

Supplementary Information

Absolute Binding Free Energy Calculations for Highly Flexible Protein MDM2 and its inhibitors

Nidhi Singh^{1,2}, Wenjin Li^{1,*}

1 Institute for Advanced Study, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518060, China

2 College of Physics and Optoelectronic Engineering, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518060, China

*Correspondent:

Wenjin Li, Room 341, Administration Building, Institute for Advanced Study, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen 518060, China; E-mail: liwenjin@szu.edu.cn; Tel: +86-755-26942336

S1: The lambda points used for the transformation of system

(a) For decoupling ligand from solution

coul-lambdas = 0.0 0.25 0.5 0.75 1.0 1.00 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.00 1.0 1.00 1.0 1.00 1.0 1.00
1.0

vdw-lambdas = 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.05 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.65 0.7 0.75 0.8 0.85 0.9 0.95
1.0

(b) For Decoupling ligand from protein following lambda steps were followed:

bonded-lambdas = 0.0 0.01 0.025 0.05 0.075 0.1 0.2 0.35 0.5 0.75 1.0 1.00 1.0 1.00 1.0 1.00
1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.00 1.0 1.00 1.0 1.00 1.0 1.00 1.0 1.00 1.0

coul-lambdas = 0.0 0.00 0.000 0.00 0.000 0.0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.25 0.5 0.75 1.0 1.00 1.0 1.0
1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.00 1.0 1.00 1.0 1.00 1.0 1.00 1.0

vdw-lambdas = 0.0 0.00 0.000 0.00 0.000 0.0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.05 0.1
0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.65 0.7 0.75 0.8 0.85 0.9 0.95 1.0

S2 Table 1: FEP based predicted binding free energy

complex	Production run (1 ns for each window)	Production run (2 ns for each window)
4JV7	-14.56 ± 0.29	-14.86± 0.38
4JV9	-15.73 ± 0.29	-15.36±0.25

S3**Table 2: The extracted conformations for each complex and Rg, rmsd and Free Energy values**

S.No	PDB	Rg (nm)	r.m.s.d (nm)	Free Energy (KJ/mol)	Average ± standard error of mean (KJ/mol/Kcal/mol)
1	3TJ2	1.211	0.192	3.24	
		1.220	0.187	5.66	
		1.213	0.184	4.04	4.528±0.41/1.082±0.09
		1.206	0.177	4.85	
		1.207	0.176	4.85	
2.	4JV7	1.225	0.189	5.66	
		1.222	0.184	5.66	
		1.213	0.186	4.04	5.98±0.79/1.42±0.18
		1.225	0.180	5.66	
		1.230	0.190	8.9	
3.	4JV9	1.220	0.166	10.5	
		1.221	0.178	8.09	

		1.223	0.181	5.66	6.79±1.13/1.62±0.27
		1.211	0.192	4.04	
		1.223	0.192	5.66	
4.	4MDN	1.212	0.168	4.04	
		1.212	0.169	4.04	
		1.208	0.167	4.85	5.012±0.78/1.19±0.18
		1.213	0.167	4.04	
		1.222	0.176	8.09	
5.	4MDQ	1.239	0.195	8.9	
		1.230	0.1902	8.9	
		1.222	0.1839	5.66	6.956 ± 0.79/1.66±0.18
		1.226	0.1820	5.66	
		1.228	0.1975	5.66	
6.	5LAV	1.206	0.205	4.04	
		1.225	0.200	5.66	
		1.220	0.201	5.66	4.85±0.36/1.15±0.08
		1.207	0.207	4.04	
		1.207	0.212	4.85	
7.	5LAW	1.211	0.202	4.04	
		1.204	0.213	4.04	
		1.199	0.200	4.85	4.202±0.16/1.00±0.03
		1.205	0.205	4.04	
		1.206	0.209	4.04	
8.	5LAY	1.215	0.185	4.04	
		1.215	0.210	5.66	
		1.205	0.195	3.24	4.11±0.44/0.98±0.1
		1.210	0.198	3.24	
		1.203	0.186	4.04	
9.	5LAZ	1.199	0.218	4.04	

		1.203	0.215	4.04	4.20±0.16/1.0±0.03
		1.206	0.206	4.85	
		1.204	0.209	4.04	
		1.205	0.208	4.04	
10.	WK298_MDM2	1.223	0.188	5.66	
		1.205	0.178	4.85	
		1.215	0.183	4.04.	4.85±0.36/1.15±0.08
		1.217	0.173	5.66	
		1.217	0.198	4.04	
11.	3LBK	1.219	0.206	4.04	
		1.219	0.186	4.04	
		1.214	0.189	4.04	4.68±0.16/1.11±0.03
		1.213	0.199	3.24	
		1.213	0.184	4.04	
12.	4ZYF	1.216	0.169	5.66	
		1.220	0.159	10.5	
		1.216	0.174	4.04	5.65±1.25/1.34±0.29
		1.215	0.174	4.04	
		1.213	0.177	4.04	
13.	5OC8	1.227	0.186	5.66	
		1.217	0.189	4.04	
		1.209	0.175	4.85	4.85±0.36/1.15±0.08
		1.227	0.180	5.66	
		1.219	0.171	4.04	
14.	6GGN	1.213	0.183	4.04	
		1.209	0.186	4.04	
		1.200	0.189	4.04	4.36±0.32/1.04±0.07
		1.210	0.179	5.66	
		1.205	0.183	4.04	

S4

Table 3: The statistical parameter values calculated for dataset

Dataset	Mean Absolute Error	RMSE	Pearson's Correlation coefficient	Spearman Correlation coefficient	Kendall Tau
MDMX-ligand (table 1)	.816	1.064	.860	.60	.40
MDM2-ligand (table 2, $\Delta G_{\text{calculated}}$)	3.08	3.80	.389	.314	.297
MDM2-ligand corrected set (table 2, $\Delta G_{\text{corrected}}$)	1.95	2.83	.430	.345	.297

S5: Scatter Plot for Experimental Binding energy vs Predicted Binding Energy

