

NR.	Name, Age	ECART apre's ablation distracter	ECART 2 semaines apre's	ECART 1 mois apre's	ECART 3 mois apre's	ECART 6 mois apre's	ECART 12 mois apre's	ECART 36,48,60 mois aptr's	month after surgery
1	A.M.,f 26a	2,9	3	3	2,8	2		0	48 month,jaw deformity
2	A.O.:f 10a	2,8	3	3	3	3	3	1,4	60 month, after flap surg.
3	A.Z. f	3	3	3	3	3			
4	A.A.M.m	2,85	3	3					
5	A.A. m	3	3	3	3	5	6	6,5	48 month,
6	A.F.A. f, 25a	2,8	3					0	60 month, no leason
7	B.I.m, 20a	3	3,2	3,2	3,2	4,5			
8	D.H.m 6a	2,8	3					1,5	60 month, after flap surg
9	H.H. f 14a	2,8	3		1	1,05		1,3	60 month, after flap surg
10	H.S.m, 6a	1,4	1,4	1,6	1	1,8		0,5	60 month,defect cheek
11	H.G. f 15	1	1	1				0,6	48 month, after flap surg
12	H.M., f, 25a	2,7	2,7	2,75					
13	K.M. m, 13a	3	3	3	3	5	4,5		
14	M.S. m	1	1,25	1,25	1,25			1,8	48 month, after flap surg
15	N.S. m, 13a	3	3	3	3	3		0	48 month,no defect
16	N.I.f, 11a	1,85	1,8					0	60 month
17	O.H. f, 8a	3	3	3	6	6	6	7	48 monthscar eylid
18	R.S. f, 16a	3	3	2	2			1,5	60 month, jaw deformity
19	R.I. f, 15a	3	3					1,2	48 month no leason
20	R.A. f, 5a	2	2	2	2			0,2	48 month, after flap surg
21	R.I.f,16a	2,7	2,7	2,7	2,7	2,7	2,7	2,1	60 month, no defect
22	S.S. f,23a	3	3	4,5	4,5	4,5	3,5		
23	S.M. f	2,5	0,65						
24	S.M. m	2,7	2,8	2,8	2,8	2,8		0,15	60 month, after flap surg
25	S.O. f	3	3	3	4	4			
26	S.I. f	2	2,9						
27	T.I. f	2,8	3	3				1,8	36 month
28	Y.Z. m,25a	2,8	2			2			
29	Y.Z.f	2,9	2,9	2,9	2,9	2,9	1,4	1,4	48 month
30	Y.A.	2	1,8	1	0,4	0,1		0,3	36 month, after flap surg
31	Z.M.	3	3	3	3	3,5	3		
32	Z.S. f,12a	3	3	3	3	5	5,5		
33	Z.I.f,7a	2,3	2,5		0,6	0,8		0	60 month, no leason
34	Z.A. f, 9a	2,65	2,65	2	2	2		3	60 month, flap surg
35	Z.S. m	3	3			1,1			
36	M.H. m	2,85	3						
37	S.A. f	2,8	2,8						
38	K.K. f	3	3,5						
39	M.I. f	3	4						
40	B.I. f, 25a	3	3	4,5					
		105,9	107,55	70,2	60,15	65,75	35,6	32,25	
Number	40	40	26	23	22	9	22		
Mean Value	2,65	2,69	2,70	2,62	2,99	3,96	1,47		

Tab. S1 Follow-up measurements of mouth opening after distraction of 40 patients up to 60 month in 8 cases. The fragmentary members of value depends on the local inconsistence of local staff availability and missing

	post OP	2 wks	1 mo	3 mo	6 mo	1 yr	3 yrs	4 yrs	5 yrs	last (3-5 yrs)
n	40.0	39.0	27.0	25.0	22.0	9.0	2.0	8.0	12.0	22.0
> 0mm (%)	100.0	97.5	67.5	62.5	55.0	22.5	5.0	15.0	22.5	42.5
> 7mm (%)	100.0	95.0	67.5	57.5	52.5	22.5	2.5	10.0	17.5	30.0
> 11mm (%)	100.0	97.5	67.5	62.5	52.5	22.5	5.0	15.0	22.5	42.5
mean (cm)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.4	3.0	4.0	1.1	1.5	1.5	1.5
sd (cm)	0.5	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.6	1.6	1.1	2.1	2.0	1.9
t (H0: mu=0)	32.2	27.0	16.5	11.9	8.9	7.3	1.4	1.9	2.7	3.6
df	39.0	38.0	26.0	24.0	21.0	8.0	1.0	7.0	11.0	21.0
p-value	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0

Table S2 shows the proportions of mouth opening exceeding 0, 7, and 11mm, the average mouth opening (along with the respective SDs) and the according results of the one-sample t-tests ($H_0: \mu=0$). We find all (but the 3 and 4 years FUP) means significantly larger than zero. At the end of distraction 100% of all patients had a mouth opening of over 1,1cm, and 3 to 5 years afterwards 42,5 % had a MO of more than 1,1cm.

The values of the measurements of the single years 1-5 marked in red in the table are not statistically significant due to the fragmentary members of values. They are there for misunderstanding