Supplementary tables and figures for "Asymptotic properties of Principal Component Analysis and shrinkage-bias adjustment under the Generalized Spiked Population model"

Rounak Dey^a, Seunggeun Lee^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Biostatistics, University of Michigan School of Public Health, 1415 Washington Heights, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2029, USA

Some additional tables and figures that were referred to in "Asymptotic properties of Principal Component Analysis and shrinkage-bias adjustment under the Generalized Spiked Population model" are provided in this Online Supplement.

Settings					Estimated no. of distant spikes			
No.	п	р	σ^2	ρ	1	2	3	≥ 4
1	500	5000	4	0.8	0	77	77	46
2	500	5000	1	0.7	0	139	53	8
3	500	5000	7.5	0.8	95	78	20	7
4	500	5000	4	0	0	188	12	0

^{*}Corresponding author. Email address: leeshawn@umich.edu

Preprint submitted to Journal of Multivariate Analysis



Figure 1: Empirical biases (%) in estimating the shrinkage factor corresponding to the largest population eigenvalue for GSP-based and UHD-based methods. The population eigenvalues and the rate of increment of the largest population eigenvalue are assumed to be unknown.

Figure 2: Linkage disequilibrium

Hapmap III CEU+TSI samples, 848 SNPs on Chr. 9, created using Haploview [1]





Figure 3: Sample sizes of the test samples that were included in the prediction error estimation for different values of the thresholding parameter ϵ

Figure 4: Distribution of the number of markers across different chromosomes



Figure 5: Comparison of the mean squared errors (MSE) of the unadjusted and adjusted PC scores based on the *d*-GSP and SP methods with the adjusted PC scores based on the λ -GSP method. The ratios of the MSEs are presented for chromosome 1-21 using different values of the thresholding parameter ϵ . The *y*-axis is presented in a logarithmic scale.

References

[1] J. C. Barrett, B. Fry, J. Maller, M. J. Daly, Haploview: analysis and visualization of ld and haplotype maps, Bioinformatics 21 (2005) 263-265.