

1 **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

2 **Silicone Pet Tags Associate Tris(1,3,-Dichloro-2-Isopropyl) Phosphate Exposures with Feline**
3 **Hyperthyroidism**

4 *Carolyn M. Poutasse,¹ Julie B. Herbstman,² Mark E. Peterson,³ Jana Gordon,⁴ Peter H. Soboroff,⁵*
5 *Darrell Holmes,² Dezere Gonzalez,² Lane G. Tidwell,¹ Kim A. Anderson**

6 ¹ Department of Toxicology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331

7 ² Department of Environmental Health Sciences, Columbia University, New York, NY 10032

8 ³ Animal Endocrine Clinic, 21 West 100th St., New York, NY 10025

9 ⁴ Carlson College of Veterinary Medicine, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331

10 ⁵ New York Cat Hospital, 143 Freedom Pl., New York, NY 10069

11

12 ***Corresponding Author:**

13 Kim A. Anderson

14 Oregon State University

15 Department of Environmental and Molecular Toxicology

16 1007 Agricultural and Life Sciences Building

17 Corvallis, Oregon 97331, USA

18 Telephone: (541) 737-8501

19 Email: kim.anderson@oregonstate.edu

20	Contents	
21	Explanatory paragraphs.	S3-S6
22	Cat Recruitment.	S3
23	Serum Thyroid Hormone Panel.	S3-S4
24	Flame Retardant Extraction.	S4-S5
25	Instrument Parameters.	S5
26	Quality Control.	S5-S6
27	Particulate-Bound Fraction.	S6
28	Table S1. Serum thyroid hormone panel reference ranges and summary statistics.	S7
29	Table S2. Target analytes, CAS numbers, and limits of detection and quantification.	S8-S9
30	Table S3. Unadjusted odds ratios.	S10
31	Table S4. OPE Spearman’s correlation coefficients.	S11
32	Table S5. PBDE Spearman’s correlation coefficients.	S12
33	Figure S1. Modified Kaplan-Meier survival curve for TDCIPP pet tag concentrations.	S13
34	Figure S2. Examples of recruited cats wearing the pet tag.	S14
35	References.	S16

36 **Cat Recruitment**

37 As stipulated by the inclusion criteria, all cats were over seven years old. Cat owners completed
38 a consent form and three-page questionnaire about their cat's home environment. If multiple cats
39 were recruited from the same home (n=10), owners completed a consent form and questionnaire
40 for each cat individually.

41 The diagnosis of hyperthyroidism (n=39) was established on the basis of clinical signs consistent
42 with the disease (e.g. weight loss despite good appetite), a palpable thyroid nodule on physical
43 examination, high basal total thyroxine (TT₄) and free T₄ (fT₄) concentrations, and a good
44 clinical response to treatment for hyperthyroidism. Hyperthyroid cats who had recently
45 undergone treatment with radioiodine or were currently undergoing anti-thyroid drug treatment
46 were eligible.

47 Non-hyperthyroid, or euthyroid, cats (n=39) were considered healthy on the basis of history,
48 physical examination findings (e.g. lack of palpable thyroid tumors), and results of routine
49 laboratory examinations (e.g. serum biochemical analysis) and serum thyroid profile. The serum
50 thyroid profile included concentrations of fT₄, TT₄, total triiodothyronine (TT₃), and thyroid-
51 stimulating hormone (TSH) (see next section).

52 If an enrolled cat did not regularly wear a collar, researchers provided a complimentary collar.

53 Cats wore the pet tag for seven days before the owner removed the tag from the collar, resealed it
54 in the PTFE bag, and returned it to the study coordinator.^{1,2}

55 **Serum Thyroid Hormone Panel**

56 Non-hyperthyroid cats were required to undergo a serum thyroid panel of tests, including free
57 thyroxine (fT₄), total T₄ (TT₄), total triiodothyronine (TT₃), and thyroid-stimulating hormone
58 (TSH), to assess his or her thyroid status and to determine eligibility for this study. After the cat
59 owner completed the consent form and questionnaire, the recruiting veterinarian examined the
60 cat for clinical findings of feline hyperthyroidism (e.g. palpable goiter). If no clinical features of
61 feline hyperthyroidism were detected, then the veterinarian drew two to three mL of blood, and
62 the sample was shipped to IDEXX Laboratories for the analysis of serum fT₄, TT₄, TT₃, and TSH,
63 conducted by assays validated for cats as previously reported.³⁻⁵ If fT₄ and TT₄ concentrations
64 were within the respective reference intervals (Table S1), then the cat was eligible to be a non-
65 hyperthyroid participant for the study.

66 **Flame Retardant Extraction**

67 The pet tags underwent post-deployment cleaning to remove particulate matter with two rinses of
68 18 MΩ·cm water and one of isopropanol.² The tags were stored in amber glass jars at -20 °C, and
69 then extracted and analyzed as previously reported.^{2,6} Briefly, FBDE-118 and 2-bromobiphenyl
70 were added as a recovery surrogates, with respective average recoveries of 91±18%
71 (median=92%) and 90±19% (median=91%). Pet tags were extracted with two 100 mL volumes
72 of ethyl acetate at ambient temperature. Sample extracts were combined and quantitatively
73 reduced to one mL under nitrogen (Turbo-Vap L, Biotage, Charlotte, NC, USA; RapidVap,
74 LabConco, Kansas City, MO, USA; N-EVAP 111, Organomation Associates, Berlin, MA,
75 USA). Sample extracts were stored at 4 °C prior to instrument analysis.

76 The sample extract aliquots were combined with FBDE-126 as the internal standard. Targeted
77 analysis of 44 FRs occurred using an Agilent 7890A gas chromatograph coupled with an Agilent

78 5975C mass spectrometer (Santa Clara, CA). The gas chromatograph was operated in electron
79 impact mode (70 eV) and select ion monitoring.

80 **Instrument Parameters**

81 The instrument parameters were configured as previously reported.² Briefly, an Agilent 7890A
82 gas chromatograph was coupled with an Agilent 5975C mass spectrometer (Santa Clara, CA) for
83 analysis of 44 flame retardant analytes. An Agilent DB-5MS column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25
84 μm) was operated in electron impact mode (70 eV) and select ion monitoring. Samples were
85 loaded using an Agilent 2 mm dimpled liner and pulsed splitless injection. The temperatures of
86 the MS source, quadruple, and detector transfer line were set to 250°C, 150°C, and 300°C
87 respectively. The pulse pressure was 30 psi (0.5 min) at a 3 mL/min purge and a 35 mL/min
88 purge after 1 minute. The temperature profile started at 90°C (1.25 min), ramped to 240°C (10
89 °C/min), ramped to 310°C (20 °C/min), and held at 310°C (10 min).

90 The limits of detection (LODs) and limits of quantitation (LOQs) were determined as previously
91 reported.² Briefly, for each analyte, the lowest standard with a 15:1 signal-to-noise ratio was run
92 seven times. The resulting standard deviation was used to calculate a 99% confidence interval
93 with the Student's *t*-value and appropriate degree of freedom. LOQs were five times higher than
94 the LODs. The method LODs and LOQs for all analytes, surrogate standards, and internal
95 standard are reported in Table S2.

96 **Quality Control**

97 To ensure pet tags met the data quality objectives, QC samples^{1, 7} accounted for 47% of the total
98 samples analyzed. QC samples included cat tag conditioning verifications (n=4), trip blanks

99 (n=1), laboratory control blanks (n=4), sample duplicates (n=1), sample overspikes (n=2),
100 instrument solvent blanks (n=43), and continuing calibration verifications (n=13). All target
101 analytes were below their respective LODs in all blank QC. All calibration verifications were
102 within data quality objectives at $\pm 30\%$ of the true value for 70% of the target analytes.

103 A “cat collar” QC sample was included because two cat tags were returned with the collars still
104 attached. Only TCIPP was detected in this QC, below the LOQ. Because the TCIPP LOQ was
105 over 10-fold lower than either pet tag TCIPP concentration, no correction was made to the
106 samplers returned with the collars.

107 **Particulate-Bound Fraction**

108 A measure of bioavailability is the octanol-air partition coefficients (K_{oa}) of individual
109 chemicals.⁸ Because LMW PBDE congeners have lower $\log K_{oa}$ values (e.g. 2 to 13),¹ they
110 partition more readily into the air than particulate matter.^{9, 10} Consequently, the LMW congeners
111 also partition more readily into the silicone pet tags than particulate matter. In contrast, HMW
112 PBDE congeners have higher $\log K_{oa}$ values and are more frequently detected in house dust than
113 in air.^{8, 9}

114 For this study, any particulate matter on the silicone pet tags was removed during the post-
115 deployment cleaning process (Section 2.4).¹ Some previous studies did not include this step prior
116 to laboratory extractions.⁸ In general, particulate-bound FRs are “biologically unavailable” for
117 uptake by silicone PSDs.¹¹ Washing the samplers prior to extraction enabled this study to focus
118 only on FRs sequestered by the polymer matrix.

119

120 **Table S1.** Reference ranges and summary statistics are reported for hormones included in the
 121 serum thyroid profile for the 39 non-hyperthyroid cats recruited for the study. Out of free thyroxine
 122 (fT₄), total T4 (TT₄), total triiodothyronine (TT₃), and thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH)
 123 concentrations, a cat was eligible to be a non-hyperthyroid participant if the fT₄ and TT₄
 124 concentrations were within the respective reference intervals.

Thyroid Hormone	Reference Range	Geometric Mean	Standard Deviation	Median	Cat Study Range
fT ₄ (ng/dL)	0.7-2.6	1.15	0.41	1.10	0.50-2.10
TT ₄ (ug/dL)	0.8-4.7	2.27	0.47	2.20	1.70-3.50
TT ₃ (ng/dL)	52-182	34.0	7.12	35.0	4.1-48.0
TSH (ng/mL)	0.05-0.42	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.01-0.41

125

126 **Table S2.** Target analytes, CAS numbers, and method limits of detection and quantification are reported.

Target Analyte	Abbreviation	CAS	MW	Method LOD (pmol/g) ^a	Method LOQ (pmol/g)
<i>Polybrominated diphenyl ethers</i>					
2-bromodiphenyl ether	BDE-1	7025-06-1	249.1	3.01	15.1
3-bromodiphenyl ether	BDE-2	6976-00-2	249.1	2.46	12.3
4-bromodiphenyl ether	BDE-3	101-55-3	249.1	2.76	13.8
2,4-dibromodiphenyl ether	BDE-7	53592-10-2	328.0	1.76	8.81
2,4'-dibromodiphenyl ether	BDE-8	49602-91-7	328.0	1.70	8.51
3,2'-dibromodiphenyl ether	BDE-10	2050-47-7	328.0	2.16	10.8
3,3'-dibromodiphenyl ether	BDE-11	6903-63-5	328.0	1.83	9.15
3,4-dibromodiphenyl ether	BDE-12	189084-59-1	328.0	1.80	8.99
3,4'-dibromodiphenyl ether	BDE-13	57186-90-0	328.0	1.19	5.91
4,4'-dibromodiphenyl ether	BDE-15	2050-47-7	328.0	1.05	5.24
2,2',4-tribromodiphenyl ether	BDE-17	147217-75-2	406.9	1.51	7.52
2,3',4-tribromodiphenyl ether	BDE-25	147217-77-4	406.9	1.12	5.58
2,4,4'-tribromodiphenyl ether & 2',3,4- tribromodiphenyl ether	BDE-28 & BDE- 33	41318-75-6 & 337513- 67-4	406.9	1.02	5.11
2,4,6-tribromodiphenyl ether	BDE-30	49690-94-0	406.9	1.39	6.96
2,4',6-tribromodiphenyl ether	BDE-32	189084-60-4	406.9	1.52	7.62
3,3',4-tribromodiphenyl ether	BDE-35	147217-80-9	406.9	2.90	14.5
3,4,4'-tribromodiphenyl ether	BDE-37	147217-81-0	406.9	0.654	3.27
2,2',4,4'-tetrabromodiphenyl ether	BDE-47	5436-43-1	485.8	1.59	7.93
2,2',4,5'-tetrabromodiphenyl ether	BDE-49	243982-82-3	485.8	1.46	7.29
2,3',4,4'-tetrabromodiphenyl ether	BDE-66	189084-61-5	485.8	1.94	9.70
2,3',4',6-tetrabromodiphenyl ether	BDE-71	189084-62-6	485.8	1.04	5.23
2,4,4',6-tetrabromodiphenyl ether	BDE-75	189084-63-7	485.8	1.43	7.14
3,3',4,4'-tetrabromodiphenyl ether	BDE-77	93703-48-1	485.8	0.642	3.21
2,2',4,4',5-pentabromodiphenyl ether	BDE-99	60348-60-9	564.7	1.52	7.61
2,2',4,4',6-pentabromodiphenyl ether	BDE-100	189084-64-8	564.7	1.57	7.84

2,3,4,5,6-pentabromodiphenyl ether	BDE-116	189084-65-9	564.7	1.42	7.10
2,3',4,4',5-pentabromodiphenyl ether	BDE-118	446254-80-4	564.7	1.51	7.54
2,3',4,4',6-pentabromodiphenyl ether	BDE-119	189084-66-0	564.7	1.08	5.38
2,2',3,4,4',5'-hexabromodiphenyl ether	BDE-138	182677-30-1	643.6	1.17	5.87
2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexabromodiphenyl ether	BDE-153	68631-49-2	643.6	0.766	3.82
2,2',4,4',5,6'-hexabromodiphenyl ether	BDE-154	207122-15-4	643.6	0.928	4.63
2,3,4,4',5,6-hexabromodiphenyl ether	BDE-166	189084-58-0	643.6	0.771	3.85
2,2',3,4,4',5,6-heptabromodiphenyl ether	BDE-181	189084-67-1	715.5	12.5	62.8
2,2',3,4,4',5',6-heptabromodiphenyl ether	BDE-183	207122-16-5	715.5	10.8	53.9
2,3,3',4,4',5,6-heptabromodiphenyl ether	BDE-190	189084-68-2	715.5	7.10	35.5
<i>Organophosphate flame retardants</i>					
Tri-n-butyl phosphate	TNBP	126-73-8	266.3	4.43	22.3
Tri-n-ethyl phosphate	TNEP	78-40-0	182.2	10.8	53.9
Triphenyl phosphate	TPHP	115-86-6	326.3	1.31	6.53
Tris(2-chloroethyl) phosphate	TCEP	115-96-8	285.5	20.4	102
Tris(1-chloro-2-isopropyl) phosphate	TCIPP	13674-84-5	327.6	27.7	139
Tris(1,3-dichloro-2-isopropyl) phosphate	TDCIPP	13674-87-8	427.9	20.8	104
<i>Brominated flame retardants</i>					
2-ethylhexyl-2,3,4,5-tetrabromobenzoate	EH-TBB	183658-27-7	549.9	8.37	41.8
Di(2-ethylhexyl)tetrabromophthalate	TBPH	26040-51-7	706.1	1.46	7.29
<i>Reference Standards</i>					
2-Bromobiphenyl	2-BBP (SS)	2052-07-5	233.1	3.54	17.7
5'-Fluoro-3,3',4,4',5-pentabromodiphenyl ether	FBDE-126 (IS)	N/A	583.7	N/A	N/A
5'-Fluoro-2,3',4,4',5-pentabromodiphenyl ether	FBDE-118 (SS)	N/A	583.7	1.78	8.89

127 LOD – Limit of detection; LOQ – Limit of quantitation; SS – Surrogate standard; IS – Internal standard; N/A – Not applicable.

128 **Table S3.** Unadjusted odds ratios are reported for flame retardants detected in at least one tag.

Target Analyte	Unadjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P-value (odds ratio)
TNBP ^a	1.61 (0.313, 8.29)	0.566
TNEP ^a	0.852 (0.117, 6.23)	0.874
TCEP ^a	0.278 (0.027, 2.91)	0.279
TCIPP ^a	1.03 (0.952, 1.12)	0.409
TDCIPP ^a	1.36 (0.923, 2.02)	0.059*
TPHP ^a	1.09 (0.469, 2.53)	0.840
$\Sigma OPEs^a$	<i>1.03 (0.955, 1.12)</i>	<i>0.415</i>
BDE-8	--	--
BDE-12	--	--
BDE-15	--	--
BDE-17	--	--
BDE-25	--	--
BDE-28& BDE-33	0.390 (0.076, 1.99)	0.258
BDE-47	0.940 (0.447, 1.98)	0.870
BDE-49	0.702 (0.177, 2.78)	0.614
BDE-66	0.759 (0.045, 12.7)	0.848
BDE-99	0.987 (0.508, 1.92)	0.970
BDE-100	0.641 (0.329, 1.25)	0.190
BDE-138	--	--
BDE-153	0.689 (0.291, 1.63)	0.396
BDE-154	0.501 (0.156, 1.61)	0.246
$\Sigma BDEs$	<i>0.859 (0.564, 1.01)</i>	<i>0.326</i>
EH-TBB ^a	0.489 (0.061, 3.93)	0.492
$\Sigma BFRs^a$	<i>0.490 (0.061, 3.93)</i>	<i>0.492</i>

129 **Bold*:** p<0.10

130 ^aOdds ratio calculated using nmol/g tag concentrations

131

132

133 **Table S4.** Spearman's rho correlation coefficients are reported for OPEs detected in over 10% of
 134 matched cat tag samples (n=78). Correlation coefficients were calculated from concentrations in
 135 units of picomole of target analyte per gram of pet tag.

		TPHP	TCIPP	TDCIPP	TNBP	TCEP	TNEP
TPHP	r _s	1	0.461	0.305	0.296	0.238	0.131
	p-value	--	<0.001*	0.007*	0.009*	0.036*	0.253
TCIPP	r _s		1	0.394	0.111	0.082	0.263
	p-value		--	<0.001*	0.335	0.478	0.020*
TDCIPP	r _s			1	-0.053	0.271	0.155
	p-value			--	0.648	0.016*	0.176
TNBP	r _s				1	0.129	0.033
	p-value				--	0.259	0.773
TCEP	r _s					1	0.047
	p-value					--	0.684
TNEP	r _s						1
	p-value						--

136 **Bold*:** p<0.05

137


138 **Table S5.** Spearman's correlation coefficients are reported for PBDE congeners detected in over
 139 10% of match cat tag samples (n=78). Correlation coefficients were calculated from concentrations
 140 in units of picomole of target analyte per gram of pet tag.

		BDE-47	BDE-99	BDE-100	BDE-153	BDE-154	BDE-49
BDE-47	r _s	1	0.577	0.491	0.519	0.592	0.462
	p-value	--	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*
BDE-99	r _s		1	0.542	0.632	0.675	0.439
	p-value		--	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*
BDE-100	r _s			1	0.620	0.537	0.426
	p-value			--	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*
BDE-153	r _s				1	0.830	0.445
	p-value				--	<0.001*	<0.001*
BDE-154	r _s					1	0.543
	p-value					--	<0.001*
BDE-49	r _s						1
	p-value						--

141 **Bold*:** p<0.05.

142

143 **Figure S1.** The Mantel-Cox non-parametric test for comparing survival curves indicated that
144 hyperthyroid and non-hyperthyroid TDCIPP tag concentrations were statistically different.



145
146
147

148 **Figure S2.** Cat owners appreciated the opportunity to share photos of their cats participating in the
149 study.



150

151

152

153 REFERENCES

154 1. Anderson, K. A.; Points III, G. L.; Donald, C. E.; Dixon, H. M.; Scott, R. P.; Wilson, G.;
155 Tidwell, L. G.; Hoffman, P. D.; Herbstman, J. B.; O'Connell, S. G. Preparation and Performance
156 Features of Wristband Samplers and Considerations for Chemical Exposure Assessment. *J. Expo.*
157 *Sci. Environ. Epidemiol.* **2017**, *27* (6), 551.

158 2. Kile, M. L.; Scott, R. P.; O'Connell, S. G.; Lipscomb, S.; MacDonald, M.; McClelland, M.;
159 Anderson, K. A. Using Silicone Wristbands to Evaluate Preschool Children's Exposure to Flame
160 Retardants. *Environ. Res.* **2016**, *147*, 365-372.

161 3. Peterson, M. E.; Rishniw, M.; Bilbrough, G. E.; Cote, K. B. Comparison of in-Clinic Point-
162 of-Care and Reference Laboratory Total Thyroxine Immunoassays for Diagnosis and Post-
163 Treatment Monitoring of Hyperthyroid Cats. *J. Feline Med. Surg.* **2018**, *20* (4), 319-324.

164 4. Lucy, J. M.; Peterson, M. E.; Randolph, J. F.; Scrivani, P. V.; Rishniw, M.; Davignon, D.
165 L.; Thompson, M. S.; Scarlett, J. M. Efficacy of Low-Dose (2 Millicurie) Versus Standard-Dose
166 (4 Millicurie) Radioiodine Treatment for Cats with Mild-to-Moderate Hyperthyroidism. *J. Vet.*
167 *Intern. Med.* **2017**, *31* (2), 326-334.

168 5. Peterson, M. E. More Than Just T4: Diagnostic Testing for Hyperthyroidism in Cats. *J*
169 *Feline Med. Surg.* **2013**, *15* (9), 765-777.

170 6. O'Connell, S. G.; Kincl, L. D.; Anderson, K. A. Silicone Wristbands as Personal Passive
171 Samplers. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48* (6), 3327-3335.

172 7. Project Quality Assurance and Quality Control. In *Epa Publication Sw-846: Test Methods*
173 *for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods*, Final Update V to Ed 3 ed.; 2015.

- 174 8. Hammel, S. C.; Phillips, A. L.; Hoffman, K.; Stapleton, H. M. Evaluating the Use of
175 Silicone Wristbands to Measure Personal Exposure to Brominated Flame Retardants. *Environ. Sci.*
176 *Technol.* **2018**, *52* (20), 11875-11885.
- 177 9. Liagkouridis, L.; Cousins, A. P.; Cousins, I. T. Physical-Chemical Properties and
178 Evaluative Fate Modelling of 'Emerging' and 'Novel' Brominated and Organophosphorus Flame
179 Retardants in the Indoor and Outdoor Environment. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2015**, *524*, 416-426.
- 180 10. Weschler, C. J.; Nazaroff, W. W. SVOC Exposure Indoors: Fresh Look at Dermal
181 Pathways. *Indoor Air* **2012**, *22* (5), 356-377.
- 182 11. Anderson, K.; Hillwalker, W. Bioavailability. In *Ecotoxicology*, Jorgensen, S. E.; Fath, B.
183 D., Eds. Elsevier: Oxford, 2008; Vol. 1, 348-357.