## **Expression and regulation of the** *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* **hibernation**

## **promoting factor**

## Supplementary Information Table of Contents

Table S1. Strains and Genetic Constructs used in this study

Table S2. DNA Oligonucleotides used in this study.

Fig S1. Schematic representation of hpf distribution among diverse species of bacteria

Fig S2. Schematic representation of reporter constructs used in these studies.

Fig S3. Bioanalyzer analysis of RT-qPCR products produced from  $P_{hot}$  and  $P_{roov}$ 

Fig S4. Effect of RpoN on expression of hpf.

Fig S5. Growth phase dependence on expression of hpf.

Fig S6. Expression rate of  $P_{\text{hpf}}$  in mutants strains impaired in stationary phase factors.

Fig S7. Effect of stationary phase factors on expression from  $P_{\text{pop}}$ -rpoN- $P_{\text{hop}}$  hpfyfp reporter.

Fig S8. Expression of  $h$ pf-yfp reporter with the  $P_{hpt}$  promoter replaced by the  $P_{BAD}$  promoter, in the absence of arabinose induction.

Fig S9. Fig S9. Secondary structure predictions of the hpf mRNA and 5'UTR modified with point mutations.

Table S1. Expression reporters





Table S2: Primer sequences used in this study





Fig S1. Schematic representation of *hpf* distribution among diverse species of bacteria, and the neighboring genes surrounding *hpf*. The percentages indicate the amino acid sequence similarity to the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 homologs. Letters indicate that one or more *hpf* paralogs are encoded by the representative organisms, with (a) indicating one paralog, (b) two paralogs, (c) one paralog with long HPF tail, (d) strains that contain a HPF with a long tail. Gene annotations are as follow: rpoN, RNA polymerase sigma-54 factor; hpf, hibernation promoting factor; ptsN, phosphotransferase system enzyme IIA(Ntr); rapZ, Rnase adaptor protein; npr, phosphorelay protein Npr; hprK, HPr kinase/phosphorylase; Nco 2798, phosphotransferase system enzyme IIA(Fru); Rleg 0065, hypothetical protein with 2 EamA domains; CCNA\_03712, hypothetical protein with ExoD domain; Gmet\_1287: phosphotransferase system, mannose-type, protein IIA; ptsH, phosphocarrier protein HPr; ptsI: phosphoenolpyruvate protein phosphotransferase.



Fig S2. Schematic representation of reporter constructs used in these studies. The *hpf* gene is shown in red, and the *yfp* gene in green. Arrows indicate promoter sequences. Sites of hairpin loops are also shown in S through V.



Fig S3. (A) Bioanalyzer Gel image of PCR products produced from primer upstream of P<sub>hpf</sub> but downstream of P<sub>rpoN</sub> (lanes 1, 2), showing transcriptional read-through from P<sub>rpoN</sub> to *hpf*. Lane 2 was a control lacking reverse transcriptase. Bioanalyzer gel image of PCR product produced from a primer downstream of P<sub>hpf</sub> (lane 3,4). Lane 4 lacked reverse transcriptase. (B) Melt curve analyses of PCR products from P<sub>hpf</sub> promoter (green) and from P<sub>rpoN</sub> promoter (blue). Each RT-qPCR experiment was performed with three biological replicates, and three technical replicates per biological replicate.



Fig S4. Effect of RpoN on expression of *hpf.* (A) Expression patterns (large symbols) and growth curves (small symbols) of HPF-YFP reporter constructs containg both the P<sub>rpoN</sub> and P<sub>hpf</sub> promoters with the reporter construct containing an intact *rpoN* gene (open circles) and with the *rpoN* gene truncated (closed circles) (constructs B and E from Fig S2) . (B) Growth curves (small symbols) and expression of *hpf* (large symbols) from P<sub>hof</sub> in the wild-type strain (closed circles) and in a rpoN deletion mutant (hourglass) (construct A from Fig S2). The results show that RpoN is not required for expression from Phpf. Data show the average and standard deviations from three biological replicates.



Fig S5. Growth phase dependence expression of *hpf.* (A) Expression rate (dark blue) and growth rate (light blue) were calculated based on the increases in optical density and fluorescence from the P<sub>hpf</sub>-hpf-yfp reporter. (B) Epifluorescence micrographs showing fluorescence of individual cells containing the  $P_{hpf}$ -hpf-yfp reporter over time.



FigS6. Growth rate (lines) and expression rate of  $P_{\text{hof}}$  (symbols) in mutants strains impaired in stationary phase factors, rpoS (green diamonds)  $dksA2$  (yellow squares) and ppGpp production (blue triangles). The P<sub>hfp</sub> expression rate in the wild-type strain is shown (blue circles) for comparison. Expression rate of  $P_{hpf}$  is moderately inhibited during stationary phase of the *rpoS* and *dksA2* mutants.



Fig 7S. Effect of stationary phase factors on expression (large symbols) from P<sub>rpoN</sub>-rpoN-P<sub>hpf</sub> hpf-yfp reporter. (A) Expression from both P<sub>rpoN</sub> and P<sub>hpf</sub> in the *rpoS* mutant (green circles) and *dksA2* mutant (yellow squares) compared to the wild-type strain (blue circles). The mutant defective in ppGpp production (blue triangles) had a similar pattern of expression as in the reporter construct lacking P<sub>rpoN</sub>. (B) Growth rate (lines) and expression rates (symbols) for the rpoS, dksA2, and relA/spoT mutants calculated from the growth and expression data in (A).







Fig S9. Secondary structure predictions of the *hpf* mRNA wild-type sequence, starting from TSS1 through the first 100 nucleotides of the *hpf* structural gene, as predicted by RNAfold server and visualized using VARNA (Lorenz et al., 2011). Shown in red is the stable hairpin loop in the 5' UTR. Also shown in red is the *hpf* start codon. Shown in blue is the site of TSS2 and yellow is the site of TSS3. The hairpin loop (HPL) shown in green is downstream of the start codon, and is the HPL modified by mod 1 and mod3. (B) The HPL structure predicted by RNAfold after mod1, creating an open 5' end of the *hpf* mRNA. (C) The HPL predicted by RNAfold after mod3, which creates a tighter HPL structure.