

Supplementary Table 1. Perioperative Interruption and Resumption Intervals

Cohort		DOAC Preoperative Management		DOAC Postoperative Management	
		<i>Preoperative Interruption; hours median (IQR)</i>	<i>Adherence to Interruption Protocol n (%)</i>	<i>Postoperative Resumption; hours median (IQR)</i>	<i>Adherence to Resumption Protocol n (%)</i>
Apixaban	<i>Low bleeding risk (n = 751)</i>	39.4 (37.5, 41.5)	724 (96.4)	21.9 (19.1, 30.0)	651 (86.7)
	<i>High bleeding risk (n = 335)</i>	63.8 (61.0, 67.0)	313 (93.4)	68.0 (43.6, 91.2)	328 (97.9)
Dabigatran (CrCl ≥ 50 mL/min)	<i>Low bleeding risk (n = 304)</i>	39.9 (38.1, 42.2)	291 (95.7)	22.7 (20.5, 33.0)	290 (95.4)
	<i>High bleeding risk (n = 162)</i>	63.0 (61.5, 67.0)	149 (92.0)	66.5 (43.5, 81.9)	161 (99.4)
Dabigatran (CrCl < 50 mL/min)	<i>Low bleeding risk (n = 48)</i>	64.7 (62.1, 66.0)	44 (91.7)	22.1 (19.9, 33.4)	47 (97.9)
	<i>High bleeding risk (n = 21)</i>	110 (107.8, 114.1)	17 (81.0)	67.5 (54.0, 88.9)	21 (100.0)
Dabigatran (all patients)	<i>Low bleeding risk (n = 352)</i>	40.5 (38.4, 44.7)	335 (95.2)	22.7 (20.4, 33.1)	337 (95.7)
	<i>High bleeding risk (n = 183)</i>	63.8 (61.7, 74.3)	166 (90.7)	66.5 (44.9, 81.9)	182 (99.5)
Rivaroxaban	<i>Low bleeding risk (n = 606)</i>	48.0 (40.8, 51.0)	576 (95.1)	24.2 (20.6, 33.0)	539 (88.9)
	<i>High bleeding risk (n = 314)</i>	72.0 (66.1, 75.0)	296 (94.3)	69.6 (46.0, 95.5)	311 (99.0)

Supplementary Table 1 Perioperative Interruption and Resumption Intervals. DOAC = direct oral anticoagulant; CrCl = creatinine clearance.

Supplementary Table 2. Residual DOAC Levels – Stratified by Procedural Bleeding

DOAC	Procedural Bleeding Risk	Residual DOAC Level (ng/mL)		Association for residual level \geq 30 ng/mL	Residual DOAC Level (ng/mL)		Association for residual level \geq 50 ng/mL
		< 30 ng/mL <i>n</i> (%)	\geq 30 ng/mL <i>n</i> (%)		< 50 ng/mL <i>n</i> (%)	\geq 50 ng/mL <i>n</i> (%)	
Apixaban N = 1086	<i>High</i> N=335	312 (93.1%)	23 (6.9%)	High risk vs low risk OR=0.167, 95% CI 0.104-0.257; p<0.001	328 (97.9%)	7 (2.1%)	High risk vs low risk OR=0.146, 95% CI 0.061-0.295; p<0.001
	<i>Low</i> N=751	521 (69.4%)	230 (30.6%)		655 (87.2%)	96 (12.8%)	
Dabigatran N = 535	<i>High</i> N=183	181 (98.9%)	2 (1.1%)	High risk vs low risk OR=0.054, 95% CI 0.009-0.175; p<0.001	182 (99.5%)	1 (0.6%)	High risk vs low risk OR=0.072, 95% CI 0.004-0.343; p=0.010
	<i>Low</i> N=352	292 (83.0%)	60 (17.1%)		327 (92.9%)	25 (7.1%)	
Rivaroxaban N = 920	<i>High</i> N=314	268 (85.4%)	46 (14.7%)	High risk vs low risk OR=0.478, 95% CI 0.330-0.682; p<0.001	312 (99.4%)	2 (0.7%)	High risk vs low risk OR=0.137, 95% CI 0.022-0.462; p=0.007
	<i>Low</i> N=606	446 (73.6%)	160 (26.4%)		579 (95.5%)	27 (4.5%)	

Supplementary Table 2 Residual DOAC Levels – Stratified by Procedural Bleeding Risk. DOAC: direct oral anticoagulant. A greater proportion of patients undergoing low bleeding risk procedures (with shorter preprocedural interruption intervals) had residual preprocedural DOAC levels of \geq 30 ng/mL and \geq 50 ng/mL. The result was statistically significant across all DOAC types.

Supplementary Table 3. Residual DOAC Levels – Stratified by DOAC Dosing

Low Bleeding Risk Procedures							
DOAC	Dosing	Residual DOAC Level (ng/mL)		Association for residual level \geq 30 ng/mL	Residual DOAC Level (ng/mL)		Association for residual level \geq 50 ng/mL
		< 30 ng/mL n (%)	\geq 30 ng/mL n (%)		< 50 ng/mL n (%)	\geq 50 ng/mL n (%)	
Apixaban N = 751	5 mg po BID N = 620	431 (69.5%)	189 (30.5%)	High dose vs low dose OR=0.96, 95% CI 0.64-1.46; p=0.854	537 (86.6%)	83 (13.4%)	High dose vs low dose OR=1.403, 95% CI 0.781-2.713; p=0.283
	2.5 mg po BID N = 131	90 (68.7%)	41 (31.3%)		118 (90.1%)	13 (9.9%)	
Dabigatran N = 352	150 mg po BID N = 226	193 (85.4%)	33 (14.6%)	High dose vs low dose OR=0.63, 95% CI 0.36-1.11; p=0.104	212 (93.8%)	14 (6.2%)	High dose vs low dose OR=0.690, 95% CI 0.304-1.603; p=0.377
	110 mg po BID N = 126	99 (78.6%)	27 (21.4%)		115 (91.3%)	11 (8.7%)	
Rivaroxaban N = 605	20 mg po OD N = 520	385 (74.0%)	135 (26.0%)	High dose vs low dose OR=0.84, 95% CI 0.51-1.42; p=0.504	495 (95.2%)	25 (4.8%)	High dose vs low dose OR=2.096, 95% CI 0.609-13.179; p=0.320
	15 mg po OD N = 85	60 (70.6%)	25 (29.4%)		83 (97.6%)	2 (2.4%)	
High Bleeding Risk Procedures							
DOAC	Dosing	Residual DOAC Level (ng/mL)		Association for residual level \geq 30 ng/mL	Residual DOAC Level (ng/mL)		Association for residual level \geq 50 ng/mL
		< 30 ng/mL n (%)	\geq 30 ng/mL n (%)		< 50 ng/mL n (%)	\geq 50 ng/mL n (%)	
Apixaban N = 334	5 mg po BID N = 256	238 (93.0%)	18 (7.0%)	High dose vs low dose OR=1.10, 95% CI 0.42-3.44; p=0.85	251 (98.0%)	5 (2.0%)	High dose vs low dose OR=0.757, 95% CI 0.160-5.359; p=0.742
	2.5 mg po BID N = 78	73 (93.6%)	5 (6.4%)		76 (97.4%)	2 (2.6%)	
Dabigatran N = 183	150 mg po BID N = 105	103 (98.1%)	2 (1.90%)	N/A	104 (99.1%)	1 (1.0%)	N/A
	110 mg po BID N = 78	78 (100%)	0		78 (100%)	0	
Rivaroxaban N = 314	20 mg po OD N = 243	209 (86.0%)	34 (14.0%)	High dose vs low dose OR=0.80, 95% CI 0.40-1.70; p=0.542	242 (99.6%)	1 (0.4%)	High dose vs low dose OR=0.289, 95% CI 0.011-7.377; p=0.383
	15 mg po OD N = 71	59 (83.1%)	12 (16.9%)		70 (98.6%)	1 (1.4%)	

Supplementary Table 3 Residual DOAC Levels – Stratified by DOAC Dosing. DOAC: direct oral anticoagulant. The proportion of patients with residual DOAC levels \geq 30 ng/mL and \geq 50 ng/mL according to DOAC dosing was assessed, stratified according to DOAC dosing. No statistically significant relationship between DOAC dosing and residual DOAC levels was found.

Supplementary Table 4. Clinical Parameters Associated with Residual DOAC Levels – Univariate Logistic Regression Analyses

Low Bleeding Risk Procedures							
Clinical Parameter	Comparison	Apixaban		Dabigatran		Rivaroxaban	
		≥ 30 ng/mL OR [95% CI]	≥ 50 ng/mL OR [95% CI]	≥ 30 ng/mL OR [95% CI]	≥ 50 ng/mL OR [95% CI]	≥ 30 ng/mL OR [95% CI]	≥ 50 ng/mL OR [95% CI]
Age (years)	≥ 75 vs < 75	1.68 [1.29-2.18] p = 0.0001	1.85 [1.23-2.79] p = 0.003	1.68 [1.01-2.78] p = 0.0452	1.23 [0.56-2.72] p = 0.6031	1.15 [0.84-1.57] p = 0.3924	1.01 [0.47-2.18] p = 0.9737
Sex	Female vs Male	1.51 [1.16-1.95] p = 0.0021	2.31 [1.55-3.45] p < 0.0001	1.07 [0.61-1.85] p = 0.8230	0.78 [0.31-1.96] p = 0.6048	1.5 [1.1-2.06] p = 0.0112	0.9 [0.39-2.06] p = 0.8047
Weight (kg)	70-90 vs < 70	0.78 [0.56-1.1] p = 0.1553	0.67 [0.41-1.1] p = 0.1175	1.17 [0.53-2.58] p = 0.6996	1.66 [0.34-8.23] p = 0.5342	0.7 [0.46-1.05] p = 0.0865	0.73 [0.21-2.59] p = 0.6268
	> 90 vs < 70	0.66 [0.47-0.92] p = 0.0149	0.49 [0.29-0.82] p = 0.0063	1.45 [0.69-3.04] p = 0.3224	3.47 [0.8-15.03] p = 0.0959	0.72 [0.49-1.07] p = 0.1072	1.84 [0.62-5.47] p = 0.2725
Creatinine Clearance (ml/min)	≥ 50 vs < 50	0.51 [0.38-0.68] p < 0.0001	0.42 [0.27-0.65] p = 0.0001	0.63 [0.34-1.19] p = 0.1545	1.82 [0.43-7.7] p = 0.4184	0.81 [0.53-1.24] p = 0.3317	1.87 [0.44-7.91] p = 0.3928
P-Glycoprotein or CYP3A4 Inhibitor	Presence vs Absence	1.2 [0.73-1.96] p = 0.4787	1.36 [0.66-2.81] p = 0.4031	0.98 [0.39-2.44] p = 0.9581	0.93 [0.22-3.96] p = 0.9254	1.09 [0.57-2.06] p = 0.797	1.31 [0.31-5.51] p = 0.7170
Cancer	Presence vs Absence	0.9 [0.64-1.24] p = 0.5082	0.87 [0.52-1.46] p = 0.6038	0.92 [0.48-1.74] p = 0.7919	0.98 [0.82-1.18] p = 0.8426	1.0 [0.98-1.02] p = 0.8539	0.98 [0.81-1.17] p = 0.7883
Active Cancer	Presence vs Absence	0.92 [0.47-1.78] p = 0.7940	1.23 [0.5-3.04] p = 0.6464	1.11 [0.35-3.53] p = 0.8658	1.83 [0.43-7.75] p = 0.4140	0.91 [0.43-1.94] p = 0.8079	2.49 [0.75-8.26] p = 0.1368
DOAC Dosing	Low Dose vs Standard Dose *	1.03 [0.73-1.76] p = 0.8785	0.74 [0.41-1.33] p = 0.3155	1.47 [0.88-2.44] p = 0.1394	1.41 [0.64-3.1] p = 0.3945	1.14 [0.74-1.74] p = 0.5606	0.49 [0.12-2.07] p = 0.3322
DOAC Interruption (hours)	< 36 vs 36-48	1.13 [0.73-1.76] p = 0.5869	1.3 [0.67-2.51] p = 0.4341	2.06 [1.0-4.28] p = 0.0513	1.89 [0.64-5.57] p = 0.2514	1.64 [0.89-3.0] p = 0.1099	2.1 [0.61-7.21] p = 0.2383
	> 48 vs 36-48	0.95 [0.54-1.66] p = 0.8568	1.66 [0.83-3.32] p = 0.1479	1.31 [0.71-2.42] p = 0.3881	0.58 [0.17-1.96] p = 0.3786	0.74 [0.53-1.02] p = 0.0659	0.47 [0.2-1.1] p = 0.0824
High Bleeding Risk Procedures							
Clinical Parameter	Comparison	Apixaban		Dabigatran		Rivaroxaban	
		≥ 30 ng/mL OR [95% CI]	≥ 50 ng/mL OR [95% CI]	≥ 30 ng/mL OR [95% CI]	≥ 50 ng/mL OR [95% CI]	≥ 30 ng/mL OR [95% CI]	≥ 50 ng/mL OR [95% CI]
Age (years)	≥ 75 vs < 75	2.56 [1.01-6.49] p = 0.0478				0.83 [0.46-1.49] p = 0.5320	
Sex	Female vs Male	2.58 [1.12-5.96] p = 0.0265				1.71 [0.96-3.04] p = 0.0698	
Weight (kg)	70-90 vs < 70	0.58 [0.25-1.36] p = 0.2095				0.42 [0.21-0.82] p = 0.0106	
	> 90 vs < 70	0.15 [0.03-0.69] p = 0.0145				0.37 [0.17-0.76] p = 0.0073	
Creatinine Clearance (ml/min)	≥ 50 vs < 50	0.21 [0.09-0.48] p = 0.0002				0.66 [0.34-1.3] p = 0.2308	
P-Glycoprotein or CYP3A4 Inhibitor	Presence vs Absence	1.9 [0.45-8.1] p = 0.3862				1.25 [0.3-5.16] p = 0.7559	
Cancer	Presence vs Absence	0.67 [0.27-1.62] p = 0.3701				0.84 [0.46-1.54] p = 0.5716	
Active Cancer	Presence vs Absence	0.47 [0.11-2.02] p = 0.3137				0.73 [0.33-1.63] p = 0.4423	
DOAC Dosing	Low Dose vs Standard Dose *	0.92 [0.34-2.47] p = 0.8609				1.21 [0.63-2.33] p = 0.5737	
DOAC Interruption (hours)	< 60 vs 60-72	1.12 [0.38-3.32] p = 0.8323				1.55 [0.46-5.17] p = 0.4773	
	> 72 vs 60-72	0.65 [0.09-4.88] p = 0.6767				1.08 [0.6-1.97] p = 0.7913	

Supplementary Table 4 Univariate Analyses. DOAC: direct oral anticoagulant; univariate analyses were not possible for patients undergoing high bleeding risk procedures with residual levels ≥ 30 ng/mL on dabigatran and for patients with residual levels ≥ 50 ng/mL due to the low number of patients in these categories. *Greyed boxes represent variables that were omitted from the multivariate model due to small sample sizes and minimal impact on the model.

Factors Associated with Residual Apixaban Levels

With respect to patients on apixaban undergoing low risk procedures, factors associated with a greater likelihood of residual levels ≥ 30 ng/mL were age ≥ 75 ($p = 0.0001$), female sex ($p = 0.0021$), a weight of < 70 kg as compared to > 90 kg ($p = 0.0149$) and a creatinine clearance < 50 mL/min ($p < 0.0001$). These same clinical parameters were also found to be associated with residual levels ≥ 50 ng/mL among patients undergoing low risk procedures.

Age ≥ 75 ($p = 0.0478$), female sex ($p = 0.0265$), a weight of < 70 kg compared to > 90 kg ($p = 0.0145$) and a creatinine clearance < 50 ml/min ($p = 0.0002$) were also associated with residual levels of ≥ 30 ng/mL for patients on apixaban undergoing high risk procedures. We were unable to perform analyses with respect to patients on apixaban undergoing high bleeding risk procedures due to the low number of high-risk patients with residual levels ≥ 50 ng/mL. Progressively shorter preprocedural interruption intervals (< 36 vs 36-48, 36-48 vs > 48) were not significantly associated with an increased likelihood of residual levels ≥ 30 ng/mL or ≥ 50 ng/mL.

Factors Associated with Residual Dabigatran Levels

Age ≥ 75 ($p = 0.0452$) was the only factor identified by univariate analysis that was significantly associated with residual dabigatran levels ≥ 30 ng/mL among patients undergoing low risk procedures. An interruption interval of < 36 hours (vs 36-48, $p = 0.0513$) was of borderline statistical significance with respect to residual levels ≥ 30 ng/mL. We were unable to identify any clinical parameters that were associated with residual dabigatran levels of ≥ 50 ng/mL.

There were not enough patients on dabigatran undergoing high risk procedures with residual levels ≥ 30 ng/mL or ≥ 50 ng/mL to perform meaningful analyses.

Factors Associated with Residual Rivaroxaban Levels

Among patients on rivaroxaban undergoing low-risk procedures, the only factor identified by univariate analysis that was associated with residual levels ≥ 30 ng/mL was female sex ($p = 0.0112$). An interruption interval of > 48 hours (vs 36-48 hours) achieved borderline statistical significance ($p = 0.0659$) with respect to a lower likelihood of residual rivaroxaban levels ≥ 30

ng/mL among low-risk patients. Decreasing weight demonstrated a trend towards higher residual levels ≥ 30 ng/mL (weight < 70 kg vs 70-90 kg, $p = 0.0865$; weight < 70 kg vs > 90 kg, $p = 0.1072$). We were unable to identify any significant factors via univariate analysis that were associated with residual rivaroxaban levels ≥ 50 ng/mL for low-risk procedures, although an interruption interval of 36-48 hours (vs > 48 hours) was of marginal statistical significance ($p = 0.0824$).

With respect to high-risk patients, lower weight was associated with a higher likelihood of residual levels ≥ 30 ng/mL (< 70 kg vs 70-90 kg $p = 0.0106$; < 70 kg vs > 90 kg $p = 0.0073$). There were not enough high-risk patients with residual levels ≥ 50 ng/mL to perform meaningful analyses.