Supplementary Information for

The influence of feeding behaviour and temperature on the capacity of mosquitoes to transmit malaria

Eunho Suh, Marissa K. Grossman, Jessica L. Waite, Nina L. Dennington, Ellie Sherrard-Smith, Thomas S. Churcher, and Matthew B. Thomas

Eunho Suh Email: eus57@psu.edu

Supplementary Figure 1. Experimental design for infectious feeds. Adult mosquitoes were acclimated in separate incubators set at either constant (i.e. 27°C with a Diurnal Temperature Range [DTR] of 0°C) or fluctuating (i.e. 27°C with a DTR of 10°C) temperature regimes with a timer offset for each time-ofday treatment so that infectious blood feeding took place simultaneously using the same parasite infected blood meals, but the mosquitoes themselves were at different points in their diel cycle (18:00h [ZT12], 00:00h [ZT18], or 06:00h [ZT0]). Feeding took place in an environmental chamber set at 27°C and then blood fed mosquitoes were immediately moved back to their respective incubators. Each treatment group had 300 female mosquitoes in two containers (150 each) unless otherwise specified.

Supplementary Figure 2. Effects of time-of-day of blood meal and fluctuating temperature on vector competence of *A. stephensi* infected with *P. falciparum* and the parasite development rate*.* **a**, Mosquitoes were offered infected blood meals at a different time-of-day (18:00h [ZT12], 00:00h [ZT18], or 06:00h [ZT0]) and kept under either constant (i.e. 27°C with a Diurnal Temperature Range [DTR] of 0°C) or fluctuating (i.e. 27°C with a DTR of 10°C) temperature regimes. There is no effect of time-of-day of blood feeding under constant temperature regime (i.e. 27°C DTR 0°C) but vector competence (e.g. sporozoite prevalence) is significantly increased for 18:00h (ZT12) or reduced for 06:00h (ZT0) relative to 00:00h (ZT18) under fluctuating temperature regime (i.e. 27°C DTR 10°C). Results of model analyses to examine the effects of time-of-day and temperature regime on oocyst intensity, or oocyst or sporozoite prevalence are reported in Supplementary Table 4. Twenty mosquitoes were sampled daily for dissecting midguts on 7-9 days post infection (dpi) or salivary glands on 14-16 dpi from two replicate containers (i.e. 10 per each). **b**, Simplified version of time-of-day and fluctuating temperature experiment. Mosquitoes were offered infected blood meals at a different time-of-day (18:00h [ZT12] or 05:00h [ZT23]) and kept under constant or fluctuating temperature regimes. There is no effect of time-of-day of blood feeding under constant temperature regime but vector competence (e.g. sporozoite prevalence) is significantly reduced for 05:00h (ZT23) under fluctuating temperature regime. Results of model analyses to examine the effects of time-of-day and temperature regime on oocyst intensity, or oocyst or sporozoite prevalence are reported in Supplementary Table 5. Approximately 10 mosquitoes were sampled daily for dissecting midguts on 8-10 dpi or salivary glands on 13, 14, and 16 dpi. **c**, Daily sporozoite prevalence dynamics. Mosquitoes were offered infected blood meals at a different time-of-day (18:00h [ZT12] or 05:00h [ZT23]) and kept under constant or fluctuating temperature regimes. Extrinsic incubation period is delayed when temperature fluctuates (i.e. 27°C DTR 10°C), independent of biting time. Approximately ten mosquitoes were dissected per day. Partial sporozoite prevalence data were reported in (**b**). For both (**a**) and (**b**)**,** the scatter plots show oocyst intensity, with the data points representing the number of oocysts found in individual mosquitoes, and the horizontal lines the median. The pie charts show oocyst or sporozoite prevalence calculated as the proportion of infected mosquitoes revealed by dissection of midguts and salivary glands, respectively. *n* indicates the number of mosquito sample per treatment group. Numbers in parentheses indicate Clopper-Pearson 95% confidence intervals. Asterisks represent statistically significant difference (* $P \le 0.05$, ** $P \le 0.01$, *** $P \le 0.001$, *** $P \le 0.0001$; P -values were Bonferroni corrected after pairwise comparisons).

Supplementary Figure 3. Effects of gametocytemia and temperature on vector competence of *A. stephensi* mosquitoes infected with *P. falciparum* malaria. **a**, Mosquitoes were fed on blood meals with serially diluted gametocytemia (1, 1/2, 1/4, or 1/10) and kept at 27° C or 30° C to examine the effects of high temperature interacting with gametocytemia on oocyst infections. Incubation at 30°C reduces oocyst intensity and prevalence across the board, while oocyst intensity and prevalence are also influenced by gametocytemia. Results of model analyses to examine the effects of gametocytemia and temperature treatment on oocyst intensity or oocyst prevalence are reported in Supplementary Table 8. The scatter plots show oocyst intensity, with the data points representing the number of oocysts found in individual mosquitoes, and the horizontal lines the median. The pie charts show oocyst or sporozoite prevalence calculated as the proportion of infected mosquitoes revealed by dissection of midguts and salivary glands, respectively. *n* indicates the number of mosquito sample per treatment group (dpi = days post infection). Numbers in parentheses indicate Clopper-Pearson 95% confidence intervals. **b**, Relationship between per cent reduction in oocyst prevalence due to exposure to 30° C and mean oocyst intensity (error bars = SEM). Per cent reduction represents reduced percentage in oocyst prevalence in the 30°C treatment relative to oocyst prevalence in the 27°C control. Oocyst prevalence and intensity data were derived from experiments reported in Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 3a. The impact of temperature declines as intensity of infection increases. Dashed line indicates linear regression line $(F₁, 4 = 24.78, P = 0.008)$

Supplementary Figure 4. Effect of transferring mosquitoes between 21°C and 27°C on vector competence of *A. gambiae* mosquitoes infected with *P. falciparum* malaria. Treatment mosquitoes in two replicate containers were kept at 21°C, blood fed at 27°C, and moved back to 21°C, while control mosquitoes were kept at 27°C throughout and blood fed at 27°C. Transferring mosquitoes between two different temperatures for blood feeding does not affect vector competence. GLM was used to compare control to each replicate container of mosquitoes with pairwise post-hoc contrasts followed by Bonferroni corrections (ns, not significant at $P = 0.05$). The scatter plots show oocyst intensity, with the data points representing the number of oocysts found in individual mosquitoes, and the horizontal lines the median. The pie charts show oocyst or sporozoite prevalence calculated as the proportion of infected mosquitoes revealed by dissection of midguts and salivary glands, respectively. *n* indicates the number of mosquito sample per treatment (dpi = days post infection). Numbers in parentheses indicate Clopper-Pearson 95% confidence intervals.

Supplementary Figure 5. Effects of blood feeding mosquitoes at 27°C transferring from three times-ofday treatments under fluctuating temperature regime (27°C with a DTR of 10°C) on blood meal size of *A. gambiae* and *A. stephensi* mosquitoes. Mosquitoes kept under fluctuating temperature regimes (27°C with a DTR of 10 $^{\circ}$ C) were transferred to 27 $^{\circ}$ C, and offered uninfected blood meals at a different time-ofday (18:00h [ZT12], 00:00h [ZT18], or 06:00h [ZT0]). The whole body weight of blood fed mosquitoes were measured as a proxy for blood meal size. Transferring mosquitoes to 27^oC from the prevailing temperature of each time-of-day does not affect blood meal size of mosquitoes. Results of model analyses to examine the effects of species and time-of-day of blood feeding on the body weight are reported in Supplementary Table 11. The scatter plots show body weight of blood fed female mosquitoes. Error bars indicate mean weight with 95% confidence intervals.

Supplementary Figure 6. Plots of temperature treatments used in the current study showing 27°C with a Diurnal Temperature Range (DTR) of 0°C or 10°C. The Parton-Logan model was used for the diurnal fluctuating temperature regime that follows a sinusoidal progression and an exponential decay for the day and night cycle, respectively. Shaded areas indicate scotophase.

Supplementary Figure 7. Experimental setup for thermal avoidance assay. Pictures of (**a**) water linked to multiple tubes and (**b**) individual assay tubes. **c**, A schematic diagram of the experimental setup. Total eight assay tubes were used (four for control and four for treatment group) in an assay run, with a total three rounds of assay. Mosquitoes fed with parasite infected (Inf) or uninfected (Uninf) blood meals were introduced into tubes, and the treatments were rotated between the assay rounds.

Supplementary Table 1. Biting activity profile for *Anopheles* mosquitoes identified to exhibit evening, midnight, or morning biting time in 42 published studies. Biting activities were categorized into 'evening', 'midnight', or 'morning' biting group with peak biting observed before 22:00h, between 22:00 and 05:00h, or after 05:00h, respectively. Studies (i.e. papers reviewed) were grouped into high or low temperature environment (divided by double line in the table).

In: peak biting observed for indoor biting.

Out: peak biting observed for outdoor biting.

In+Out: peak biting observed for combined data of indoor and outdoor biting.

^aIf a subset of data showed a shift in biting time in each study, the data set was described for the details such as study sites, year, and/or intervention methods (e.g., long-lasting insecticide-treated bed nets [LLINs], indoor residual spray [IRS], etc.).

bTemperature measures represent monthly mean temperature of regional estimates for the study sites and study periods in each paper reviewed, otherwise specified in each paper.

§Potential major malaria vectors in Africa (i.e., *A. gambiae* s.l.*, A. gambiae* s.s.*, A. coluzzii, A. arabiensis,* or *A. funestus*)

Biting time	No. cases ^a $(\%)$ by temperature measured ^b				
	High $(25^{\circ}$ C or above)	Low $(< 25^{\circ}C)$			
Evening	33(21.9)	31 (20.5)			
Midnight	40(26.5)	38 (25.2)			
Morning	7(4.6)	2(1.3)			

Supplementary Table 2. Summary of biting activity profile from Supplementary Table 1

^aA case was determined as a mosquito species or species complex, site, season, and biting location for which biting activity had been determined in a given paper (see Supplementary Table 1). bTemperature measured indicates the representative temperature data for each study reviewed in Supplementary Table 1.

Supplementary Tables 3. GLMMs examining the effects of time-of-day (18:00h [ZT12], 00:00h [ZT18], and 06:00h [ZT0]) and temperature regime (27°C DTR 0°C and 27°C DTR 10°C) on oocyst intensity, or oocyst or sporozoite prevalence in *A. gambiae* (See Fig. 1)

		Oocyst intensity		Oocyst prevalence		Sporozoite prevalence	
Effect	df						
Time ^a	∠	9.91	< 0.0001	13.42	${}< 0.0001$	17.48	${}< 0.0001$
DTR ^b		93.02	< 0.0001	74.63	≤ 0.0001	47.96	${}< 0.0001$
Time \times DTR		.7.36	≤ 0.0001	18.64	${}< 0.0001$	16.19	${}< 0.0001$
Day ^c		0.83	0.436	0.06	0.940	2.51	0.088

 $LR-\chi^2$: Likelihood ratio chi-square value.

^aTime-of-day.

bDiurnal temperature range.

cDissection day (day post infection).

Supplementary Tables 4. Model analyses examining the effects of time-of-day (18:00h [ZT12], 00:00h [ZT18], and 06:00h [ZT0]) and temperature regime (27° C DTR 0°C and 27°C DTR 10°C) on oocyst intensity (GLMM), or oocyst (GLMM) or sporozoite prevalence (GLM) in *A. stephensi* (see Supplementary Fig. 2a)

LR-*χ 2* : Likelihood ratio chi-square value.

^aTime-of-day.

bDiurnal temperature range.

cDissection day (day post infection).

Supplementary Table 5. GLMs examining the effects of time-of-day (18:00h [ZT12] and 05:00h [ZT23]) and temperature regime (27 $^{\circ}$ C DTR 0 $^{\circ}$ C and 27 $^{\circ}$ C DTR 10 $^{\circ}$ C) on oocyst intensity, or oocyst or sporozoite prevalence in *A. stephensi* (see Supplementary Fig. 2b)

		Oocyst intensity		Oocyst prevalence		Sporozoite prevalence	
Effect	df	$LR-\chi^2$		$LR-\gamma^2$		$LR-\gamma^2$	
Time ^a		9.31	0.002	8.17	0.004	16.01	< 0.0001
DTR ^b		45.64	≤ 0.0001	4.93	0.026	33.29	< 0.0001
Time \times DTR		4.78	0.029	16.51	& 0.0001	7.38	0.007
Day ^c		10.65	0.005	2.35	0.309	0.80	0.672

 $LR-\chi^2$: Likelihood ratio chi-square value.

^aTime-of-day.

bDiurnal temperature range.

cDissection day (day post infection).

Supplementary Table 6. Outputs from a malaria transmission dynamics model illustrating the potential effect of altered or constant vector competence in mosquitoes biting in the evening (EV), at midnight (MD), or in the morning (MN) on malaria prevalence and efficacy of bed nets (LLINs). Post bed net prevalence estimates are taken 3 years after they were introduced at 50% usage and maintained annually to estimate the efficacy of LLINs $(S_{\theta_1}, S_{\theta_2})$

¶Vector competence is assumed to be increased, intermediate, or low for mosquitoes biting in the evening, at midnight, or in the morning, respectively.

^ǂVector competence is assumed to be equal with respect to biting time.

[†]See reference *A. gambiae* s.s.⁴³.

§Numbers in parentheses represent 95% confidence intervals.

Supplementary Table 7. GLMs examining the effects of mosquito species (*A. gambiae* and *A. stephensi*) and/or temperature treatment (27°C and 30°C) on oocyst intensity or oocyst prevalence (see Fig. 3a). Oocyst prevalence data were pooled within each temperature treatment group after confirming no difference between two species (Fisher's exact test, two-sided, $P > 0.05$) to ensure model validity^{44,45}

LR-*χ 2* : Likelihood ratio chi-square value.

Supplementary Table 8. GLMs examining the effects of gametocytemia dilutions (1, 1/2, 1/4, and 1/10) and temperature treatment (27°C and 30°C) on oocyst intensity or oocyst prevalence in *A. stephensi* (see Supplementary Fig. 3a)

			Oocyst intensity	Oocyst prevalence	
Effect		$\mathcal{L}R$ - γ		$LR-\chi^2$	
Gametocvtemia		2.48	0.479	20.3	$\stackrel{<}{\scriptstyle\sim} 0.0001$
Temperature		5.96	0.015	138	$\stackrel{<}{\scriptstyle \sim} 0.0001$
Gametocytemia × Temperature		2.72	0.438	.33	0.724

 $LR-\chi^2$: Likelihood ratio chi-square value.

Supplementary Table 9. Blood feeding compliance of *A. gambiae* mosquitoes fed at either 27°C or 21°C. Mosquitoes were kept at either 27°C DTR 0°C or 21°C DTR 0°C and fed infectious blood meals at a different time-of-day (18:00h [ZT12], 00:00h [ZT18], or 06:00h [ZT0]) at their corresponding temperature (i.e. either 27°C or 21°C). Data for feeding compliance at 27°C were obtained from the infectious feed ($2nd$ feed) reported in Fig. 1 (i.e. 27 $^{\circ}$ C DTR 0 $^{\circ}$ C treatment group), and data for feeding compliance at 21[°]C were obtained from a separate infectious feed. GLMM examining the effects of temperature and time-of-day on the feeding compliance is reported in Supplementary Table 10

Supplementary Table 10. GLMM examining the effects of blood feeding temperature (27°C and 21°C) and time-of-day (18:00h [ZT12], 00:00h [ZT18], and 06:00h [ZT0]) on feeding compliance (See Supplementary Table 9)

Supplementary Table 11. GLMM examining the effects of species (*A. gambiae* and *A. stephensi*) and time-of-day (18:00h [ZT12], 00:00h [ZT18], and 06:00h [ZT0]) on body weight of blood fed mosquitoes (See Supplementary Fig. 5)

Supplementary Table 12. Summary of experiment design, dissection method, and/or statistical model analysis for empirical studies (additional information are available in the main text)

A. gambiae) †Dpi: Days post infection

⁺¹⁵⁰ or 120 mosquitoes per container for each of two biological replicate experiments

* Included as a random variable in model analysis

¶Prevalence data were pooled within each temperature treatment group after confirming no difference between two replicates or species (Fisher's exact test, two-sided, $P > 0.05$) to ensure model validity^{44,45} §Poisson distribution was used to ensure best model fit based on AIC value

Supplementary Table 13. Parameter values for the changes in the model used to investigate whether the magnitude of the differences in the human-to-mosquito transmission probability identified experimentally are likely to have a substantial epidemiological impact if the same result was observed in natural settings. Parameter estimates and full model structure are reported previously in Walker et al.⁴⁶ which builds on the original model presented in Griffin et al.⁴⁷.

References

- 1 Tabue, R. N. *et al.* Role of *Anopheles* (Cellia) *rufipes* (Gough, 1910) and other local anophelines in human malaria transmission in the northern savannah of Cameroon: a cross-sectional survey. *Parasites Vectors* **10**, doi:10.1186/s13071-016-1933-3 (2017).
- 2 Moiroux, N. *et al.* Changes in *Anopheles funestus* biting behavior following universal coverage of long-lasting insecticidal nets in Benin. *J. Infect. Dis.* **206**, 1622-1629, doi:10.1093/infdis/jis565 (2012).
- 3 Kerah-Hinzoumbe, C. *et al.* Malaria vectors and transmission dynamics in Goulmoun, a rural city in south-western Chad. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **9**, doi:10.1186/1471-2334-9-71 (2009).
- 4 Sugiarto, Hadi, U. K., Soviana, S. & Hakim, L. Bionomics of *Anopheles* (Diptera: Culicidae) in a malaria endemic region of Sungai Nyamuk village, Sebatik Island - North Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Acta Trop.* **171**, 30-36, doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2017.03.014 (2017).
- 5 Bugoro, H. *et al.* Bionomics of the malaria vector *Anopheles farauti* in Temotu Province, Solomon Islands: issues for malaria elimination. *Malar. J.* **10**, doi:10.1186/1475-2875-10-133 (2011).
- 6 Geissbuhler, Y. *et al.* Interdependence of domestic malaria prevention measures and mosquitohuman interactions in urban Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. *Malar. J.* **6**, doi:10.1186/1475-2875-6-126 (2007).
- 7 Girod, R., Gaborit, P., Carinci, R., Issaly, J. & Fouque, F. *Anopheles darlingi* bionomics and transmission of *Plasmodium falciparum*, *Plasmodium vivax* and *Plasmodium malariae* in Amerindian villages of the Upper-Maroni Amazonian forest, French Guiana. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz* **103**, 702-710, doi:10.1590/s0074-02762008000700013 (2008).
- 8 Hiwat, H. *et al.* Collapse of *Anopheles darlingi* populations in Suriname after introduction of insecticide-treated nets (ITNs); malaria down to near elimination level. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **86**, 649-655, doi:10.4269/ajtmh.2012.11-0414 (2012).
- 9 Sougoufara, S. *et al.* Biting by *Anopheles funestus* in broad daylight after use of long-lasting insecticidal nets: a new challenge to malaria elimination. *Malar. J.* **13**, doi:10.1186/1475-2875- 13-125 (2014).
- 10 Shililu, J. *et al.* Seasonal abundance, vector behavior, and malaria parasite transmission in Eritrea. *J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc.* **20**, 155-164 (2004).
- 11 Antonio-Nkondjio, C. *et al.* High mosquito burden and malaria transmission in a district of the city of Douala, Cameroon. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **12**, 275, doi:10.1186/1471-2334-12-275 (2012).
- 12 Thomsen, E. K. *et al.* Mosquito behavior change after distribution of bednets results in decreased protection against malaria exposure. *J. Infect. Dis.* **215**, 790-797, doi:10.1093/infdis/jiw615 (2017).
- 13 Harris, A. F., Matias-Arnez, A. & Hill, N. Biting time of *Anopheles darlingi* in the Bolivian Amazon and implications for control of malaria. *Trans. Roy. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **100**, 45-47, doi:10.1016/j.trstmh.2005.07.001 (2006).
- 14 Bugoro, H. *et al.* Changes in vector species composition and current vector biology and behaviour will favour malaria elimination in Santa Isabel Province, Solomon Islands. *Malar. J.* **10**, doi:10.1186/1475-2875-10-287 (2011).
- 15 Milali, M. P., Sikulu-Lord, M. T. & Govella, N. J. Bites before and after bedtime can carry a high risk of human malaria infection. *Malar. J.* **16**, doi:10.1186/s12936-017-1740-0 (2017).
- 16 Klinkenberg, E., McCall, P. J., Wilson, M. D., Amerasinghe, F. P. & Donnelly, M. J. Impact of urban agriculture on malaria vectors in Accra, Ghana. *Malar. J.* **7**, doi:10.1186/1475-2875-7-151 (2008).
- 17 Kabbale, F. G., Akol, A. M., Kaddu, J. B. & Onapa, A. W. Biting patterns and seasonality of anopheles gambiae sensu lato and anopheles funestus mosquitoes in Kamuli District, Uganda. *Parasites Vectors* **6**, doi:10.1186/1756-3305-6-340 (2013).

- 18 Lainhart, W. *et al.* Evidence for temporal population replacement and the signature of ecological adaptation in a major Neotropical malaria vector in Amazonian Peru. *Malar. J.* **14**, doi:10.1186/s12936-015-0863-4 (2015).
- 19 Moreno, M. *et al.* Implications for changes in *Anopheles darlingi* biting behaviour in three communities in the peri-Iquitos region of Amazonian Peru. *Malar. J.* **14**, doi:10.1186/s12936- 015-0804-2 (2015).
- 20 Rodriguez, M. *et al.* Composition and biting activity of *Anopheles* (Diptera: Culicidae) in the Amazon region of Colombia. *J. Med. Entomol.* **46**, 307-315, doi:10.1603/033.046.0215 (2009).
- 21 Bugoro, H. *et al.* The bionomics of the malaria vector *Anopheles farauti* in Northern Guadalcanal, Solomon Islands: issues for successful vector control. *Malar. J.* **13**, doi:10.1186/1475-2875-13-56 (2014).
- 22 Bradley, J. *et al.* Outdoor biting by *Anopheles* mosquitoes on Bioko Island does not currently impact on malaria control. *Malar. J.*, 170, doi:10.1186/s12936-015-0679-2 (2015).
- 23 Russell, T. L. *et al.* Frequent blood feeding enables insecticide-treated nets to reduce transmission by mosquitoes that bite predominately outdoors. *Malar. J.* **15**, doi:10.1186/s12936-016-1195-8 (2016).
- 24 Seyoum, A. *et al.* Human exposure to anopheline mosquitoes occurs primarily indoors, even for users of insecticide-treated nets in Luangwa Valley, South-east Zambia. *Parasites Vectors* **5**, doi:10.1186/1756-3305-5-101 (2012).
- 25 Reddy, M. R. *et al.* Outdoor host seeking behaviour of *Anopheles gambiae* mosquitoes following initiation of malaria vector control on Bioko Island, Equatorial Guinea. *Malar. J.* **10**, doi:10.1186/1475-2875-10-184 (2011).
- 26 Ndoen, E., Wild, C., Dale, P., Sipe, N. & Dale, M. Dusk to dawn activity patterns of *Anopheline* mosquitoes in West Timor and Java, Indonesia. *Southeast Asian J. Trop. Med. Public Health* **42**, 550-561 (2011).
- 27 Magris, M., Rubio-Palis, Y., Menares, C. & Villegas, L. Vector bionomics and malaria transmission in the Upper Orinoco River, southern Venezuela. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz* **102**, 303-311, doi:10.1590/s0074-02762007005000049 (2007).
- 28 Basseri, H. R., Abai, M. R., Raeisi, A. & Shahandeh, K. Community sleeping pattern and anopheline biting in southeastern Iran: a country earmarked for malaria elimination. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **87**, 499-503, doi:10.4269/ajtmh.2012.11-0356 (2012).
- 29 Russell, T. L. *et al.* Increased proportions of outdoor feeding among residual malaria vector populations following increased use of insecticide-treated nets in rural Tanzania. *Malar. J.* **10**, doi:10.1186/1475-2875-10-80 (2011).
- 30 Prakash, A., Bhattacharyya, D. R., Mohapatra, P. K. & Mahanta, J. Malaria transmission risk by the mosquito *Anopheles baimaii* (formerly known as *An. dirus* species D) at different hours of the night in North-east India. *Med. Vet. Entomol.* **19**, 423-427, doi:10.1111/j.1365- 2915.2005.00592.x (2005).
- 31 Mathenge, E. M. *et al.* Effect of permethrin-impregnated nets on exiting behavior, blood feeding success, and time of feeding of malaria mosquitoes (Diptera : Culicidae) in western Kenya. *J. Med. Entomol.* **38**, 531-536, doi:10.1603/0022-2585-38.4.531 (2001).
- 32 Mendis, C. *et al. Anopheles arabiensis* and *An. funestus* are equally important vectors of malaria in Matola coastal suburb of Maputo, southern Mozambique. *Med. Vet. Entomol.* **14**, 171-180, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2915.2000.00228.x (2000).
- 33 Ojuka, P. *et al.* Early biting and insecticide resistance in the malaria vector *Anopheles* might compromise the effectiveness of vector control intervention in Southwestern Uganda. *Malar. J.* **14**, doi:10.1186/s12936-015-0653-z (2015).
- 34 Ototo, E. N. *et al.* Surveillance of malaria vector population density and biting behaviour in western Kenya. *Malar. J.* **14**, doi:10.1186/s12936-015-0763-7 (2015).
- 35 Killeen, G. F. *et al.* Quantifying behavioural interactions between humans and mosquitoes: evaluating the protective efficacy of insecticidal nets against malaria transmission in rural Tanzania. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **6**, doi:10.1186/1471-2334-6-161 (2006).
- 36 Bayoh, M. N. *et al.* Persistently high estimates of late night, indoor exposure to malaria vectors despite high coverage of insecticide treated nets. *Parasites Vectors* **7**, doi:10.1186/1756-3305-7- 380 (2014).
- 37 Nepomichene, T., Tata, E. & Boyer, S. Malaria case in Madagascar, probable implication of a new vector, *Anopheles coustani*. *Malar. J.* **14**, doi:10.1186/s12936-015-1004-9 (2015).
- 38 Kenea, O. *et al.* Human-biting activities of *Anopheles* species in south-central Ethiopia. *Parasites Vectors* **9**, doi:10.1186/s13071-016-1813-x (2016).
- 39 Kibret, S. *et al.* The impact of a small-scale irrigation scheme on malaria transmission in Ziway area, Central Ethiopia. *Trop. Med. Int. Health* **15**, 41-50, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3156.2009.02423.x (2010).
- 40 Fornadel, C. M., Norris, L. C., Glass, G. E. & Norris, D. E. Analysis of *Anopheles arabiensis* blood feeding behavior in Southern Zambia during the two years after introduction of insecticidetreated bed bets. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **83**, 848-853, doi:10.4269/ajtmh.2010.10-0242 (2010).
- 41 Taye, B., Lelisa, K., Emana, D., Asale, A. & Yewhalaw, D. Seasonal dynamics, longevity, and biting activity of anopheline mosquitoes in southwestern Ethiopia. *J Insect Sci.* **16**, doi:10.1093/jisesa/iev150 (2016).
- 42 Yohannes, M. & Boelee, E. Early biting rhythm in the afro-tropical vector of malaria, *Anopheles arabiensis*, and challenges for its control in Ethiopia. *Med. Vet. Entomol.* **26**, 103-105, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2915.2011.00955.x (2012).
- 43 Sherrard-Smith, E. *et al.* Mosquito feeding behavior and how it influences residual malaria transmission across Africa. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **116**, 15086-15095, doi:10.1073/pnas.1820646116 (2019).
- 44 West, B., Welch, K. & Galecki, A. *Linear mixed models: a practical guide using statistical software*. 2nd edn, (Chapman & Hall, 2007).
- 45 Gill, J. & King, G. What to do when your hessian is not invertible: alternatives to model respecification in nonlinear estimation. *Sociologial Methods & Research* **33**, 54-87, doi:doi.org/10.1177/0049124103262681 (2004).
- 46 Walker, P. G. T., Griffin, J. T., Ferguson, N. M. & Ghani, A. C. Estimating the most efficient allocation of interventions to achieve reductions in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria burden and transmission in Africa: a modelling study. *Lancet Glob. Health* **4**, E474-E484, doi:10.1016/s2214-109x(16)30073-0 (2016).
- 47 Griffin, J. T. *et al.* Reducing *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria transmission in Africa: a modelbased evaluation of intervention strategies. *PLos Med.* **7**, doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000324 (2010).