Targeting nitric oxide production in microglia with novel imidazodiazepines for non-sedative pain treatment

Amanda N. Nieman^a, Guanguan Li^b, Nicolas M. Zahn^a, Md Yeunus Mian^a, Brandon N. Mikulsky^c, Dylan A. Hoffman^a, Taylor M. Wilcox^a, Alexander S. Kehoe^a, Ian W. Luecke^a, Michael M. Poe^d, David Alvarez-Carbonell^e, James M. Cook^a, Douglas C. Stafford^{a,c} and Leggy A. Arnold^{a,c,*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry and the Milwaukee Institute for Drug Discovery, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201, United States

^bShenzhen Grubbs Institute and Department of Chemistry, Shenzhen Key Laboratory of Small Molecule Drug Discovery and Synthesis, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518055, China

^cPantherics Incorporated, La Jolla, California 92037, United States

^dDepartment of Chemistry, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo MI 49008, United States

^eDepartment of Molecular Biology and Microbiology, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio 44106, United States

*Corresponding Author, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI 53201, arnold2@uwm.edu

Contents

Table S1. Compound list with references 2
Figure S1. GL-I compounds
Figure S2. GL-II compounds
Figure S3. GL-III compounds part A
Figure S4. GL-III compounds part B
Figure S5. GL-IV compounds
Figure S6. Various compounds
Figure S7. Concentration response of compounds with significant reduction of NO production for mouse microglia
Figure S8. Concentration response of compounds with significant reduction of NO production for mouse macrophages (RAW267.4)
Figure S9. Concentration response of compounds with significant reduction of NO production for mouse microglia
Figure S10. Concentration response of compounds with significant reduction of NO production for mouse macrophages (RAW267.4)

Figure S11. Concentration dependent viability of HepG2 (liver) and HEK293 (kidney) in the presence of compounds from plate 1
Figure S12. Concentration dependent viability of HepG2 (liver) and HEK293 (kidney) in the presence of compounds from plate 2
Figure S13. Concentration dependent viability of HepG2 (liver) and HEK293 (kidney) in the presence of compounds from plate 3
Figure S14. Concentration dependent viability of HepG2 (liver) and HEK293 (kidney) in the presence of compounds from plate 4
Figure S15. Concentration dependent viability of HepG2 (liver) and HEK293 (kidney) in the presence of compounds from plate 5
Figure S16. Concentration dependent viability of HepG2 (liver) and HEK293 (kidney) in the presence of compounds from plate 6
Figure S17. Concentration dependent viability of HepG2 (liver) and HEK293 (kidney) in the presence of compounds from plate 7
Figure S18. Concentration dependent viability of HepG2 (liver) and HEK293 (kidney) in the presence of compounds from plate 8
Table S2. PDSP Primary Screening Data for MP-IV-010. 15
Table S3. Human GABAAR Subunit PCR Primers
Table S4. Mouse GABAAR Subunit PCR Primers
References

	1				
GL-I-48 ¹⁻³	$GL-II-05^4$	GL-III-13 ⁵⁻⁷	$GL-III-70^8$	GL-IV-01 ⁸	DMH-D-053 ¹⁻³
GL-I-50 ^{1, 2}	$GL-II-06^2$	GL-III-23 ⁸	$GL-III-72^5$	GL-IV-03 ⁸	KRM-II-18B ^{5, 6, 9}
GL-I-62 ¹⁰	GL-II-18 ^{2, 8, 11}	GL-III-24 ¹⁰	$GL-III-73^5$	GL-IV-04 ⁸	KRM-II-81 ^{5, 6, 9, 12-14}
GL-I-64 ¹⁰	GL-II-19 ^{2, 8, 11}	GL-III-25 ¹⁰	GL-III-75	GL-IV-05 ¹⁵	KRM-II-82 ^{5, 6}
GL-I-65 ⁸	GL-II-32	GL-III-27 ¹⁰	GL-III-76 ⁵	GL-IV-17 ^{8, 11, 16}	MP-III-022 ⁸
GL-I-66 ⁸	GL-II-33 ⁸	GL-III-35	GL-III-76A ⁵	GL-IV-18 ^{11, 17}	MP-III-080 ^{5, 6, 8, 12}
GL-I-81 ⁸	GL-II-51 ¹¹	GL-III-36 ⁵	$GL-III-77^5$		MP-IV-004 ⁸
	GL-II-54 ⁸	GL-III-42 ¹¹	$GL-III-78^5$		MP-IV-005 ⁸
	GL-II-61 ¹⁰	GL-III-52 ¹⁸	GL-III-84		MP-IV-010 ⁸
	$GL-II-79^5$	GL-III-53 ¹¹	GL-III-85		QH-II-66 ¹⁹
	GL-II-80 ⁵	GL-III-54 ¹¹	GL-III-86		YT-III-271 ¹⁻³
		GL-III-58 ²⁰	GL-III-87		YT-III-31 ^{5, 7, 21}
		GL-III-59 ²⁰	GL-III-97 ⁸		
		GL-III-60 ²²	GL-III-98 ⁸		DMH-D-053 ¹⁻³
		GL-III-63 ⁸			
		GL-III-64 ⁸			KRM-II-18B ^{5, 6, 9}
		GL-III-66 ⁸			KRM-II-81 ^{5, 6, 9, 12-14}
		GL-III-67 ⁸			KRM-II-82 ^{5, 6}
		GL-III-68 ⁸			
		GL-III-69 ⁸			



Figure S1. GL-I compounds



Figure S2. GL-II compounds



Figure S3. GL-III compounds part A



0

ÒNa

Figure S5. GL-IV compounds

GL-IV-17

//

0

ÒNa

Br´

GL-IV-18



Figure S6. Various compounds



Figure S7. Concentration response of compounds with significant reduction of NO production for mouse microglia. A) Cells were activated with LPS and IFN γ and treated with 1 μ M GABA and - 1 nM - 50 μ M of compound for 24 hours. NO in supernatant was quantified with a Griess assay. B) ATP in remaining cells was quantified by the Cell Titer Glo as a measure of viability. Means \pm SEM are presented for n = 12. *, **, and *** indicate p < 0.05, p < 0.01 and p < 0.001 respectively. (ANOVA)



Figure S8. Concentration response of compounds with significant reduction of NO production for mouse macrophages (RAW267.4). A) Cells were activated with LPS and IFN γ and treated with 1 μ M GABA and 100 nM - 50 μ M of compound for 24 hours. NO in supernatant was quantified with a Griess assay. B) ATP in remaining cells was quantified by the Cell Titer Glo as a measure of viability. Means ± SEM are presented for n = 12. *, **, and *** indicate p < 0.05, p < 0.01 and p < 0.001 respectively. (ANOVA)



Figure S9. Concentration response of compounds with significant reduction of NO production for mouse microglia. A) Cells were activated with LPS and IFN γ and treated with 1 μ M GABA and 100 nM - 30 μ M of compound for 24 hours. NO in supernatant was quantified with a Griess assay. B) ATP in remaining cells was quantified by the Cell Titer Glo as a measure of viability. Means \pm SEM are presented for n = 12. *, **, and *** indicate p < 0.05, p < 0.01 and p < 0.001 respectively. (ANOVA)



Figure S10. Concentration response of compounds with significant reduction of NO production for mouse macrophages (RAW267.4). A) Cells were activated with LPS and IFN γ and treated with 1 μ M GABA and 1 nM - 10 μ M of compound for 24 hours. NO in supernatant was quantified with a Griess assay. B) ATP in remaining cells was quantified by the Cell Titer Glo as a measure of viability. Means \pm SEM are presented for n = 12. *, **, and *** indicate p < 0.05, p < 0.01 and p < 0.001 respectively. (ANOVA)



Figure S11. Concentration dependent viability of HepG2 (liver) and HEK293 (kidney) in the presence of compounds from plate 1. Cells were dispensed into 384 well plates and treated with different concentrations of compounds for 24 hours. The cell viability was determined with CellTiter-Glo. (n = 8 for each concentration)



Figure S12. Concentration dependent viability of HepG2 (liver) and HEK293 (kidney) in the presence of compounds from plate 2. Cells were dispensed into 384 well plates and treated with different concentrations of compounds for 24 hours. The cell viability was determined with CellTiter-Glo. (n = 8 for each concentration)



Figure S13. Concentration dependent viability of HepG2 (liver) and HEK293 (kidney) in the presence of compounds from plate 3. Cells were dispensed into 384 well plates and treated with different concentrations of compounds for 24 hours. The cell viability was determined with CellTiter-Glo. (n = 8 for each concentration)



Figure S14. Concentration dependent viability of HepG2 (liver) and HEK293 (kidney) in the presence of compounds from plate 4. Cells were dispensed into 384 well plates and treated with different concentrations of compounds for 24 hours. The cell viability was determined with CellTiter-Glo. (n = 8 for each concentration)



Figure S15. Concentration dependent viability of HepG2 (liver) and HEK293 (kidney) in the presence of compounds from plate 5. Cells were dispensed into 384 well plates and treated with different concentrations of compounds for 24 hours. The cell viability was determined with CellTiter-Glo. (n = 8 for each concentration)



Figure S16. Concentration dependent viability of HepG2 (liver) and HEK293 (kidney) in the presence of compounds from plate 6. Cells were dispensed into 384 well plates and treated with different concentrations of compounds for 24 hours. The cell viability was determined with CellTiter-Glo. (n = 8 for each concentration)



Figure S17. Concentration dependent viability of HepG2 (liver) and HEK293 (kidney) in the presence of compounds from plate 7. Cells were dispensed into 384 well plates and treated with different concentrations of compounds for 24 hours. The cell viability was determined with CellTiter-Glo. (n = 8 for each concentration)



Figure S18. Concentration dependent viability of HepG2 (liver) and HEK293 (kidney) in the presence of compounds from plate 8. Cells were dispensed into 384 well plates and treated with different concentrations of compounds for 24 hours. The cell viability was determined with CellTiter-Glo. (n = 8 for each concentration)

Receptor	Percent Competition	Receptor	Percent Competition	Receptor	Percent Competition
5-HT1A	19	D5	29	Alpha2A	14
5-HT1B	29	SERT	22	Alpha2B	29
5-HT1D	6	NET	-2	Alpha2C	31
5-HT1E	-7	DAT	14	Beta1	6
5-HT2A	-1	MOR	33	Beta2	20
5-HT2B	0	DOR	5	M1	-11
5-HT2C	-2	KOR	94	M2	10
5-HT3	13	GABAA	-8	M3	0
5-HT5A	11	H1	19	M4	8
5-HT6	21	H2	28	M5	26
5-HT7	-5	Н3	-18	Beta3	38
D1	28	H4	6	BZP Rat Brain Site	64
D2	25	Calcium Channel	15	PBR	28
D3	15	Alpha1A	2	Alpha1D	9
D4	5	Alpha1B	15	HERG binding	29
				Sigma 2	64

 Table S2. PDSP Primary Screening Data for MP-IV-010.

Table S3. Human GABAAR Subunit PCR Primers GABAA

GADAA				
R	Forward Reverse		Size	Ref
Subunit				
GAPDH	ACC ACA GTC CAT GCC ATC AC	TCC ACC ACC CTG TTG CTG TA	452	23
α1	GGA TTG GGA GAG CGT GTA ACC	TGA AAC GGG TCC GAA ACT G	66	23
α2	GTT CAA GCT GAA TGC CCA AT	ACC TAG AGC CAT CAG GAG CA	160	23
α3	CAA CTT GTT TCA GTT CAT TCA	CTT GTT TGT GTG ATT ATC ATC TTC TTA	102	23
	TCC TT	GG		
α4	TTG GGG GTC CTG TTA CAG AAG	TCT GCC TGA AGA ACA CAT CCA	105	23
α5	CTT CTC GGC GCT GAT AGA GT	CGC TTT TTC TTG ATC TTG GC	105	23
α6	CTG AAC CTT TGG AAG CTG AGA	TTA TTG GCC TCG GAA GAT GA	109	24
β1	CCA GGT CGA CGC CCA CGG TA	GTG GCC TTG GGG TCG CTC AC	102	23
β2	GCA GAG TGT CAA TGA CCC TAG T	GGC AAT GTC AAT GTT CAT CCC	137	23
β3	CCG TTC AAA GAG CGA AAG CAA	TCG CCA ATG CCG CCT GAG AC	105	23
-	CCG			
γ1	CCT TTT CTT CTG CGG AGT CAA	CAT CTG CCT TAT CAA CAC AGT TTC C	91	23
γ2	CAC AGA AAA TGA CGG TGT GG	TCA CCC TCA GGA ACT TTT GG	136	23
γ3	AAC CAA CCA CCA CGA AGA AGA	CCT CAT GTC CAG GAG GGA AT	131	23
δ	GAG GCC AAC ATG GAG TAC AC	TTC ACG ATG AAG GTG TCG G	145	25

GABA _A R Subunit	Forward	Reverse	Size	Re f
al	CAA GAG CAG AAG TTG TCT ATG AGT	GCA CGG CAG ATA TGT TTG AAT AAC	215	26
α2	GCT ACG CTT ACA CAA CCT CAG A	GAC TGG CCC AGC AAA TCA TAC T	115	26
α3	GCC GTC TGT TAT GCC TTT GTA TTT	TTC TTC ATC TCC AGG GCC TCT	119	27
α4	AGA ACT CAA AGG ACG AGA AAT TGT	TTC ACT TCT GTA ACA GGA CCC C	118	23
α5	AAG TTC GCT CCG GCA GTA TG	TGT TCT TGC CTC CAA CTT GAT CT	149	27
α6	CTT GCT GGA AGG CTA TGA CAA C	AAG TCT GGC GGA AGA AAA CAT C	146	27
β1	GGT TTG TTG TGC ACA CAG CTC C	ATG CTG GCG ACA TCG ATC CGC	153	23
β2	GCT GGT GAG GAA ATC TCG GTC CC	CAT GCG CAC GGC GTA CCA AA	70	23
β3	CTT TGC GGG AGG AAG GCT TT	GGG GTC GTT TAC GCT CTG AG	85	27
γ1	ATC CAC TCT CAT TCC CAT GAA CAG	ACA GAA AAA GCT AGT ACA GTC TTT	100	23
	С	GC		
γ2	ACT TCT GGT GAC TAT GTG GTG AT	GGC AGG AAC AGC ATC CTT ATT G	147	26
γ3	ATT ACA TCC AGA TTC CAC AAG ATG	CAC AGG TGT CCT CAA ATT CCT	149	23
δ	TCA AAT CGG CTG GCC AGT TCC C	GCA CGG CTG CCT GGC TAA TCC	147	28
GAPDH	AAC ACA GTC CAT GCC ATC AC	CAC CAC CCT GTT GCT GTA GCC	450	23

Table S4. Mouse GABAAR Subunit PCR Primers

References

1. Han, D., Holger Forsterling, F., Li, X., Deschamps, J. R., Parrish, D., Cao, H., Rallapalli, S., Clayton, T., Teng, Y., Majumder, S., Sankar, S., Roth, B. L., Sieghart, W., Furtmuller, R., Rowlett, J. K., Weed, M. R., and Cook, J. M. (2008) A study of the structure-activity relationship of GABA(A)-benzodiazepine receptor bivalent ligands by conformational analysis with low temperature NMR and X-ray analysis. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 16, 8853-8862. 2. Cook, J. M., Huang, S., Edwankar, C. R., Namjoshi, O. A., and Wang, Z.-J. (2010) Selective Agents for Pain Suppression, US20100317619. 3. Han, D., Forsterling, F. H., Li, X., Deschamps, J. R., Cao, H., and Cook, J. M. (2004) Determination of the stable conformation of GABA(A)-benzodiazepine receptor bivalent ligands by low temperature NMR and X-ray analysis. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 14, 1465-1469. 4. Fryer, R. I., Schmidt, R. A., and Sternbach, L. H. (1964) Quinazolines and 1,4-Benzodiazepines XVII: Synthesis of 1,3-Dihydro-5-pyridyl-2H-1,4-benzodiazepine Derivatives. J. Pharm. Sci. 53, 264-268. 5. Cook, J. M., Poe, M. M., Methuku, K. R., and Li, G. (2016) Gabaergic Ligands and Their Uses, WO2016154031. 6. Poe, M. M., Methuku, K. R., Li, G., Verma, A. R., Teske, K. A., Stafford, D. C., Arnold, L. A., Cramer, J. W., Jones, T. M., Cerne, R., Krambis, M. J., Witkin, J. M., Jambrina, E., Rehman, S., Ernst, M., Cook, J. M., and Schkeryantz, J. M. (2016) Synthesis and Characterization of a Novel gamma-Aminobutyric Acid Type A (GABAA) Receptor Ligand That Combines Outstanding Metabolic Stability, Pharmacokinetics, and Anxiolytic Efficacy. J. Med. Chem. 59, 10800-10806.

7. Namjoshi, O. A., Wang, Z. J., Rallapalli, S. K., Johnson, E. M., Jr., Johnson, Y. T., Ng, H., Ramerstorfer, J., Varagic, Z., Sieghart, W., Majumder, S., Roth, B. L., Rowlett, J. K., and Cook, J. M. (2013) Search for alpha3beta(2)/(3)gamma2 subtype selective ligands that are stable on human liver microsomes. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 21, 93-101.

8. Cook, J. M., Li, G., Poe, M. M., Savic, M. M., and Sibille, E. (2017) Treatment of Cognitive and Mood Symptoms in Neurodegenerative and Neuropsychiatric Disorders with Alpha-5-Containing GABA(A) Receptor Agonists, WO2017161370.

9. Lewter, L. A., Fisher, J. L., Siemian, J. N., Methuku, K. R., Poe, M. M., Cook, J. M., and Li, J. X. (2017) Antinociceptive Effects of a Novel alpha2/alpha3-Subtype Selective GABAA Receptor Positive Allosteric Modulator. *ACS Chem. Neurosci.* 8, 1305-1312.

10. Cook, J. M., Zhou, H., Huang, S., Sarma, P. V. V. S., and Zhang, C. (2006) Stereospecific Anxiolytic and Anticonvulsant Agent with Reduced Muscle-Relaxant, Sedative, Hypnotic, and Ataxic Effects, US20060003995.

11. Arnold, A. E., Stafford, D. C., Cook, J. M., Emala, C. W., Forkuo, G. S., Jahan, R., Kodali, R., Li, G., and Stephen, M. R. (2018) GABA(A) Receptor Modulators and Methods to Control Airway Hyperresponsiveness and Inflammation in Asthma, WO2018035246.

12. Witkin, J. M., Cerne, R., Wakulchik, M., S, J., Gleason, S. D., Jones, T. M., Li, G., Arnold, L. A., Li, J. X., Schkeryantz, J. M., Methuku, K. R., Cook, J. M., and Poe, M. M. (2017) Further evaluation of the potential anxiolytic activity of imidazo[1,5-a][1,4]diazepin agents selective for alpha2/3-containing GABAA receptors. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 157, 35-40.

13. Witkin, J. M., Ping, X., Cerne, R., Mouser, C., Jin, X., Hobbs, J., Tiruveedhula, V., Li, G., Jahan, R., Rashid, F., Kumar Golani, L., Cook, J. M., and Smith, J. L. (2019) The value of human epileptic tissue in the characterization and development of novel antiepileptic drugs: The example of CERC-611 and KRM-II-81. *Brain Res. 1722*, 146356.

14. Moerke, M. J., Li, G., Golani, L. K., Cook, J., and Negus, S. S. (2019) Effects of the alpha2/alpha3-subtype-selective GABAA receptor positive allosteric modulator KRM-II-81 on pain-depressed behavior in rats: comparison with ketorolac and diazepam. *Behav. Pharmacol. 30*, 452-461.

15. Cook, J. M., Huang, Q., He, X., Li, X., Yu, J., Han, D., Lelas, S., and McElroy, J. F. (2004) Anxiolytic Agents with Reduced Sedative and Ataxic Effects, US7119196B2.

16. Forkuo, G. S., Nieman, A. N., Yuan, N. Y., Kodali, R., Yu, O. B., Zahn, N. M., Jahan, R., Li, G., Stephen, M. R., Guthrie, M. L., Poe, M. M., Hartzler, B. D., Harris, T. W., Yocum, G. T., Emala, C. W., Steeber, D. A., Stafford, D. C., Cook, J. M., and Arnold, L. A. (2017) Alleviation of Multiple Asthmatic Pathologic Features with Orally Available and Subtype Selective GABAA Receptor Modulators. *Mol. Pharm.* 14, 2088-2098.

17. Forkuo, G. S., Nieman, A. N., Kodali, R., Zahn, N. M., Li, G., Rashid Roni, M. S., Stephen, M. R., Harris, T. W., Jahan, R., Guthrie, M. L., Yu, O. B., Fisher, J. L., Yocum, G. T., Emala, C. W., Steeber, D. A., Stafford, D. C., Cook, J. M., and Arnold, L. A. (2018) A Novel Orally

Available Asthma Drug Candidate That Reduces Smooth Muscle Constriction and Inflammation by Targeting GABAA Receptors in the Lung. *Mol. Pharm.* 15, 1766-1777.

18. Fryer, R. I., Coffen, D. L., Earley, J. V., and Walser, A. (1973) Quinazolines and 1,4benzodiazepines LVII⁺. 1H-1,4-benzodiazepines. *J Heterocylic Chem* 10, 473-478.

19. Huang, Q., He, X., Ma, C., Liu, R., Yu, S., Dayer, C. A., Wenger, G. R., McKernan, R., and Cook, J. M. (2000) Pharmacophore/receptor models for GABA(A)/BzR subtypes

(alpha1beta3gamma2, alpha5beta3gamma2, and alpha6beta3gamma2) via a comprehensive ligand-mapping approach. *J. Med. Chem.* 43, 71-95.

 Kallay, L., Keskin, H., Ross, A., Rupji, M., Moody, O. A., Wang, X., Li, G., Ahmed, T., Rashid, F., Stephen, M. R., Cottrill, K. A., Nuckols, T. A., Xu, M., Martinson, D. E., Tranghese, F., Pei, Y., Cook, J. M., Kowalski, J., Taylor, M. D., Jenkins, A., Pomeranz Krummel, D. A., and Sengupta, S. (2019) Modulating native GABAA receptors in medulloblastoma with positive allosteric benzodiazepine-derivatives induces cell death. *J. Neurooncol.* 142, 411-422.
 Meng, Z., Berro, L. F., Sawyer, E. K., Ruedi-Bettschen, D., Cook, J. E., Li, G., Platt, D. M., Cook, J. M., and Rowlett, J. K. (2020) Evaluation of the anti-conflict, reinforcing, and sedative effects of YT-III-31, a ligand functionally selective for alpha3 subunit-containing GABAA receptors. *J Psychopharmacol 34*, 348-357.

22. Cook, J., Clayton, T., Johnson, Y. T., Rallapalli, S., and Han, D. (2010) Gabaergic Agents to Treat Memory Deficits, EP1888594A2.

23. Mendu, S. K., Bhandage, A., Jin, Z., and Birnir, B. (2012) Different subtypes of GABA-A receptors are expressed in human, mouse and rat T lymphocytes. *PLoS One* 7, e42959.

24. Braun, M., Ramracheya, R., Bengtsson, M., Clark, A., Walker, J. N., Johnson, P. R., and Rorsman, P. (2010) Gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) is an autocrine excitatory transmitter in human pancreatic beta-cells. *Diabetes* 59, 1694-1701.

25. Dionisio, L., Arias, V., Bouzat, C., and Esandi Mdel, C. (2013) GABAA receptor plasticity in Jurkat T cells. *Biochimie* 95, 2376-2384.

26. Nakai, T., Nagai, T., Wang, R., Yamada, S., Kuroda, K., Kaibuchi, K., and Yamada, K. (2014) Alterations of GABAergic and dopaminergic systems in mutant mice with disruption of exons 2 and 3 of the Disc1 gene. *Neurochem. Int.* 74, 74-83.

27. Gangisetty, O., and Reddy, D. S. (2009) The optimization of TaqMan real-time RT-PCR assay for transcriptional profiling of GABA-A receptor subunit plasticity. *J. Neurosci. Methods 181*, 58-66.

28. Masocha, W. (2015) Comprehensive analysis of the GABAergic system gene expression profile in the anterior cingulate cortex of mice with Paclitaxel-induced neuropathic pain. *Gene Expr. 16*, 145-153.