Supplemental Material

Table S1. Details of Data Extraction and Discrepancy Observed between Approval labeland Trial Publication.

Drug	Data Extraction	Discrepancy between	Additional comments
	Source*	approval label and	regarding
		trial publication	extraction/discrepancy
		(yes/no)	
Regadenoson	Trial publication	No	NA
Clevidipine	Trial publication	No	Approval label did not state
			percentage race for ESCAPE-1
			and ESCAPE-2 trials and
			baseline characteristics for
			VELOCITY and ECLIPSE trial
Dronedarone	Trial publication	No	NA
Prasugel	Trial publication	No	NA
Saxagliptin	Trial publication	No	NA
Pitavastatin	Trial publication	NA	Approval label did not state
			baseline characteristics of
			study population.
Liraglutide	Approval label	No	Approval label reported
			overall baseline
			characteristics of all pivotal
			trials. Since there was no
			discrepancy between
			individual trial publications
			and data listed in approval
			label, approval label was
			preferred to extract data
			since it listed overall
			percentage participation of
			sex and race.
Dabigatran	Approval label	No	Trial publication did not
			report percentage race.
Azilsartan	Approval label	NA	Trial publication was not
			found. All data was available
			in the approval label
Linagliptin	Approval label	No	Approval label reported
			overall baseline
			characteristics of all pivotal
			trials. Since there was no
			discrepancy between
			individual trial publications
			and data listed in approval
			label, approval label was
			preferred to extract data
			since it listed overall
			percentage participation of
Divarayahan	Trial publication	Voc	Discropopoly recorded
		165	botwoon total number of
			participants in Pacard 4 and
1	1	1	participants in Record Falla

			Record 2 studies. Approval
			label states 6579 receiving
			study drug while trial
			publication states 6890
			participants receiving study
			drug. No discrepancy in
			Record 3 study or percentage
			participation by sex or race
			was recorded
Ticagrelor	Trial publication	No	Approval label only listed
	- F		percentage White/Caucasian
			when compared to trial
			publication which listed
			percentage participation of
			other under-represented
			minorities as well
			(Black/African American and
			(black/American American and Asian)
Lomitanide Mesylate	Approval label	NA	Trial publication was not
Lonneaplace messiace	, approval label		found. All data was available
			in the approval label
Anixahan	Trial publication	No	Bace was not included in
//pi/dbdii	That publication		baseline characteristics for
			ABISTOTI E study publication
			Data for race obtained from
Alagliptin Banzasta	Trial publication	No	
Minomersen		No	Trial publication did not
Mipoliersen	Арргомагіарсі	NO	report percentage race
Canadliflozin	Trial publication	No	NA
Riociguat	Trial publication	NA	Approval label did not state
Nociguat			hasoling characteristics of
			study population
Macitentan	Trial publication	No	
Dapagliflozin			Approval label did not state
Dapaginiozin			hasoling characteristics of
			study population
Voranavar Sulfata	Trial publication	Vac	Mild discrepancy between cov
vorapaxar surface		res	and race was recorded
			Approval label states 22%
			temaies and 89%
			white/Caucasian while that
			publication lists 24% remaies
	Talala, bliastica		and 8/% White/Caucasian
Empagimozin	I hal publication	INA	Approval label did not state
			baseline characteristics of
	Trial publication	Na	Study population.
Euoxaban	I hal publication	INO	Race was not included in
			baseline characteristics for
			both publications. Data for

			race was obtained from
			approval label.
Ivabradine	Trial publication	No	Approval label did not state
Hydrochloride			baseline characteristics (sex
			and race) of study population.
Cangrelor	Trial publication	NA	Approval label did not state
			baseline characteristics of
			study population.
Sacubitril; Valsartan	Trial publication	No	NA
Insulin Degludec	Approval label	NA	Trial publication was not
			found. All data was available
			in the approval label
Selexipag	Approval label	No	Trial publication did not
			report percentage race
Alirocumab	Trial publication	No	NA
Evolocumab	Trial publication	No	For study 1 and study 2,
			approval label reports
			baseline characteristics for
			patients with atherosclerotic
			CVD only, not the total
			population.
Lixisenatide	Approval label	NA	Trial publication was not
			found. All data was available
			in the approval label
Betrixaban	Trial publication	No	NA
Semaglutide	Trial publication	No	NA
Ertugliflozin	Trial publication	NA	Approval label did not state
			baseline characteristics of
			study population.
Angiotensin II	Approval label	No	Trial publication did not
Acetate			report percentage race.

*Extraction details for total population, sex and race NA = Not Applicable

 Table S2. Estimation of Percentage of Women in Disease Populations.

Disease	Men	Women	% women in disease populatio n	Source
Acute Coronary Syndrome	785,000 (unique hospitalisatio ns)*	554,000 (unique hospitalisati ons)*	41	Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 79 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks, 1990- 2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. <i>Lancet</i> (<i>London, England</i>). 2016;388(10053):1659- 1724
Coronary Heart Disease ~	68,287,106 (prevalence)‡	57,705,137 (prevalence) ‡	46	GBD Compare IHME Viz Hub. Vizhub.healthdata.org. <u>https://vizhub.healthdata.org/gbd-compare/</u> . [Accessed January 9, 2020.]
Heart Failure	60% (distribution) ^	40% (distribution) ^	40	Lee DS, Gona P, Vasan RS, et al. Relation of Disease Pathogenesis and Risk Factors to Heart Failure with Preserved or Reduced Ejection Fraction. Circulation 2009; 119:3070- 3077
Atrial Fibrillation	19,721,283 (prevalence)‡	17,703,069 (prevalence) ‡	47	GBD Compare IHME Viz Hub. Vizhub.healthdata.org. <u>https://vizhub.healthdata.org/gbd-compare/</u> . [Accessed January 9, 2020.]
Hypertension	694,000,000 (prevalence) !	694,000,000 (prevalence) !	50	Mills KT, Bundy JD, Kelly TN, Reed JE, Kearney PM, Reynolds K, Chen J, He J. Global disparities of hypertension prevalence and control: a system- atic analysis of population-based studies from 90 countries. <i>Circulation</i> . 2016;134:441–450. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.018912.
Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension	110 per 100,000 (hospitalizatio n) §	147 per 100,000 (hospitalizat ions) §	57	George MG, Schieb LJ, Ayala C, et al. Pulmonary Hypertension Surveillance United States, 2001 to 2010. Chest 2014; 146(2):476-495, p. 484

Disease	Men	Women	% women in disease populatio n	Source
Diabetes Mellitus	244,463,507 (prevalence)‡	229,640,671 (prevalence) ‡	48	GBD Compare IHME Viz Hub. Vizhub.healthdata.org. <u>https://vizhub.healthdata.org/gbd-compare/</u> . [Accessed January 9, 2020.]
Hyper- cholesterolemia	42,300,000 (prevalence) <i>#</i>	52,300,000 (prevalence) #	55	Benjamin EJ, Virani SS, Callaway CW, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2018 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. <u>Circulation.</u> 2018; 137:e67-e492.

* Based on first and secondary discharge post hospitalization from National Hospital Discharge Survey, NHLBI in 2014

‡GBD global prevalence for all ages

~ Data for Ischemic Heart Disease was utilized from the GBD database.

§ Hospitalization rates in 2009/2010

! Estimated adults aged \geq 20 years

#Prevalence of TC \geq 200 mg/dl, 2011-2014: Age \geq 20 years

^ Patients with reduced ejection fraction in the Framingham HF study

Disease Indication	Number of Drugs	Number of Trials	Overall Enrollment, N	Women Enrollment, n	Percentage of Women Participants, %	Percentage of Women Among Disease Population, %	Participation to Prevalence Ratio (PPR)
Acute Coronary Syndrome	3	3	43174	11878	28	41	0.68
Coronary Heart Disease	1	1	26449	6326	24	46	0.52
Heart Failure	2	4	44923	10500	23	40	0.58
Atrial Fibrillation	6	13	94624	39152	41	42	0.98
Hypertension	2	13	7788	3434	44	50	0.88
Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension	3	4	2602	2016	77	57	1.35
Diabetes Mellitus	11	86	64282	29352	46	48	0.96
Hypercholesterolemia	5	16	10129	4691	46	55	0.84

Table S4. Summary of Efficacy* and Safety[#] Results by Sex for Diabetes Drugs.

Drug	Primary efficacy	Efficacy of Drug	Drug related	Source [‡]
	enapoint	by sex	by sex	
Saxagliptin Hydrochloride		Monotherapy study yielded a "statistically significant interaction for sex	NR	Clinical/Statistical Review
		with a p-value of 0.01; a larger effect is seen for males than females. This subgroup difference was not observed in other studies."		
Liraglutide		Efficacy was not affected by sex	NR	Clinical/Statistical Review
Linagliptin		Efficacy was not affected by sex	NR	Clinical/Statistical Review
Alogliptin Benzoate		Efficacy was not affected by sex	NR	Approval Label
Canagliflozin		Efficacy was not affected by sex	Increased risk genital mycotic infections in both males and females. However Patients with a history of genital mycotic infections and uncircumcised males were more likely to develop genital mycotic infections.	Clinical/Statistical Review
Dapaglitlozin		Efficacy was not affected by sex	"Genital mycotic infections were more frequently reported in females than in males."	Clinical/Statistical Review
Empagliflozin	Changes from baseline in	"For comparison between	"Genital mycotic	Clinical/Statistical Review

	hemoglobin	empagliflozin	infections	
	HbA1C	25mg and	occurred more	
	norre	placebo the	frequently in	
		placebo, the	female than	
		subgroup analysis		
		by sex shows a	male patients."	
		greater treatment		
		effect in males		
		(mean= -0.76,		
		SE=0.05) than in		
		females (mean=-		
		0.58. SE=0.06).		
		The p value for		
		the treatment-by-		
		say interaction		
		sex interaction		
		term is 0.03.		
		However, for the		
		comparison		
		between		
		empagliflozin		
		10mg and		
		placebo, no		
		heterogeneity of		
		treatment effect		
		is detected in		
		males vs. females		
		$(P_{-0.18})$ "		
Inculin Dogludoc		Efficacy was not	ND	Clinical/Statictical
Insulin Degludec		Efficacy was not	חאו	
Liniaraatida	-		ND	
Lixisenatide		Efficacy was not	NK	
	-	affected by sex		Review
Semaglutide		"In trial 3625	NR	Clinical/Statistical
		(SUSTAIN 4), a		Review
		slightly higher		
		effect was seen in		
		females		
		compared to		
		males with the 0.5		
		mg dose (-0.45		
		versus -0.11		
		nominal n-value -		
		(0,0,2) but there		
		0.03), but there		
		was no unerence		
		with the 1.0 mg		
	4	dose."		
Ertugliflozin		Efficacy was not	Female genital	Clinical/Statistical
		affected by sex	mycotic	Review
			infections were	
			more common	
			than male	
			genital mycotic	
1	1	1		

* We evaluated sex difference in efficacy results by reviewing the product labeling first. If the information is not available in labeling, we then obtained efficacy results by sex from FDA clinical and statistical reviews.

Safety results were obtained by reviewing product labelling. Only clinically meaningful differences in safety by sex are described in labelling. If these differences are not identified then they are often not reported. Product labelling that did not report any statement regarding clinically meaningful effect between drug related adverse effects is reported as NR.

NR = Not Reported

[†]Refers to the source from where efficacy results were obtained.

Drug/Sex	Number of patients	Hazard Ratio [95% CI] [‡]					
Dronedarone	•						
Male	2,459	0.74 [0.64, 0.85]					
Female	2,169	0.77 [0.67, 0.89]					
Dabigatran							
Male	11,514	0.72 [0.54, 0.95]					
Female	6,598	0.58 [0.40, 0.79]					
Apixaban							
Male	11,785	0.82 [0.65,1.04]					
Female	6,416	0.74 [0.56, 1.00]					
Edoxaban							
Male	8,761	0.87 [0.71, 1.07]					
Female	5,310	0.87 [0.69, 1.11]					
Prasugrel							
Male	10,085	0.79 [0.70, 0.90]					
Female	3,523	0.88 [0.73, 1.07]					
Ticagrelor							
Male	13,336	0.85 [0.76, 0.95]					
Female	5,288	0.83 [0.71, 0.97]					
Cangrelor							
Male	7,889	0.84 [0.69, 1.03]					
Female	3,050	0.67 [0.50, 0.92]					
Macitentan							
Male	113	0.49 [0.27, 0.89]					
Female	379	0.57 [0.41, 0.80]					
Selexipag							
Male	233	0.56 [0.31, 1.02]*					
Female	923	0.61[0.46, 0.82]*					
Ivabradine Hydrochloride							
Male	4, 970	0.84[0.76, 0.94]					
Female	1, 535	0.74 [0.60, 0.91]					
Sacubitril; Valsartan							
Male	6,595	0.80 [0.73, 0.89]					
Female	1, 847	0.77 [0.62, 0.94]					
Vorapaxar Sulfate							
Male	15,801	0.82 [0.74, 0.91]					
Female	4,369	0.84 [0.70, 1.00]					
Angiotensin II Acetate							
Male	195	9.3 [4.9, 17.9] [#]					
Female	126	5.9 [2.7, 13.1]#					

Table S5. HRs and 95% CI for Primary Efficacy Endpoints by sex.

[†]Includes results for primary efficacy endpoint for each drug by sex. Results do not take into account any comparisons or adjustments for any other factor.
 ^{*} Represents 99% Confidence Interval
 [#] Odds ratio was reported

Table S6. Summary of Efficacy* and Safety Results[#] by Sex for Cardiovascular Drugs.

Cardiovascular Area/Drug	Primary efficacy	Efficacy of Drug by	Drug related	Source [‡]
	endpoint	sex	adverse events by sex	
Atrial Fibrillation				
Dronedarone	Hospitalization for cardiovascular reasons or death from any cause.	Efficacy was not affected by sex	Adverse events were not affected by sex	Approval Label
Dabigatran	Stroke and systemic embolism	Efficacy was not affected by sex	Adverse events were not affected by sex	Approval Label
Rivaroxaban	Incidences of DVT, non-fatal PE or all- cause death	Efficacy was not affected by sex	NR	Clinical/Statistical Review
Apixaban	Ischemic stroke, hemorrhagic stroke or systemic embolism	Efficacy was not affected by sex	Adverse events were not affected by sex	Clinical/Statistical Review
Edoxaban	Occurrence of stroke or a systemic emboli	Efficacy was not affected by sex	Adverse events were not affected by sex	Approval Label
Betrixaban	Asymptomatic proximal DVT, Symptomatic DVT, Non-fatal PE or VTE related death	Efficacy was not affected by sex	NR	Clinical/Statistical Review
Acute Coronary Syndrome				
Prasugrel	cardiovascular death, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke	Efficacy was not affected by sex	NR	Approval Label
Ticagrelor				
Cangrelor	all-cause death, MI, ischemia-driven revascularization, and stent thrombosis	Efficacy was not affected by sex	Adverse events were not affected by sex	Approval Label
Hypertension	Γ	Γ	1	T
Clevidipine	Changes from baseline in systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Efficacy was not affected by sex	NR	Clinical/Statistical Review
Azilsartan			Adverse events were not affected by sex	Approval Label
Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension				
Riociguat	changes from baseline in the 6-	Efficacy was not affected by sex	NR	Clinical/Statistical Review

	minute walk distance			
Macitentan	time to the first occurrence of death, Changes from baseline in the 6- minute walk distance (m)	Efficacy was not affected by sex	NR	Approval Label
Selexipag	Death, hospitalization for PAH, changes from baseline in the 6-minute walk distance (m)	Efficacy was not affected by sex	NR	Approval Label
Heart Failure	1	1	1	
Ivabradine Hydrochloride	Cardiovascular death, hospitalization for worsening heart failure	Efficacy was not affected by sex	NR	Approval Label
Sacubitril; Valsartan		Efficacy was not affected by sex	NR	Approval Label
Coronary Heart Disease				
Vorapaxar Sulfate	Cardiovascular death, MI, stroke	Efficacy was not affected by sex	Adverse events were not affected by sex	Approval Label
Hypercholesterolemia		•		
Pitavastatin		"In study NK-104305 females experienced greater LDL-C lowering than did males on Livalo compared to controls."	NR	Clinical/Statistical Review
Lomitapide Mesylate		Efficacy was not affected by sex	NR	Clinical/Statistical Review
Mipomersen		"the treatment effect in females was larger than that seen in males" *	NR	Clinical/Statistical Review
Alirocumab	Percentage decrease from baseline to study end point in LDL-C	"There is an indication that the effect for Praluent on the percent change in LDL-C at week 24 is larger in males than females; however, it is unclear whether this difference between sexes in the effect on a surrogate endpoint will	NR	Clinical/Statistical Review

		translate into an important difference between sexes in the clinical cardiovascular outcome."				
Evolocumab		Efficacy was not affected by sex	NR	Clinical/Statistical Review		
Other						
Regadenoson	Presence/absence of reversible perfusion defects	Efficacy was not affected by sex	NR	Clinical/Statistical Review		
Angiotensin II Acetate	Clinical response of LJPC-501 infusion on MAP	Efficacy was not affected by sex	NR	Clinical/Statistical Review		

* We evaluated sex difference in efficacy results by reviewing the product labeling first. If the information is not available in labeling, we then obtained efficacy results by sex from FDA clinical and statistical reviews.

Safety results were obtained by reviewing product labelling. Only clinically meaningful differences in safety by sex are described in labelling. If these differences are not identified then they are often not reported. Product labelling that did not report any statement regarding no clinically meaningful effect between drug related adverse effects is reported as NR.

[†]Refers to the source from where efficacy results were obtained.

DVT= deep vein thrombosis. PE= pulmonary embolism. VTE= venous thromboembolism. MI= myocardial infarction. MAP= mean arterial pressure. NR = Not Reported

Race	No. of Trials reporting data	Overall Population in trials reporting data, N	Participation of Race, n (%)
White/Caucasian	122	269,176	218,054 (81.0)
Black/African	104	175,487	6,325 (3.6)
American			
Asian	76	178,004	22,076 (12.4)
Ethnicity			
Hispanic/Latino	51	56,235	6,333 (11.3)
Ethnicity Hispanic/Latino	51	56,235	6,333 (11.3)

Table S7. Number of Pivotal Drug trials reporting data of ethnic/racial minorities.