

Reporting checklist for case-control study.

Based on the STROBE case-control guidelines.

Instructions to authors

Complete this checklist by entering the page numbers from your manuscript where readers will find each of the items listed below.

Your article may not currently address all the items on the checklist. Please modify your text to include the missing information. If you are certain that an item does not apply, please write "n/a" and provide a short explanation.

Upload your completed checklist as an extra file when you submit to a journal.

In your methods section, say that you used the STROBE case-control reporting guidelines, and cite them as:

von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies.

	Reporting Item	Page Number
Title and abstract		
Title	#1a Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
Abstract	#1b Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2
Introduction		
Background / rationale	#2 Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4
Objectives	#3 State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	2&4
Methods		

Study design	#4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	2& 5-6
Setting	#5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5
Eligibility criteria	#6a	Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls. For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	5
Eligibility criteria	N/A	For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	
	#7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	5-6
Data sources / measurement	#8	For each variable of interest give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group. Give information separately for cases and controls.	5-6
Bias	#9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	11
Study size	#10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	5
Quantitative variables	#11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen, and why	5-6
Statistical methods	#12a	Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	6
Statistical methods	N/A	Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	
Statistical methods	N/A	Explain how missing data were addressed	
Statistical methods	N/A	If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed	
Statistical methods	N/A	Describe any sensitivity analyses	

Results

Participants	#13a	Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed. Give information separately for cases and controls.	8
Participants	N/A	Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	
Participants	N/A	Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	#14a	Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders. Give information separately for cases and controls	16
Descriptive data	#14b	Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	8
Outcome data	N/A	Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure. Give information separately for cases and controls	
Main results	#16a	Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	17-19
Main results	N/A	Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	5-6
Main results	N/A	If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	N/A	Report other analyses done—e.g., analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	#18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	10
Limitations	#19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias.	11

Interpretation	#20	Give a cautious overall interpretation considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence.	10-11
Generalisability	#21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	10
Other Information			
Funding	#22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	2

None The STROBE checklist is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY. This checklist can be completed online using <https://www.goodreports.org/>, a tool made by the [EQUATOR Network](#) in collaboration with [Penelope.ai](#)