untreated SCC or adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus or gastro-oesophageal junction.
- Patients clinically staged as stage I-III (T1-3, N0-1 and M0) as assessed by a contrast-enhanced multislice computed tomography (CT) scan, positron emission tomography, or endoscopic ultrasonography.
- Patients had an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 0 to 2.

#### **Exclusion Criteria:**

- Patients had received any previous treatment for oesophageal cancer.
- Patients who were unsuitable for surgery because of comorbidities.
- Patients had evidence of distant metastatic disease by history and physical examination.

#### Contacts/Locations

Central Contact Person: Herui Yao, PhD

Telephone: +8613500018020 Email: yaoherui@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Central Contact Backup: Yufang Yu, MD

Telephone: +8613660238987 Email: yuyf9@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Study Officials: Herui Yao, PhD

Study Chair

Sun Yat-Sen Memorial Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University

Haiyu Zhou, PhD

Study Principal Investigator

Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital

Michael Lanuti, PhD Study Principal Investigator

Massachusetts General Hospital of Harvard Medical School

Locations: China, Guangdong

Sun Yat-Sen Memorial Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University

Guangzhou, Guangdong, China, 510000

Contact: Herui Yao, PhD +8613500018020 yaoherui@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Contact: Yufang Yu, MD 13660238987 yuyf9@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital

Guangzhou, Guangdong, China, 510000

Contact: Haiyu Zhou, PhD +8613710342002 thoracichaiyu@gmail.com

Principal Investigator: Haiyu Zhou, PhD Sub-Investigator: Shaopeng Zheng, PhD

#### **United States, Massachusetts**

Massachusetts General Hospital of Harvard Medical School

Boston, Massachusetts, United States, 01748

Contact: Michael Lanuti, PhD 617-726-6751 mlanuti@mqh.harvard.edu

Principal Investigator: Michael Lanuti, PhD

# **IPDSharing**

Plan to Share IPD: No

The datasets used or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

R	ef	ei	re	n	C	e	S
	$\sim$ $\cdot$	•	•		v	·	J

Citations:

Links:

Available IPD/Information:

U.S. National Library of Medicine | U.S. National Institutes of Health | U.S. Department of Health & Human Services