## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS - PART II

**ANNEX 1** | Reported reasons for antimicrobial use by systemic syndromes/diseases in poultry and swine commercial and backyard farms in the Philippines

A. Poultry (n = 39 farms).

A. Tourtry (II – 37 farms).	Enteric	Respiratory
Antimicrobial class/active ingredient	n (%)	n (%) <sup>1</sup>
Aminoglycosides		
Streptomycin	-	2 (5%)
Fluoroquinolones		
Enrofloxacin	-	13 (33%)
Levofloxacin	-	1 (3%)
Norfloxacin	-	10 (26%)
Macrolides		
Erythromycin	-	3 (8%)
Kitasamycin	-	1 (3%)
Tilmicosin	-	2 (5%)
Tylosin	-	3 (8%)
Penicillin		
Amoxicillin	2 (5%)	8 (20%)
Penicillin	-	1 (3%)
Phenicols		
Florfenicol	-	4 (10%)
Thiamphenicol	-	1 (3%)
Phosphonic acid derivatives		
Fosfomycin	-	4 (10%)
Polypeptides		
Colistin	2 (5%)	3 (8%)
Tetracyclines		
Doxycycline	1 (3%)	5 (13%)
Oxytetracycline	-	4 (10%)
Trimethoprim and sulfonamides		
Trimethoprim-sulfadiazine	1 (3%)	4 (10%)
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	2 (5%)	-

Percentage is the number of farms using the antimicrobial divided by the total number of poultry farms; please note that responses were not mutually exclusive where one farm may have used the same antimicrobial for the treatment of both enteric and respiratory diseases.

<sup>-</sup> no response for the antimicrobial and reason for use.

## B. Swine (n = 54 farms).

Antimicrobial class/active ingredient	Enteric n (%) <sup>1</sup>	Reproductive n (%)	Respiratory n (%)	Nonspecific n (%)
Aminoglycosides				
Apramycin	1 (2%)	-	-	-
Gentamicin	1 (2%)	3 (6%)	3 (6%)	-
Neomycin	1 (2%)	-	-	-
Streptomycin	1 (2%)	-	1 (2%)	-
Cephalosporins				
Ceftiofur	-	-	2 (4%)	-
Cephalexin	1 (2%)	-	-	-
Fluoroquinolones				
Ciprofloxacin	1 (2%)	-	-	-
Danofloxacin	-	-	-	1 (2%)
Enofloxacin	9 (17%)	-	11 (21%)	1 (2%)
Norfloxacin	-	-	3 (6%)	-
Lincosamides			, ,	
Lincomycin	1 (2%)	-	2 (4%)	-
Lincomycin-spectinomycin	1 (2%)	-	1 (2%)	-
Macrolides				
Tilmicosin	-	-	4 (8%)	-
Tulathromycin	-	-	1 (2%)	-
Tylosin	5 (9%)	-	7 (13%)	-
Penicillin				
Amoxicillin	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	8 (15%)	1 (2%)
Penicillin	-	-	1 (2%)	
Phenicols				
Florfenicol	1 (2%)	-	6 (11%)	-
Pleuromutilins		-	8 (15%)	-
Tiamulin	4 (8%)	-	8 (15%)	-
Polypeptides	, ,		, ,	
Colistin	2 (4%)	-	4 (8%)	-
Tetracycline	, ,		, ,	
Chlortetracycline	2 (4%)	-	1 (2%)	-
Doxycycline	2 (4%)	1 (2%)	7 (13%)	1 (2%)
Oxytetracycline	7 (13%)	4 (8%)	8 (15%)	2 (4%)
Trimethoprim and sulfonamides	. ,	• •	. ,	,
Trimethoprim-sulfadiazine	_	-	2 (4%)	_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Percentage is the number of farms divided by the total number of swine farms; please note that responses were not mutually exclusive where one farm may have used the same antimicrobial for various reasons.

<sup>-</sup> no response for the antimicrobial and reason for use.

ANNEX 2 | Reported routes of administration of the antimicrobials used on farm

## A. Routes of administration in poultry (n=39 farms).

Antimicrobial class/active ingredient	Feed n (%) <sup>1</sup>	Intramuscular n (%)	Water n (%)	Both water and intramuscular n (%) <sup>2</sup>
Aminoglycosides				
Streptomycin	-	-	2 (5%)	-
Fluoroquinolones				
Enrofloxacin	-	-	13 (33%)	1 (3%)
Levofloxacin	-	-	1 (3%)	-
Norfloxacin	-	-	9 (23%)	1 (3%)
Phosphonic acid derivatives				
Fosfomycin	-	-	4 (10%)	-
Macrolides				
Erythromycin	-	-	3 (8%)	-
Kitasamycin	-	-	1 (3%)	-
Tilmicosin	-	-	2 (5%)	-
Tylosin	-	-	3 (8%)	-
Penicillins				
Amoxicillin	1 (3%)	-	8 (20%)	-
Penicillin	-	-	1 (3%)	-
Phenicols				
Florfenicol	-	-	4 (10%)	-
Thiamphenicol	-	-	1 (3%)	-
Polypeptides				
Colistin	-	-	5 (13%)	-
Tetracycline				
Doxycycline	-	-	6 (15%)	-
Oxytetracycline	-	1 (3%)	3 (8%)	-
Trimethoprim and sulfonamides				
Trimethoprim-sulfadiazine	-	1 (3)	4 (10%)	-
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	1 1 1	-	2 (5%)	-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Percentage is the number of responses divided by the total number of poultry farms; please note that responses were not mutually exclusive where one farm may have used the same antimicrobial administered via different routes of administrations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> These antimicrobial active ingredients have pharmaceutical forms intended for both oral and intramuscular administration.

<sup>-</sup> no response for the antimicrobial and reason for use.

## B. Routes of administration in swine (n=53 farms).

Antimicrobials class/active ingredient	Feed n (%)	Both feed and intramuscular n (%)	Intramuscular n (%)	Water n (%)
Aminoglycosides		22 (70)		
Apramycin	-	-	-	1 (2%)
Gentamicin	-	-	7 (13%)	-
Neomycin	1 (2%)	-	-	-
Streptomycin	-	-	-	2 (4%)
Cephalosporins				
Ceftiofur	-	-	2 (4 %)	
Cephalexin	-	-	-	1 (2%)
Fluoroquinolones				
Ciprofloxacin	-	-	-	1 (2%)
Danofloxacin	-	-	1 (2%)	-
Enrofloxacin	-	1 (2%)	18 (34%)	2 (4%)
Norfloxacin	-	-	2 (4%)	1 (2%)
Lincosamides and aminocycli	tols			
Lincomycin	-	1 (2%)	2 (4%)	-
Lincomycin-spectinomycin	1 (2%)	-	1 (2%)	-
Macrolides				
Tilmicosin	2 (4%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	-
Tulathromycin	-	-	1 (2%)	-
Tylosin	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	9 (17%)	1 (2%)
Penicillin				
Amoxicillin	-	3 (6%)	7 (13%)	1 (2%)
Penicillin	-	-	1 (2%)	-
Phenicols				
Florfenicol	-	4 (8%)	3 (6%)	-
Pleuromutilins				
Tiamulin	4 (8%)	3 (6%)	4 (8%)	1 (2%)
Polypeptides				
Colistin	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	-	4 (8%)
Tetracyclines				
Chlortetracycline	2 (4%)	-	1 (2%)	-
Doxycycline	2 (4%)	3 (6%)	2 (4%)	4 (8%)
Oxytetracycline	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	19 (36%)	-
Trimethoprim and sulfonami	des			
Trimethoprim-sulfadiazine	-	-	2 (4%)	1 (2%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>T</sup> Percentage is the number of responses divided by the total number of swine farms; please note that responses were not mutually exclusive where one farm may have used the same antimicrobial administered via different routes of administrations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>These antimicrobial active ingredients have pharmaceutical forms intended for both oral and intramuscular administration.

<sup>-</sup> no response for the antimicrobial and reason for use.