

Figure S1. The growth and appearance of the 7 clinical isolates is similar to those of

Aspergillus nidulans. Related to Figure 1, Table 1 and STAR Methods. Clinical isolates were originally identified as *A. nidulans*. Growth in yeast extract, agar, and glucose (YAG) media and in minimal media supplemented with glucose reveals that the growth and appearance of the clinical isolates appears to be superficially similar to those of the reference A4 strain of *A. nidulans*. We also included *A. quadrilineatus* NRRL 201^T for comparative purposes.



Figure S2. The parental genomes of *A. latus* hybrid isolates exhibit considerable sequence divergence and have undergone little pseudogenization. Related to Figure 2. (Left) Homeologous gene pairs in *A. latus* genomes were identified using a reciprocal best blast hit approach. Sequence similarity of each gene pair was measured by the percentage of identical nucleotides per homeolog. Across the 7 *A. latus* hybrids, homeolog nucleotide sequence similarity is $92.85 \pm 0.03\%$ suggesting the parental genomes are ~7.15% diverged from one another. This level of divergence is on par with the sequence divergence observed between humans and lemurs (*Homo sapiens* vs. *Microcebus murinus* divergence measured using the same reciprocal best blast hit approach is 8.36%). (Right) The average percentage of pseudogenized homeologs in *A. latus* hybrid isolates is $11.67 \pm 0.004\%$. The percentage of pseudogenized homeologs in each hybrid isolate was calculated by comparing gene lengths between homeologs. One gene in a homeolog pair was considered pseudogenized if their length was shorter (upper threshold of 80%) than the other gene in the homeolog pair.



Figure S3. The evolutionary histories of the two parental genomes of *A. latus* hybrid isolates are consistent with each other and support genome-wide instability in the *A. latus* type strain NRRL 200. Related to Figure 2 and Data S1. Evolutionary histories were reconstructed from data matrices of single-copy orthologous genes from either the (A) *A. latus* parental genome from *A. spinulosporus* and true *A. spinulosporus* strains or (B) *A. latus* parental genome from *A. quadrilineatus*-like species and true *A. quadrilineatus* strains. While the two topologies differ, application of approximately unbiased topology constraint tests showed that the two topologies were not statistically different from each other (p-value = 0.50 for both tests). These results are consistent with the hypothesis that the two parental genomes of *A. latus* share the same evolutionary history. The long branch of *A. latus* NRRL 200 inferred using the *A. spinulosporus* phylogenomic data matrix likely reflects potential genomic instability. Branch lengths represent substitutions per site. Bipartition support was assessed using 5,000 ultrafast bootstrap approximations.



Figure S4. Lack or very low levels of recombination between the parental genomes. Related to Figure 2 and Data S1. For each genomic contig of each A. latus hybrid, we examined the percentage of genes that came from one or the other parent (left column); exemplary contigs (one for each hybrid) that are putatively the result of a recombinant event are shown on the right column. Contig of origin analysis was conducted by examining the percentage of genes on long contigs (≥ 100 kb in length) from either the A. spinulosporus parent (Aspi; blue) or the A. quadrilineatus-like parent (Aqua-like; red). Contigs that are predominantly of A. spinulosporus are shown on the left side of the distributions and contigs that are predominantly A. quadrilineatus-like on the right side. We considered contigs that contained substantial percentages of genes from both parents to be putatively recombinant. For example, $2.67 \pm 0.71\%$ of A. latus hybrid contigs contain between 35% and 65% of genes from both parents. Exemplary contigs with evidence of recombination contain genes from the A. spinulosporus parent on one side and genes from the A. quadrilineatus-like parent on the other. Contigs are represented by black lines a key for contig length is shown to the right. For each contig, the entirety of the contig is depicted and the contig identifier is also provided. Genes on different strands of DNA are depicted either above or below the black line.





F

M.I.C. (µg / mL)

Н

1

0.

Anid A4-

Aqua NRRL 201^T Aspi NRRL 2395^T



Posaconazole

Aspi 4060-*Alat* NRRL 200[⊤]-*Alat* MM151978-

Alat NIH-Alat ASFU1710-Alat ASFU1908-Alat ASFU2033-MO46149-



0 μg / mL of Caspofungin

D





Aqua NRRL 2011 Aqua NRRL 2011 Alat MM151978 Alat ASFU1710 Alat ASFU2033 Alat M046149



L











Μ

% of asexual spores phagocytosed







Figure S5. Phenotypic characterization of diverse infection-relevant traits among A. nidulans, A. spinulosporus, A. quadrilineatus, and A. latus hybrid isolate and strains. Related to Figure 3. (A) Examination of cytokine production (i.e., macrophage response) to coculture with no Aspergillus species, A. nidulans A4, A. spinulosporus strain 4060, and A. latus strain MM151978 and NIH revealed no significant difference in cytokine production with and without diphenylene iodonium (DPI). (B and C) Examination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) in amphotericin B and itraconazole revealed no statistically significant difference between the various species. (D) Examination of susceptibility to caspofungin revealed statistically significant differences in some concentrations, which are shown and discussed in Figure 3. (E) Statistically significant differences in MIC of voriconazole among the various species ($\chi^2 = 14.44$, df = 3, p = 0.002; Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test). (F) Examination of the MIC for posaconazole reveals significant differences among the various species ($\chi^2 = 32$, df = 3, p < 0.001; Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test). (G) Examination of radial growth in the presence of the oxidative stressor menadione revealed significant differences among the three species at different concentrations of menadione (F(6) = 7.01, p < 0.001; Multi-factor ANOVA). (H) Statistically significant differences were observed in the growth of paraquat (see also Figure 3). (I) Significant differences in radial growth among A. nidulans, A. spinulosporus, and A. latus hybrids in the presence of H_2O_2 (F(6) = 3.00, p = 0.009; Multi-factor ANOVA). (J) No statistically significant differences were observed in iron starvation assays. (K) Significant differences were observed in the growth of the various species at different temperatures (F(6) =15.65, p < 0.001; Multi-factor ANOVA). (M) Significant differences were observed in asexual spore internalization by macrophages between diploid (A. latus MM151978, A. latus NIH, and A. *nidulans* R21/R53) and haploid genomes (A. *nidulans* A4 and A. *spinulosporus* 4060) (p < 0.001; Wilcoxon Rank Sum test). (N) Statistically significant differences were observed in NETosis. Shown here is the percentage of Human polymorphonuclear cells surviving co-culture with the fungus (see also Figure 3). (L) Statistically significant differences were observed in hyphal killing by macrophages (see also Figure 3). Additionally, significant differences were observed in the inhibition of fungal germination by macrophages among the various species (F(3) = 20.61, p < 0.001; Multi-factor ANOVA). For multi-factor ANOVAs, all pairwise comparisons were made using a Tukey Honest Significant differences test; for Kruskal-Wallis rank sum tests, pairwise comparisons were made using a Dunn's test with multi-test correction using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. * represents p-values less than 0.05 but greater than 0.01; *** represents p-values less than 0.001 but greater than 0.001; *** represents p-values less than 0.001.

Genome	Genome Size	N50*	Number of genes
A. quadrilineatus	32,509,921	293,960	10,234
NRRL 201 ^T			
A. quadrilineatus	34,797,351	229,104	11,183
CBS 853.96			
4060	31,665,638	425,600	9,499
A. latus NRRL 200^{T}	62,482,878	383,812	18,918
MM151978	64,066,396	422,061	19,490
NIH	66,248,764	400,658	19,760
ASFU1710	78,387,730	125,661	24,333
ASFU1908	74,406,717	73,356	24,753
ASFU2033	69,995,940	181,936	21,380
MO46149	68,059,968	124,885	20,617

 Table S1. Genome assembly size, N50, and number of predicted genes. Related to Figure 1.

*N50: the contig size where 50% of the genome assembly is contained in contigs equal or

larger than its size.

Genome	Total	Туре	NRPS	Туре	Terpene	Indole	Multiple	Other
		1 PKS		3 PKS				
A. nidulans	53	18	9	0	6	3	7	10
A4								
<i>A</i> .	69	26	10	0	10	4	7	12
quadrilineatus								
NRRL 201 ^T								
<i>A</i> .	53	19	8	0	9	3	7	7
spinulosporus								
NRRL2395								
4060	54	20	8	0	9	3	7	7
A. latus	111	41	16	0	14	6	16	18
NRRL 200 ^T								
MM151978	115	43	16	0	15	7	17	17
NIH	114	42	18	0	15	6	16	17
ASFU1710	123	47	17	0	15	7	16	21
ASFU1908	131	54	20	1	19	8	10	19
ASFU2033	120	48	17	0	17	8	13	17
MO46149	116	46	18	0	17	6	10	19

 Table S2. Number of predicted secondary metabolic gene clusters per genome. Related to

Figure 1.

Genom	BioProject	BioSample	Accession	Genus	Species	Strain
e						
Assemb						
h						
ly or						
Raw						
Reads						
Genome	PRJNA542	SAMN11621	VCRF000000	Aspergill	spinulospor	4060
Assemb	678	154	00	us	us	
ly						
Genome	PRINA 542	SAMN11615	VCRG000000	Asnergill	latus	MO4614
A	(79	202	00	1107 01 800		0
Assemb	6/8	383	00	US		9
ly						
Genome	PRJNA542	SAMN11615	VCRH000000	Aspergill	latus	ASFU20
Assemb	678	382	00	US		33
ly						
Genome	PRJNA542	SAMN11615	VCRI000000	Aspergill	latus	ASFU19
Assemb	678	381	00	115		08
Assemb	078	501	00	из		00
ly						
Genome	PRJNA542	SAMN11615	VCRJ000000	Aspergill	latus	ASFU17
Assemb	678	380	00	us		10
ly						

Genome	PRJNA542	SAMN11615	VCRK000000	Aspergill	latus	NIH
Assemb	678	379	00	us		
ly						
Genome	PRJNA542	SAMN11615	VCRL000000	Aspergill	latus	MM1519
Assemb	678	378	00	us		78
ly						
Genome	PRJNA542	SAMN11612	VCRM00000	Aspergill	latus	NRRL
Assemb	141	423	000	us		200 ^T
ly						
Genome	PRJNA623	See	See	Aspergill	quadrilinea	NRRL
Assemb	402	BioProject	BioProject	us	tus	201 ^T
ly						
Raw	PRJNA542	N/A	N/A	Aspergill	spinulospor	4060
Reads	395			us	us	
Raw	PRJNA542	N/A	N/A	Aspergill	latus	MO4614
Reads	181			us		9
Raw	PRJNA542	N/A	N/A	Aspergill	latus	ASFU20
Reads	181			us		33
Raw	PRJNA542	N/A	N/A	Aspergill	latus	ASFU19
Reads	181			us		08
Raw	PRJNA542	N/A	N/A	Aspergill	latus	ASFU17
Reads	181			us		10

PRJNA542	N/A	N/A	Aspergill	latus	NIH
181			us		
PRJNA542	N/A	N/A	Aspergill	latus	MM1519
181			us		78
PRJNA542	N/A	N/A	Aspergill	latus	NRRL
141			us		200 ^T
PRJNA623	See	See	Aspergill	quadriliena	NRRL
402	BioProject	BioProject	us	tus	201 ^T
-	PRJNA542 181 PRJNA542 181 PRJNA542 141 PRJNA623 402	PRJNA542N/A181181PRJNA542N/A181181PRJNA542N/A141141PRJNA623See402BioProject	PRJNA542N/AN/A181181181PRJNA542N/AN/A181181141PRJNA542N/AN/A14122PRJNA623SeeSee402BioProjectBioProject	PRJNA542N/AN/AAspergill181usPRJNA542N/AN/AAspergill181ususPRJNA542N/AN/AAspergill141ususPRJNA623SeeSeeAspergill402BioProjectBioProjectus	PRJNA542N/AN/AAspergilllatus181usususPRJNA542N/AN/AAspergilllatus181usususPRJNA542N/AN/AAspergilllatus141usususPRJNA623SeeSeeAspergillquadriliena402BioProjectBioProjectustus

Table S	3. NCBI	accession	inform	ation 1	for each	sequenced	genome.
I GOIC N		accession		action i	ior cach	sequenceu	Senome