Supporting Information Appendix SI Materials and Methods

Patient treatments

The patients were treated with standard first-line "3+7" induction regimens consisting of idarubicin (12 mg/m² for days 1-3) and Ara-C (100 mg/m², Days 1-7) at the first cycle of induction. Of the 101 patients, 15 (14.9%) received second cycle of induction. The patients received 2-4 courses of high-dose cytarabine-based therapy (2 g/m² every 12 h for days 1-3, total of 6 doses) or hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT), as consolidation therapy. Of the 101 patients, 18 (17.8%) underwent HSCT. A minority of patients received tyrosine kinase inhibitors during consolidation. Detailed information of the patients' treatment is listed in the Dataset S1.

Morphologic, Immunophenotypic and Clinical Molecular Analysis

The morphology of the bone marrow smear or Cytospin with Wright-Giemsa staining was reviewed by two independent pathologists at the Shanghai Institute of Hematology. The clinical flow cytometry was performed using 10-color multiparametric flow cytometry. The hotspot of the *KIT* gene mutation was detected by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and Sanger sequencing using the sense primer 5'- TATTGTGAATCTACTTGGAGCC-3' and the antisense primer 5'- AATCCCATAGGACCAGACG-3'. The molecular detection of *RUNX1-RUNX1T1* transcripts was carried out using reverse transcription-PCR using the sense primer 5'- CTACCGCAGCCATGAAGAACC-3' and the antisense primer 5'- CTACCGCAGCCATGAAGAACC-3' and the antisense primer 5'- AGAGGAAGGCCCATTGCTGAA-3'.

Fluorescence-Activated Cell sorting (FACS)

FACS was performed on a FACSAria[™] III sorter (BD Biosciences). The following antibodies were used for cell staining: anti-CD34-FITC (IM1870U, Beckman Coulter), anti-CD34-APC (340441, BD Biosciences), anti-CD117-APC (341096, BD Biosciences), anti-CD117-PE (340529, BD Biosciences), anti-HLA-DR-APC-Cy7 (307618, Biolegend), anti-CD15-PE (IM1954U, Beckman Coulter) and anti-CD11b-FITC (IM0530, Beckman Coulter). FACSDiva (BD) software was used for data analysis.

Cell Cycle Analysis

Surface marker-stained BMMCs were fixed with BD Cytofix/Cytoperm Fixation for 1 hour at 4°C in the dark and were then washed with BD Perm/Wash buffer twice. Two microliters of anti-Ki67 antibodies ($\leq 0.5 \mu g$ per million cells, 652404, Biolegnd) were added to each tube, and the cells were incubated at 4°C in the dark. Thirty minutes later, 5 µl Hoechst

33342 (10mg/mL, Life Technologies) was added to each tube, and the cells were immediately analyzed by flow cytometry. Flow cytometry was performed on an LSR Fortessa[™] X-20 (BD Biosciences) and was analyzed by FlowJo Software.

Migration Assay

A total of 1×10^5 of sorted cells were seeded in 200 µl of serum-free medium in the upper chamber of an 8-µm-pore-size Corning Costar Transwell plate (Corning). The lower chamber was filled with 800 µL of complete culture medium (RPMI 1640, Gibco) containing 20% FBS (Gibco). Cells were allowed to migrate towards the lower chamber for 12 hours at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ incubator. Then, cells were collected and resuspended in 200 µL PBS. Viable cells were counted using a Countstar®BioTech (ALIT Life Science) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Colony-forming Unit (CFU) Assay

The clonogenic capacity of sorted CD34⁺CD117^{dim}, CD34⁺CD117^{bri} and AM cells was evaluated by a colony-forming unit assay. Briefly, 5×10^4 cells from each sorted group were seeded in semisolid methylcellulose media (Methocult H4435; STEMCELL Technologies) in 35-mm tissue culture dishes in duplicate. Cells were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Seven days later, colonies consisting of more than 50 cells were counted according to the StemCell Protocol.

Cellular Drug Sensitivity Assay

Cytarabine, daunorubicin, venetoclax and dasatinib were purchased from Selleck and was stored at -20°C. Isolated CD34⁺CD117^{dim}, CD34⁺CD117^{bri} and AM cells were plated at 2×10^4 cells per well in triplicate in 96-well plates in RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco) supplemented with 20% FBS (Gibco). Cells were treated with cytarabine, daunorubicin, venetoclax or dasatinib for 48 hours. CellTiter-Glo luminescent cell viability assays (G9242, Promega) were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions, and luminescence was measured on a VarioCskan® Flash Spectral Scanning Multimode Reader (Thermo Scientific).

Droplet Digital Polymerase Chain Reaction (ddPCR) Assay

Total RNA samples from t(8;21) AML specimens were extracted using an AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen) and were converted to cDNA with a PrimeScriptTM RT Reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (RR047A, TAKARA). For the *RUNX1-RUNX1T1* transcript, the sense primer was 5'-CACCTACCACAGAGCCATCAAA-3', the reverse primer was 5'-ATCCACAGGTGAGTCTGGCATT-3', and the probe was 5'-FAM-

AACCTCGAAATCGTACTGAGAAGCACTCCA-BHQ1-3'. For the RUNX1-RUNX1T19a transcript, the sense primer was 5'-TGAGCATTGCTGTCCTGGGTCATA-3', the reverse primer was 5'-TTGGATACTAGATACTGCAAGGGCCG-3', and the probe was 5'-FAM-TGAGGTCACATTGCTTCTCCAAAGGC-BHQ1-3'. The copy number of ABL1 was used 5'internal reference. The of ABL1 as an sense primer was TGGAGATAACACTCTAAGCATAACTAAAGGT-3', the 5'reverse primer was GATGTAGTTGCTTGGGACCCA-3', and the probe 5'-FAMwas CCATTTTTGGTTTGGGCTTCACACCATT-BHQ1-3'. The primer and probe for each target sequence were at final concentrations of 900 nM and 250 nM, respectively. A 20 µl reaction mixture consisted of input cDNA (50 ng), ddPCR Supermix (Bio-Rad laboratories) and primer/probes. The mixture was partitioned into droplets using a QX200 Droplet Generator (Bio-Rad Laboratories). PCR was performed in duplicate according to the manufacturer's recommended protocol, and the reaction products were analyzed using a QX200 Droplet Reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The transcript levels were assessed using QuantaSoft Analysis Pro software (Bio-Rad Laboratories).

Genomic DNA, Total RNA Extraction and Next-generation Sequencing

Genomic DNA and total RNA samples were extracted using an AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen). DNA/RNA quality and quantity were assessed on Agilent DNA/RNA 6000 chips (Agilent Technologies) and Qubit (Life Technologies) before next-generation sequencing library preparation, respectively. RNA-seq libraries of isolated CD34⁺CD117^{dim}, CD34⁺CD117^{bri} and AM were constructed using a TruSeq RNA Sample Prep Kit (Illumina), followed by sequencing on an Illumina MiSeq platform according to a 2 × 250 bp protocol. Total RNA-seq libraries of 62 t(8;21) AML patients were constructed using a KAPA RNA Hyper Kit (Roche) and were subjected to paired-end (2 × 150 bp) sequencing on a NovaSeq platform (Illumina). The libraries of whole-exome sequencing of patient AML-016 at different time points were constructed using KAPA Hyper Prep Kit and SeqCap EZ Human Exome v3.0 (Roche) according to the manufacturer's instructions. WES was performed on NovaSeq platform (Illumina) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

RNA-seq Alignment and Count Matrix Generation

The raw RNA-Seq reads were aligned to the human reference genome hg19 using STAR $(v2.7.0d)^1$, which was downloaded from the UCSC Genome Browser (http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/). Name-sorted and indexed BAM files were generated by Samtools $(v1.8-47)^2$. Table files with transcript counts were generated by the HTSeq

(v0.6.1)³. Afterward, the count matrix was obtained using DESeq2⁴. Fragments per kilobase million (FPKM) was used to evaluate expression levels of individual genes by normalizing the length of genes using the count matrix. Additionally, to quantify transcript expression levels, transcripts per kilobase million (TPM) value were determined using Salmon⁵. The R package limma⁶ was used to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) using normalized read counts. An in-house R package gymap (https://github.com/ytdai/gymap) was used to generate a heatmap of the expression profile.

Functional and Pathway Enrichment Analysis of Gene Transcriptional Expression Data

Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes was performed using both Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software (IPA®, Qiagen Redwood City) and DAVID (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/) with the default parameters. Hierarchical clustering and principal component analysis (PCA) were performed to identify the differences between the samples. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)⁷ was performed with a preranked algorithm using the clusterProfiler R package⁸. Normalized RNA-seq data were rank-ordered by the fold change. Gene sets were download from the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB, v7.0) of the Broad Institute. HALLMARK gene sets (H) and MSigDB curated gene sets (C2) were used to perform GSEA analysis in a 1,000permutation⁷. gene-set with two-sided R package а enrichplot (https://github.com/GuangchuangYu/enrichplot) was used to interpret enrichment results for visualization of GSEA. Gene signatures of hematopoietic cells in different stages were downloaded from Blueprint project in xCell database⁹.

Mutation Calling Using RNA-seq Data

In RNA-seq data, raw read counts were aligned to the human reference genome hg19 using STAR (v2.7.0d)¹. The mutation calling steps mainly followed the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK, v4.0.3)¹⁰ forum recommended best-practice pipeline. RNA variant calling datasets were annotated by ANNOVAR¹¹. The screening for variant identification followed our previously reported pipeline¹²: 1) > 10x depth in the variants site; 2) ≥ 5% variant allele frequency (VAF); 3) > 3 individual mutant reads; 4) Filter variants both observed on positive-strand and negative-strand; 5) Mutated genes occurred in database of AML with t(8;21), which referred to the results of genomic landscape AML with t(8;21)¹³; 6) Filter sites annotated in dbSNP (v147)¹⁴ database but not found in COSMIC (v81)¹⁵ database;

7) Checked by the Samtools² mpileup module and Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV)¹⁶.

Single-cell RNA Sequencing

Viably frozen Ficoll-isolated BMMCs from patient samples were thawed in a 37°C incubator and were suspended in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS. Cells were washed three times with 1× PBS supplemented with 0.04% bovine serum albumin, counted by a Countstar®BioTech (ALIT Life Science), and were diluted to a concentration of 1 million cells/ml. Single-cell libraries were generated using a GemCode Single-cell Instrument and a Single Cell 3' Library & Gel Bead Kit v2 and Chip Kit (10x Genomics) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The scRNA-seq libraries were subjected to paired-end (2 × 150 bp) sequencing on a NovaSeq platform (Illumina).

10x Genomics Single-cell RNA-sequencing Data Analysis

Raw reads in a FASTQ format were aligned to a human reference (hg19, v3.0.0) using Cell Ranger software (v3.0.2). The alignment reference and software were both provided by 10x Genomics (https://support.10xgenomics.com). The same software was used for barcode assignment and unique molecular identifier (UMI) counting using the parameter --expect-cells 10000. Raw count data were then analyzed with the R package Seurat (v3.1.2)¹⁷. Cells that expressed less than 800 genes or over 10% mitochondrial RNA were filtered out, as these samples might represent doublets. Filtered count matrix from different patients or time points were merged together in Seurat. Expression data was normalized using a global-scaling normalization method, which was provided by Seurat package with default parameters. Subsequently, 2000 variable genes were identified. Batch effects were removed using ComBat^{18,19}. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on the variable genes and the resolution parameter to identify clusters was set to 0.8. For visualization purposes, two nonlinear dimensional reduction methods were performed, namely, t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (tSNE)²⁰ and uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP)²¹. UMAP was chosen to further cell visualization. Clusters were identified that referred to the top markers found in each cluster with an adjusted *p*-value (p val adj) ≤ 0.05 and an average log fold change (avg logFC) \geq 0.5. In the analysis of gene signature similarity of the three cell populations between bulk RNA-seq and single-cell RNA-sequencing data, we chose top 200 DEGs from bulk RNA-seg data according to the ascending order of adjusted *P*-value with fold change over 2. GSEA was performed using the top 200 DEGs as the input functional gene-sets. Cell cycle phase scores were calculated using the built-in function CellCycleScoring in Seurat

with default parameters²². 17-gene leukemic stem cell (LSC17) score was calculated based on the equation provided by Stanley et al²³.

Differential Trajectory Analysis

After all cell populations were identified, Monocle $(v2.14)^{24}$ was used to reconstruct the differentiation trajectory. The expression data and cell type to build the Monocle object was built directly from the Seurat object. Top 100 variable genes that were differentially expressed in each cell type with a q-value of less than 0.01 were selected as "order genes" in the next analysis. The DDRTree method provided in Monocle was used for the dimensionality reduction.

Whole-Exome Sequencing Analysis and Mutation Calling

WES with an average read depth of 219.67× was performed for AML-016 with samples from the four stages of diagnosis, complete remission, relapse and pot-relapse. BWA $(v0.7.17-r1188)^{25}$ was used to align raw WES sequence reads to the human reference hg19. Samtools $(v1.8-47)^2$ was used to generate chromosomal-coordinated, sorted and indexed BAM files. The preprocessing steps were carried out mainly according to the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK, v4.0.3)¹⁰ forum recommended best-practice pipeline. The results from GATK HaplotypeCaller were used as the high-confidence sites. Several extra variant callers, such as GATK UnifiedGenotyper and VarScan2 (v2.4.3)²⁶, were used to prevent overly strict filtration by GATK HaplotypeCaller. WES data from complete remission was used as a normal control, and Mutect2 in GATK was used to call somatic mutations.

Clonal Evolution

Screening of gene mutations from the raw VCF file followed these analysis criteria: 1) > 10x coverage in the variant sites; 2) variant allele frequency (VAF) \ge 5% and at least three individual mutant reads; 3) filter reads of the base quality < 13; 4) filter variants observed only in the positive-strand or negative-strand; 5) \le 0.1% VAF in complete remission samples; and 6) passed the artificial check using the Samtools² mpileup module and Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV)¹⁶. All filtered variant sites from all time points were confirmed in IGV. Variants with a depth > 100× were enrolled in clonal evolution analysis. Clonal evolution was evaluated using variants that were detected at diagnosis, complete remission, relapse and post-relapse and was visualized by the R package fishplot²⁷.

Validation using GEO and TCGA cohorts

To validate the prognostic impact of genes significantly differentially expressed in

CD34⁺CD117^{dim}-high group in public cohorts, we used The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA-LAML)²⁸ and Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, GSE37642)²⁹ datasets. In the analysis of TCGA-LAML cohort, the raw expression matrix of FPKM and clinical data were downloaded using TCGAbiolinks³⁰. 142 cases (142/151) in TCGA-LAML cohort had available overall survival data. For GSE37642 cohort, the raw expression matrix and clinical data were downloaded via GEOquery³¹. 553 cases (553/562) in GSE37642 cohort had available overall survival data and 30 cases were with *RUNX1-RUNX1T1* fusion. We calculated the enrichment score of the 215 up-regulated genes in CD34⁺CD117^{dim}-high group using ssGSEA algorithm in GSVA package³². The mean value of enrichment score was used as the cutoff to separate cases into two groups (CD34⁺CD117^{dim} gene-set-low group and CD34⁺CD117^{dim} gene-set-high group).

Statistical Analysis

The clinical characteristics of the two groups were analyzed using the x^2 -test or Fisher's exact test for categorical parameters and the Mann-Whitney *U* test for continuous variables. ROC curve analysis was performed using the survivalROC package³³. Overall survival (OS) was measured from the date of disease diagnosis to the date of death (failure) or the last follow-up time (censored). Relapse-free survival (RFS) was defined as the time from the documentation of CR to treatment failure, such as relapse, refractory disease, death, or survival in CR at the last follow-up (censored). A Cox regression model was used for the univariate and multivariate analyses of OS and RFS. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to estimate the probabilities of OS and RFS and the log-rank test was used to compare the *P* values. Major molecular remission (MMR) was based on the *RUNX1-RUNX1T1* transcript level as previously described³⁴⁻³⁶. Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 25.0 (IBM) and GraphPad Prism 6.0.

SI Figures

Fig. S1. Clinical Immunophenotypic Characteristics and Morphological Features of Distinct Leukemic Cell Populations in t(8;21) AML Patients.

(A) The representative flow cytometry images of forward-scatter (FSC) and side-scatter (SSC) and the FSC and SSC value of the CD34⁺CD117^{dim} and CD34⁺CD117^{bri} populations (mean \pm s.d., n = 3). *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01. Statistical significance was determined using two-sided Student's *t* test. (B) Representative Wright-Giemsa-stained bone marrow smear of primary t(8;21) AML patients. (C and D) Representative flow cytometry images and corresponding morphologic differential counts of different cell populations on Cytospin were presented (mean \pm s.d., n = 4). The red arrow denoted the predicted differentiation trajectory of cells from myeloblasts to differentiated myeloid cells.



Fig. S2. Gene Expression Profiles and Biological Characteristics of CD34⁺CD117^{dim}, CD34⁺CD117^{bri} and AM Populations.

(**A**) Gene ontology (GO) analysis of the upregulated genes in CD34⁺CD117^{dim}, CD34⁺CD117^{bri} and AM populations.

(**B**) Heatmap of highly expressed genes in isolated CD34⁺CD117^{dim}, CD34⁺CD117^{bri} and AM populations.

(**C**) Gene expression levels of the representative drug resistance related genes in isolated CD34⁺CD117^{dim}, CD34⁺CD117^{bri} and AM populations. FPKM, fragments per kilobase million. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001. Statistical significance was determined using two-sided Wilcoxon test.

(**D**) The alternatively spliced 9a isoform of RUNX1T1 was detected in all cases in our transcriptome analysis. The location of the 9a exon is indicated by the red box.

(E) ddPCR showed the quantification of the *RUNX1-RUNX1T1* and *RUNX1-RUNX1T1* and *RUNX1-RUNX1T19a* transcripts in isolated CD34⁺CD117^{dim}, CD34⁺CD117^{bri} and AM populations. Results were normalized, and the copy number of *ABL1* was used as the internal reference (mean \pm s.d., *n* = 6 subjects with duplicates). *, *P* < 0.05. Statistical significance was determined using two-sided Student's *t* test. (F) Flow cytometry analysis of the BMMC samples from t(8;21) AML showed that CD34⁺CD117^{dim} and CD34⁺CD117^{bri} populations presented granulocytemonocyte progenitor (GMP) markers (CD34⁺CD38⁺CD7⁻CD10⁻CD45RA⁺).



Continued on the next page



Fig. S3. Single-cell Transcriptomic Analysis of t(8;21) AML at Diagnosis.

(**A**) Overview of the t(8;21) AML patients subjected to scRNA-seq. *KIT*-mut represents the *KIT* mutation status in t(8;21) AML patients. Cell numbers represent the single-cell transcriptomes that passed quality control. For each patient, Cell % (pie chart) indicates the cell count proportion of blasts and AM cells in Ficoll-isolated BMMCs.

(**B**) Comparison of the percentages of leukemic cells including blasts and AM cells between the clinical morphological counts at diagnosis and the morphological counts of BMMC Cytospin preparations after isolation the BMMCs (left panel). Representative Wright-Giemsa-stained Cytospin preparations of Ficoll-isolated BMMCs from the bone marrow of t(8;21) AML patients (upper right). Representative fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) plots of Ficoll-isolated BMMC cytospin preparations showing the t(8;21) translocation in most cells by using the probes specific to *RUNX1* (green) and *RUNX1T1* (red, lower right).

(**C**) UMAP analysis of each t(8;21) AML patients. Each dot represents a cell, and the colors represent different cell clusters. DC, dendritic cells; Immature Ery, Immature erythroid cells.

(**D**) Comparison of the percentages of major cell types between scRNA-seq results and morphologic counts of Wright-Giemsa-stained BMMC Cytospin preparations.

(E) Violin plot showing the *CD34* transcript (left) and *KIT* transcript (right) expression level in distinct cell types at diagnosis. The Y-axis shows the normalized read counts.

(**F**) GSEA analysis of the highly expressed gene-sets of the CD34⁺CD117^{dim}, CD34⁺CD117^{bri} and AM clusters identified in scRNA-seq compared with the RNA-seq data. Normalized enrichment score (NES) and nominal *P* value are given.

(**G**) IPA analysis showing the enrichment pathways of highly expressed genes in CD34⁺CD117^{dim}, CD34⁺CD117^{bri} and AM clusters based on the scRNA-seq data.

Α	Patient	Gender	Age	KIT-mut	Cells	Cell %
	AML-013	М	50	+	7,124	
	AML-016	F	58	+	11,309	
	AML-049	Μ	20	-	8,344	
	AML-060	М	31	-	10,487	
	AML-068	М	26	-	7,956	
	AML-070	F	47	+	10,001	
	AML-072	F	67	+	8,201	
	AML-076	F	41	-	7,784	
	AML-101	М	41	+	11,815	
	Total	9 pat	ients	83.0	21 cells	









Fig. S4. Single-cell Transcriptomic Analysis and Clonal Evolution Analysis of t(8;21) AML Along Disease Progression.

(**A**) Representative FISH plots of cytospin preparations of bulk cells, CD34⁺CD117^{dim} and CD34⁺CD117^{bri} populations obtained at different time points in patient AML-016 showing the t(8;21) translocation in most cells (left panel). Representative Wright-Giemsa-stained Cytospin preparations of bulk cells, CD34⁺CD117^{dim} and CD34⁺CD117^{bri} populations obtained at different time points in patient AML-016 showing the morphology was dominated by blast cells (right panel).

(**B**) UMAP analysis of cells from different samples after removing batch effects. Cells are colored by cell clusters (left), samples (middle) and cell-cycle states (right). AML-016-CR, BMMC sample obtained from patient AML-016 at complete remission. Two scRNA-seq data from BMMC of healthy donors were downloaded from database (https://support.10xgenomics.com/single-cell-geneexpression/datasets).

(**C**) Heatmap of the highly expressed genes in CD34⁺CD117^{dim}, CD34⁺CD117^{bri}, CD34⁺CD117^{bri}-S, CD34⁺CD117^{bri}-G2M and AM clusters at different time points (diagnosis, relapse and post-relapse). The relative expression level of genes (rows) across cells (columns) is shown.

(**D**) GSEA showing the activated pathways at post-relapse stage. Nominal *P* value and normalized enrichment score (NES) are given.

(E) Violin plot showing the LSC17 score of CD34⁺CD117^{dim}, CD34⁺CD117^{bri}, CD34⁺CD117^{bri}-S and CD34⁺CD117^{bri}-G2M clusters at diagnosis (Dx), relapse (R) and post-relapse (P-R). **, P < 0.01; ****, P < 0.0001. Statistical significance was determined using two-sided Wilcoxon test.

(**F**) Violin plot showing the *CD34* transcript expression level in CD34⁺CD117^{dim}, CD34⁺CD117^{bri}, CD34⁺CD117^{bri}-S, CD34⁺CD117^{bri}-G2M and AM clusters at diagnosis (Dx), relapse (R) and post-relapse (P-R). The Y-axis shows the normalized read counts. **, P < 0.01; ****, P < 0.001. Statistical significance was determined using two-sided Wilcoxon test.

(**G**) The line chart shows the CD34⁺CD117^{dim} proportion among CD34⁺ cells at relapse and post-relapse time points in ten t(8;21) AML patients, including AML-016. Statistical significance was determined using two-sided Student's *t* test (upper left). The clinical flow cytometry data show the distribution of CD34⁺

myeloblasts by antigen CD34 and CD117 in ten t(8;21) AML patients at relapse and post-relapse time points. Cells were gated according to the cell distribution characteristics of each patient.



Continued on the next page



Fig. S5. Gene Expression Profiles and Clinical Features of t(8;21) AML Patients with Different CD34⁺CD117^{dim} Cell Proportions

(**A**) Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves of CD34⁺CD117^{dim} proportion in terms of overall survival (OS) and relapse-free survival (RFS). Area under curves (AUC): OS, 0.72; RFS, 0.70.

(**B**) GSEA results showing the activated pathways of IL6-JAK-STAT3, P53, WNT- β -catenin, hematopoietic cell lineage, NOTCH and VEGF in CD34⁺CD117^{dim}%-high group. Normalized enrichment score (NES) and nominal *P* value were given.

(**C**) OS and RFS stratified by CD34⁺CD117^{dim} proportion in 83 t(8;21) AML patients who did not receive HSCT.

(**D**) We established a gene-set based on the highly expressed genes of CD34⁺CD117^{dim}%-high group (CD34⁺CD117^{dim} gene-set). CD34⁺CD117^{dim} gene-set-low and CD34⁺CD117^{dim} gene-set-high represent patients with low expression of CD34⁺CD117^{dim} gene-set and patients with high expression of CD34⁺CD117^{dim} gene-set, respectively. Kaplan-Meier survival curves for 30 t(8;21) AML patients in GEO and 142 AML patients in TCGA were shown.

(**E**) Kaplan-Meier survival curves stratified by different therapeutic strategies in t(8;21) AML patients with high CD34⁺CD117^{dim}% or *KIT* mutations (n = 66, left), with high CD34⁺CD117^{dim}% (n = 51, middle), and with *KIT* mutations (n = 37, right).



Time (Months)

SI Tables

Table S1. Summary of single-cell RNA sequencing metrics of nine t(8;21) AML patients

Patient ID	Stage	Sequencer	Number of Cells Recovered	Median Genes per cell	Median unique molecula r identifier counts per cell	Fraction Reads in Cells	Reads mapped confidently to exonic regions	Reads mapped confidently to intronic regions	Reads mapped confidently to intergenic regions	Valid barcodes
AML-013	Diagnosis	Illumina novaseq 6000 System	7124	1304.5	4707	85.70%	65.10%	20.00%	5.40%	97.20%
AML-068	Diagnosis	Illumina novaseq 6000 System	7956	1291	4946.5	82.70%	60.10%	27.40%	4.80%	97.80%
AML-060	Diagnosis	Illumina novaseq 6000 System	10487	1890	7365	91.40%	68.10%	20.40%	3.80%	98.00%
AML-072	Diagnosis	Illumina novaseq 6000 System	8201	2382	9149	95.50%	69.70%	17.40%	4.20%	98.00%
AML-049	Diagnosis	Illumina novaseq 6000 System	8344	1328	3765	82.00%	57.40%	26.70%	4.80%	97.70%
AML-070	Diagnosis	Illumina novaseq 6000 System	10001	1949	6585	78.80%	69.00%	18.70%	4.50%	97.90%
AML-076	Diagnosis	Illumina novaseq 6000 System	7784	1948	7478.5	86.40%	68.90%	17.40%	3.80%	98.00%
AML-101	Diagnosis	Illumina novaseq 6000 System	11815	2110	8150	96.20%	70.10%	16.50%	3.80%	98.00%
AML-016	Diagnosis	Illumina novaseq 6000 System	11309	1906	7925	92.30%	64.50%	19.50%	4.10%	97.70%
AML-016	Complete Remission	Illumina novaseq 6000 System	6536	763	2616.5	75.30%	69.10%	14.70%	3.70%	96.80%
AML-016	Relapse	Illumina novaseq 6000 System	8249	1687	8911	93.20%	71.50%	15.10%	3.80%	96.80%
AML-016	post-relapse refractory disease stage	Illumina novaseq 6000 System	7474	2348.5	11290	93.90%	66.00%	18.70%	3.90%	97.20%

Table S2. Specific immunophenotypic markers and gene markers of distinct cellclusters

Cluster	ID	Immunophenotype	Gene Marker			
0	CD34 ⁺ CD117 ^{dim}	CD34 ⁺ CD38 ⁺ CD7 ⁻ CD10 ⁻ CD45RA ⁺ (GMP) CD117 ^{dim}	CRIP1, CRIP2, LGALS1, ANXA2, EMP3, VIM			
1	CD34 ⁺ CD117 ^{bri}		NPW, C1QTNF4, TRH, PLAC8, KIT, DNTT			
2	CD34 ⁺ CD117 ^{bri} -S	CD34 CD38 CD7 CD10 CD45RA ⁺ (GMP) CD117 ^{bri}	TYMS, TK1, PCNA, CCNB1,			
3	CD34 ⁺ CD117 ^{bri} -G2M		CENPF, UBE2C			
4	АМ	CD34 ⁻ CD117 ⁻ HLA-DR ⁻ CD15 ⁺ CD11b ⁻	PRTN3, ELANE, AZU1, CST7			
5	DC	$CD45^+$	FCER1A, HPGD, MS4A3, IL5RA			
6	Monocyte precursor	CD34 ^{dim/-} CD14 ⁻	MS4A6A, LYZ, CST3, S100A9, CCL3			
7	Monocytes	CD34 ⁻ CD117 ⁻ CD64 ⁺ CD14 ⁺	FCN1, CCL3, S100A8, S100A9, LYZ, CST3			
8	B cells	CD19 ⁺ CD79A ⁺	CD79A, CD79B, ZCCHC7			
9	T cells	CD3 ⁺ CD7 ⁺	CD3D, CD3E, CD7, GZMA			
10	Immature erythroid cells	CD71 ⁺	CA1, CA2, HBM, HBD			

CD34 ⁺ CE	0117 ^{dim} vs.	CD34 ⁺ CD11	17 ^{bri} vs. other	AM <i>vs.</i> other		
other s	ignature	sigr	nature	sign	ature	
MS4A4E	COX6CP17	ZRANB3	RRP1	мси	ANKRD22	
HPGD	TGM2	LAPTM4B	TFAP4	МҮО7В	WIPI1	
DNAH8	AXL	TRAP1	NDC1	TACSTD2	PIP4P2	
SIGLEC6	SYTL3	PPAT	GEMIN5	CAPN3	IPCEF1	
MAF	МОВЗВ	DPY19L2P2	IDH1	GLRX	DMXL2	
CAMK1D	ZFP36L1	TERT	NUP205	ABCA13	RILPL1	
NTRK1	MS4A2	NPW	IARS	ANO10	ARFGEF1	
ABCA9	CDC42EP3	C1QTNF4	NLE1	ENTPD1	CALM1	
TPSAB1	FBXL2	KIT	NPM3	GYG1	LRRC25	
SEMA7A	EBF1	PALB2	NETO2	PADI2	DHCR7	
ADAM8	MYCNOS	CENPV	ARMC6	ALDOC	PIGB	
CD226	ZDHHC11B	RTN4R	CDCA7	DIRC2	PSTPIP1	
KIFC3	POU2F2	GATB	POLD2	COL17A1	UGCG	
C2orf66	ARHGAP31	MRPS26	PGBD4P1	GCA	KRT8P26	
SLC10A5	NLRP1	MDFI	USP51	MSRB1	GADD45A	
FCER1A	RARA	SAMD11	DNAJC12	FBXO9	TMEM87A	
STAB1	LMO4	AADAT	ALDH18A1	NQO2	CPNE2	
TNIK	CD209	DYDC2	ALDH1B1	LTB4R	CEBPE	
IL5RA	GDF11	GPSM2	NUP35	CFLAR	CPNE3	
GLOD5	CSPG4	TRUB2	KLRG2	LRG1	NADK	
NR4A3	TPPP3	ANKRD16	DANCR	SNX18	ZFAND5	
UGT2B11	SLC6A6	ANAPC1	DUSP27	BST1	BPI	
SFXN3	CNTN4-AS1	NOG	BMP1	C3AR1	NCF2	
LGALS1	ANXA2	KNOP1	NOP56	RGL4	SPAG4	
AHNAK	ISPD-AS1	SLC27A5	GPT2	CITED2	OCRL	
FOSL2	PARP15	тносз	INPP5J	SLCO4C1	PLIN5	
RGS9	FAM83F	MMAB	UMPS	ANXA3	SORT1	
S1PR1	CCDC141	KLHL23	HNRNPA1P10	AMPD3	PSTPIP2	
TPSD1	NRBP2	HADH	ECI2	VILL	LRRC75A	
GATA1	EFNA4	VSIG10	SUV39H2	ABHD5	WAC-AS1	
CYSLTR2	NMT2	ADA	TRBV28	CLTCL1	CALML4	
MICALCL	SPTBN2	PRELID3A	EARS2	NLRC4	CSNK1A1L	
CRISPLD2	ITGB5	CCDC8	B9D1	AKTIP	GFOD1	
IGLON5	RNU6-509P	MSH2	MATK	DAPK2	MLNR	
CCR4	PHLPP2	KRT17P3	SLC25A19	SRPK1	DZIP1L	
LINC01878	DDIT4	PYCR3	RPGRIP1L	SLC26A8	LILRA2	
ACVRL1	MEIS2	AIF1L	LRPPRC	PIWIL4	AFF2	
DOK6	ARID5B	AGMAT	CHCHD4	PPM1M	NFAM1	
EMP1	VIM	STAP2	NME1	FAR2	MIF4GD	

Table S3. Top 200 differentially expressed genes of CD34⁺CD117^{dim}, CD34⁺CD117^{bri} and AM cell populations from bulk RNA-seq data

00/00	110004404	EUT40	DAIOO	0540444	DTDMOO
	LINC01121	FUTTO	PAICS		PTPN22
		RPUSD3	BAGATT	STXBP5-	
		FAM60C2P			
GRAP2	FLIT	MSH6	SIGMART	TIPAL	
CSF1	PDE4A	UNG	ZNF730	FAM200B	SERPINB1
SORBS1	ADGRE2	IMPDH2	NOC3L	ALPK1	NCBP3
ITGB8	TAL1	FARSA	RUVBL1	JAG1	TESMIN
CD22	SLC2A6	NR2C2AP	FKBP4	ALAS1	<u>ST7L</u>
CTNNBL1	MTCL1	THOP1	CHEK2	ZFP92	ICA1
CDK15	RRAD	NUDT8	AGPAT5	FBXL5	G6PD
LPP	IDS	DNPH1	CEP41	GFOD2	COL18A1
PPP1R16B	PHLDA1	NME4	DCTPP1	MPP7	TECPR2
TRAF1	CCR3	FAHD2B	IFITM3	OGG1	PLB1
CD44	ATF3	RAD54B	IGFBP6	FRMD3	SYK
LMNA	ZNF609	MAST4-AS1	ATP6V0E2-	PDE6H	B4GALT5
IL1RL1	HLA-L	IFRD2	HLTF	PTH2R	NOCT
SLC35E4	GRASP	RPP40	ADRA2C	CLEC9A	NXF3
TIMP3	RHBDD2	TMEM97	BOLA3	FUT4	IFT20
CACNA2D	PAOX	CD320	EBPL	SUCO	ANKRD42
GAS7	тох	F7	CDC7	NSUN5	FSTL3
MTSS1	KCNH8	USP27X-AS1	LSM14B	DNAJC5	PNP
BAIAP3	AHR	TMIE	C19orf48	PIK3CG	GANC
CHDH	MMP19	HPDL	MYO5C	BRI3	KIAA1211L
DUSP5	AGBL4	MFAP4	WDR3	S100P	AZU1
PHACTR1	METRNL	FOLH1	SLC39A14	SPINK8	FZD9
EEF2K	CHST3	AKR1A1	BLMH	ENTPD7	PRPSAP1
RIPOR3	NRCAM	POLR1E	SLC25A15	ARG1	VPS8
SRC	GAB2	PRSS57	SKA3	ZNF33A	FCAR
GBP2	LPXN	ALDH7A1	ZNF835	ATP8A1	C1orf162
SLC43A2	S100A10	ZNF724	ZNF519	BCL2L15	C9orf66
CD80	SYNGAP1	GAMT	MRPL11	TARM1	ITGAE
CALCRL	N4BP3	JADE3	TRH	CST7	STOM
SIPA1L1	NT5DC2	PM20D2	CENPI	LYZ	VSTM1
HVCN1	CYP1B1	PDCD11	CSRP2	CYSTM1	NDUFB4P2
AR	EHD2	KTN1-AS1	DSCC1	TESK2	GNS
DAPK1-IT1	PPP4R1L	MACROD1	TMIGD2	GGTA1P	WNT5B
CD109	DHRS3	AGK	CACNA1C-	CEACAM6	SLC35C2
RCAN3	FCMR	РССВ	BUB1	MNDA	ATP8B4
LINC02458	DMD	TEDC2	SERPINE2	NPL	CTSD
TPPP	ZNE521	IGU 1	ERCC6I		FLANE
TTLI6	CALHM2	TRBV20-1	WDR18	MGAT5	TUBA4A
EMP3	SI C9A9		STMN1	MAPK14	AP4R1
	MYADM	TSEN2	MRPI 17	SI C4544	RHOU
	SI C12A2	COO3	C10BP		VAT1
SENTINIZ	SLUIZAS	0003		CDADUI	

MYCN	FAM49A	CCDC138	ACTL6A	APMAP	C5AR2
CHST2	RASL10A	CD200	GPATCH4	ROGDI	NKG7
ITPR3	PTGDR2	CYB5A	LDHB	ARHGAP24	ZNF189
LPAR5	GMPR	TLN2	SNHG1	RGCC	S100A8
MAFF	RARG	SAPCD2	MREG	FAM46A	KCNE1
BMP6	LINC00578	NARS2	TRMT11	ACTN1	GPR160
TPSB2	LINC01218	ANKLE1	GINS1	CD24P4	FAM107B
IFNK	SIDT1	FIRRE	ZNF550	SLA	TBC1D22B
AQP1	IL13	CPXM1	KDELC2	DNAJC13	DPY19L1P1
CRTC3	TMOD1	DKC1	HSPC324	PLEKHA2	RASA1
SPINK4	IL17RB	GNPTAB	MAD2L1	SLC25A37	PFKFB3
TGFB1I1	DUSP8	KYAT1	CCNG1	SRGN	MFSD14B
DMPK	ZMIZ1	MYOZ3	MYT1	S100A9	CD82
MS4A1	NOS3	PACSIN3	ANKRD26	SLC22A4	KCNH7
ENPP3	IFFO2	SLC25A1	PARP1	ZNF438	YWHAH
GPRC6A	ELK3	POLD1	PGF	MLKL	P2RY13
TNPO1P1	PLD2	DNMT3B	C20orf197	ZBTB47	TMEM170B

		Aq		.	WBC	Morpho	ology	RUNX1-RUNX1T1 (RT-PO		T-PCR)	кіт	LAIP		
ID	Gender	e	Days	Diagnosis	(x10 ⁹ /L)	Myelo blasts %	AM %	Cytogenetics	RUNX1- RUNX1T1	ABL	Ratio	Mutation	%	Treatment
AML- 016	Female		0	Diagnosis	22.8	57.5	29.5	46,XX,der(8)t(8;21)(q22;q 22),-13,- 21[1]/46,XX[4]/[6]	2.33E+06	7.05E+05	3.3	+	51.4	Idarubicin x 3 days + Ara-C x 7 days
			163	CR (5 months)	4.84	<5	-	/	2.34E+03	9.10E+05	2.57E- 03	-	<0.0 1	Intermediate- dose Ara-C
		59	401	Relapse	2.64	85	-	NA	1.14E+06	5.70E+05	1.99	+	72.5	Idarubicin x 3 days + Ara-C x 7 days + Dasatinib x 14 days
		55	585	Refractory disease post- relapse	4.56	51	-	44~45,XX,der(8)t(8;21)(q 22;q22),-11,- 14,+M1~M2[CP2]/45,X,- X,+der(8)t(8;21)(q22;q22) ,-11,- 14,+M1~M2[CP3]/44~45, XX,der(8)t(8;21)(q22;q22) ,add(11q23),- 14,+M1~M2[CP2]/46,XX[2]/[11]		NA		+	68.4	CAG regimen (Aclarubicin x 4 days, Ara-C x 14 days, G- CSF x 14 days)

 Table S4. Clinical characteristics of patient AML-016 performing scRNA-seq and WES at different time points

Table S5. Clinical characteristics of 101 t(8;21) AML patients

Characteristic	Total	CD34 ⁺ CD117 ^{aim} % -low	CD34 ⁺ CD117 ^{aim} % -high	P value
Patients (N)	101	50	51	
Age, median (range) (years)	41 (17-74)	42.5 (17-67)	41 (18-74)	0.433
Gender (Male/Female)	55/46	26/24	29/22	0.624
WBC, median (range) (× 10 ⁹ /L)	10.5 (2.3-94.6)	7.8 (2.4-94.6)	12.8 (2.3-69.4)	0.009
Hemoglobin, median (range) (g/L)	76.0 (41.0-153.0)	79.5 (42.0-125.0)	74.0 (41.0-153.0)	0.187
Platelet, median (range) (× 10 ⁹ /L)	32.0 (4.0-221.0)	33.0 (4.0-221.0)	32.0 (6.0-118.0)	0.974
Marrow blasts, median (range) (%)	44.5 (9.0-93.0)	43.25 (9.0-93.0)	45.5 (12.0-92.0)	0.772
AM, median (range) (%)	12.5 (0-45.0)	14.0 (0-39.0)	11.5 (0-45.0)	0.296
Karyotype ¹				
t (8;21) alone/all patients	30/91	18/46	12/45	0.206
Loss of X or Y chromosome/all patients	44/91	19/46	25/45	0.174
Molecular mutations				
<i>KIT</i> mutation/all patients ²	38/100	15/49	23/51	0.136
Immunophenotype				
CD34 (positive/negative) ³	100/1	49/1	51/0	0.495
CD117 (positive/negative)	101/0	50/0	51/0	-
CD19 (positive/negative)	63/38	33/17	30/21	0.457
CD56 (positive/negative)	77/24	37/13	40/11	0.601
CD11b (positive/negative) ⁴	6/95	3/47	3/48	1.000
CD15 (positive/negative)	19/82	12/38	7/44	0.186
Induction cycles to attain CR				
1 cycle/ > 1 cycle	86/15	45/5	41/10	0.175

Note:

91 patients were available for cytogenetic analysis.
 Out of the 101 patients, *KIT* mutation was unknown in 1 patient.

3. CD34 was tested by Fisher's Exact Test.

4. CD11b was tested by Continuity Correction. The rest binary variables were tested by Person Chi-Square.

Reference

- 1. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNAseq aligner. *Bioinformatics*. 2013; 29(1):15-21.
- 2. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, et al. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics.* 2009; 25(16):2078-9.
- 3. Anders S, Pyl PT & Huber W. HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics.* 2015; 31(2):166-9.
- Love MI, Huber W & Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 2014; 15(12):550.
- Patro R, Duggal G, Love MI, et al. Salmon provides fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nat Methods.* 2017; 14(4):417-419.
- Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, et al. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2015; 43(7):e47.
- Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2005; 102(43):15545-50.
- 8. Yu G, Wang LG, Han Y, et al. clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. *OMICS.* 2012; 16(5):284-7.
- 9. Aran D, Hu Z & Butte AJ. xCell: digitally portraying the tissue cellular heterogeneity landscape. *Genome Biol.* 2017; 18(1):220.
- McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, et al. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res.* 2010; 20(9):1297-303.
- Wang K, Li M & Hakonarson H. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2010; 38(16):e164.
- 12. Li JF, Dai YT, Lilljebjorn H, et al. Transcriptional landscape of B cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia based on an international study of 1,223 cases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2018; 115(50):E11711-E11720.
- 13. Christen F, Hoyer K, Yoshida K, et al. Genomic landscape and clonal evolution of acute myeloid leukemia with t(8;21): an international study

on 331 patients. Blood. 2019; 133(10):1140-1151.

- 14. Sherry ST, Ward MH, Kholodov M, et al. dbSNP: the NCBI database of genetic variation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2001; 29(1):308-11.
- Forbes SA, Beare D, Boutselakis H, et al. COSMIC: somatic cancer genetics at high-resolution. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2017; 45(D1):D777-D783.
- 16. Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdottir H, Winckler W, et al. Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2011; 29(1):24-6.
- 17. Butler A, Hoffman P, Smibert P, et al. Integrating single-cell transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2018; 36(5):411-420.
- Leek JT, Johnson WE, Parker HS, et al. The sva package for removing batch effects and other unwanted variation in high-throughput experiments. *Bioinformatics*. 2012; 28(6):882-3.
- Buttner M, Miao Z, Wolf FA, et al. A test metric for assessing single-cell RNA-seq batch correction. *Nat Methods.* 2019; 16(1):43-49.
- 20. Amir el AD, Davis KL, Tadmor MD, et al. viSNE enables visualization of high dimensional single-cell data and reveals phenotypic heterogeneity of leukemia. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2013; 31(6):545-52.
- 21. Becht E, McInnes L, Healy J, et al. Dimensionality reduction for visualizing single-cell data using UMAP. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2018;
- Tirosh I, Izar B, Prakadan SM, et al. Dissecting the multicellular ecosystem of metastatic melanoma by single-cell RNA-seq. *Science*. 2016; 352(6282):189-96.
- Ng SW, Mitchell A, Kennedy JA, et al. A 17-gene stemness score for rapid determination of risk in acute leukaemia. *Nature.* 2016; 540(7633):433-437.
- 24. Qiu X, Hill A, Packer J, et al. Single-cell mRNA quantification and differential analysis with Census. *Nat Methods.* 2017; 14(3):309-315.
- 25. Li H & Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics*. 2009; 25(14):1754-60.
- Koboldt DC, Zhang Q, Larson DE, et al. VarScan 2: somatic mutation and copy number alteration discovery in cancer by exome sequencing. *Genome Res.* 2012; 22(3):568-76.

- 27. Miller CA, McMichael J, Dang HX, et al. Visualizing tumor evolution with the fishplot package for R. *BMC Genomics.* 2016; 17(1):880.
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research N, Ley TJ, Miller C, et al. Genomic and epigenomic landscapes of adult de novo acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med.* 2013; 368(22):2059-74.
- 29. Herold T, Metzeler KH, Vosberg S, et al. Isolated trisomy 13 defines a homogeneous AML subgroup with high frequency of mutations in spliceosome genes and poor prognosis. *Blood.* 2014; 124(8):1304-11.
- Colaprico A, Silva TC, Olsen C, et al. TCGAbiolinks: an R/Bioconductor package for integrative analysis of TCGA data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016; 44(8):e71.
- Davis S & Meltzer PS. GEOquery: a bridge between the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and BioConductor. *Bioinformatics*. 2007; 23(14):1846-7.
- Hanzelmann S, Castelo R & Guinney J. GSVA: gene set variation analysis for microarray and RNA-seq data. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2013; 14(7.
- Heagerty PJ, Lumley T & Pepe MS. Time-dependent ROC curves for censored survival data and a diagnostic marker. *Biometrics*. 2000; 56(2):337-44.
- Zhu HH, Zhang XH, Qin YZ, et al. MRD-directed risk stratification treatment may improve outcomes of t(8;21) AML in the first complete remission: results from the AML05 multicenter trial. *Blood.* 2013; 121(20):4056-62.
- Schnittger S, Weisser M, Schoch C, et al. New score predicting for prognosis in PML-RARA+, AML1-ETO+, or CBFBMYH11+ acute myeloid leukemia based on quantification of fusion transcripts. *Blood*. 2003; 102(8):2746-55.
- Leroy H, de Botton S, Grardel-Duflos N, et al. Prognostic value of realtime quantitative PCR (RQ-PCR) in AML with t(8;21). *Leukemia*. 2005; 19(3):367-72.