#### SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

#### Materials and reagents

Lipofectamine 2000 and RNAi-Max were purchased from ThermoFisher (Carlsbad, CA). SiRNAs to *FOXM1* (Cat#1 109415, Cat#2 6312) and *MAT1A* (Cat# 4392420, Cat#4392421) were purchased from ThermoFisher. FOXM1 overexpressing and empty vectors were purchased from GeneCopoeia (Rockville, MD). FDI-6 (NCGC 00099374) was purchased from Axon (Reston, VA). T0 (Cas No.293754-55-9) was purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO).

# Source of normal liver, human HCC and CCA with adjacent non-tumorous tissues, primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) and primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC)

All human materials were obtained with patients' informed content. Seven human CCA and five human HCC specimens and adjacent benign tissues were obtained from patients that underwent surgical resection at the Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA. One hundred and forty three human HCC and adjacent non-tumorous tissues were obtained from patients undergoing surgical liver resection from 2013 to 2017, five PBC and three PSC human liver specimens obtained from liver biopsy from 2014-2019, and five normal liver tissues obtained from surgical resection for patients suffering from intrahepatic ductal stones from 2018-2019 were obtained from the department of Pathology at the Xiangya Hospital Central South University, Changsha, Hunan province, China and were stored in liquid nitrogen in the institutional biobank.

#### Establishment of malignant HCC cell line from Mat1a knockout (KO) mice

Fresh HCC tissue from 15-month old male Mat1a KO mouse was rinsed with DMEM

medium (Corning cellgro, Tewksbury MA) supplemented with Penicillin-Streptomycin solution (Hyclone, South Logan, UT), and minced into 1-mm<sup>3</sup> pieces. After digestion with collagenase from Clostridium histolyticum (Sigma) for five minutes at 37°C three times, disaggregated cell suspension was filtered by a 40 µm cell strainer (ThermoFisher Scientific). After lysis of red blood cells by Ammonium-Chloride-Potassium (ACK) lysis buffer (ThermoFisher), cells were washed with DMEM medium twice. The cells were then resuspended in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (ThermoFisher), and then seeded onto 6-well culture plates coated with 0.1% type I collagen (Sigma). Cells were incubated at 37°C at 95% air and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for two days. The culture medium was then changed twice a week and cells were sub-passaged when they reached 75-85% confluency.

#### Human hepatocytes, cell lines and treatments

Primary human hepatocytes were from ThermoFisher (Lot# HU8250, viabilities >80%). The cells were centrifuged at 2000rpm (2 minutes) to eliminate the cell freezing medium. The cell pellets were resuspended with DMEM containing 10% FBS and were seeded in 100 mm BioMatrix dishes at a cell density of 5X10<sup>6</sup> cells/dish. After 6 hours attachment period, the cells were transfected for 24hrs.

MzChA-1 (human biliary adenocarcinoma), HepG2 (human hepatoblastoma), Hep3B (human hepatocellular carcinoma), H69 (human normal biliary epithelial cells),<sup>(16)</sup> SAMe-D (HCC cell line from *Mat1a* KO mouse),<sup>(26)</sup> and OKER cells (HCC cell line from glycine N-methyltransferase (*Gnmt*) KO mice)<sup>(27)</sup> were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/mL penicillin, 0.1 mg/mL streptomycin, and 2 mmol/L L-glutamine. To examine the crosstalk between MAT1A and FOXM1/NF-κB, 1x10<sup>5</sup> HepG2, MzChA-1, Hep3B, H69, normal human hepatocytes, SAMe-D, MATα1-D, or OKER cells per well

of 6-well plates were transfected with vectors overexpressing FOXM1, MAT1A (wild type and catalytic mutant that cannot oligomerize), p65, or empty vectors for 24 hours using Lipofectamine 2000 according to the manufacturer's protocol. For gene knockdown studies, 10 nM siRNA against *FOXM1*, *MAT1A*, and equivalent scramble control were delivered into HepG2, MzChA-1, Hep3B, SAMe-D, MAT $\alpha$ 1-D, or OKER cells for 24 hours by Lipofectamine RNAiMAX following the manufacturer's protocol. To inhibit FOXM1, cells were treated with T0 (5-30 µM) or FDI-6 (5-30 µM) for 24-48h.

#### Xenograft model and treatment with T0 or FDI-6

In one experiment, 4-week-old male BALB/c nude mice (weighing 16-18g) were purchased from Shanghai SLAC Laboratory Animal Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). They were injected with HepG2 cells ( $5x10^6$ ) in 100 µl saline subcutaneously into the right flank. When the tumor size reached 80mm<sup>3</sup>, mice were divided into two groups (8 mice per group), one received T0 (25 mg/kg/d) via intraperitoneal injection every two days and the other received vehicle. Xenograft tumor size was measured by caliper, with the tumor volume calculated according to the formula:  $\pi/6$  (length x width x height). Animals were sacrificed on day 28. Tumor tissues were used for RNA and protein analysis and some were fixed in 4% formalin for histology and immunohistochemistry (IHC). All procedure protocols and the care of the animals were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of University of South China (Hengyang, China).

In a separate experiment, 4-week old male nude mice were purchased from Jackson Labs (Bar Harbor, ME). They were injected with MzChA-1 cells (5x10<sup>6</sup>) in 100 µl saline subcutaneously into the right and left flanks. Treatment with either T0 or FDI-6 (both at 25 mg/kg/d in 50µl volume) via direct intratumoral injection was started when tumors

reached 80mm<sup>3</sup>. Each mouse received one type of treatment on tumors on one flank and DMSO injection on the contralateral tumor. Tumor size was measured as above, and experiment was terminated on day 30 after initial injection.

#### Syngeneic tumorigenesis and treatment with FDI-6

Three-month-old male C57BL/6J mice were injected with OKER or MATα1-D cells (5x10<sup>6</sup>) in 100µl phosphate buffered saline subcutaneously into the flanks. From day seven after injection, mice were divided into four treatment groups (n=8 per group) that received MATα1-D+DMSO, MATα1-D+FDI-6, OKER+DMSO, OKER+FDI-6. Tumor size was measured as above. FDI-6 treatment groups received FDI-6 (25 mg/kg/d in 50µl volume) via direct intratumoral injection every day for four days and control groups received 50µl DMSO. Animals were sacrificed on day 11. Tumor tissues were used for RNA and protein analysis and some were fixed in 4% formalin for histology and IHC.

#### Promoter constructs and luciferase assay

The human MAT1A promoter was described previously.<sup>(29)</sup> Subcloning of MAT1A pGL3 performed forward promoter into vector was by primers: 5'-AATGTGTGCCAGAAAAAATTTTTCC-3' (-1087 to -1111 bp relative to transcription start site), 5'-TACATGAACTAAAGATATAATCCTG-3' (-814 to -839 bp relative to transcription start site), 5'-GGGAAACTGGACTTTGATAATTTC-3' (-681 to -705 relative to transcription start site), and reverse primers 5'-CTGCTTGCCACAGCTTGCTCCTG-3' (+8 to +30). The constructs that contain the 5'- flanking sequences (-1111 to +30, -839) to +30 and -705 to +30) were used in the transfection assay. Mutagenesis of the MAT1A promoter FOX binding sites were performed as follows: 5'-TGTTTA-3' (-811 to changed 5'-TGTGTA-3' 5'-816) was to using forward primer AAGATATAATCCTGTGTACTACTTTTTTTGG-3' (-798 to -828 bp relative to

transcription start site); 5'-TGTTTA-3' (-754 to -759) was changed to 5'-TGTGTA-3' using forward primer 5'-ATGTGAACACGATGTGTATTACATGTATAG-3', (-742 to -771), and 5'-TGTTTA-3' was changed to 5'-TGTGTA-3' (-721 to -726) using forward primer 5'-GAATATGTAGATGTTTATAATCCGGAAGC-3' (-702 to -737) with QuikChange multisite-Directed mutagenesis kit (#200515-5) from Agilent Technologies (Carpinteria, CA). The mutant strand synthesis reaction consisted of an initial denaturation at 95°C for 30 seconds followed by 16 cycles at 95°C for 30 seconds, annealing at 55°C for one minute and extension at 68°C for one minute/kb of plasmid length using the PfuUltra HF DNA polymerase. *Dpn*I digestion of the amplified PCR products and transformation of XL10-gold ultracompetent cells were done in accordance with their suggested protocol (Agilent Technologies).

The human 1.3kb FOXM1 promoter was purchased from Genecopoeia (NM\_001243088). Mutagenesis of the FOXM1 promoter FOX binding sites were performed as follows: 5'-TGTTTG-3' (-1022 to -1027) was changed to 5'-GGTTTG-3' using forward primer 5'- GCAATAATTCAACATTGGTTTGTTTTGGAGAC-3' (-1012 to -1043 bp relative to transcription start site), and 5'-TGTTTA-3' (-732 to -737) was changed to 5'-TGTTGA-3' using forward primer 5'-GCCCACATTTGTTGATTGATTAA AATGTC-3', (-717 to -751), with QuikChange multisite-Directed mutagenesis kit. Constructs containing multimerized enhancer elements of FOX (5'-TTGTTTATG-3')x8 and its mutant (5'-TTGTCTATG-3')x8 were cloned into a pLuc-MCS Cis-Reporter Cloning Plasmid from Agilent Technologies.

Various promoter constructs and their empty vectors/SV40, and FOX x8 enhancer elements and pLuc-MCS were co-transfected into HepG2 and MzChA-1 cells and NFkBx5 construct and pLuc-MCS were transfected into HepG2, normal human hepatocytes and SAMe-D cells with Lipofectamine 2000 following the manufacturer's instructions. Luciferase assays were performed 24 hours later using the Dual Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega, Madison, WI) as directed by the manufacturer suggested protocol.

#### Histology and immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Formalin-fixed liver tissues embedded in paraffin were cut and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) for routine histology. IHC staining of FOXM1, MATα1, p65, p50 and IgG was performed with kits from Dako (Carpinteria, CA) or Abcam (Burlingame, CA) according to the manufacturer's method. Control with normal mouse IgG showed no staining (data not show).

#### RNA isolation and gene expression analysis

Total RNA was isolated by using the Quick-RNA miniPrep kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA) or TRIzol reagent (ThermoFisher) from cell lines, murine, HCC and CCA tissues. Gene expression was assessed using real-time PCR. Total RNA was subjected to reverse transcription (RT) by using M-MLV Reverse transcriptase (Lucigen, Middleton, WI). TaqMan probes for human and mouse *FOXM1*, *MAT1A*, *NF-κB1*, *RELA*, and matrix metalloproteinase-7 (*MMP-7*) were purchased from ThermoFisher and the Universal PCR Master Mix was purchased from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA). Hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1 was used as a housekeeping gene. The thermal profile consisted of an initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 minutes followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 3 seconds and at 60°C for 30 seconds. The cycle threshold (Ct value) of the target genes was normalized to that of the housekeeping gene to obtain the delta Ct ( $\Delta$ Ct). The  $\Delta$ Ct was used to find the relative expression of target genes according to the

formula: relative expression=  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ , where  $\Delta\Delta Ct = \Delta Ct$  of target genes in experimental condition –  $\Delta Ct$  of target gene under control condition.

#### Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) and sequential-ChIP (Seq-ChIP) assay

ChIP was done to examine changes in protein binding to the FOX binding region of the human MAT1A and FOXM1 promoters using the manufacturer's suggested protocol from the EpiTect ChIP OneDay kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD). Briefly, DNA immunoprecipitated by FOXM1 antibody was processed for a second round of immunoprecipitation using anti-MATa1, anti-p65 or anti-p50 antibodies. The purified DNA was detected by PCR analysis. PCR primers for promoter regions containing FOX binding sites were 1) MAT1A - forward 5'- TTGTTCCTTGGGTCTGAGGATGCAG-3' (-872 to -897) and reverse 5'- CAAAGTCCAGTTTCCCAAAGCTTCCG -3' (-687 to -714) (GenBank® accession no. NM-000429), and 2) FOXM1 - forward 5'- CATTTGTTT GTTTTGGAGACGGTGTC-3' (-1006 to -1031) and reverse 5'-GGAAGAGGGGCACAGACATTTTAATC-3' (-703 to -728) (GenBank® accession no. NM-001243088). All PCR products were run on 2% agarose gels. The PCR conditions consisted of an initial denaturation at 94°C for three minutes followed by 25 cycles at 94°C for 30 seconds, annealing and extension at 67°C for 90 seconds using the Advantage GC 2 PCR kit (Clontech, MountainView, CA), in accordance to their suggested protocol.

#### Ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA)

IPA investigated the molecular pathways involved in HCC and CCA by comparing SAGE library with an adjacent non-cancerous liver SAGE library using the website: www.ingenuity.com. All reliable transcripts statistically dysregulated in HCC and CCA were investigated and annotated with biological processes, protein-protein interactions,

and gene regulatory networks, using a reference-based data file with statistical significance. All identified pathways were screened individually.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure S1.** Inverse relationship between *MAT1A* and *FOXM1* mRNA levels in HCC and CCA datasets. All raw *FOXM1* and *MAT1A* mRNA levels in HCC (**A**) and CCA (**B**) were downloaded from the GEO database. Number in the parentheses next to the GEO database is the number of cases with HCC or CCA as compared to adjacent or normal liver tissues. Results depict Pearson correlation analysis of the raw data.

Figure S2. Relationship between *MAT1A* and *NF-\kappaB1* or *RELA*, *FOXM1* and *NF-\kappaB1* mRNA levels in HCC from the GEO database. A-B) Pearson correlation analysis shows inverse relationship between *MAT1A* and *NF-\kappaB1* mRNA levels (**A**), and *MAT1A* and *RELA* mRNA levels (**B**). **C**) Pearson correlation analysis shows positive correlation between *FOXM1* and *NF-\kappaB1* mRNA levels. Number in the parentheses next to the GEO database is the number of cases with HCC as compared to adjacent or normal liver tissues.

**Figure S3.** Protein expression of MATα1, FOXM1, p50, and p65 from normal liver, primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) and primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC). A) Representative H&E and immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining of MATα1, FOXM1, p50, and p65 from normal liver, PBC and PSC are shown (lower power images are at 200X, higher power of the boxed areas is at 400X). Red arrows point to presence or absence of these proteins in the nuclei.

**Figure S4. FOXM1 does not regulate NF-kB expression in MATα1-D cells. A-B**) Western blotting for MATα1, FOXM1, p50, and p65 at baseline and after FOXM1 siRNA (**A**) and overexpression (**B**) in MATα1-D cells. **C**) Comparison of cell growth between SAMe-D and MATα1-D cells. \*p < 0.05 vs. SAMe-D. **D**) Effects of FDI-6 or FOXM1 siRNA treatment on the *NF-κB* promoter activity in MATα1-D cells. Results are mean ± SEM from three experiments done in duplicates. **E**) WT mice were injected with MATα1D cells ( $5x10^5$ ) on each flank and FDI-6 treatment ( $5\mu$ M based on tumor volume) was begun on day 7 as described in Methods. Results are expressed as mean of control ± SEM from eight tumors per group. \*p < 0.05 vs. DMSO. **F)** Representative tumor pictures in DMSO (left) and FDI-6 treatment (right) groups at day 11.

Figure S5. FOXM1 regulates *MAT1A* promoter activity at the FOX binding sites in **MzChA-1 cells**. **A**) Nucleotide sequence of the 5'-flanking region of the human *MAT1A* promoter. Sequence is numbered relative to the transcriptional start site nearest the TATA box. The putative regulatory elements are indicated underneath the sequences. Three FOX binding sites are indicated in red. **B**) Effects of T0 and FDI-6 on the activity of *MAT1A* promoter (-839/30) in MzChA-1 cells. Results are expressed as mean % of control  $\pm$  SEM from three experiments done in triplicates. \*p < 0.05 vs. DMSO. **C**) Activities of the wild-type and FOX binding site mutants of the *MAT1A* promoter after FOXM1 OV or si treatment for 24 hours in MzChA-1 cells. Results are expressed as mean % of EV or SC  $\pm$  SEM from three experiments done in triplicates. \*p < 0.05 vs. EV or SC.

Figure S6. MAT $\alpha$ 1 is a co-repressor at the FOX binding sites of the *FOXM1* promoter. A) Nucleotide sequence of the 5'-flanking region of the human *FOXM1* promoter. Sequence is numbered relative to the transcriptional start site. FOX binding sites are in red, while NF-kB sites are in green. B) Effects of MAT1A OV or si on wild type (WT) or mutant (MU) 8x*FOXM1* promoter activity in MzChA-1 cells. Results are expressed as mean % of EV or SC ± SEM from three experiments done in triplicates. \*p < 0.05 vs. EV or SC of WT construct.

Figure S7. Protein and mRNA expression of MAT $\alpha$ 1, FOXM1, p50 and p65 in tumorous and adjacent tissues from *Mat*1*a*-/- mice. A) Representative IHC of MAT $\alpha$ 1, FOXM1, p50, and p65 in 15-month old *Mat*1*a*-/- and WT male mice. Top row = WT livers (x200); middle (x200) and bottom (x400) rows = HCC from *Mat*1*a*-/- mice

livers. Arrow points to the magnification position. **B-D**) Representative gross features (**B**), *Mat1a* (**C**), and *Foxm1* (**D**) mRNA level of WT liver tissues, *Mat1a-/-* adjacent and tumorous tissues. **E**) Western blotting of MAT $\alpha$ 1, FOXM1, p50, and p65 in WT liver tissues, *Mat1a-/-* adjacent and tumorous tissues. **F**) Densitometric values of **E**). \*p < 0.05 vs. WT liver tissues. #p < 0.05 vs. *Mat1a-/-* adjacent tissues.

FOXM1 (E) and MAT1A (F) mRNA levels.

Figure S8. MAT1A and FOXM1/NF-kB reciprocal interplay does not occur in normal human hepatocytes or biliary epithelial cells. Western blotting for MAT $\alpha$ 1, FOXM1, p50, and p65 at baseline and after MAT1A or FOXM1 overexpression for 24 hours in normal human hepatocytes and H69 cells (normal human biliary epithelial cells). \*p<0.05 vs. EV.

Figure S9. Effects of MAT1A and FOXM1 on migration in Hep3B cells. Effects of varying MAT1A and FOXM1 expressions on Hep3B cell migration was examined as described in Experimental Procedures. Quantitative values are summarized in the graph below. Results are expressed as mean % of EV+EV or SC+SC  $\pm$  SEM from three experiments done in duplicates. \*p < 0.05 vs. EV+EV or SC+SC; #p < 0.05 vs. FOXM1 OV or siRNA; †p < 0.05 vs. MAT1A OV or siRNA.

Figure S10. Effect of T0 and FDI-6 on cell growth, and protein levels of MAT $\alpha$ 1, FOXM1, p65, and p50 in MzChA-1 cells. A) Dose-response curve of FDI-6 treatment for 24 hours on cell growth in MzChA-1 cells. Results are from three experiments expressed as percent of 0 ± SEM. \*p < 0.05 vs. DMSO; #p<0.05 vs. FDI-6 (10uM). B-C) Protein expression of MAT $\alpha$ 1, FOXM1, p50, and p65 after T0 (B) and FDI-6 (C) treatment in MzChA-1 cells. Numbers below the blots are densitometric values expressed as % of DMSO control ± SEM from three independent experiments. \*p < 0.05 vs. DMSO.

Figure S11. Effects of T0 and FDI-6 on expression of MAT $\alpha$ 1, FOXM1, p50 and p65, and tumor growth. A) Pictures of liver xenograft tumors at day 28 after injection of MzChA-1 cells in DMSO and T0 or FDI-6 treatment groups. B) Average tumor volume of the three groups are shown over time. Treatments were started on day 9. C) H&E from the tumors show necrosis in the T0 and FDI-6 treated groups (X100). D) Representative IHC pictures are shown from n = 8 each (X200) for expression of MAT $\alpha$ 1, FOXM1, p50 and p65.

**Figure S12. Ingenuity pathway analysis.** Ingenuity pathway analysis was performed as described in Experimental Procedures on the MAT1A, FOXM1, and NF-κB data in CCA (**A**) and HCC (**B**). Representative canonical pathways are associated with FOXM1, MATα1, NF-κB and other related genes (including the transcription regulator, enzymes and other molecules) of HCC or CCA. Functional relationships of these genes are depicted using straight lines with arrows. Solid lines show direct regulation while dotted lines depict indirect interactions.

**Figure S13.** Interplay between MAT1A and FOXM1/NF-κB in liver cancer. MATα1 and NF-κB (p65/p50) interact with FOXM1 at the FOX elements of *MAT1A* and *FOXM1* promoters. While NF-κB co-activate the FOX element, MATα1 co-repress. Interestingly, the FOX elements in the *MAT1A* promoter function as repressors but the FOX element in the *FOXM1* promoter is an enhancer. This explains how MAT1A and FOXM1 exert reciprocal negative regulation against each other. Similarly, FOXM1 and MATα1 also interact at the NF-κB element of the *FOXM1* promoter. FOXM1 binds to the NF-κB element directly, whereas MATα1 requires the presence of either p50 or p65. Our previous finding that MAT1A inhibits NF-κB-driven promoter activity supports the notion that MATα1 also acts as a co-repressor of the NF-κB element. In contrast, FOXM1 positively regulates NF-κB in liver cancer mainly by suppressing *MAT1A* transcription and displacing MATα1 from binding to the NF-κB site. This explains the finding that FOXM1's effect on NF-κB is lost in liver cancer cells that do not express MAT1A. Taken together, in liver cancer either an increase in FOXM1 or a fall in MAT1A can provide the fuel to drive the feedforward loop to enhance cancer progression.



**S1** 



в

GSE57957 (39)

GSE41804 (20)





**S**3

PBC

Α





С









## MAT1A promoter

Α

-1111	AATG TGTGCCAGAA
-1097 AAAATTTTTC CAAATGCTTG ATAA	TAAACT CAGAGGGGG <u>G TTTGGTCACC</u> AGAATTGTTA
C/EBP	AP1
-1037 GGTGAGGCTATTGCTTCCTAACTT	TTGCTT CCCACAGTCC AAGCTTTGAT GCACAAGGTT
-977 ATGGTTGATT ACTTTTTATT GCATT	TCTAGT GGGAACTGGT TTCTCCACCC ATCCTCATTT
-917 TCTGTGGTCT CAATTCCCCATTGTI	CCTTG GGTCTGAGGA TGCAGCTGGA TCTGAGAGTG
-857 TGAGACGCTG TCATTTAGTA CATG	AACTAA AGATATAATC C <mark>TGTTTA</mark> CTA CTTTTTTGG
	FOX
-797 TCAAAGCAAA AAATAATGCAAGAG	GTTATGT GAACACGA <u>TG TTTA</u> TTACAT GTATAGAACT
	FOX
-737 GAATATGTAG ATGTTTATAA TCCG	GAAGCT TTGGGAAACT GG <u>ACTTTGAT AATTT</u> CCCTG
FOX	C/EBP
-677 TAATGAATCC ATTTCTCAAAAGCA	TTITTTTCTAAAAAAA ACACACACAC ACACACACAC
-617 ACACACACAT TGTTCTCTGTAACC	ICCCCAGATAGATACTTTTTAAAGATCTTGCTTGTT
-557 AAAATGCCTG CCAGCCTTTT AGAG	AAGTTG ACAGGTTAGG TGGTTTCTGT TAGCAGAAAC
-497 ACGTGGACTC AAAGCTTTTC CTCT E-Box	AAAATGAATCTGTTGT GTAACATCAC AGCTGGCTCA
-437 GAATACAGGTGCGTGCTCCTGCTC	TCCCTG AGAAGATAGA ATGGGAAGAGACCAATCCAG
-377 ATGAGACGCA GGGGAGGAGG GGA	CACCCAA CAGCAAAGGC ACTGTTGCAA TCTTAGCCTA
	C/EBP
-317 AACCATATCT CTGAGAAAGAGTTT	CTTGTT GCCTGCTTGT ATCTCTGGGT GATCACAGAC
-257 CCCTGCTCTC CAAGGTGGGTTGTG.	AACTCT GGAGCACTAC CAAGATTGGC TAAGAGCTGA
-197 AGGAGAGTCC CAAAGGAGCT TCAA	ATTCTGC AGAACTATAC AGCCTTCTTT ACCTTACCTT
-137 GACCAGGTGC TTAGAGTTTGGAAA	GTCAGG GATAAGAATT GAAATTCCTC CAGGTAAGAA
C/EBP	
-77 GACCCCCCTCTTAGGAAATGGACT	CCTCCA ATTTTCTCAC ATGATTTTTC AGGCACTTTC
-17 GCTTTTCCAT ATATAGG	

C/EBP TATA TSS Box



# FOXM1 promoter

Α

в

-1304			AA	CTCACACCAC	ACTCCATTCA	GGTCGATTAG
-1272	CTCAAACCCC	TCAGATATTT	CTCAGCCTTT	GTACTCACTG	TGCTTCCTCC	TGCCATCCAG
-1212	CCTTTGCACG	CCTGATTCCC	TCTGCTTAGA	GGAGTTCCCT	CCTTTCTTTG	TAAAAGGCAT
-1152	CAGATCTCAC	ACCAAGCTCT	CATTACAAAA	GCCTTTCCTG	TCCTACCTCT	CAAGATCTCG
-1092	TTATTGTAAA	TACTCAAAGT	ATCATTAACC	TCTAATGTTT	CAATTTACAG	CAATAATTCA
-1032	ACATTTGTTT	GTTTTGGAGA	CGGTGTCTCC	CTCTGTCGCC	CAGGCTGGAG	TGCAGTGGTG
	FOX	NF-1	¢В			
-972	TGATCATGGC	TTACCATAGC	CTCCACCTCT	CGGCCTCAGG	TGATCCTTCC	ACCTCAGCCT
-912	CCAGAGTAGC	TGGGACTACA	GGCACGCACC	ACCACGCCCG	GCTAATTTTT	AGTAGAGAAG
-852	CGGTTTCGCT	ATGTTGCCAG	GCTGGTCTCG	AACTCGTGAC	CTCAAGTGAT	CCACCCGCCT
-792	CGGCCTCCTA	AAGTGCTGGG	ATTACAGGCG	TGAGCCACTA	TGCCCAGCCC	ACATT
						FOX
-732	ATT TGATTAA	AATGTCTGTG	CCCCTCTTCC	AGGATT GGGC	TGTGAGCCCA	GGGGAAGGAA
-672	AGAACCTTGT	CTGCCATTGT	ATCTT CAGGG	CCTAGCGGTG	CCTGGCGCAC	AGCAGTTGCT
612	CARCTACACT	COTTONCTAN	CTCAATAAAT	AAACCA CTAC	COTOTATTAT	ATCCCARCCC
-552	TTGCCTTCCC	GACCCCAAA	ACACACCTT	CCCCCTCACC	TACCOTTONT	CCTCCCCACA
-492	TTTTTTTTCA	AGATGGAAGA	AGACAGOTIT	AATACGCAGC	CCTCAAAGA	ACTTAGTCTA
-432	ATCGGGGGGA	GCAGACGATC	GTTCACTGTG	GGADADTGGG	GTACGATTTC	CCCCAGTGAG
-372	GAAATCAACT	AAAGCCGAGC	TTTGAAAAGG	GGAGCAGAGG	AGCCTGAGGG	GAAGCGGGGG
-312	CGTGTCGCCT	GGCGTGACCA	GCGCGGCAGG	AAAAGCGGGC	CCAGGGACCC	GGGCCTGTCA
-252	CGCCGCTTCC	GCGCGTCCCC	AAACTCTCCC	TCGGCTCGCC	CACCCACGCG	GCGGGGGACCC
-192	CTCCGGCCCC	TCCCCGGCCC	CACGGCCACT	TCTTCCCCCA	CAAGCCGGCC	TGCGGTCCGC
-132	CTTACCAGCC	CGGGCCGGAC	GGGGCCGCAG	CTCCTGGCAG	ACCGCACAGC	CTTCGAGCCC
-72	GGAATGCCGA	GACAAGGCCG	GCGCCGATTG	GCGACGTTCC	GTCACGTGAC	CTTAACGCTC
-12	CGCCGGCGCC	AAT		NF-KB	E-Box	



**S6** 



в

**S**7















S10

Α



# A Ingenuity pathway of MAT1A, NF-kB and FOXM1 in CCA



B Ingenuity pathway of MAT1A, NF-kB and FOXM1 in HCC





S13

Deterate	T	Fold change	ofmRNA	
Datasets	Type of live cancer	MAT1A	FOXM1	
GSE50579	Human patients with genetic hemochromatosis	-1.77	2.44	
	Human patients with HBV infection	-1.98	13.4	
	Human HCC patients	-2.51	11.9	
	Human patients with HCV infection	-1.99	13.0	
	Human patients with cryptogenic (unknown)	-2.98	9.17	
GSE6222	Human liver cancer cell line (Huh7)	-38.4	22.6	
	Human HCC stage T3	-5.51	6.07	
GSE54238	Human HCC advanced stage	-4.81	6.0	
GSE25097	Human HCC patient	-1.94	6.5	
GSE29721	Human HCC patient	-2.95	3.89	
GSE33294	Human HCC samples from China	-7.85	19.6	
GSE55092	LCM Hepatocytes of HCC patients with HBV	-2.35	3.92	
	Liver biopsies of HCC patients tumor -center with HBV	-4.91	3.83	
	Liver biopsies of HCC patients tumor -periphery with HBV	-2.6	3.08	
GSE12443	Human HCC patient	-2.24	1.41	
GSE1898	MYC-driven liver cancers in a musculus model	-9.24	6.2	
GSE6764	Human HCC patients very advanced	-3.77	3.86	
	Human HCC patients advanced	-2.03	2.12	
	Human HCC patients very early	-1.56	1.41	
GSE94660	Human HCC patients HBV	-4.78	9.65	
TCGA	Human HCC patients from TCGA	-3.55	14.47	
GSE62232	Human HCC patients	-3.19	2.62	
GSE36411	Undifferentiated HCC Grade III-IV	-3.2	2.97	
	Differentiated HCC Grade III-IV	-6.56	2.11	
	Differentiated HCC Grade I-II	-2.4	1.5	
GSE60502	Human HCC patients	-3.05	2.34	
GSE14520	Human HCC patients vs. livers of healthy donors GPL571	-3.08	3.19	
	vs. non-tumor liver tissues GPL5	-4.58	2.78	
	vs. non-tumor liver tissues GPL3921	-3.61	2.16	
GSE5364	Human HCC patients	-110	9,98	
GSE55758	Human HCC patients	-3.14	2.64	
GSE57957	Human HCC patients	-2.76	1.47	
GSE22405	Human HCC patients	-2.1	1.55	
GSE39791	Male HCC patients	-2.01	1.7	
GSE104766	Proliferative hepatoblastoma patients	-7.46	1.77	
E-MEXP-1851	Hepatoblastoma tumor	-3.42	1.41	
GES36376	Human HCC patients	-2.52	1.44	
GSE26566	Human CCA patients vs. non-cancerous surrounding	-40.5	9.53	
	Human CCA patients vs. intrahepatic bile duct	-18.4	11.7	

## Supplemental Table 1. Fold changes of MAT1A and FOXM1 mRNA levels in datasets

Fold changes are relative to adjacent non-tumorous or normal liver tissues

Determine	Type of liver cancer	Fold change of mRNA		
Datasets		RELA	FOXM1	
GSE19665	Human patients with HBV infection	1.42	3.21	
GSE6764	Human HCC patients very early	1.26	1.41	
ODEDION	Human HCC patients	2.48	9.98	
	Human HCC patients advanced	1.32	2.1	
	Human HCC patients very advanced	1.38	3.86	
GSE55002	LCM Hepatocytes of HCC patients with HBV	v 1.42	3.92	
GSE20721	Human HCC patients	1.3	3.89	
GSE40367	Human HCC patients with lung metastases	1.43	5.73	
E_MEXP_1851	Hepatoblastoma tumor	1.3	1.41	
GSE30701	Male HCC patients	1.21	1.39	
00200101	Human HCC patients	1.2	1.44	

## Supplemental Table 2. Fold changes of RELA and FOXM1 mRNA levels in datasets

Fold changes are relative to adjacent non-tumorous or normal liver tissues

Datasets		Fold change of mRNA		
	Type of liver cancer	NF-ĸB1	FOXM1	
GSE39791	Male HCC patients	1.68	1.39	
	Human HCC patients	1.64	1.44	
GSE36376	Human HCC patients	1.33	1.44	

## Supplemental Table 3. Fold changes of NF-KB1 and FOXM1 mRNA levels in HCC datasets

Fold changes are relative to adjacent non-tumorous or normal liver tissues