# List of abbreviations / glossary

HIT	High Impact Trauma (i.e., strikes)
Anesthetic Conditions / Interventions (10)	
postHYPR	Hyperoxia only, after strikes
postHYPRiso	Hyperoxia + Isoflurane, administered after strikes
postHYPRsevo	Hyperoxia + Sevoflurane, administered after strikes
postISO	Isoflurane in normoxia only, administered after strikes
postSEVO	Sevoflurane in normoxia only, administered after strikes
preHYPR	Hyperoxia only, before strikes
preHYPRiso	Hyperoxia + Isoflurane, administered before strikes
preHYPRsevo	Hyperoxia + Sevoflurane, administered before strikes
preISO	Isoflurane in normoxia only, administered before strikes
preSEVO	Sevoflurane in normoxia only, administered before strikes
Treatments	
С	Control; normoxia, no strikes nor any of above
	interventions
Н	Only strikes (HIT), normoxia and no interventions
GA	Only anesthetic / intervention, no strikes
GAH	Anesthetic / intervention, plus strikes

## **Methods**

Data collected from each vial consisted of the number of flies alive at the start of an experimental trial (*m*) and the number of flies that were dead at a trial's conclusion (*y*). Log-binomial regression was used to estimate and test whether mortality (*y*/*m*) differed as a function of anesthesia, hit, or the interaction between these two factors, and whether any of these elements differed among the 10 conditions defined by combinations of anesthesia (ISO, SEVO, or none; each with and without hyperoxia) and timing (pre- vs post-administration). These models were separately fit to each age group (young vs old). For experimental conditions where mortality was especially low (*y* < *m*), Poisson regression—with *y* as the response and log(*m*) as an offset—was instead used to estimate mortality rate. Standard errors for these models were computed using a sandwich estimator of variance to account for having used an alternate distribution (i.e., Poisson approximation to binomial for rare events). Statistical significance was set to 0.05 with supporting 95% confidence intervals for ratios involving mortality risk. All analyses were performed using R (v. 3.5.1)<sup>1</sup> and the accompanying *sandwich* package.<sup>2</sup>

## **Two Strikes**

### Design

The number of <u>vials</u> under each combination of experimental conditions is given in the table below. Anesthesia and high-impact trauma (HIT) were applied to vials and therefore serves as the fundamental unit of analysis.

Table 1. Number of vials for each condition (row), age group										
(18 days or 4350 days) and treatment ( $C$ =control;										
GA=anesthesia only; GAH=both anesthesia and										
HIT; H=HIT only).										
	I	Age	group	(2 lev	vels)	and Treat	ment	(4 leve	els)	
	I		1 to 3	8 days		43	to 50	) days		
Condition	I	С	GA	GAH	Н	С	GA	GAH	Н	
	+									
postHYPR	I	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	
postHYPRiso	I	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	
postHYPRsevo	I	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	
postIS0	I	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	
postSEV0	I	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	
preHYPR	I	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	
preHYPRiso	I	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	
preHYPRsevo	I	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	
preIS0	I	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	
preSEV0	I	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	

### Results

The full experiment consisted of 1,088 vials distributed among 80 distinct combinations of condition, age, and treatment. Each combination involved either 12 (40% of experiments), 14 (40%), or 16 (20%) vials, with each vial containing anywhere from m = 10-60 live flies initially: m = 20 per vial was most prevalent (49.1%), followed by m = 25 (27.4%) and m = 19 (5.1%).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>R Core Team. (2018). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL: https://www.R-project.org/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Zeileis, A. (2006). Object-oriented computation of sandwich estimators. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 16(9):1–16. URL:http: //doi.org/10.18637/jss.v016.i09.

#### Young (Age 1-8 days)

**No HIT;** *C* and *GA* Mortality rate for controls did not differ among the 10 conditions determined by anesthetic type and timing ( $X_9^2 = 6.486$ , p = 0.690) and was estimated to be 0.618 (95% CI: 0.161–2.38) deaths per 1000 flies. Neither was there any association between mortality and condition in the pure general anesthesia treatment (*GA*;  $X_9^2 = 10.28$ , p = 0.328), where mortality was 4.33 (95% CI: 2.57–7.30) per 1000 flies. This translates to a 7-fold (95% CI: 1.63–30 fold; p = 0.01) increase in mortality risk for *GA* relative to *C*, and represents an absolute increase of 3.7 (95% CI: 1.3–6.7) additional deaths per 1000 flies (when *GA* is present vs not).

**HIT;** *H* and *GAH* No evidence  $(X_9^2 = 5.46, p = 0.793)$  was found to indicate any significant differences among the 10 conditions for flies exposed only to hits. Overall, mortality for flies within this age group exposed solely to HIT is estimated to be 13.7 (95% CI: 12.6–14.9) deaths per 100 flies.

Table 2. Estimated mortality rate (death per **100** flies) for GAH and H (constant), by condition. Fold changes (GAH/H) are given with p-value testing whether actual fold change deviates from 1.

	GAH				н			Fold-change (GAH/H)			
Condition	est.	(95%	CI)	est.	(95%	CI)	est.	(95%	CI)	p-value	
postHYPR postHYPRiso	15.7 ( 18 1 (	(12.0,	20.6) 22.9)	13.7 13.7	(12.6, (12.6))	14.9) 14.9)	1.15 1 32	(0.87,	1.53) 1 <b>70</b> )	0.334 0.029	
postHYPRsevo	14.4 (	(11.0,	18.8)	13.7	(12.6,	14.9)	1.05	(0.79,	1.39)	0.723	
postSEVO	16.7 (	(12.6,	22.2)	13.7	(12.6,	14.9)	1.22	(0.91,	1.64)	0.187	
preHYPR	11.0 (	(8.0,	15.2)	13.7 13.7	(12.6, (12.6))	14.9) 14.9)	0.80	(0.58,	1.12)	0.201	
preHYPRsevo	8.0 (	(5.6,	11.4)	13.7	(12.6,	14.9) 14.9)	0.50	(0.41,	0.84)	0.001	
preiso preSEV0	6.7 (	( 3.7, ( 4.5,	9.9) 9.8)	13.7	(12.6,	14.9) 14.9)	0.55	(0.42,	0.73)	<0.001	

It should be noted that within *GAH* there was no indication of differences among the five 'Post' conditions ( $X_4^2 = 2.12$ , p = 0.713) nor any difference among the five 'Pre' conditions ( $X_4^2 = 5.01$ , p = 0.286). That is, the 20 separate combinations involving two treatments (*GAH* & *H*) and 10 conditions can be resolved to just three distinct groups with no appreciable loss of information ( $X_{17}^2 = 12.60$ , p = 0.763).

Table 3. Estimated mortality rate (deaths per 100 flies) for GAH and H (constant), by condition (Post- and Pre-exposures). Fold changes (GAH/H) are given with p-value testing whether actual fold change deviates from 1.

				====				
	GAH	Н	Fold-change (GAH/H)					
	Est. (95% CI)	Est. (95% CI)	Est. (95% CI) p-va	lue				
Post-exposure	16.5 (14.8, 18.5)	13.7 (12.6, 14.9)	1.21 (1.05, 1.39) 0.0	08				
Pre -exposure	7.9 ( 6.8, 9.1)	13.7 (12.6, 14.9)	0.58 (0.48, 0.68) <0.0	01				
Post-exposure Pre -exposure 	16.5 (14.8, 18.5) 7.9 ( 6.8, 9.1)	13.7 (12.6, 14.9) 13.7 (12.6, 14.9)	1.21 (1.05, 1.39) 0.0 0.58 (0.48, 0.68) <0.0	08 01 				

In absolute terms, the Post-exposure response for *GAH* has an excess of 2.87 (95% CI: 0.72-5.12) deaths per 100 flies as compared to the Post-exposure response for *H*, while the Pre-exposure response has 5.8 (95% CI: 4.12-7.41) fewer deaths per 100 flies for *GAH* compared to *H*. When exposed to both hits and anesthetics, the Post-exposure conditions typically have 8.67 (95% CI: 6.47-10.92) additional deaths per 100 flies than the Pre-exposure conditions.

Table 4 provides mortality estimates (deaths per 1000 flies exposed) for all conditions and treatments for the Age 1–8 day group.

**Four specific comparisons within** *GAH* Special attention was given to the effect of hyperoxia in both preand post-exposure to each of the anesthetics (ISO & SEVO). This effect was calculated from relevant groups within *GAH* treatment, with and without hyperoxia, while holding the other two elements (timing of exposure, anesthetic) constant. None of these comparisons was significant.

Table 5. Estimated fold changes and 95% CIs for pre-selected comparisons of interest; p-values test whether the actual fold change differs from unity.

	Fold-change within GAH							
Comparison	est.	(95% CI)	p-value					
preISO / preHYPRiso	1.10	(0.69, 1.77)	0.691					
preSEVO / preHYPRsevo	0.83	(0.43, 1.41)	0.496					
postISO / postHYPRiso	0.98	(0.71, 1.36)	0.907					
postSEVO/ postHYPRsevo	1.16	(0.79, 1.71)	0.456					

#### Old (Age 43-50 days)

**No anesthetic;** *C* and *H* Mortality rate for controls (*C*) does not remain constant over the ten conditions ( $X_9 = 58.7$ , p < 0.001) and appears instead to segregate into three distinct sets. Four of the five post-exposure conditions (postHYPR, postHYPRsevo, postISO, postSEVO) share a common mortality rate of 1.46 (95% CI: 0.85–2.49) deaths per 100 flies. Both of the pre-exposure conditions without HYPR have the highest mortality rate of 5.66 (95% CI: 3.86–8.30) deaths per 100 flies. The lowest rate is shared by postHYPRiso, preHYPR, preHYPRiso, and preHYPRsevo and is estimated to be 0.33 (95% CI: 0.11–0.96) deaths per 100 flies. This simplification from 10 separate mortality rates to just three rates shared among select conditions does not lead to a loss of information ( $X_7^2 = 6.58$ , p = 0.474).

The mortality rate among flies exposed only to HIT did not significantly vary among conditions ( $X_9^2 = 8.47$ , p = 0.487) and was estimated to be 43.8 (95% CI: 42.1–45.6) deaths per 100 flies overall (shared by all conditions).

**Anesthetic ;** *GA* and *GAH* Treatments *GA* and *GAH* each showed evidence of overdispersion, with variation in mortality rate approximately 1.3 and 1.7 times that expected from genuine binomial data. Logbinomial models for these two treatments had standard errors inflated to account for this extra variation and subsequent testing was based on the *F*-distribution (rather than  $X^2$ ). These adjustments lead to slightly wider confidence intervals and more conservative *p*-values when testing for significance. Even after these corrections, mortality rate was still found to significantly differ among the 10 conditions for *GA* treatment (*F*<sub>9,126</sub> = 5.32, *p* < 0.001) as well as the *GAH* treatment (*F*<sub>9,126</sub> = 2.64, *p* = 0.008) with no discernable pattern for how rates within a treatment might be grouped or simplified. The full set of mortality estimates as well as general comparisons for *GAH* relative to *GA* are given in Table 6.

**Four specific comparisons within** *GAH* Special attention was given to the effect of hyperoxia in both preand post-exposure to each of the anesthetics (ISO & SEVO). This effect was calculated from relevant groups within *GAH* treatment, with and without hyperoxia, while holding the other two elements (timing of exposure, anesthetic) constant.

change differs from unity.									
Fold-change within GAH									
Comparison	est. (95% CI) p-value								
preISO / preHYPRiso preSEVO / preHYPRsevo	0.93 (0.76, 1.15) 0.514 1.02 (0.80, 1.28) 0.894								
postISO / postHYPRiso postSEVO/ postHYPRsevo	<b>0.81 (0.67, 0.97) 0.023</b> 1.06 (0.87, 1.31) 0.552								

\_\_\_\_\_

Table 7. Estimated fold changes and 95% CIs for pre-selected comparisons of interest; p-values test whether the actual fold change differs from unity.

Mortality rate for postISO ( $\approx 49.9\%$ ) is 19% (95% CI: 3–33%) lower than the mortality rate for postHYPRiso ( $\approx 61.7\%$ ). Three other comparisons have relative rates that don't strongly deviate from one.

## **Four Strikes**

### Design

A subset of original conditions and treatments were analyzed for vials of flies exposed to four strikes, with between 16–28 vials per combination of condition and treatment. Each vial usually contained either 20, 25, 40, or 50 flies (70% of all configurations) and no experiment used fewer than 19 flies per vial.

Table 8. Design layout for the four-strike experiment showing number of vials used for each combinations of condition and treatment involving a given age group.

	I	Age gi	coup (3	levels) &	Treatment	(2 lev	vels)
	I	1-8	days	29-36	days	43-50	days
Condition	I	GAH	Н	GAH	Н	GAH	Н
	+-						
TBI	I				26		24
postIS0	I	22	22				
postSEVO	I	12	12				
preIS0	I	28	28				
preSEV0	I	16	16				

#### **Results**

Overdispersion in mortality rates was evident in flies exposed to four strikes, and once again the expected variance from the log-binomial models was inflated (by a factor of 1.5–1.8, depending on condition/treatment) for all tests and construction of confidence intervals; test statistics and statistical significance is based on the *F*-distribution. Estimates of mortality (as a percent) corresponding to TBI (i.e., only high-impact trauma) are given below. Information pertaining to two strikes is taken from Tables 4 & 6 from the previous section and is visualized in Figure 1 of the main article.

Age (days)	Strikes	Condition	Mortality (%) and 95% CI
18	2	TBI (n=136)	13.7 (12.6, 14.9)
	4	PostISO (n=22)	23.5 (20.3, 27.4)
		PreSEVO (n=56)	33.0 (30.7, 35.4)
		All 4 strike (n=78)	30.5 (28.4, 32.7)
2936	2	TBI (n=60)	36.0 (33.0, 39.2)
	4	TBI (n=26)	69.1 (65.2, 73.4)
42 50	2	TDT (n-126)	42 8 (42 1 45 6)
4550	2	1D1 (II=130)	43.0 (42.1, 43.0)
	4	TBI (n=24)	74.7 (69.8, 80.0)

Table 9. Percent mortality for flies exposed to either 2 or 4 strikes, separated by age. Information pertaining to 2 strikes is taken from earlier Tables 4 & 6.

In young (1–8 day) flies, mortality among the four condition involving only HIT are not all identical ( $F_{3,74} = 6.47$ , p = 0.001) and instead separate as PostISO and the aggregate of the other three with no appreciable loss of information ( $F_{2,74} = 0.530$ , p = 0.591). The forced combination of all four groups results in overall mortality of 30.5% (95% CI: 28.4–32.7%) and is only used for Figure 1 of the original paper; separate comparisons involving each of the four conditions compared against HIT use condition-specific estimates (below) and in other figures within the original paper.

Young flies exposed to HIT and one of the four anesthetic conditions had mortality rates that segregated according to post-exposure and pre-exposure conditions with no real loss of information ( $F_{2,74} = 0.458$ , p = 0.634). Under post-exposure conditions the mortality was 34.5%, while under pre-exposure conditions it was just over 10 percentage points lower (24.1%). This leads to a 46% (95% CI: 21–77%, p < 0.001) increase in mortality for the postISO HIT condition relative to just HIT alone. For pre-exposure conditions (preISO, preSEVO), there is a 27% reduction in mortality (p < 0.001). Mortality for postSEVO remained relatively constant for the two treatments (34.5% vs 33.0%; p = 0.527). These conclusions are summarized in Table 10.

Table 10A. Percent mortality for flies exposed to four strikes under different treatments and conditions. No restrictions or constraints (all 8 values kept separate) with fold changes of GAH/H shown and p-values testing whether actual fold change differs from 1.

	, ======									
Age 18 days for both treatments										
Condition	I	GAH			Н		Fold	d (GAH/H)	p-val	
	+									
postIS0	35.	5 (31.4,	40.1)	23.5	(20.0,	27.7)	1.51	(1.23, 1.85	) <0.001	
postSEV0	30.	8 (23.9,	39.8)	30.4	(23.5,	39.4)	1.01	(0.70, 1.46	) 0.941	
preIS0	24.	3 (21.4,	27.6)	34.0	(30.7,	37.6)	0.72	(0.61, 0.84	) <0.001	
preSEV0	23.	8 (20.1,	28.1)	32.1	(28.0,	36.8)	0.74	(0.60, 0.92	) <0.007	
Two equa	ality	constrai	nts per	treatm	nent					
GAH	: pos	tISO=pos	tSEVO ,	preISC	)=preSEV	/O ; F(	2,74)=0.	.458, p=0.63	4	
Н	: pos	tSEVO=pr	eISO=pre	eSEV0		; F(	2,74)=0.	.530, p=0.59	1	

	Age 18 days	for both treatments	3	
Condition	GAH	Н	Fold (GAH/H)	p-val
postISO	34.5 (30.6, 38	9) 23.5 (20.3, 27	7.4) 1.46 (1.21, 1.77)	<0.001
postSEVO	34.5 (30.6, 38	9) 33.0 (30.7, 35	5.4) 1.05 (0.91, 1.20)	0.527
preISO	24.1 (21.6, 26	9) 33.0 (30.7, 35	5.4) 0.73 (0.64, 0.83)	<0.001
preSEVO	24.1 (21.6, 26	9) 33.0 (30.7, 35	5.4) 0.73 (0.64, 0.83)	<0.001

Table 10B. Percent mortality under imposition of constraints

Table 4. Estimated mortality rate (deaths per 1000 flies exposed; age 1-8 days) for all treatments and conditions. Fold changes (GAH/H) are given with p-values testing whether actual fold change deviates from 1.

=================	=========	=======	========	==========	======	======	=========	=====	======	=======	=====:	=====	========	======	======	=======
	С				GA			GAH			Н			Fold-change (GAH/H)		
	Est.	(95%	CI)	Est.	(95	* CI)	Est.	(95%	CI)	Est.	(958	CI)	Est.	(95%	CI)	p-val
PostHYPR	0.618	(0.161,	2.38)	4.33	(2.57,	7.30)	 157	(120,	206)	137	(126,	149)	 1.15	(0.87,	1.53)	0.334
PostHYPRiso	0.618	(0.161,	2.38)	4.33	(2.57,	7.30)	181	(143,	229)	137	(126,	149)	1.32	(1.03,	1.70)	0.029
PostHYPRsevo	0.618	(0.161,	2.38)	4.33	(2.57,	7.30)	144	(110,	188)	137	(126,	149)	1.05	(0.79,	1.39)	0.723
PostISO	0.618	(0.161,	2.38)	4.33	(2.57,	7.30)	177	(141,	222)	137	(126,	149)	1.30	(1.02,	1.65)	0.035
PostSEVO	0.618	(0.161,	2.38)	4.33	(2.57,	7.30)	167	(126,	221)	137	(126,	149)	1.22	(0.91,	1.64)	0.187
PreHYPR	0.618	(0.161,	2.38)	4.33	(2.57,	7.30)	110	(80,	152)	137	(126,	149)	0.80	(0.58,	1.12)	0.201
PreHYPRiso	0.618	(0.161,	2.38)	4.33	(2.57,	7.30)	69	(47,	101)	137	(126,	149)	0.50	(0.34,	0.74)	0.001
PreHYPRsevo	0.618	(0.161,	2.38)	4.33	(2.57,	7.30)	80	(56,	114)	137	(126,	149)	0.59	(0.41,	0.84)	0.004
PreISO	0.618	(0.161,	2.38)	4.33	(2.57,	7.30)	75	(57,	99)	137	(126,	149)	0.55	(0.42,	0.73)	<0.001
PreSEVO	0.618	(0.161,	2.38)	4.33	(2.57,	7.30)	67	(45,	98)	137	(126,	149)	0.49	(0.33,	0.72)	<0.001
			=	=====		=		=	=	=				==		

Table 6. Estimated mortality rate (deaths per 100 flies exposed; age 43-50 days) for all treatments and conditions. Fold changes (GAH/H) are given with p-values testing whether actual fold change deviates from 1.

	C	GA	GAH	н	Fold-change (GAH/H)		
	Est. (95% CI)	Est. (95% CI)	Est. (95% CI)	Est. (95% CI)	Est. (95% CI) p-val		
PostHYPR	1.46 (0.85, 2.49)	8.30 ( 5.30, 13.02)	59.0 (51.9, 67.1)	43.8 (42.1, 45.6)	1.35 (1.19, 1.52) <0.001		
PostHYPRiso	0.33 (0.11, 0.96)	15.68 (11.52, 21.34)	61.7 (54.8, 69.3)	43.8 (42.1, 45.6)	1.41 (1.26, 1.57) <0.001		
PostHYPRsevo	1.46 (0.85, 2.49)	3.18 (1.58, 6.42)	51.4 (44.7, 59.1)	43.8 (42.1, 45.6)	1.17 (1.03, 1.34) 0.017		
PostISO	1.46 (0.85, 2.49)	4.05 ( 2.20, 7.47)	49.9 (43.3, 57.4)	43.8 (42.1, 45.6)	1.14 (1.00, 1.30) 0.054		
PostSEVO	1.46 (0.85, 2.49)	3.54 ( 1.62, 7.74)	54.7 (47.1, 63.5)	43.8 (42.1, 45.6)	1.25 (1.09, 1.43) 0.002		
PreHYPR	0.33 (0.11, 0.96)	4.27 (2.13, 8.58)	50.2 (43.2, 58.2)	43.8 (42.1, 45.6)	1.14 (1.00, 1.31) 0.054		
PreHYPRiso	0.33 (0.11, 0.96)	7.69 ( 4.80, 12.34)	54.0 (47.6, 61.4)	43.8 (42.1, 45.6)	1.23 (1.09, 1.39) 0.001		
PreHYPRsevo	0.33 (0.11, 0.96)	2.72 ( 1.24, 5.97)	42.7 (36.3, 50.1)	43.8 (42.1, 45.6)	0.97 (0.84, 1.13) 0.715		
PreISO	5.66 (3.86, 8.30)	9.92 ( 6.47, 15.21)	50.4 (42.8, 59.4)	43.8 (42.1, 45.6)	1.15 (0.99, 1.34) 0.069		
PreSEVO	5.66 (3.86, 8.30)	4.79 ( 2.66, 8.63)	43.3 (36.6, 51.4)	43.8 (42.1, 45.6)	0.99 (0.85, 1.15) 0.885		

\_\_\_\_\_