

Supplementary Table 1. Qualitative assessment of study reporting

Domain	Questions	Judgments
Risk of bias		
1) Patient selection	<i>Was a consecutive or random sample of patients enrolled?</i>	Yes, No, Unclear
	<i>Was a case-control design avoided?</i>	Yes, No, Unclear
	<i>Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions?</i>	Yes, No, Unclear
	<i>Could the selection of patients have introduced bias?</i>	Low, High, Unclear
Applicability	<i>Is there concern that the included patients do not match the review questions?</i>	Low, High, Unclear
1) Patient selection		
Risk of bias		
2) Index test	<i>Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard?</i>	Yes, No, Unclear
	<i>If a threshold was used, was it pre-specified?</i>	Yes, No, Unclear
	<i>Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias?</i>	Low, High, Unclear
Applicability	<i>Is there concern that the index test, its conduct, or interpretation differ from the review question?</i>	Low, High, Unclear
2) Index test		
Risk of bias		
3) Reference standard	<i>Is the reference standard likely to correctly classify the target condition?</i>	Yes, No, Unclear
	<i>Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test?</i>	Yes, No, Unclear
	<i>Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced bias?</i>	Yes, No, Unclear
		Low, High, Unclear
Applicability	<i>Is there concern that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the review question?</i>	Low, High, Unclear
3) Reference standard		
Risk of bias		
4) Flow and timing	<i>Was there an appropriate interval between index test(s) and reference standard?</i>	Yes, No, Unclear

<i>Did all patients receive a reference standard?</i>	Yes, No, Unclear
<i>Did patients receive the same reference standard?</i>	Yes, No, Unclear
<i>Were all patients included in the analysis?</i>	Yes, No, Unclear
<i>Could the patient flow have introduced bias?</i>	Low, High, Unclear

Supplementary Table 2. Summary of QUADAS-2 Assessment of Selected Studies

Author (year) reference	Risk of bias				Applicability concerns		
	Patients selection	Index test	Reference standard	Flow and timing	Patients selection	Index test	Reference standard
EXCEL (2019)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
LE MANS (2016)	Low	Low	Low	Unclear	Low	Low	Low
NOBLE (2019)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
PRECOMBAT (2020)	Low	Low	Low	Unclear	Low	Low	Low
SYNTAX (2019)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low

QUADAS-2: Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies-2.

Supplementary Figure 1. Risk of bias

