Supplementary Material*

Hernandez AV, Roman YM, Pasupuleti V, et al. Update alert 2: hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine for the treatment or prophylaxis of COVID-19. Ann Intern Med. 21 August 2020. [Epub ahead of print]. doi:10.7326/L20-1054

Item	Page
Supplement Table 1. Effect of Hydroxychloroquine Reported in Controlled Studies	2

* This supplementary material was provided by the authors to give readers further details on their article. The material was reviewed but not copyedited.

Name	Туре	RoB	Unadjusted Absolute effect of HCQ vs. Control (95% CI)	SOE
			All-Cause Mortality	•
Cavalcanti ³	RCT	Н	HCQ: 5/159 vs. 5/173; RD 0.3% (-3.4% to 3.9%)	L
Cavalcanti ³		Н	HCQ+AZ: 3/172 vs. 5/173; RD -1.2% (-4.3% to 2.0%)	
Mitjà ⁴		SC	HCQ: 0/136 vs. 0/157; RD 0% (NA)	
Skipper ⁵	-	Н	HCQ: 1/201 vs. 1/194; RD -0.02% (-1.4% to 1.4%)	
Horby ⁶		SC	HCQ: 418/1561 vs. 788/3155; RD 1.8% (-0.9% to 4.5%)	
C-P Chen ⁷		SC	HCQ: 0/21 vs. 0/12; RD 0% (NA)	
J Chen ¹¹		SC	HCQ: 0/15 vs. 0/15; RD 0% (NA)	
L Chen ¹²		Н	HCQ: 0/15 vs. 0/12; RD 0% (NA)	
Paccoud ⁸	Cohort	S	HCQ: 3/38 vs. 6/46; RD -5.2% (-18.1% to 7.8%)	L
Lecronier ⁹		С	HCQ: 9/38 vs. 9/22; RD -17.2% (-41.8% to 7.4%)	
Barbosa ¹³		С	HCQ: 4/31 vs. 1/32; RD 9.8% (-3.5% to 23.3%)	
Magagnoli ¹⁴		S	HCQ±AZ: 27/97 vs. 18/158; RD 16.4% (6.2% to 26.6%)†	
Mallat ¹⁵		S	HCQ: 0/23 vs. 0/11 [0%]; RD 0% (NA)	
Membrillo ¹⁶		С	HCQ: 27/123 vs. 21/43; RD -26.9% (-43.5% to -10.3%) †	
Geleris ¹⁷		М	HCQ±AZ: 157/811 vs. 75/565; RD 6.1% (2.2% to 10%) †	
Rosenberg ¹⁸		S	HCQ±AZ: 54/271 vs. 28/221; RD 7.3% (0.8% to 13.7%) †	
Mahévas ¹⁹		S	HCQ: 9/84 vs. 8/97; RD 2.5% (-6.1% to 11.1%)	
Ip ²⁰		S	HCQ: 383/1914 vs. 120/598; RD -0.1% (-3.7% to 3.6%)	
Sbidian ²¹	-	М	HCQ: 111/623 vs. 830/3792; RD -4.1% (-7.4% to 0.8%)	
Singh ²²	_	S	HCQ: 104/910 vs. 109/910; RD -0.6% (-3.5% to 2.4%)	
Yu ²³	-	S	HCQ: 9/48 vs. 238/502; RD -29.7% (-40.5% to -16.8%) †	
Arshad ²⁴	-	S	HCQ±AZ: 162/1202 vs. 108/409; RD -12.9% (-17.6% to -8.2%) †	
			Composite of Intubation or Death	
Horby ⁶	RCT	SC	HCQ: 388/1300 vs. 696/2623; RD 3.3% (0.3% to 6.3%) †	L
Geleris ¹⁷	Cohort	M	HCQ±AZ: 262/811 vs. 84/565; RD 17.4% (13.1% to 21.8%) †	Ι
		Co	mposite of ICU Admission Within 7-Days or Death	
Paccoud ⁸	Cohort	S	HCQ: [By 12 days] 13/38 vs. 16/46; RD -0.6% (-21.0% to 19.9%)	Ι
Mahévas ²⁵		S	HCQ: 16/84 vs. 21/97; RD -2.6% (-14.3% to 9.1%)	
			ICU Admission	
Rosenberg ¹⁸	Cohort	S	HCQ±AZ: 52/271 vs. 27/221; RD 7% (0.6% to 13.3%) †	Ι
10			Survival without ICU Admission	-
Mahévas ¹⁹	Cohort	S	HCQ: 17/84 vs. 22/89; RD -4.5% (-16.9% to 7.9%)	Ι
C 1;3	DCT	TT	Need of Mechanical Ventilation	т
Cavalcanti ³	RCT	H	HCQ: 12/159 vs. 12/173; RD 0.6% (-5.0% to 6.2%)	L
Cavalcanti ³	4	H	HCQ+AZ: 19/172 vs. 12/173; RD 4.1% (-1.9% to 10.1%)	
Mitjà ⁴	_	SC	HCQ: 0/136 vs. 0/157; RD 0% (NA)	
Horby ⁶		SC	HCQ: 118/1300 vs. 215/2623; RD 0.9% (-1.0% to 2.8%)	
Lecronier ⁹	Cohort	С	HCQ: 5/38 vs. 2/22; RD 4.1% (-12.1% to 20.2%)	L
Magagnoli ¹⁴		S	HCQ±AZ: 12/90 vs. 25/177; RD -0.8% (-9.5% to 7.9%)	

Supplement Table 1. Effect of Hydroxychloroquine Reported in Controlled Studies

Mallat ¹⁵		S	HCQ: 0/23 vs. 0/11; RD 0% (NA)	
Geleris ¹⁷	1	M	HCQ±AZ: 154/811 vs. 26/565; RD 14.4% (11.2% to 17.6%) †	-
Rosenberg ¹⁸	-	S	HCQ±AZ: 51/271 vs. 18/221; RD 10.7% (4.8% to 16.6%) †	
Singh ²²	-	S	HCQ: 46/910 vs. 57/910; RD -1.2% (-3.3% to 0.9%)	
Singi		5	Severe Disease Progression	
J Chen ¹¹	RCT	SC	HCQ: 1/15 vs. 0/15; RD 6.7% (-6.0% to 19.3%)	Ι
L Chen ¹²		Н	HCQ: 0/15 vs. 0/12; RD 0% (NA)	
Z Chen ²⁶		SC	HCQ: 0/31 vs. 4/31; RD -12.9% (-24.7% to -1.1%) †	
Barbosa ¹³	Cohort	C	HCQ: [Respiratory support level] +0.63±0.79 vs. +0.16±0.64 points; MD 0.47 (0.11 to 0.83) †	Ι
Mallat ¹⁵		S	HCQ: [High flow oxygen therapy] 0/23 vs. 0/11; RD 0% (NA)	
Mahévas ²⁵		S	HCQ: [ARDS] 24/84 vs. 23/95; RD 4.4% (-8.6% to 17.3%)	
			Hospitalization	
Mitjà ⁴	RCT	SC	HCQ: 8/136 vs. 11/157; RD -1.1% (-6.7% to 4.5%)	Ι
Komissarov ¹⁰	Cohort	С	HCQ: 7/33 vs 0/10; RD 21.2% (7.3% to 35.2%) †	Ι
			Discharge from Hospital	
Horby ⁶	RCT	SC	HCQ: 941/1561 vs. 1982/3155; RD -2.5% (-5.5% to 0.4%)	L
Paccoud ⁸	Cohort	S	HCQ: 21/38 vs. 26/46; RD -1.3% (-22.6% to 20.1%)	Ι
Mahévas ¹⁹		S	HCQ: 67/84 vs. 71/89; RD 0% (-12% to 12%)	
Sbidian ²¹		М	HCQ: 351/623 vs. 1507/3792; RD 16.6% (12.4% to 20.8%)	
			Symptom Resolution	
Skipper ⁵	RCT	Η	HCQ: [At 14 days:] 152/201 vs. 135/194; RD 6.0% (-2.7% to 14.8%)	Ι
J Chen ¹¹		SC	HCQ: [Fever] 1 vs. 1 day; MD 0 days (NA)	
L Chen ¹²		Н	HCQ: [Days to recovery [Median(IQR)]]: 6 (3-8) vs. 7.5 (5-16.3)	
Z Chen ²⁶		SC	HCQ: [Fever] 2.2±0.4 vs. 3.2±1.3 days; MD -1 day (-1.5 to -0.5) †	
			[Cough] 2.0±0.2 vs. 3.1±1.5 days; MD -1.1 days (-1.6 to -0.6) †	
Tang ²⁷		Н	HCQ: [Composite symptom resolution] 32/64 vs. 24/55; RD 6.4% (-11.6% to 24.3%)	
			Progression of Pulmonary Lesions on CT Scan	
J Chen ¹¹	RCT	SC	HCQ: 5/15 vs. 7/15; RD -13.3% (-48.1% to 21.4%)	L
Z Chen ²⁶	KC1	SC	HCQ: 2/31 vs. 9/31; RD -22.6% (-40.8% to -4.4%) †	
L Chen			Improvement in Pulmonary Lesions on CT Scan	
Z Chen ²⁶	RCT	SC	HCQ: 25/31 vs. 17/31; RD 25.8% (3.4% to 48.2%) †	Ι
	KC1	50	Upper Respiratory Virological Clearance	1
C-P Chen ⁷	RCT	SC	HCQ: [Day 14] 17/21 vs. 9/12; RD 6.0% (-23.8% to 35.7%)	Ι
J Chen ¹¹	KC1	SC	HCQ: [Day 7] 13/15 vs. 14/15; RD -6.7% (-28% to 14.7%)	
J Chen		SC	[Day 14] 15/15 vs. 15/15; RD 0% (NA)	
L Chen ¹²	1	Н	HCQ: [Day 10] 15/15 vs/ 12/12; RD 0% (NA)	
Tang ²⁷	1	H	HCQ: [Day 23] 53/75 vs. 56.75; RD -4% (-18.3% to 10.3%)	-
C-P Chen ⁷	Cohort	C	HCQ: [Day 14] 12/28 vs. 5/9; RD -12.7% (-50.0% to 24.6%)	Ι
Lecronier ⁹		C	HCQ: [Day 7] 7/26 vs. 2/14; RD 12.6% (-12.4% to 37.7%)	
Mallat ¹⁵	1	S	HCQ: [Day 14] 11/23 vs. 10/11; RD -43.1% (-69.6% to -16.5%) †	-
Gautret ²⁸	1	C S	HCQ: [Day 14] 11/25 VS. 10/11, KD -45.1% (-09.0% to -10.5%) 1 HCQ \pm AZ: [Day 6] 14/20 vs. 2/16; RD 57.6% (31.8% to 83.3%) f	-
	I		rv distress syndrome: $HCO = hvdroxychloroquine: MD = mean$	

ARDS = acute respiratory distress syndrome; HCQ = hydroxychloroquine; MD = mean difference; RCT = randomized controlled trial; RD = absolute risk difference; RoB = risk of bias; SOE = strength of evidence.

[†] Denotes a statistically significant finding.

Risk of bias codes: SC – some concerns, H– high, M – moderate, S –serious, C – critical, NI – no information. Strength of evidence codes: I – insufficient, L- low.