## PEER REVIEW HISTORY

BMJ Open publishes all reviews undertaken for accepted manuscripts. Reviewers are asked to complete a checklist review form (http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/resources/checklist.pdf) and are provided with free text boxes to elaborate on their assessment. These free text comments are reproduced below.

## **ARTICLE DETAILS**

TITLE (PROVISIONAL)	Neurosurgeons' experiences of conducting and disseminating clinical research in low- and middle-income countries: A qualitative study protocol.
AUTHORS	Whiffin, Charlotte; Smith, Brandon; Esene, Ignatius; Karekezi,
	Claire; Bashford, Tom; Khan, Muhammad; Davi, Solla; Hutchinson,
	Peter; Kolias, Angelos

## **VERSION 1 - REVIEW**

REVIEWER	Leonardo C. Welling State University of Ponta Grossa. Paraná/Brazil
REVIEW RETURNED	27-Apr-2020

GENERAL COMMENTS	It is a well-designed and objective study protocol that can help to
	understand the difficulties that are going on low-and middle-income
	countries. Despite the limited number of participants, this research
	maybe will be a pilot project for more studies.

REVIEWER	Bertrand DEBONO
	Department of Neurosurgery
	Neurosciences Pole
	Clinique des Cèdres
	Chateau d'Alliez
	31700 Cornebarrieu
	France
REVIEW RETURNED	27-Apr-2020

GENERAL COMMENTS	The authors propose a qualitative research protocol concerning a well-identified and perfectly noteworthy problem (conducting and disseminating clinical research in low- and middle-income countries).
	The qualitative methodology presented is adapted to their project, and each step of the presented methodology is suitable and detailed, including rigour and ethics.
	The organization of online focus groups is a judicious choice regarding the geographical dissemination of the surgeons enrolled in the survey, but I have a question concerning the candidates for the semi-structured interviews of phase 2: 20 surgeons are already planned, but is this number sufficient/adapted upstream, not

knowing when the saturation of the themes will occur?
Another short question: who will do the analysis on NViVo? a social science researcher, the UK surgeons, psychologists, public health physicians? (although I think there will be the peer-to-peer exchanges that the authors point out). Just to explain the analysis of themes.
In conclusion, and in addition to these minor questions, I believe that the subject identified is worthy of research, that the proposed qualitative protocol is well adapted and well designed, that qualitative studies are too rare in neurosurgery and that this project should be accepted.

Actions required	Comments	Actions	Page
Reviewer: 1			
It is a well-designed and objective study protocol that can help to understand the difficulties that are going on low-and middle-income countries. Despite the limited number of participants, this research maybe will be a pilot project for more studies. Reviewer: 2	Thank you for this positive feedback	No actions required	
The authors propose a qualitative research protocol concerning a well- identified and perfectly noteworthy problem (conducting and disseminating clinical research in low- and middle-income countries).	Thank you for this positive feedback	No actions required	
The qualitative methodology presented is adapted to their project, and each step of the presented methodology is suitable and detailed, including rigour and ethics.			
The organization of online focus groups is a judicious choice regarding the geographical dissemination of the surgeons enrolled in the survey, but I have a question concerning the candidates for the semi-structured interviews of phase 2: 20 surgeons are already planned, but is this number sufficient/adapted upstream, not knowing when the saturation of the themes will occur?	It is very difficult to predict when saturation of themes will occur. However, given that the focus group data will also be used to inform the final findings we are confident a sample of twenty will be sufficient. If, we do not reach saturation we may	We have added to the manuscript that if saturation is not reached, and it is feasible to do so, we will notify the ethics committee of our intention to increase the sample to a more appropriate size.	p.5

	be able to request		
	ethical approval to		
	increase the sample		
	size if this is feasible		
	and appropriate to		
	do so.		
Another short question: who will do the	NVIVO analysis will	We have added a more	p.5
analysis on NViVo? a social science	be conducted by UK	information to the paper	
researcher, the UK surgeons,	authors C Whiffin	about the role and	
psychologists, public health	and Brandon Smith.	experience of the	
physicians? (although I think there	Charlotte is an	authors in data analysis	
will be the peer-to-peer exchanges	experienced	and how/when	
that the authors point out). Just to	qualitative research	respondent validation will	
explain the analysis of themes.	and nurse academic	take place.	
	Brandon is a PhD		
	student and 4 <sup>th</sup> year		
	medical student.		