Zrubka Z, Brito Fernandes O, Baji P, Hajdu O, Kovács L, Kringos D; Klazinga N, Gulácsi L, Brodszky V, Rencz F, Péntek M. eHealth Literacy and Patient-Reported Experiences with Outpatient Care in the Hungarian General Adult Population: A Cross-Sectional Survey Study. *J Med Internet Res.* 2020

Multimedia Appendix 5

Regression analyses of unmet medical needs and waiting times PREM scores

Model	Square root Unmet Medical Needs Score		Any Unmet Medical Needs		Any Waiting Problem	
	Robust <sup>p</sup>		Logistic		Logistic	
	Beta	P value	Beta	P value	Beta	P value
eHEALS <sup>e</sup>						
2nd quartile	-0.05	.58	-0.08	.79	-0.02	.94
3rd quartile	-0.15	.06	-0.57	.05	0.23	.42
4th quartile	-0.08	.38	-0.41	.19	0.07	.81
Age group <sup>f</sup>						
25-44 years old	-0.09	.41	-0.84	.05	-0.31	.45
45-64 years old	-0.29	.02	-1.45	.002	-0.96	.03
65+ years old	-0.26	.06	-1.27	.01	-1.34	.007
<b>Education</b> <sup>g</sup>						
Secondary	0.05	.52	0.12	.66	-0.31	.25
Tertiary	-0.24	.006	-0.87	.005	-0.22	.46
Gender						
Male	-0.11	.1	-0.31	.19	-0.52	.02
Income <sup>h</sup>						
2nd quintile	-0.03	.78	-0.16	.64	-0.08	.82
3rd quintile	-0.23	.04	-0.72	.08	-0.45	.26
4th quintile	-0.10	.39	-0.29	.42	-0.24	.52
5th quintile	-0.24	.02	-0.83	.01	-0.05	.89
Paid employment						
Yes	-0.05	.51	-0.34	.23	-0.21	.44
Family status						
Married / domestic partnership	0.07	.28	0.26	.26	-0.45	.05
Residence <sup>i</sup>					*****	
City	-0.08	.27	-0.37	.19	0.49	.09
Village	-0.03	.78	0.05	.89	0.31	.37
Self-perceived health <sup>j</sup>	0.02	., 0	0.00	.05	0.51	,
Very bad	0.64	.009	_	_	1.77	.21
Bad	0.45	.01	1.82	.006	1.15	.06
Fair	0.21	.13	1.15	.04	0.76	.13
Good	0.11	.39	0.81	.11	0.40	.39
GALI <sup>k</sup>	0.11	.57	0.01	.11	0.10	.57
Limited but not severely	0.17	.02	0.50	.04	0.72	.003
Severely limited	0.33	.04	0.91	.049	0.72	.19
Chronic morbidity	0.55	.04	0.71	.047	0.50	.17
Yes	0.16	.04	0.45	.12	0.33	.25
Setting <sup>l</sup>	0.10	.04	0.43	.12	0.55	.23
Public specialist	0.22	.22	0.74	.21	-0.07	.9
Private specialist	0.43	.03	1.21	.08	-0.34	.59
HCP type <sup>m</sup>	0.43	.03	1.21	.08	-0.54	.59
Specialist Specialist	-0.27	.12	-0.74	.21	0.29	.6
Other	-0.27	-	-0.74	21	-	-
Usual HCP	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yes	0.05	.54	0.37	.23	0.72	.02
Constant	0.66	.002	-0.03	.23 .97		.02
		.002		.97	-1.56	.04
1 DD 44 E(29,455)	484	Z 001	481		505	
LR <sup>n</sup> test F(28;455)	7.15	<.001	11/1	< 001		
LR test Chi-square (27)			114.1	<.001	74.0	Z 001
LR test Chi-square (28)	0.22				74.8	<.001
R <sup>2</sup>	0.23	1.5				
Ramsey RESET test F(3;452)	1.77	.15	400.0	00		
GOF test Chi-square (447)			488.9	.08	402.0	22
GOF test Chi-square (462)					482.8	.33

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Office waiting time was a problem

bAppointment waiting time was a problem cLog-office waiting time dLog- appointment waiting time Base: 1st quartile Base: 1st-24 years old Base: Primary Base: 1st quintile Base: Capital Base: Very goodr Base: Not limited Base: General practitioner

Base: General practitioner

\*\*Base: General practitioner\*\*

\*\*Likelihood ratio; omnibus test for independence, current model versus null model

°Goodness of fit, Hosmer-Lemeshow test

POrdinary least squares regression with robust standard errors