

Supplemental Figure 1: INTACT to isolate nuclei from plants under systemic salt stress

(A) Timeline of salt stress experiment.

(B) Representative photos of Arabidopsis rosette leaves after control- or salt-treatment.

(C) Western blot in whole tissue and nuclear samples in control- and salt-treated tissue isolated by INTACT for cytoplasmic, endoplasmic reticulum, and nuclear markers (PEPC, CNX1/2, H3, respectively).

(D) Representative images of bead-bound nuclei from INTACT.



Supplemental Figure 2: High reproducibility and quality of PIP-seq libraries in control- and salt-treated tissue

(A-H) Correlation plots between biological replicates of PIP-seq libraries from control- (A-D) and salt-treated (E-H) tissue. Pearson correlation.

(I) Clustering of PIP-seq libraries by DESeq2.



Supplemental Figure 3: Overlaps between PPSs identified in nuclear PIP-seq in control- and salt-treated tissue

(A-B) Overlap between biological replicates of control-treated (A) and salt-treated (B) tissue for all PPSs. PPSs must overlap by at least 1 nucleotide to be classified as common between the replicates.

(C-D) RBP binding density plots for all PPSs identified in each biological replicate from control- (C) and salt-treated (D) tissue. Solid line represents average RBP binding densities at each nucleotide and shading represents SEM. mRNA diagrams above plots are not to scale.



B Location of control-specific, salt-specific and shared PPSs mRNA ncRNA 3.23% 3.10% 2.81% Control-specific Salt-specific Shared

Supplemental Figure 4: Functional validation of PPSs identified in nuclear PIP-seq in control- and salt-treated tissue

(A) Average PhastCons scores for control-specific, salt-specific, and shared highconfidence PPSs (green bars) and equal sized flanking regions (peach bars). Error bars indicate SEM. *** denotes p-value < 1x10⁻¹⁰, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

(B) Percentage of control-specific, salt-specific, and shared high-confidence PPSs identified in protein-coding mRNAs and ncRNAs.

(C) PPS enrichment in 5' UTR, CDS, 3' UTR, and intron for control-specific (blue), saltspecific (red), and shared high-confidence PPSs (yellow).

Α



Supplemental Figure 5: RNA secondary structure is highly reproducible and changes significantly upon salt stress.

(A-B) RNA secondary structure scores at the +/- 100 nt the start and stop codon for each biological replicate from control- (A) and salt-treated (B) tissue. Solid line represents average structure scores at each nucleotide and shading represents SEM. mRNA diagrams above plots are not to scale.

(C) Average structure scores plotted over the 5' UTR, CDS and 3' UTR of all detectable protein-coding mRNAs in control-treated (blue) and salt-treated (red) tissue. The overall average structure score for each region is plotted as a dotted line. Shading around the line indicates the SEM across all plotted transcripts. N = 14,461 mRNAs. *** denotes p-value < 0.001, Wilcoxon test.



Supplemental Figure 6: Correlations between PIP-seq derived, nuclear RNA secondary structure scores and reactivity scores from Tack *et al*.

(A-H) Comparisons between average structure scores in control- (A,C,E,G) and salttreated (B,D,F,H) tissue in the 5' UTR (A-B), CDS (C-D), 3' UTR (E-F), and whole transcripts (G-H) calculated by PIP-seq and DMS-seq by Tack *et al.* Pearson correlation. Plots generated using geom_hex from ggplot2 R package with 50 bins specified. Colors indicate the number of transcripts within each bin. Grey lines indicate linear regression.



Supplemental Figure 7: RNA secondary structure and RBP binding across shuffled control-specific and salt-specific m⁶A peaks

(A) Representative figure demonstrating three classes of m⁶A modified transcripts. (1) Control-only transcripts that contain a high-confidence m⁶A peak(s) in control-treated tissue, but no high-confidence m⁶A peaks in salt-treated tissue, (2) Salt-only transcripts that contain a high-confidence m⁶A peak(s) in salt-treated tissue, but no high-confidence m⁶A peak(s) in salt-treated tissue, but no high-confidence m⁶A peak(s) in salt-treated tissue, but no high-confidence m⁶A peaks in control-treated tissue, and (3) Transcripts that contain a high-confidence m⁶A peak in both conditions, but the peaks are independent and do no overlap.

(B) Classification of the location of m⁶A peaks within mRNAs for genes that only have high-confidence m⁶A peak(s) in control-treated tissue, only in salt conditions, or contain non-overlapping high-confidence m⁶A peaks in both control- and salt-treated tissue.

(C-D) RNA secondary structure scores in control-treated (blue) and salt-treated (red) tissues across shuffled binned salt-specific (C) or control-specific (D) m⁶A peaks as well as equal sized flanking regions. Dashed lines represent the average structure for each region. Shading around the line indicates the SEM across all detectable transcripts.

(E-F) RBP binding in control-treated (blue) and salt-treated (red) tissues across binned salt-specific (E) or control-specific (F) m⁶A peaks located in the 3' UTR as well as equal sized flanking regions. Dashed lines represent the average structure for each region. Shading around the line indicates the SEM across all plotted transcripts.



Supplemental Figure 8: Correlations between RNA secondary structure and mRNA abundance in control- and salt-treated tissue.

(A-C) Relationship between average RNA secondary structure in the 5' UTR (A), CDS (B), and 3' UTR (C) and mRNA abundance in control-treated tissue. Pearson correlation (D-F) Relationship between average RNA secondary structure in the 5' UTR (D), CDS (E), and 3' UTR (F) and mRNA abundance in salt-treated tissue. Pearson correlation.

Α	D protein ubiquitination	3.03	
¹⁰⁰ ¬ – – – – – – – – – – 5' UTR	protein phosphorylation	5.2	
	protein glycosylation	0.71	
Start Codon	protein autophosphorylation	1.11	
🖞 60 – 🗖 🗖 Stop Codon	root development	1.16	
	positive regulation of flower development	0.4	
	positive regulation of development,	0.25	
<u><u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u></u>	photoperiodism, flowering	0.56	
	metal ion transport	0.71	
	metabolic process	1.72	
stabilited tess little tess	⁴ lipid metabolic process	1.41	
	³ leaf morphogenesis	0.66	
	² leaf development	- 1.11	
•	lateral root formation	0.5	
	inflorescence development	0.3	
В	flower development	1.41	
<u>Salt m^sA - stabilized in salt (N = 1,981)</u>	cuticle development	0.45	
5'3'	cellular transition metal	- 0.5	
1.2 _ Control PPSs	cell differentiation	1.72	
p 1.0	cell wall organization	1.62	2.3
	flavonoid biosynthetic process	-	1.38
	pectin biosynthetic process	-	0.92
	transcription, DNA-templated	9.19	
	regulation of transcription,	- 10.6	
	positive regulation of transcription	1.56	
	negative regulation of gene expression	0.25	
Nucleotide position	RNA secondary structure unwinding	- 0.66	
	response to starvation	- 0.3	
C	response to osmotic stress	- 1.06	
<u>Salt m^bA - destabilized in salt (N = 486)</u>	response to karrikin	- 1.16	
— Control PPSs	plant-type hypersensitive response	0.86	
	response to oxidative stress	-	2.99
P 1.0	response to cold	-	3.45
	cold acclimation	-	1.61
	response to abscisic acid	-	3.68
₩ 0.4 -	·	· · ·	1
0.2		ding	ding
		will stress	ilize trest
-100 0 100-100 0 100	త	al alt - stat	salts
Nucleotide position		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	×

Supplemental Figure 9: Transcripts encoding proteins involved in osmotic stress response gain m⁶A and are stabilized during systemic salt stress response

Nucleotide position

(A) Classification for salt-specific m⁶A peaks within protein-coding mRNAs that are destabilized or stabilized during systemic salt stress response.

(B-C) RBP binding in the +/- 100 nt of the annotated start and stop codon in nuclear protein- coding mRNAs that gain m⁶A and are stabilized (C) or destabilized (D) during salt stress response. PPSs were divided into those that were expressed exclusively in control-treated tissue (blue line), salt-treated tissue (red line) or common to both treatments (vellow line). mRNA diagrams above plots are not to scale.

(D) Gene ontology (GO) analysis using DAVID (Huang et al., 2009) for transcripts that gain m⁶A and are destabilized or stabilized during salt stress response. Color within each cell represents the calculated -log₁₀(p-value), while the values denoted within each cell is the fold enrichment provided by the DAVID analysis package.



Supplemental Figure 10: mRNA stability of transcripts that have control-specific m⁶A doesn't affect RNA secondary structure, protein abundance or m⁶A location

(A-B) Average structure score in control-treated (blue line) and salt-treated (red line) tissue in the +/- 100 nt of the annotated start and stop codon of nuclear protein-coding transcripts that have control-specific m⁶A and are stabilized (A) or destabilized (B) during long-term salt stress response. Shading around the line indicates the SEM across all plotted transcripts. P-values were calculated using a Wilcoxon test and are denoted over the specific regions.

(C) Protein abundance fold change ($log_2[salt/control]$) for transcripts that contain controlspecific m⁶A peaks (darker colors) or lack control-specific m⁶A peaks (lighter colors) and are stabilized (orange) or destabilized (green) during salt stress response. NS denote pvalue > 0.05, Wilcoxon test.

(D) Classification for control-specific m⁶A peaks within protein-coding mRNAs that are destabilized or stabilized during systemic salt stress response.



Supplemental Figure 11: RNA secondary structure surrounding m⁶A peak B in *AT2G39800.*

(A) RNAfold model for m⁶A peak B in *AT2G39800* in control- (left) and salt-treated (right) tissue constrained with PIP-seq determined structure scores. Color of each nucleotide indicates structure score, with darker colors indicating higher structure score.

(B) RNA structure scores from PIP-seq for peak B within *AT2G39800* and equal sized flanking regions to the 5' and 3' end.