Supplementary Information

Multiscale causal networks identify VGF as a key regulator of Alzheimer's

disease

Noam D. Beckmann et al.

Supplementary Strategy Overview

As described in the main text, we employed a probabilistic causal network framework to construct predictive models of AD. The input data to construct these models were generated as part of the AMP-AD consortium, and included whole exome sequencing (WES), RNA sequencing (RNA-seq, referred to as gene-expression hereafter), and protein-expression data from the anterior prefrontal cortex (Brodmann area 10, BM10) in a large cohort of post-mortem samples from the Mount Sinai Brain Bank (MSBB, N=364, with 307 having both DNA and RNA, 217 both DNA and protein, and 217 having all three), across the complete spectrum of AD clinical and neuropathological traits (from controls to neuropathologically-proven AD, Fig. 1a) 15 and with no other co-morbidities¹. To focus the input of molecular traits for network reconstruction on traits associated with AD, we examined associations between the molecular data and AD clinical and neuropathological features to identify AD gene- and protein-expression signatures. Gene- and protein- expression traits co-regulated with these AD signatures were found by constructing gene and protein co-expression networks. From these networks we identified highly interconnected sets of co-regulated genes (modules) that were significantly enriched for the AD signatures and for pathways previously implicated in AD (Fig. 1b). To obtain a final set of genes for input into the causal network reconstructions, we combined genes in the AD signatures and genes in the co-expression network modules enriched for these signatures (referred to here as the seed set). We further expanded this seed set by incorporating prior pathway knowledge from the literature to ensure inclusion of important AD genes potentially missed due differential expression analyses lack of power (Fig. 1b).

With our AD-centered input set of genes for network reconstructions defined, we mapped gene and protein quantitative trait loci (eQTLs and pQTLs, respectively) for expression traits in this set to incorporate QTLs as structure priors in the network reconstructions, given they provide a systematic perturbation source that can boost power to infer causal relationships (Fig. 1c) (Supplementary Table 1). The input gene set and eQTL/pQTL data from MSBB served as input into RIMBANET to construct probabilistic causal networks of AD (Fig. 1d). An artificial intelligence algorithm to detect KD genes from these network structures was then applied to identify and prioritize causal regulators of AD networks (Fig. 1d). To validate our findings, three independent approaches were employed: 1) Replication in other brain regions and independent datasets (Fig. 1e); 2) Association of human genetic risk for AD and expression of KD genes (Fig. 1f); and 3) For the top causal regulator, VGF, functional and molecular experimental validation in the 5xFAD mouse model (Fig. 1g).

Supplementary Results

Co-expression networks partition RNA and Protein expression traits into separate modules. The construction of co-expression networks from combining gene- and protein-expression traits resulted in modules comprised nearly exclusively of one type of data (either gene or protein expression) (Supplementary Data 3). While technical components of variation specific to technologies used to score gene- and protein-expression will partly explain this pattern of co-expression, given traits of a particular type are more correlated to traits of that same type than traits of other types, the complementarity of gene- and protein-expression plays a role as well. For example, while RNA measures generally reflect expression levels in cells local to the brain region assayed, select RNAs or RNA isoforms that are known to be transported into 50 dendrites (e.g. BDNF long 3' UTR mRNA) could potentially contribute to this signal as well²⁻⁴. Similarly, protein measures may reflect proteins synthesized in the local brain region that was profiled, proteins that are transported in secretory vesicles via neural pathways from cell bodies in distal regions, and proteins that are locally translated from mRNAs transported from distal regions. Thus, simultaneous sampling of RNA and protein expression in a specific brain region provides complementary data sets that not only reflect linear DNA to RNA to protein synthesis, but that also capture dynamic changes in the flux of transported proteins and RNAs into the local region.

Supplementary Figures

- **Supplementary Fig. 1.**
- **Simplified Pipeline Overview.** Simplified description of data and analyses workflows
- performed to identify and validate VGF as a target of AD.

Supplementary Fig. 2.

Other AD traits gene and protein DE. a and b Gene **a** and protein **b** differential expression: The x axis of this plot is the mean normalized count for each gene or protein, and the y axis the log(FC). In blue are the non-significantly DE genes or proteins and in red the significant ones. Each box corresponds to a trait. **c and d** Heatmaps of gene **c** and protein **d** differential expression gene set enrichment analysis for published differential expression signatures, AD GWAS mapped genes, and genes in topologically associated domains containing AD GWAS loci 72 (defined as $R^2 > 0.5$ from lead SNP). The x axes of these plots represent the DE signatures in our dataset and in the y axes are the public DE signatures. Genes and proteins were included in the analysis if they had association to AD traits with FDR < 0.25. Heatmap shows the fold 75 enrichment (yellow to red) for only the significantly (Bonferroni adjusted p-value < 0.05) enriched public AD signatures.

Supplementary Fig. 3.

QTL analyses and molecular validation of BN subnetworks. a Venn diagram of QTL overlap

- with expanded DE signatures. **b** Boxplots of QTL effects: GSTM3, gene that shares an eQTL
- and a pQTL at the same SNP position. **c, d, e and f** Enrichment of 742 unique perturbation (341
- unique genes) signatures onto networks. In each case, the color represents the network in which
- the analysis is performed. **a and c** Proportion of nodes with existing signature and significant
- enrichment for said signature in network neighborhood **a** undirected enrichment and **c**
- downstream enrichment. The x axis is the number of steps away from the perturbed node and the
- y axis the proportion of nodes with significant enrichment at FDR<0.05. **b and d** Ratio of global
- KDs with significant enrichment to non-KDs with significant enrichment in network
- neighborhood **b** undirected enrichment and **d** downstream enrichment. The x axis is the number
- of steps away from the perturbed node and the y axis the ratio of proportions of KDs with
- significant enrichment at FDR<0.05 to proportion of non-KDs with significant enrichment at
- FDR<0.05. Bars reaching top of plot indicate 0 significant non-KD enrichment.

Supplementary Fig. 4.

- **Levels of APP expression and baseline synaptic function in WT and 5xFAD mice with VGF**
- **overexpression. a** Increased VGF expression in the brains of VGF germline overexpression
- 97 mouse line ($VGF^{\Delta/\Delta}$). Western blot and quantitative PCR analysis showed both increased VGF
- protein and mRNA level, and increased pTrkB levels, in the dorsal hippocampus of VGF
- germline overexpression mice (VGF Δ/Δ) compared to WT (N=3 mice per group, hippocampus
- 100 VGF protein: $VGF^{\Delta/\Delta}$: 208.6±18.4%; WT: 100.0±33.7%; hippocampus Vgf mRNA: $VGF^{\Delta/\Delta}$:
- 101 146.6±8.4%; WT: 100.1±3.0%, hippocampus pTrkB protein: VGF^{Δ/Δ}: 139.9±2.7%; WT:
- 100.0±11.9%; Student t-test, *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01. **b** VGF protein levels are reduced in the
- dorsal hippocampus of 5xFAD mice, at 5 months and 10 months of age. Dorsal hippocampus of

male 5xFAD and WT control mice was collected and analyzed by western blotting for VGF protein (migrating as a characteristic doublet of ~90kd). dHC, dorsal hippocampus. 5-month old, N=4~5 mice per group; 10-month old, N=4 mice per group. Hippocampal VGF protein levels: 5- 107 month, WT: $100.0 \pm 6.8\%$; $5xFAD$: $74.1 \pm 5.1\%$; 10 -month, WT: $100.0 \pm 1.2\%$; $5xFAD$: $77.8 \pm 1.2\%$ 7.1% Student t-test, *, p<0.05. **c** Partial rescue of pTrkB/TrkB levels in the brains of 5xFAD mice overexpressing VGF. Dorsal hippocampus of male 5xFAD and WT control mice was infected with AAV-VGF or AAV-GFP at ~2-3 months of age, and brain lysates were analyzed 111 for phospho-TrkB (pTrkB) and total TrkB at \sim 7 months of age. N=4-5 male mice per group. 112 Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Newman-Keuls post hoc analysis. **: p<0.01, ***: p<0.001. **d** Similar expression levels of transgenic APP protein in both cortex and dorsal hippocampus of 5xFAD mouse brain with VGF germline overexpression. N=4 mice per group, 10 month old. **e** No significant difference of transgenic APP protein levels in the dorsal hippocampus of 5xFAD mouse brain with AAV-VGF overexpression. N=4 mice/per group, 10 month old. **f** Analysis of synaptic responses in the dHc of 8-9 month old 5xFAD and WT mice treated with AAV-VGF or AAV-GFP. Input/output curve expressed as fEPSP slope (mV/ms) 119 plotted against stimulus intensity (μA) did not show differences in baseline synaptic strength

- 120 between groups. N: WT $(AAV-GFP) = 12$ slices from 5 mice; $5xFAD (AAV-GFP) = 13$ slices
- 121 from 4 mice; WT (AAV-VGF) = 13 slices from 4 mice; $5xFAD$ (AAV-VGF) = 13 slices from 4
- mice. Two-way ANOVA and Bonferroni post-hoc tests.

- 123
- 124 **Supplementary Fig. 5.**
- 125 **Increased levels of PSD95 (post-synaptic density 95) in hippocampus of VGF-overexpressing**
- **126 5xFAD,VGF** $\frac{A}{A}$ compared to 5xFAD. Levels of PSD-95 (average puncta size and puncta per 1000 μm²)
- 127 were quantified in CA1 area (stratum radiatum); n=3~4 10-month old male mice per group; 3 random
- 128 fields per CA1 per brain, N=9-12; One-way ANOVA with Newman-Keuls posthoc analysis; **, p<0.01***,
- 129 p<0.001; Green:PSD-95 ; Scale bar: 5µm.

Supplementary Fig. 6.

- **Full length western blots of Supplementary Figure 5a-5c.** Lanes shown in Figure 5a-c are highlighted by
- 133 the black rectangles.

Supplementary Fig. 7.

Full length western blots of Supplementary Figure 5d-5e. Lanes shown in Figure 5 are highlighted by

-
-

Supplementary Fig. 8.

Molecular validation VGF with germline overexpression model. Density plot of the

distribution of differential expression nominal p-values for genes downstream and not

downstream (causally independent of the expression levels) of VGF in the gene-only network for

mouse DE genes (5xFAD, WT versus 5xFAD, VGF∆/∆ brains): The x axis is the –log10(p-

- value) and the y axis the densities. The red and blue curves are for genes downstream and not
- downstream of VGF in the network respectively.

Supplementary Fig. 9.

Data quality control. a and b Imputed RNA-seq sex colored by sex clinical information:

Normalized gene expression for XIST (female specific gene, y axis) and UTY (ubiquitously

expressed Y-chromosome gene, male specific, x axis). **a** Obvious sex mislabeling is present in

the dataset. **b** After fixing the mislabeling, ambiguous samples (removed from further analyses)

are shown in green. **c** Canonical correlation heatmap of disease traits and covariates included in

the model. The intensity of the red color indicates the strength of the correlation between traits

and the canonical correlation (parentheses indicate Bonferroni adjusted permutation p-value) is

indicated in each box. The x and y axes represent the traits and covariates: clinical dementia rating (CDR), post mortem interval (PMI), Braak score (bbscore), sex, race, batch, RNA

integrity number (RIN), exonic mapping rate (exonic rate), mean neocortical plaque density

- 161 (number of plaques/mm2, PlaqueMean), CERAD neuropath Criteria (CERJ), neuropathology
- 162 category (NP.1), clinical neuropathology (PATH.Dx). **d** Variance-partition violin plots of the
- 163 disease traits and covariates included in the model. **e, f, g and h** Principal component analyses of
- 164 important covariates: panels of this Fig. represent the same samples (one sample per point). The
- 165 x axis is PC1 and explains 92.41% of the variance in the expression data. The y axis is PC2 and
- 166 explains 6.16% of the variance in the expression data. The samples are colored by different QC
- 167 or clinical information associated to them.
- 168

169 **Supplementary Tables**

170 **Supplementary Table 1. Full Reference List for Approaches Shown in Introduction,** 171 **Results, and Methods**

174 **Supplementary Table 2.**

175 **Classification of AD.** This table defines the thresholds of each disease trait for the classification 176 of samples in disease categories $118,142$ (for ROSMAP details, see

177 https://www.synapse.org/#!Synapse:syn3191090). A full list of samples per disease trait and

178 category can be found in Supplementary Data 1.

179

180

181

183 **Supplementary Table 3. Network Proteins and their Potential Roles in Alzheimer's** 184 **Disease**

187 **Supplementary Table 4. Network Genes and their Potential Roles in Alzheimer's Disease**

188

189

190

Supplementary References

1. Wang M, Beckmann ND, Roussos P, et al. The Mount Sinai cohort of large-scale genomic, transcriptomic and proteomic data in Alzheimer's disease. *Sci Data.* 2018;5:180185. 2. An JJ, Gharami K, Liao GY, et al. Distinct role of long 3' UTR BDNF mRNA in spine morphology and synaptic plasticity in hippocampal neurons. *Cell.* 2008;134(1):175-187. 3. Cajigas IJ, Tushev G, Will TJ, tom Dieck S, Fuerst N, Schuman EM. The local transcriptome in the synaptic neuropil revealed by deep sequencing and high-resolution imaging. *Neuron.* 2012;74(3):453-466. 4. Orefice LL, Waterhouse EG, Partridge JG, Lalchandani RR, Vicini S, Xu B. Distinct roles for somatically and dendritically synthesized brain-derived neurotrophic factor in morphogenesis of dendritic spines. *J Neurosci.* 2013;33(28):11618-11632. 5. Califano A, Butte AJ, Friend S, Ideker T, Schadt E. Leveraging models of cell regulation and GWAS data in integrative network-based association studies. *Nat Genet.* 2012;44(8):841-847. 6. Franzen O, Ermel R, Cohain A, et al. Cardiometabolic risk loci share downstream cis-and trans-gene regulation across tissues and diseases. *Science.* 2016;353(6301):827-830. 7. Miller CL, Pjanic M, Wang T, et al. Integrative functional genomics identifies regulatory mechanisms at coronary artery disease loci. *Nat Commun.* 2016;7:12092. 8. Peters LA, Perrigoue J, Mortha A, et al. A functional genomics predictive network model identifies regulators of inflammatory bowel disease. *Nat Genet.* 2017;49(10):1437-1449. 9. Readhead B, Haure-Mirande JV, Funk CC, et al. Multiscale Analysis of Independent Alzheimer's Cohorts Finds Disruption of Molecular, Genetic, and Clinical Networks by Human Herpesvirus. *Neuron.* 2018;99(1):64-82 e67. 10. Schadt EE. Molecular networks as sensors and drivers of common human diseases. *Nature.* 2009;461(7261):218-223. 11. Zhang B, Gaiteri C, Bodea LG, et al. Integrated systems approach identifies genetic nodes and networks in late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Cell.* 2013;153(3):707-720. 220 12. Chen JC, Alvarez MJ, Talos F, et al. Identification of causal genetic drivers of human disease through systems-level analysis of regulatory networks. *Cell.* 2014;159(2):402- 414. 13. Huang JK, Carlin DE, Yu MK, et al. Systematic Evaluation of Molecular Networks for Discovery of Disease Genes. *Cell Syst.* 2018;6(4):484-495 e485. 14. Meng Q, Wang K, Brunetti T, et al. The DGCR5 long noncoding RNA may regulate expression of several schizophrenia-related genes. *Sci Transl Med.* 2018;10(472). 15. Pokrovskii M, Hall JA, Ochayon DE, et al. Characterization of Transcriptional Regulatory Networks that Promote and Restrict Identities and Functions of Intestinal Innate Lymphoid Cells. *Immunity.* 2019;51(1):185-197 e186. 16. Repunte-Canonigo V, Shin W, Vendruscolo LF, et al. Identifying candidate drivers of alcohol dependence-induced excessive drinking by assembly and interrogation of brain-specific regulatory networks. *Genome Biol.* 2015;16:68. 17. Scarpa JR, Jiang P, Gao VD, et al. Cross-species systems analysis identifies gene networks differentially altered by sleep loss and depression. *Sci Adv.* 2018;4(7):eaat1294. 18. Shu L, Blencowe M, Yang X. Translating GWAS Findings to Novel Therapeutic Targets for Coronary Artery Disease. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* 2018;5:56.

38. Zhu J, Zhang B, Smith EN, et al. Integrating large-scale functional genomic data to dissect the complexity of yeast regulatory networks. *Nat Genet.* 2008;40(7):854-861. 39. Sultan M, Amstislavskiy V, Risch T, et al. Influence of RNA extraction methods and library selection schemes on RNA-seq data. *BMC Genomics.* 2014;15:675. 40. Burke WJ, Miller JP, Rubin EH, et al. Reliability of the Washington University Clinical Dementia Rating. *Arch Neurol.* 1988;45(1):31-32. 41. Murayama S, Saito Y. Neuropathological diagnostic criteria for Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropathology.* 2004;24(3):254-260. 42. Braak H, Braak E. Neuropathological stageing of Alzheimer-related changes. *Acta Neuropathol.* 1991;82(4):239-259. 43. Hardy J, Selkoe DJ. The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease: progress and problems on the road to therapeutics. *Science.* 2002;297(5580):353-356. 44. Hyman BT, Phelps CH, Beach TG, et al. National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association guidelines for the neuropathologic assessment of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2012;8(1):1-13. 45. Hyman BT, Trojanowski JQ. Consensus recommendations for the postmortem diagnosis of Alzheimer disease from the National Institute on Aging and the Reagan Institute Working Group on diagnostic criteria for the neuropathological assessment of Alzheimer disease. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol.* 1997;56(10):1095-1097. 46. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics.* 2013;29(1):15-21. 47. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics.* 2014;30(7):923-930. 48. Van der Auwera GA, Carneiro MO, Hartl C, et al. From FastQ data to high confidence variant calls: the Genome Analysis Toolkit best practices pipeline. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics.* 2013;43:11 10 11-33. 49. Robinson MD, Oshlack A. A scaling normalization method for differential expression analysis of RNA-seq data. *Genome Biol.* 2010;11(3):R25. 50. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics.* 2010;26(1):139-140. 51. Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, et al. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2015;43(7):e47. 52. *GOtest: Gene Ontology and Set Enrichment Test* [computer program]. Version 1.0.62019. 53. *msigdb: MSigDB Gene Set Collections* [computer program]. Version 0.1.42017. 54. Allen M, Wang X, Burgess JD, et al. Conserved brain myelination networks are altered in Alzheimer's and other neurodegenerative diseases. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2018;14(3):352- 366. 55. Avramopoulos D, Szymanski M, Wang R, Bassett S. Gene expression reveals overlap between normal aging and Alzheimer's disease genes. *Neurobiol Aging.* 2011;32(12):2319 e2327-2334. 56. Blalock EM, Geddes JW, Chen KC, Porter NM, Markesbery WR, Landfield PW. Incipient Alzheimer's disease: microarray correlation analyses reveal major transcriptional and tumor suppressor responses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2004;101(7):2173-2178.

- 153. Bartolomucci A, Possenti R, Mahata SK, Fischer-Colbrie R, Loh YP, Salton SR. The extended granin family: structure, function, and biomedical implications. *Endocr Rev.* 2011;32(6):755-797.
- 154. Kim HJ, Denli AM, Wright R, et al. REST Regulates Non-Cell-Autonomous Neuronal Differentiation and Maturation of Neural Progenitor Cells via Secretogranin II. *J Neurosci.* 2015;35(44):14872-14884.
- 155. Gautam V, D'Avanzo C, Berezovska O, Tanzi RE, Kovacs DM. Synaptotagmins interact with APP and promote Abeta generation. *Mol Neurodegener.* 2015;10:31.
- 156. Mori K, Muto Y, Kokuzawa J, et al. Neuronal protein NP25 interacts with F-actin. *Neurosci Res.* 2004;48(4):439-446.
- 157. Depaz IM, Wilce PA. The novel cytoskeleton-associated protein Neuronal protein 22: elevated expression in the developing rat brain. *Brain Res.* 2006;1081(1):59-64.
- 158. Bai Y, Markham K, Chen F, et al. The in vivo brain interactome of the amyloid precursor protein. *Mol Cell Proteomics.* 2008;7(1):15-34.
- 159. Jahn H, Wittke S, Zurbig P, et al. Peptide fingerprinting of Alzheimer's disease in cerebrospinal fluid: identification and prospective evaluation of new synaptic biomarkers. *PLoS One.* 2011;6(10):e26540.
- 160. Llano DA, Bundela S, Mudar RA, Devanarayan V, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging I. A multivariate predictive modeling approach reveals a novel CSF peptide signature for both Alzheimer's Disease state classification and for predicting future disease progression. *PLoS One.* 2017;12(8):e0182098.
- 161. Carrette O, Demalte I, Scherl A, et al. A panel of cerebrospinal fluid potential biomarkers for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. *Proteomics.* 2003;3(8):1486-1494.
- 162. Hendrickson RC, Lee AY, Song Q, et al. High Resolution Discovery Proteomics Reveals Candidate Disease Progression Markers of Alzheimer's Disease in Human Cerebrospinal Fluid. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(8):e0135365.
- 163. Holtta M, Minthon L, Hansson O, et al. An integrated workflow for multiplex CSF proteomics and peptidomics-identification of candidate cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease. *J Proteome Res.* 2015;14(2):654-663.
- 164. Spellman DS, Wildsmith KR, Honigberg LA, et al. Development and evaluation of a multiplexed mass spectrometry based assay for measuring candidate peptide biomarkers in Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) CSF. *Proteomics Clin Appl.* 2015;9(7-8):715-731.
- 165. Duits FH, Brinkmalm G, Teunissen CE, et al. Synaptic proteins in CSF as potential novel biomarkers for prognosis in prodromal Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res Ther.* 2018;10(1):5.
- 166. Cocco C, Corda G, Lisci C, et al. VGF peptides as novel biomarkers in Parkinson's disease. *Cell Tissue Res.* 2020;379(1):93-107.
- 167. Brancia C, Noli B, Boido M, et al. TLQP Peptides in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis: Possible Blood Biomarkers with a Neuroprotective Role. *Neuroscience.* 2018;380:152- 163.
- 168. Jiang H, Chen S, Lu N, et al. Reduced serum VGF levels were reversed by antidepressant treatment in depressed patients. *World J Biol Psychiatry.* 2017;18(8):586-591.
- 169. D'Amato F, Noli B, Angioni L, et al. VGF Peptide Profiles in Type 2 Diabetic Patients' Plasma and in Obese Mice. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(11):e0142333.

170. Benito E, Valor LM, Jimenez-Minchan M, Huber W, Barco A. cAMP response element-binding protein is a primary hub of activity-driven neuronal gene expression. *J Neurosci.* 2011;31(50):18237-18250. 171. Choi SH, Bylykbashi E, Chatila ZK, et al. Combined adult neurogenesis and BDNF mimic exercise effects on cognition in an Alzheimer's mouse model. *Science.* 2018;361(6406). 172. Franzmeier N, Ren J, Damm A, et al. The BDNFVal66Met SNP modulates the association between beta-amyloid and hippocampal disconnection in Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Psychiatry.* 2019. 173. Zhang F, Kang Z, Li W, Xiao Z, Zhou X. Roles of brain-derived neurotrophic factor/tropomyosin-related kinase B (BDNF/TrkB) signalling in Alzheimer's disease. *J Clin Neurosci.* 2012;19(7):946-949. 174. Harold D, Abraham R, Hollingworth P, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and PICALM associated with Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet.* 2009;41(10):1088-1093. 175. Abdul Rahman NZ, Greenwood SM, Brett RR, et al. Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Phosphatase-2 Deletion Impairs Synaptic Plasticity and Hippocampal-Dependent Memory. *J Neurosci.* 2016;36(8):2348-2354. 176. Ham JE, Oh EK, Kim DH, Choi SH. Differential expression profiles and roles of inducible DUSPs and ERK1/2-specific constitutive DUSP6 and DUSP7 in microglia. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2015;467(2):254-260. 177. Glorioso C, Sabatini M, Unger T, et al. Specificity and timing of neocortical transcriptome changes in response to BDNF gene ablation during embryogenesis or adulthood. *Mol Psychiatry.* 2006;11(7):633-648. 178. Banzhaf-Strathmann J, Benito E, May S, et al. MicroRNA-125b induces tau hyperphosphorylation and cognitive deficits in Alzheimer's disease. *EMBO J.* 2014;33(15):1667-1680. 179. Corbett BF, You JC, Zhang X, et al. DeltaFosB Regulates Gene Expression and Cognitive Dysfunction in a Mouse Model of Alzheimer's Disease. *Cell Rep.* 2017;20(2):344-355. 180. Bonham LW, Evans DS, Liu Y, Cummings SR, Yaffe K, Yokoyama JS. Neurotransmitter Pathway Genes in Cognitive Decline During Aging: Evidence for GNG4 and KCNQ2 Genes. *Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen.* 2018;33(3):153-165. 181. Bouter Y, Kacprowski T, Weissmann R, et al. Deciphering the molecular profile of plaques, memory decline and neuron loss in two mouse models for Alzheimer's disease by deep sequencing. *Front Aging Neurosci.* 2014;6:75. 182. Kitano J, Kimura K, Yamazaki Y, et al. Tamalin, a PDZ domain-containing protein, links a protein complex formation of group 1 metabotropic glutamate receptors and the guanine nucleotide exchange factor cytohesins. *J Neurosci.* 2002;22(4):1280-1289. 183. Daumas S, Hunter CJ, Mistry RB, et al. The Kinase Function of MSK1 Regulates BDNF Signaling to CREB and Basal Synaptic Transmission, But Is Not Required for Hippocampal Long-Term Potentiation or Spatial Memory. *eNeuro.* 2017;4(1). 184. Choi YS, Karelina K, Alzate-Correa D, et al. Mitogen- and stress-activated kinases regulate progenitor cell proliferation and neuron development in the adult dentate gyrus. *J Neurochem.* 2012;123(5):676-688.

