Dynamics of the ACE2 - SARS-CoV-2/SARS-CoV spike protein interface

reveal unique mechanisms

Amanat Ali and Ranjit Vijayan*

Department of Biology, College of Science, United Arab Emirates University, PO Box 15551,

Al Ain, United Arab Emirates.

* Correspondence: Ranjit Vijayan

Tel: +971 3 7136302

Email: ranjit.v@uaeu.ac.ae

Supplementary Information

Table S1. Percentage of simulation time polar interactions were formed between ACE2 residues and residues in equivalent positions of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV S RBD. For e.g., Lys417 of SARS-CoV-2 S protein RBD is in the same position as Val404 of SARS-CoV S RBD. For equivalent positions, see Figure 1.

ACE2	SARS-CoV-2	Contact time (%)			Contact time (%)			SARS-CoV	Contact time (%)			Contact time (%)	
residue	spike protein	(PDB ID: 6M0J)			(PDB ID: 6LZG)			spike protein	(PDB ID: 2AJF,			(PDB ID: 2AJF,	
	residue							residue	chains A/E)			chains B/F)	
		Run1	Run2	Run3	Run1	Run 2	Run3		Run1	Run2	Run3	Run1	Run2
Asp30	Lys417	93.2	96.3	98.6	98.0	92.8	86.4	Val404	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Glu35	Gln493	82.3	81.3	91.2	90.3	86.0	84.6	Asn479	11.7	11.5	16.4	3.9	Nil
Asp38	Tyr449	54.8	88.6	80.8	10.0	13.2	45.9	Tyr436	10.0	6.5	2.7	3.3	2.6
Lys353	Gln498	73.5	95.3	95.5	90.7	22.3	90.7	Tyr484	1.0	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.6
Gln24	Tyr489	2.8	48.7	61.1	0.04	24.5	22.2	Tyr475	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Asp38	Gln498	33.6	95.4	81.5	84.6	57.4	95.6	Tyr484	2.0	4.1	0.4	0.7	0.4
Lys353	Gly496	79.9	37.6	55.6	83.6	11.6	28.8	Gly482	12.0	4.4	1.8	2.2	1.8
Thr27	Tyr489	0.77	91.8	91.8	0.06	0.02	13.2	Tyr475	Nil	0.2	Nil	0.13	Nil
His34	Tyr453	39.0	23.9	83.1	36.1	29.4	12.6	Tyr440	0.01	Nil	20.3	Nil	20
Glu37	Tyr505	88.5	3.8	1.1	71.0	36.1	6.6	Tyr491	17.8	9.85	61.1	71.6	69.31
Gln42	Gly446	15.8	55.5	40.3	0.04	10.1	37.7	Thr433	0.15	2.5	Nil	Nil	Nil
Lys353	Gly502	99.9	16.0	26.7	99.8	6.7	2.4	Gly488	99.5	96.7	99.3	99.5	97.0
Asp355	Thr500	52.3	1.6	18.5	56.9	4.7	1.5	Thr486	99.1	97.9	98.5	99.3	96.1
His34	Lys417	55.6	0.23	0.2	34.9	54.6	25.2	Val404	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Lys31	Leu455	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Tyr442	86.2	1.2	3.5	4.6	2
Tyr83	Phe486	8.6	26.8	29.2	17.9	25.6	29.1	Leu472	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Lys31	Tyr489	0.3	0.02	Nil	2.1	0.2	0.2	Tyr475	70.6	0.76	1.9	6.9	1.1
Gln24	Ala475	30.6	18.4	35.7	27.5	10.7	10.6	Pro462	Nil	0.2	Nil	0.12	Nil
Tyr41	Thr500	35.2	0.75	0.22	Nil	1.5	92.3	Thr486	0.41	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Lys31	Glu484	30.5	21.5	39.52	15.2	6.3	6.7	Pro470	Nil	0.05	Nil	Nil	Nil
Lys31	Gln493	41.8	42.8	21.9	54.5	57.6	62.5	Asn479	1.7	Nil	0.5	Nil	Nil

Table S2. Percentage of simulation time hydrophobic interactions were formed between ACE2 residues and residues in equivalent positions of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV S RBD. For e.g., Phe486 of SARS-CoV-2 S protein RBD is in the same position as Leu472 of SARS-CoV S RBD. For equivalent positions, see Figure 1.

ACE2	SARS-CoV-2	Contact time (%)			Contact time (%)			SARS-CoV	Contact time (%)			Contact time (%)	
residue	spike protein	(PDB ID: 6M0J)			(PDB ID: 6LZG)			spike protein	(PDB ID: 2AJF,			(PDB ID: 2AJF,	
	residue							residue	chains A/E)			chains B/F)	
		Run1	Run2	Run3	Run1	Run2	Run3		Run1	Run2	Run3	Run1	Run2
Leu79	Phe486	99.2	98.4	99.7	97.7	99.0	99.4	Leu472	6.9	3.8	0.89	1.2	1.9
Met82	Phe486	99.7	99.9	99.9	99.2	98.7	99.9	Leu472	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Tyr83	Phe486	98.8	99.8	99.8	99.4	99.5	99.7	Leu472	1.2	0.7	0.3	0.03	2.2
Phe28	Tyr489	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.6	Tyr475	25.3	18	2.3	7.2	5.4
Tyr83	Tyr489	78.7	95.8	98.9	61.4	78.1	79.4	Tyr475	0.54	7.2	3.4	0.7	2.2
Thr27	Phe456	98.6	99.9	99.9	99.9	93.7	99.9	Leu443	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Thr27	Tyr489	90.9	97.7	97.7	96.4	98.0	99.5	Tyr475	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Thr27	Ala475	77.4	90.4	94.9	77.9	70.3	87.6	Pro462	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Thr27	Tyr473	66.4	98.5	96.5	79.3	76.7	99.4	Phe460	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Tyr41	Gln498	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Tyr484	97.1	98.3	96.6	98.8	80.8
Leu45	Gln498	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Tyr484	83.4	36.3	77.2	65.8	48.9
Phe28	Ala475	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	0.003	Pro462	98.9	0.05	Nil	11	0.02
Leu79	Ala475	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Pro462	88.4	Nil	Nil	0.05	0.05
Phe32	Tyr489	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Tyr475	56	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Phe72	Tyr489	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Tyr475	83.9	Nil	Nil	0.03	Nil



Figure S1. Radius of gyration (Rg) of ACE2 and spike (S) protein of SARS-CoV-2 and SAR-CoV. Six simulations of the ACE2-SARS-CoV-2 complex were performed using two structures (6M0J and 6LZG). Five simulations of ACE2-SARS-CoV complex were performed; three using chains A and E of structure 2AJF and two using chains B and F. All simulations were run for at least 500 ns while the first simulation of the ACE2-SARS-CoV-2 complex (6M0J), and the first simulation of chains A/E and B/F of ACE2-SARS-CoV complexes were extended up to 1 µs. A) Top: Rg of ACE2 in ACE2-SARS-CoV-2 complex, Bottom: Rg of S in ACE2-SARS-CoV-2 complex; B) Top: Rg of ACE2 in ACE2SARS-CoV- complex, Bottom: Rg of S in ACE2-SARS-CoV-2 complex.



Figure S2. RMSF projected as beta factors to representative structures of ACE2-SARS-CoV-2 and ACE2-SARS-CoV complexes. Pink shows regions of low flexibility blue shows and regions of high flexibility.

A-C) ACE2-SARS-CoV-2 complex in three runs of 6M0J;

D-F) ACE2-SARS-CoV-2 complex in three runs of 6LZG;

G-I) ACE2-SARS-CoV complex in three runs of 2AJF chains A/E;

J-K) ACE2-SARS-CoV complex in two runs of 2AJF chains B/F.



Figure S3. Root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) of spike (S) protein RBD C α atoms obtained from three independent runs (1 × 1 µs and 2 × 500 ns) of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV S protein bound to ACE2 compared to 500 ns unbound S only simulations of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. A) RMSF of C α atoms of SARS-CoV-2 S protein RBD in complex with ACE2 and without ACE2; B) RMSF of C α atoms of SARS-CoV S protein RBD in complex with ACE2 and without ACE2. Notable difference in RMSF of loop regions of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV are marked with a grey line.



Figure S4. Contact distance plots of interfacial polar interactions between ACE2 and SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein RBD from three simulations of 6M0J. The contact distance was evaluated between specific atoms as indicated below to account for the possibility of switches between different hydrogen atoms (in Lys) or oxygen atoms (in Asp/Glu) that is involved in a hydrogen bond. Data from the three runs are shown in red, green, and blue. The contact distance density plot of consistently interacting residues showed sharper peaks in the density plot adjacent to each distance plot. A) Distance between ACE2:Asp30_CG and S:Lys417_NZ; B) Distance between ACE2:Glu35_CD and S:Gln493_NE2; C) Distance between ACE2:Asp38_CG and S:Tyr449_HH; D) Distance between ACE2:Lys353_NZ and S:Gln498_OE1; E) Distance between ACE2:Asp38_CG and S:Tyr489_HH; G) Distance between ACE2:Lys353_NZ and S:Gly496_O (backbone) and S:Tyr489_HH; G) Distance between ACE2:Lys353_NZ and S:Gly496_O (backbone); H) Distance between ACE2:Thr27 OG1 and S:Tyr489 OH.



Figure S5. Contact distance plots of interfacial polar interactions between ACE2 and SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein RBD from three simulations of 6LZG. The contact distance was evaluated between specific atoms as indicated below to account for the possibility of switches between different hydrogen atoms (in Lys) or oxygen atoms (in Asp/Glu) that is involved in a hydrogen bond. Data from the three runs are shown in red, green, and blue. The contact distance density plot of consistently interacting residues showed sharper peaks in the density plot adjacent to each distance plot. A) Distance between ACE2:Asp30_CG and S:Lys417_NZ; B) Distance between ACE2:Glu35_CD and S:Gln493_NE2; C) Distance between ACE2:Asp38_CG and S:Tyr449_HH; D) Distance between ACE2:Lys353_NZ and S:Gln498_OE1; E) Distance between ACE2:Asp38_CG and S:Tyr489_HH; G) Distance between ACE2:Lys353_NZ and S:Gly496_O (backbone) and S:Tyr489_HH; G) Distance between ACE2:Lys353_NZ and S:Gly496_O (backbone); H) Distance between ACE2:Thr27 OG1 and S:Tyr489 OH.



Figure S6. Contact distance plots of interfacial polar interactions between ACE2 and SARS-CoV spike (S) protein RBD from three simulations of chains A/E of the structure 2AJF. Data from the three runs are shown in red, green, and blue. The contact distance density plot of consistently interacting residues showed sharper peaks in the density plot adjacent to each distance plot. A) Distance between ACE2:Lys353_O (backbone) and S:Gly488_H (backbone); B) Distance between ACE2:Asp355_CG and S:Thr486_HG1; C) Distance between ACE2:Glu37_CD and S:Tyr491_HH; D) Distance between ACE2:Lys31_NZ and S:Tyr442_CZ (cation- π); E) Distance between ACE2:Lys31 NZ and S:Tyr475 CZ (cation- π).



Figure S7. Contact distance plots of interfacial polar interactions between ACE2 and SARS-CoV spike (S) protein RBD from three simulations of chains B/F of the structure 2AJF. Data from the three runs are shown in red, green, and blue. The contact distance density plot of consistently interacting residues showed sharper peaks in the density plot adjacent to each distance plot. A) Distance between ACE2:Lys353_O (backbone) and S:Gly488_H (backbone); B) Distance between ACE2:Asp355_CG and S:Thr486_HG1; C) Distance between ACE2:Glu37_CD and S:Tyr491_HH; D) Distance between ACE2:Lys31_NZ and S:Tyr442_CZ (cation- π); E) Distance between ACE2:Lys31 NZ and S:Tyr475 CZ (cation- π).



Figure S8. Number of intermolecular hydrogen bonds formed between ACE2 and SARS-CoV-2/SARS-CoV spike (S) protein RBD. A) Number of hydrogen bonds formed between ACE2 and SARS-CoV-2 S from three simulations ($1 \times 1 \mu s$ and $2 \times 500 ns$) of 6M0J and three simulations of ($3 \times 500 ns$) of 6LZJ structures; B) Number of hydrogen bonds formed between ACE2 and SARS-CoV S from three simulations ($1 \times 1 \mu s$ and $2 \times 500 ns$) of chains A/E, and two simulations ($1 \times 1 \mu s$ and $1 \times 500 ns$) of chains B/F of 2AJF.